

## Representation generated by a finite number of Hilbert space operators

by MAREK KOSIEK (Kraków)

**Abstract.** In the present paper we extend part of results on pairs of commuting Hilbert space operators contained in [3] and [6] to a multidimensional case.

The purpose of the present paper is to extend part of the results of [3] and [6] on pairs of commuting Hilbert space operators to the case of any finite number of operators.

The two-dimensional case is based on Cole's decomposition theorem for orthogonal measures (or rather on its generalization due to Bekken [2]). The main reason that Cole's decomposition holds true is that the algebra  $R(K)$  has no completely singular orthogonal measures when  $K$  is a compact subset of the complex plane  $C$ . But this property does not hold for  $K \subset C^n$  where  $n \geq 2$ . Therefore we cannot extend Cole's decomposition to  $n$ -dimensional case for  $n \geq 3$  automatically.

1. Throughout this paper,  $K_1, \dots, K_n$  will denote compact subsets of the complex plane  $C$ ,  $C(K_1 \times \dots \times K_n)$  will denote the algebra of all complex continuous functions,  $R(K_1 \times \dots \times K_n)$  the uniform closure in  $C(K_1 \times \dots \times K_n)$  of the algebra of all rational functions with singularities off  $K_1 \times \dots \times K_n$ , and  $Q_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ) is the set of all non-peak points of  $R(K_i)$ .

For a set  $E \subset C^n$  by  $\partial E$  we denote its topological boundary and by  $M(E)$  the set of all complex Borel measures on  $E$ . We introduce the following notation:

$$K_{j_1, \dots, j_m} \stackrel{\text{df}}{=} K_{j_1} \times \dots \times K_{j_m}, \quad 1 \leq j_1 \leq \dots \leq j_m \leq n,$$

$$\Gamma_{j_1, \dots, j_m} \stackrel{\text{df}}{=} \partial K_{j_1} \times \dots \times \partial K_{j_m},$$

$$R_{j_1, \dots, j_m} \stackrel{\text{df}}{=} R(K_{j_1} \times \dots \times K_{j_m}),$$

$$Q_{j_1, \dots, j_m} \stackrel{\text{df}}{=} Q_{j_1} \times \dots \times Q_{j_m}.$$

For details and terminology concerning function algebras we refer to [4].

A measure  $\mu$  is said to be *orthogonal* to a function algebra  $R$ , if  $\int u d\mu = 0$  for all  $u \in R$ . The set of all measures orthogonal to  $R$  will be denoted by  $R^\perp$ .

By  $B(Q_{1,\dots,n}, R_{1,\dots,n})$  we will denote the algebra of all functions  $u$  on  $K_{1,\dots,n}$  such that there exists a bounded sequence  $u_k$  in  $R_{1,\dots,n}$  converging to  $u$  pointwise on  $Q_{1,\dots,n}$  (see [1], [2]),  $\|u\| \stackrel{\text{df}}{=} \inf \left\{ \sup_k \|u_k\| : u_k \in R_{1,\dots,n}, u_k \rightarrow u \text{ pointwise on } Q_{1,\dots,n} \right\}$ .

The letter  $H$  will stand for a Hilbert space,  $L(H)$  for the algebra of all linear bounded operators on  $H$ . The algebra homomorphism  $S: R_{1,\dots,n} \rightarrow L(H)$  is a representation if  $\|S(u)\| \leq \|u\| \stackrel{\text{df}}{=} \sup \{ \|u(x)\| : x \in K_{1,\dots,n} \}$  for all  $u \in R_{1,\dots,n}$  ( $\|T\|$  denotes the norm of  $T \in L(H)$ ).

It is well known that for every  $f, g \in H$  there is a complex measure  $\mu_{f,g}$  on  $K_{1,\dots,n}$  such that

$$(S(u)f, g) = \int u d\mu_{f,g}, \quad u \in R_{1,\dots,n}, \quad \|\mu_{f,g}\| \leq \|f\| \|g\|,$$

with  $(f, g)$  denoting the scalar product of  $f, g \in H$ , and  $\|\mu\|$  the total variation of a measure  $\mu$ .

A collection  $\{\mu_{f,g}\}_{f,g \in H}$  is called a *system of elementary measures* of  $S$ .

If for an  $n$ -tuple  $T_1, \dots, T_n$  of operators in  $L(H)$  there is a representation  $S: R_{1,\dots,n} \rightarrow L(H)$  such that  $S(e_i) = T_i$ , where  $e_i(z_1, \dots, z_n) = z_i$ , then we say that  $K_{1,\dots,n}$  is a spectral set for  $T_1, \dots, T_n$  and  $S$  is a representation of  $R_{1,\dots,n}$  generated by  $T_1, \dots, T_n$ .

**DEFINITION.** An  $m$ -tuple of operators  $T_1, \dots, T_m$  on  $H$  has the *property F'* if the only subspace reducing  $T_1, \dots, T_m$  to normal operators with common spectral measure on  $\Gamma_{1,\dots,m}$  singular to  $R_{1,\dots,m}^\perp$  is the null space.

An  $n$ -tuple of operators  $T_1, \dots, T_n$  on  $H$  has the *property F* if every  $m$ -tuple  $T_{j_1}, \dots, T_{j_m}$  ( $1 \leq j_1 \leq \dots \leq j_m \leq n$ ,  $m = 1, \dots, n$ ) has the property  $F'$ .

Our results are as follows:

**THEOREM 1.** Let  $T_1, \dots, T_n$  be an  $n$ -tuple of commuting operators in  $L(H)$ , and  $K_1 \times \dots \times K_n$  a spectral set for  $T_1, \dots, T_n$ .

If  $T_1, \dots, T_n$  has property  $F$ , then the representation  $S: R_{1,\dots,n} \rightarrow L(H)$  generated by  $T_1, \dots, T_n$  has a system of elementary measures belonging to the band of measures on  $\Gamma_{1,\dots,n}$  generated by representing measures for points in  $Q_{1,\dots,n}$ .

**THEOREM 2.** Assume that an  $n$ -tuple  $T_1, \dots, T_n$  of commuting operators in  $L(H)$  has property  $F$ , and  $K_1 \times \dots \times K_n$  is a spectral set for  $T_1, \dots, T_n$ .

Then there is an algebra homomorphism

$$B(Q_{1,\dots,n}, R_{1,\dots,n}) \ni u \rightarrow u(T_1, \dots, T_n) \in L(H)$$

such that

- (1)  $e_i(T_1, \dots, T_n) = T_i$ , where  $e_i(z_1, \dots, z_n) = z_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ),
- (2)  $\|u(T_1, \dots, T_n)\| \leq \|u\|$ ,  $u \in B(Q_{1, \dots, n}, R_{1, \dots, n})$ ,
- (3) if  $\sup_k \|u_k\| < \infty$ , and  $u_k \rightarrow u$  pointwise on  $Q_{1, \dots, n}$ , then  $u_k(T_1, \dots, T_n) \rightarrow u(T_1, \dots, T_n)$  in the weak operator topology,
- (4)  $u(T_1, \dots, T_n)^* = \tilde{u}(T_1^*, \dots, T_n^*)$ , where  $\tilde{u}(z_1, \dots, z_n) \stackrel{\text{df}}{=} \overline{u(\bar{z}_1, \dots, \bar{z}_n)}$ .

2. We will employ a Cole-type decomposition of orthogonal measures, due to Bekken [1], [2], and the decomposition of operator representation induced by it.

Let  $E$  be an arbitrary set of complex measures on a compact set  $K$ . By  $E^s$  we will denote the set of all measures on  $K$  which are singular to all measures in  $E$ . A set  $B$  of measures on  $K$  is called a *band* (see [3], [5]) if  $B^{ss} = B$ . Every complex measure  $\mu$  has a unique decomposition  $\mu = \mu_B + \mu_s$  such that  $\mu_B \in B$  and  $\mu_s \in B^s$ . It is easy to see that  $E^s$  is a band for every  $E \subset M(K)$  and that  $E^{ss}$  is the smallest band which includes  $E$ . We call  $E^{ss}$  the *band generated by  $E$* .

Let  $\mu$  be a measure on  $\Gamma_{1, \dots, n}$ . We define  $\pi_{j_1, \dots, j_m} \mu$  as follows:

$$\pi_{j_1, \dots, j_m} \mu(E) \stackrel{\text{df}}{=} \mu(\{(z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \Gamma_{1, \dots, n}; (z_{j_1}, \dots, z_{j_m}) \in E\})$$

for every Borel  $E \subset \Gamma_{j_1, \dots, j_m}$ ,  $1 \leq j_1 \leq \dots \leq j_m \leq n$ ,  $m = 1, \dots, n$ .

On the set  $\Gamma_{1, \dots, n}$  we introduce the following bands of measures:

$B_0$  – band generated by representing measures for points in  $Q_{1, \dots, n}$ ,

$$B_{j_1, \dots, j_m} \stackrel{\text{df}}{=} \pi_{j_1, \dots, j_m}^{-1}((R_{j_1, \dots, j_m}^\perp)^s), \quad 1 \leq j_1 \leq \dots \leq j_m \leq n, \quad m = 1, \dots, n.$$

Using properties of peak interpolation sets in the same way as in Lemma 4.1 of [2] and the decomposition theorem for orthogonal measures [4], II.7.11, we can prove the following

LEMMA 1. *The bands  $B_0$  and  $B_{j_1, \dots, j_m}$  ( $1 \leq j_1 \leq \dots \leq j_m \leq n$ ) are reducing, i.e., if  $\mu$  is orthogonal to  $R_{1, \dots, n}$ , so is  $\mu_{B_0}$  and so are all  $\mu_{B_{j_1, \dots, j_m}}$ .*

A measure  $\mu$  on  $\Gamma_{1, \dots, m}$  is called an *A-measure* (for  $R_{1, \dots, m}$ ) if  $u_k \rightarrow 0$  weak-star in  $L^\infty(|\mu|)$  whenever  $u_k$  is a bounded sequence in  $R_{1, \dots, m}$ , and  $u_k \rightarrow 0$  pointwise on  $Q_{1, \dots, m}$ ,  $|\mu|$  denoting the variation measure of  $\mu$ .

The main parts of Theorems 1 and 2 are the following propositions:

PROPOSITION 1. *If a complex measure  $\mu$  on  $\Gamma_{1, \dots, n}$  is singular to all bands  $B_{j_1, \dots, j_m}$  ( $1 \leq j_1 \leq \dots \leq j_m \leq n$ ,  $m = 1, \dots, n$ ), then  $\mu$  is an A-measure.*

PROPOSITION 2. *Every A-measure on  $\Gamma_{1, \dots, n}$  belongs to  $B_0$ .*

The proof of Proposition 2 is to be found in [2]. Proposition 1 will be proved by induction. We will use Bekken's result taken from [2] which does not depend on dimension:

**LEMMA 2.** *Suppose  $\mu$  is a measure on  $\Gamma_{1,\dots,n}$  orthogonal to  $R_{1,\dots,n}$ . If for every sequence  $\{u_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  in  $R_{1,\dots,n}$  converging pointwise boundedly to 0 on  $Q_{1,\dots,n}$  and for every  $a_i \in Q_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ) we have  $u_k(\cdot, a_i, \cdot) \rightarrow 0$  weak-star in  $L^\infty(|\mu|)$ , then  $\mu$  is an  $A$ -measure.*

For  $n = 1$  and 2 Proposition 1 is due to Bekken [2]. Assume that it is valid for  $n-1$ .

Let  $\mu$  be a measure satisfying the assumption of Proposition 1. It is enough to check that  $\mu$  satisfies the assumption of Lemma 2 for  $i = n$ , that is, it is enough to prove that for every  $a \in Q_n$  we have  $u_k(\cdot, a) \rightarrow 0$  weak-star in  $L^\infty(|\mu|)$  whenever  $u_k$  is a bounded sequence in  $R_{1,\dots,n}$  which converges pointwise to 0 on  $Q_{1,\dots,n}$ .

The measure  $\mu$  is by the assumption singular to all bands  $B_{j_1,\dots,j_m}$ , where  $1 \leq j_1 \leq \dots \leq j_m \leq n$ ; it is in particular singular to  $(R_{1,\dots,n}^\perp)^s$ . Therefore, by the same argument as in the proof of [4], II. 7, 5, there is a measure  $\nu$  in  $R_{1,\dots,n}^\perp$  singular to all bands  $B_{j_1,\dots,j_m}$  such that  $\mu$  is absolutely continuous with respect to  $|\nu|$ .

Let  $\{u_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  be a bounded sequence in  $R_{1,\dots,n}$  such that  $u_k \rightarrow 0$  on  $Q_{1,\dots,n}$ . Fix  $a \in Q_n$ , and put

$$L \stackrel{\text{df}}{=} \{(z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \Gamma_{1,\dots,n} : u_k(z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}, a) \not\rightarrow 0\}.$$

It is easy to see that  $L = L' \times \partial K_n$  where

$$L' \stackrel{\text{df}}{=} \{(z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}) \in \Gamma_{1,\dots,n-1} : u_k(z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}, a) \not\rightarrow 0\}.$$

The sequence  $\{u_k(\cdot, a)\}_{k=1}^\infty$  is bounded in  $R_{1,\dots,n-1}$  and converges pointwise to 0 on  $Q_{1,\dots,n-1}$ . By the induction assumption  $\pi_{1,\dots,n-1}|\nu|$  is an  $A$ -measure, which implies  $|\nu|(L) = (\pi_{1,\dots,n-1}|\nu|)(L) = 0$ . Then also  $|\mu|(L) = 0$  which means that  $\mu$  is an  $A$ -measure.

**3. Proof of Theorem 1.** Let  $S$  be the representation of  $R_{1,\dots,n}$  in  $L(H)$  generated by  $T_1, \dots, T_n$ . If  $B$  is a band of measures on  $\Gamma_{1,\dots,n}$ , then we obtain a unique orthogonal decomposition of  $S$  into two representations ([7], Sec. 3):

$$S = S_B \oplus S_s,$$

where  $S_B(S_s)$  has a system of elementary measures belonging singularly to  $B$ . We call  $S_B$  the  $B$ -part of  $S$ .

We will decompose  $S$  with respect to the bands  $B_{j_1,\dots,j_m}$  ( $1 \leq j_1 \leq \dots \leq j_m \leq n$ ). Let  $\{B_1, B_2, \dots, B_l\}$  be the ordered set of these bands

(the way of ordering is arbitrary). Then

$$S = S_0 \oplus S_1 \oplus \dots \oplus S_l,$$

where  $S_0$  is the  $B_0$ -part of  $S$  and  $S_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, l$ ) is the  $B_i$ -part of  $S \ominus (S_0 \oplus S_1 \oplus \dots \oplus S_{i-1})$ .

The decomposition of  $S$  induces a decomposition of the space  $H$  into an orthogonal sum of closed subspaces

$$H = H_0 \oplus H_1 \oplus \dots \oplus H_l,$$

where  $S|_{H_i} = S_i$  ( $i = 0, \dots, l$ ),  $T|_K$  denoting the restriction of an operator (or an operator representation)  $T$  to a subspace  $K$ .

Fix a sequence  $j_1, \dots, j_m$  ( $1 \leq j_1 \leq \dots \leq j_m \leq n$ ) and consider the subalgebra of all functions in  $R_{1, \dots, n}$  which depend only on variables  $z_{j_1, \dots, j_m}$ . We can identify this subalgebra with the algebra  $R_{j_1, \dots, j_m}$  and restrict representations  $S_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ) to this algebra (see [6]). Let us denote those restrictions by  $S'_i$ .

There is a number  $p \in \{1, \dots, l\}$  such that  $B_{j_1, \dots, j_m} = B_p$ . The representation  $S_p$  has a system of elementary measures  $\{\mu_{f,g}^p\}_{f,g \in H} \subset B_p$ . Then for every  $v \in R_{j_1, \dots, j_m}$  we have

$$(S'_p(v)f, g) = (S_p(v)f, g) = \int_{\Gamma_{1, \dots, n}} v d\mu_{f,g}^p = \int_{\Gamma_{j_1, \dots, j_m}} v d\pi_{j_1, \dots, j_m} \mu_{f,g}^p.$$

Therefore  $\{\pi_{j_1, \dots, j_m} \mu_{f,g}^p\}_{f,g \in H}$  is a system of elementary measures of  $S'_p$  and, by definition of  $B_p$ , these all measures are singular to  $R_{j_1, \dots, j_m}^\perp$ . Hence by [7], Theorem 4.2,  $S'_p$  can be extended to a  $*$ -representation of  $C(\Gamma_{j_1, \dots, j_m})$  with spectral measure singular to  $R_{j_1, \dots, j_m}^\perp$ . It implies that  $T_{j_q}|_{H_p} = S_p(e_{j_q}) = S'_p(e_{j_q})$  are normal operators with common spectral measure singular to  $R_{j_1, \dots, j_m}^\perp$ . Since  $T_1, \dots, T_n$  have property  $F$  then  $H_p = \{0\}$ . The same is true for every  $p \in \{1, \dots, l\}$ . Hence the proof is complete.

4. Proof of Theorem 2. Let  $S: R_{1, \dots, n} \rightarrow L(H)$  be the representation generated by  $T_1, \dots, T_n$ . We construct its extension into  $B(Q_{1, \dots, n}, R_{1, \dots, n})$  in a following way:

If  $u \in B(Q_{1, \dots, n}, R_{1, \dots, n})$ , then there exists a bounded sequence  $u_k$  in  $R_{1, \dots, n}$  converging to  $u$  pointwise on  $Q_{1, \dots, n}$ . Since by Proposition 1 every elementary measure  $\mu_{f,g}$  ( $f, g \in H$ ) of  $S$  is an  $A$ -measure,

$$\int u_k d\mu_{f,g} \rightarrow \int u d\mu_{f,g}.$$

Therefore the value  $\int u d\mu_{f,g}$  does not depend on the choice of elementary measures of  $f, g$ , and we can define the operator  $u(T_1, \dots, T_n)$  as follows:

$$(u(T_1, \dots, T_n)f, g) \stackrel{\text{df}}{=} \int u d\mu_{f,g}, \quad f, g \in H.$$

It is easy to see that  $u(T_1, \dots, T_n)$  is indeed a linear bounded operator on  $H$ . One can also show, following [3], Theorem 4.4, that the extension  $B(Q_{1, \dots, n}, R_{1, \dots, n}) \ni u \rightarrow u(T_1, \dots, T_n)$  of  $S$  is multiplicative and satisfies (1)–(4) in Theorem 2.

5. In Theorems 1 and 2 we made the assumption that  $T_1, \dots, T_n$  had property  $F$ . This assumption is necessary, as the following example shows:

EXAMPLE. Denote by  $D$  the open unit disc on the complex plane. On  $(\partial D)^3$  we define a measure  $\mu \stackrel{\text{df}}{=} m_* \times \nu$ , where  $m_*$  is the Lebesgue measure on the set  $\Gamma_* = \{(z_1, z_2) \in (\partial D)^2 : z_1 = \bar{z}_2\}$ , and  $d\nu = e_3 dm$  with  $e_3(z_1, z_2, z_3) = z_3$  and  $m$  denoting the Lebesgue measure on  $\partial D$  (Davie, unpublished).

LEMMA 3. *The measure  $\mu$  is orthogonal to  $A(D^3)$  (algebra of all complex continuous functions on  $\bar{D}^3$  which are analytic on  $D^3$ ) and singular to  $B_0$ .*

Proof. Since for  $u \in A(D^3)$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{(\partial D)^3} u d\mu &= \int_{\Gamma_*} \left( \int_{\partial D} u(z_1, z_2, z_3) d\nu(z_3) \right) dm_*(z_1, z_2) \\ &= \int_{\Gamma_*} \left( \int_{\partial D} z_3 u(z_1, z_2, z_3) dm(z_3) \right) dm_*(z_1, z_2) \\ &= \int_{\Gamma_*} 0 dm_*(z_1, z_2) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

the measure  $\mu$  is orthogonal to  $A(D^3)$ .

The set  $\Gamma_* \times \partial D$  is a peak set for  $A(D^3)$ ; consider the function  $g(z_1, z_2, z_3) = e^{z_1 z_2^{-1}}$ . Hence, by Lemma 4 below, every measure in  $B_0$  vanishes on it, that means  $\mu$  is singular to  $B_0$ .

LEMMA 4. *If  $P$  is a peak set for a function algebra  $R$ ,  $x \notin P$  and  $\mu_x$  is a representing measure for  $x$ , then  $\mu_x|_P \equiv 0$ .*

Proof. Let  $g$  be a function in  $R$  such that  $g = 1$  on  $P$  and  $|g(x)| < 1$  for  $x \notin P$ . Then

$$\mu_x(P) = \int_P d\mu_x = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int g^k d\mu_x = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} g^k(x) = 0.$$

Since  $\mu_x$  is positive,  $\mu_x|_P \equiv 0$ .

We define a system of commuting operators  $T_1, T_2, T_3$  on  $L^2(|\mu|)$ , where  $T_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3$ ) is the operator of multiplication by the function  $e_i$ .

It is obvious that the representation generated by  $T_1, T_2, T_3$  has a system of elementary measures which are singular to  $B_0$ , because they are absolutely continuous with respect to  $|\mu|$ .

We can easily find the reason of this fact:

The measure  $m_*$  is singular to  $A(D^3)^\perp$  which implies that  $(T_1, T_2)$  does not have the property  $F'$  and then  $(T_1, T_2, T_3)$  does not have the property  $F$ .

Remark. The measure  $\mu$  in the Example shows that Theorem of my

paper *A property of measures orthogonal to a tensor product of function algebras*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci., Ser. Sci. Math. 27 (1979), 571–575, as stated there is not true. (The same refers to one of Bekken's results published in [1] [see VIII. 1. 10].) It is necessary to assume additionally in Theorems 1 and 3 of [6] that the pair of operators has property  $F'$ .

The Example implies also that it may exist a representation of algebra  $R(K_1 \times K_2)$  in  $L(H)$  such that its restrictions to single algebras  $R(K_i)$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) may be absolutely continuous. Hence part 4° of Theorem 2 [5] needs correction.

#### References

- [1] O. B. Bekken, *Product of algebras on plane sets*, U. C. L. A Thesis, 1972.
- [2] —, *Rational approximation on product sets*, Trans Amer. Math. Soc. 191 (1974), 301–316.
- [3] E. Briem, A. M. Davie, B. K. Øksendal, *A functional calculus for pairs of commuting contractions*, J. London Math. Soc. 7 (1974), 709–718.
- [4] T. W. Gamelin, *Uniform algebras*, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliff, New Jersey, 1969.
- [5] M. Kosiek, *Decomposition and spectral properties of operator representations of the algebra  $R(K_1 \times K_2)$* , Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci., Ser. Sci. Math. 27 (1979), 577–582.
- [6] —, *Lebesgue type decompositions of a pair of commuting Hilbert space operators*, ibidem 27 (1979), 583.
- [7] F. H. Szafraniec, *Decompositions of non-contractive operator-valued representations of Banach algebras*, Studia Math. 42 (1972), 97–108.

Reçu par la Rédaction le 25. 06. 1980

---