

Dilations of Banach space operator valued functions

by W. MŁAK (Kraków) and A. WERON (Wrocław)

Abstract. The paper deals with existence and uniqueness theorems concerning dilations of positive definite functions with values in the space of all antilinear bounded operators from a complex Banach space to its dual. These dilations are representations of semigroups. Basic results concern the case of non-unital semi-groups.

1. Let E be a complex Banach space. By $\bar{L}(E)$ we denote the space of all bounded antilinear operators from E to the dual E^* of E . $L(E, F)$ stands for the space of all linear bounded operators from the Banach space E into the Banach space F . We write $L(E) = L(E, E)$. Let Z be a set.

DEFINITION ([9], [10], see also [2]). We say that the function $B(\cdot, \cdot): Z \times Z \rightarrow \bar{L}(E)$ is *positive definite* and write then $B \geq 0$, if for every n , every n -tuples $z_1 \dots z_n \in Z, f_1 \dots f_n \in E$ the inequality

$$\sum_{i,k=1}^n (B(z_i, z_k) f_i)(f_k) \geq 0$$

holds true.

We have (see [10], Theorem 4.6, also [2]) the following

PROPOSITION 1. *Suppose that the function $B(\cdot, \cdot): Z \times Z \rightarrow \bar{L}(E)$ is positive definite. Then there is a Hilbert space K and an operator function $X(\cdot): Z \rightarrow L(E, K)$ such that*

$$(1) \quad B(u, v) = X(v)^* X(u) \quad \text{for } u, v \in Z.$$

Notice that if K in the above proposition is *minimal*, i.e.

$$(2) \quad K = \bigvee_{z \in Z} X(z) E,$$

then K and $X(\cdot)$ are *unique up to unitary equivalence*. This means that if K_1, K_2 are Hilbert spaces and $X_i(\cdot): E \rightarrow K_i$ as well

$$K_i = \bigvee_{z \in Z} X_i(z) E \quad (i = 1, 2)$$

and

$$X_1(v)^* X_1(u) = X_2(v)^* X_2(u) \quad \text{for } u, v \in Z,$$

then there is an unitary map $U: K_1 \rightarrow K_2$ such that $UX_1(u) = X_2(u)$ for $u \in Z$.

If (2) holds true, then expression (1) of B through $X(\cdot)$ is called *canonical*.

Let S be a multiplicative semi-group and K a Hilbert space. The operator-valued function $\pi(\cdot): S \rightarrow L(K)$ is called a *representation* if $\pi(uv) = \pi(u)\pi(v)$ for $u, v \in S$. If S is unital, that is, S has a unit, say e , then π is called *unital* if $\pi(e) = I_K =$ identity operator in K .

It is plain that if E is a complex Banach space, K is a Hilbert space, $R \in L(E, K)$ and $\pi(\cdot): S \rightarrow L(K)$ is a representation of the semi-group S , then the $\bar{L}(E)$ valued function B defined as

$$(3) \quad B(u, v) = R^* \pi(v)^* \pi(u) R \quad (u, v \in S)$$

is positive definite.

If (3) holds true, then we say that $\pi(\cdot)$ is an *R-dilation* of B . Moreover, (3) implies that there is a finite function $\varrho: S \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^+$ such that

$$(4) \quad \sum_{i,k=1}^n (B(su_i, su_k) f_i | f_k) \leq \varrho(s) \sum_{i,k=1}^n (B(u_i, u_k) f_i | f_k)$$

for all $n, u_1 \dots u_n \in S, f_1 \dots f_n \in E$. One takes simply $\varrho(s) = \|\pi(s)\|^2$.

We say that $B \geq 0$ satisfies the *boundedness condition* if (4) holds true for some $\varrho(\cdot)$, all n and all $u_1 \dots u_n, f_1 \dots f_n$.

Suppose $B \geq 0$ and B satisfies the boundedness condition. Let $B(u, v) = X(v)^* X(u)$ be the canonical expression of B . Following [10] (the case where S is a group) and [2] (case of general semi-group) we define for $s \in S$ using (4) the operator $\hat{\pi}(s) \sum_j X(u_j) f_j = \sum_j X(su_j) f_j$ (finite sums) on a dense linear manifold of $K = \bigvee_{s \in S} X(s)E$. Condition (4) implies that $\hat{\pi}(s)$ extends in a unique way to $\pi(s) \in L(K)$. We then have $\|\pi(s)\|^2 \leq \varrho(s)$ ($s \in S$). Since $\pi(s_1 s_2) X(u) f = X(s_1 s_2 u) f = \pi(s_1) (X(s_2 u) f) = \pi(s_1) (\pi(s_2) X(u) f)$ for $s_1, s_2, u \in S, f \in E$, thus $\pi(\cdot)$ is a representation of S into $L(K)$. Moreover,

$$(5) \quad B(su, rv) = X(v)^* \pi(r)^* \pi(s) X(u)$$

for $s, r, u, v \in S$. Now if S has the unit e we put $R = X(e)$ in (5) and derive therefore the following, probably the proper, formulation of a basic result of [2], which reads as follows.

PROPOSITION 2. *Let S be a unital semi-group and $B(\cdot, \cdot): S \times S \rightarrow \bar{L}(E)$ a positive definite operator function. If B satisfies the boundedness condition (4), then B has an *R-dilation*, which is a unital representation π of S . The minimality condition $K = \bigvee_{s \in S} \pi(s) R E$ determines K and π up to unitary equivalence.*

Proof. Only uniqueness requires the proof. So, suppose that π_i ($i = 1, 2$) is unital representation of S and $K_i = \bigvee_{s \in S} \pi_i(s) R_i E$, where $R_i: E \rightarrow K_i$ ($i = 1, 2$) and $R_i^* \pi_i(v)^* \pi_i(u) R_i = B(u, v)$ for $i = 1, 2, u, v \in S$. Since for any $n, u_1 \dots u_n \in S$ and $f_1 \dots f_n \in E$

$$\left\| \sum_i \pi_1(u_i) R_1 f_i \right\|_{K_1}^2 = \sum_{i,k} (B(u_i, u_k) f_i | f_k) = \left\| \sum_i \pi_2(u_i) R_2 f_i \right\|_{K_2}^2,$$

the map

$$\sum_i \pi_1(u_i) R_1 f_i \rightarrow \sum_i \pi_2(u_i) R_2 f_i$$

extends to the unitary operator $U: K_1 \rightarrow K_2$. Since S has an unit, we have $U R_1 = R_2$ and obviously $U \pi_1(s) = \pi_2(s) U$ for $s \in S$.

COROLLARY 1. Notice that since S has the unit e , we have $\pi(u) R f = \pi(u) X(e) f = X(u) f$ for $u \in S, f \in E$, which implies that $\bigvee_{s \in S} \pi(s) R E = \bigvee_{s \in S} X(s) E = K$ in this case.

COROLLARY 2. If S is a $*$ -semi-group (see [8], where such semi-groups have been introduced) and $B(u, v) = B(v^* u)$ ($v \rightarrow v^*$ is the involution in S), then Proposition 2 reduces to the extension of the Sz.-Nagy theorem [8] proved in [1]. In this case $\pi(\cdot)$ becomes a $*$ -representation, i.e. it is a representation and $\pi(u^*) = \pi(u)^*$ for $u \in S$. For dilations of this type for Hilbert space case we refer also to [3], [4] and [6], [7], where the question of unitization as well the boundedness condition for non-unital S is discussed.

Now we give some example of positive definite function which satisfy the boundedness condition (4). These examples are related to the probability theory. We consider only a complex valued functions, but we remark that the operator-valued function arise in the same way when one studies multivariate stochastic processes, see [10].

EXAMPLE. Let (Ω, \mathcal{B}, P) be a probability space. By $L_0^2(\Omega, \mathcal{B}, P)$ we denote the linear space of (equivalence classes of) complex-valued random variables ξ defined on the probability space for which $E \xi = 0$ and $E |\xi|^2 < \infty$, where E denotes the mathematical expectation (the integral with respect to P).

By *second order process* we mean a mapping $x: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow L_0^2(\Omega, \mathcal{B}, P)$. It is well know that the correlation function

$$r(s, t) = E x(s) \overline{x(t)}$$

is positive definite. If we consider stationary stochastic processes, i.e. $r(s, t) = r(s-t, 0)$ for each $s, r \in \mathbf{R}$, then $r(s, t)$ satisfies the boundedness condition with $\varrho(s) \equiv 1$.

Now we consider a class of second order stochastic processes x for which there exists a finite function $M: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^+$ such that for all $n, t_1 \dots t_n$

$\in \mathbf{R}$ and $a_1 \dots a_n \in \mathbf{C}$

$$(\alpha) \quad \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n a_k x(s+t_k) \right\| \leq M(s) \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n a_k x(t_k) \right\|,$$

where $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the norm in $L_0^2(\Omega, \mathcal{B}, P)$. This class consists, in general, of non-stationary processes. If $M(s) = \text{const}$, this class coincides with the so-called uniformly bounded linearly stationary stochastic processes which were investigated from the application oriented point of view in [5]. It was shown that every continuous such process $x(t)$ has a spectral representation

$$x(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{its} d\mu(s), \quad t \in \mathbf{R},$$

where μ is bounded stochastic measure.

Let us notice that the correlation function of stochastic processes from the class (α) satisfies the boundedness condition with $\varrho(s) = M^2(s)$. Clearly, for all $n, t_1 \dots t_n, s \in \mathbf{R}$ and $a_1 \dots a_n \in \mathbf{C}$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i,k=1}^n r(s+u_i, s+u_k) a_i \bar{a}_k &= \sum_{i,k=1}^n a_i \bar{a}_k \mathbf{E} x(s+u_i) \overline{x(s+u_k)} \\ &= \mathbf{E} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i x(s+u_i) \right|^2 = \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i x(s+u_i) \right\|^2 \\ &\leq M^2(s) \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i x(u_i) \right\|^2 = M^2(s) \sum_{i,k=1}^n r(u_i, u_k) a_i \bar{a}_k. \end{aligned}$$

In the example we have a case: the semi-group $S = \mathbf{R}$, the Banach space $E = \mathbf{C}$, and the function $B = r$.

2. Suppose that the operator-valued function $B(\cdot, \cdot): S \times S \rightarrow \bar{L}(E)$ is positive definite and S is a semi-group. If B satisfies the boundedness condition (4), then formula (5) holds true and $\pi(\cdot)$ is a representation of S , namely $\pi(\cdot): S \rightarrow L(K)$, where $K = \bigvee_{s \in S} X(s)E$. The space K may be replaced by a smaller one and (5) will be still valid with suitably redefined factors. To see this we will briefly recall some facts related to von Neumann algebras.

Suppose, namely, that K is a Hilbert space and let $\mathcal{F} \subset L(K)$. Define $M = \{h \in K: Ah = 0, A^*h = 0 \text{ for all } A \in \mathcal{F}\}$ and let Q be the orthogonal projection on $K \ominus M$. Then

$$(6) \quad AQ = QA = A \quad \text{for all } A \in \mathcal{F}.$$

Moreover,

- (7) Q belongs to the von Neumann algebra generated by \mathcal{F} (i.e., Q is in the strong operator closure of selfadjoint algebra generated by \mathcal{F}).

Now, if (5) holds true and if we take $\mathcal{F} = \{\pi(u): u \in S\}$, then by (6)

$$(8) \quad B(su, rv) = X(v)^* Q^* \pi(r)^* \pi(s) Q X(u) \quad \text{for } s, r, u, v \in S.$$

Let $K_0 = QK$ ($K = \bigvee_{s \in S} X(s)E$) and define $Y(\cdot): S \rightarrow L(E, K_0)$ as $Y(s)f = QX(s)f$ ($s \in S, f \in E$). By (6), K_0 reduces all operators $\pi(s)$. We define $\varphi(s) = \pi(s)|_{K_0} \in L(K_0)$ and are then able to rewrite (8) as follows

$$(9) \quad B(su, rv) = Y(v)^* \varphi(r)^* \varphi(s) Y(u); \quad r, s, u, v \in S.$$

Certainly $\varphi(\cdot): S \rightarrow L(K_0)$ is a representation of S .

We introduce now the following condition:

- (A) There is a net $e_\alpha \in S$ such that

$$(10) \quad \sup_\alpha \|B(e_\alpha, e_\alpha)\| < +\infty,$$

$$(11) \quad \lim_\alpha (B(ue_\alpha, v)f)(g) = (B(u, v)f)(g) \quad \text{for } u, v \in S; f, g \in E,$$

$$(12) \quad \lim_\alpha (B(e_\alpha, v)f)(g) \quad \text{exists for every } v \in S \text{ and every } f, g \in E.$$

Since

$$\|X(e_\alpha)f\|^2 = (B(e_\alpha, e_\alpha)f)(f) \leq \|B(e_\alpha, e_\alpha)f\| \cdot \|f\| \leq \|B(e_\alpha, e_\alpha)\| \cdot \|f\|^2,$$

condition (10) yields that

$$(13) \quad \sup_\alpha \|X(e_\alpha)\| < +\infty.$$

We write $R_\alpha = QX(e_\alpha)$.

Next by (11), since $\pi(u) = \pi(u)Q = Q\pi(u)$,

$$(14) \quad \begin{aligned} (B(ue_\alpha, v)f)(g) &= (X(ue_\alpha)f, X(v)g) = (\pi(u)X(e_\alpha)f, X(v)g) \\ &= (\varphi(u)R_\alpha f, X(v)g) = (R_\alpha f, \varphi(u)^* X(v)g) \\ &\rightarrow (X(u)f, X(v)g). \end{aligned}$$

Notice now that the set of vectors $\{\varphi(u)Y(s)g, \varphi(v)^*Y(t)f; u, v \in S; f, g \in E\}$ is linearly dense in K_0 . Indeed, if $h \in K_0$ and $h \perp \varphi(u)Y(s)g = Q\pi(u)X(s)g$, then, for $s \in S, g \in E, \pi(u)^*Qh \perp X(s)g$, i.e., $\pi(u)^*Qh \perp K = \bigvee_{s \in S} X(s)E$ which implies that $\pi(u)^*Qh = 0$. By similar token the relation $h \perp \varphi(v)^*Y(t)f$ ($t \in S, f \in E$) implies $\pi(v)Qh = 0$. Hence $h = Qh \in M \perp K_0$ which proves that $h = 0$, q.e.d.

It follows from (12) that

$$(15) \quad \begin{aligned} \lim_{\alpha} (R_{\alpha} f, \varphi(u) Y(s) g) &= \lim_{\alpha} (B(e_{\alpha}) f, X(us) g) \\ &= \lim_{\alpha} (B(e_{\alpha}, us) f)(g) \quad \text{exists.} \end{aligned}$$

We conclude that $\lim_{\alpha} (R_{\alpha} f, h)$ exists for h running over a dense linear manifold of K_0 . Since $\sup_{\alpha} \|R_{\alpha}\| \leq \sup_{\alpha} \|X(e_{\alpha})\| < +\infty$ by (13), $\{R_{\alpha}\}$ is a bounded net, which satisfies the Cauchy condition for the weak convergence. Hence $\lim_{\alpha} R_{\alpha} \stackrel{\text{df}}{=} R$ exists and is a bounded linear operator from E into K_0 .

It follows from (11) that

$$\begin{aligned} (\varphi(u) R f, \varphi(v) R g) &= \lim_{\beta} (\varphi(u) R_{\beta} f, \varphi(v) R_{\beta} g) = \lim_{\beta} (B(u e_{\beta}, v e_{\beta}) f)(g) \\ &= (B(u, v e_{\alpha}) f)(g). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since $\overline{(B(s, t) f)(g)} = (B(t, s) g)(f)$ for $s, t \in S$ and $f, g \in E$, we get from (11) once again that

$$\lim_{\alpha} (B(u, v e_{\alpha}) f)(g) = (B(u, v) f)(g)$$

and conclude finally that

$$(\varphi(u) R f, \varphi(v) R g) = \lim_{\alpha} (B(u, v e_{\alpha}) f)(g) = (B(u, v) f)(g).$$

Since u, v, f, g are arbitrary, we have

$$B(u, v) = R^* \varphi(v)^* \varphi(u) R, \quad u, v \in S.$$

Summing up we proved the following theorem:

THEOREM 1. *Let S be a semi-group and $B(\cdot, \cdot): S \times S \rightarrow \bar{L}(E)$ a positive definite operator function which satisfies the boundedness condition. Assume also that B satisfies condition (A). Then B has an R -dilation which is a representation of S .*

Using Theorem 1, one easily formulates an analogon of an existence theorem of [4] for Banach space valued operator functions in a case where the non-unital S is a $*$ -semi-group and $B(u, v) = \tilde{B}(v^* u)$.

3. Our next topic concerns uniqueness of dilations. The uniqueness is that one up to unitary isomorphism. Our result given below is modelled after a uniqueness theorem of [3], [4].

To begin with we suppose that S is a semi-group, $\pi_i: S \rightarrow L(K_i)$ ($i = 1, 2$). Assume that π_1, π_2 correspond to the same function $B(u, v)$

$\in \bar{L}(E)$, that is,

$$R_1^* \pi_1(v)^* \pi_1(u) R_1 = B(u, v) = R_2^* \pi_2(v)^* \pi_2(u) R_2$$

for all $u, v \in S$. Then, since for any n and $u_1 \dots u_n \in S, f_1 \dots f_n \in E$

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n \pi_1(u_j) R_1 f_j \right\|_{K_1}^2 &= \sum_{i,k=1}^n (B(u_i, u_k) f_i)(f_k) \\ &= \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n \pi_2(u_j) R_2 f_j \right\|_{K_2}^2, \end{aligned}$$

the minimality of K_1 and K_2 implies that there is a unitary map $U: K_1 \rightarrow K_2$ such that $U\pi_1(u) = \pi_2(u)U$ for $u \in S$. It follows that $U\pi_1(v)^* = \pi_2(v)^* U$ for $v \in S$.

Let now $\varphi_i(u_k) = \pi_i(u_k)$ or $\pi_i(u_k)^*$, the same choice being fixed for both $i = 1, 2$. Then

$$U \prod_{k=1}^n \varphi_1(u_k) R_1 f = \prod_{k=1}^n \varphi_2(u_k) U R_2 f.$$

Consequently

$$U \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i \prod_{k=1}^n \varphi_i(u_{k,i}) \right) R_1 f = \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i \prod_{k=1}^n \varphi_2(u_{k,i}) \right) U R_2 f$$

for all $m, n, u_{k,i} \in S$ and scalars α_i and $f \in E$. It follows that

$$(16) \quad U A R_1 f = U A U^{-1} R_2 f$$

for every A in the self-adjoint algebra \mathcal{A}_1 generated by $\mathcal{F}_1 = \{\pi_1(u): u \in S\}$.

We define the closed subspaces

$$M_i = \{h \in K_i: \pi_i(u)h = 0 = \pi_i(v)^*h \text{ for all } u, v \in S\}$$

and

$$N_i = \{h \in K_i: \pi_i(u)^*h = 0 \text{ for all } u \in S\}$$

for $i = 1, 2$. Certainly $M_i \subset N_i$ which implies that $N_i^\perp \subset M_i^\perp$. But $N_i^\perp = K_i$. Indeed, if $h \in N_i$, then $\pi_i(u)^*h = 0$ for $u \in S$ which implies that

$$(\pi_i(u)^*h, R_i f) = (h, \pi_i(u) R_i f) = 0 \quad \text{for } u \in S \text{ and } f \in E.$$

Since $K_i = \bigvee_{s \in S} \pi_i(s) R_i E$, we must have $h = 0$. q.e.d.

Let now C_i be an orthogonal projection on M_i and D_i an orthogonal projection on N_i . Obviously, $C_i \leq D_i$, which implies that $I_{K_i} - D_i \leq I_{K_i} - C_i \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} Q_i$. But $D_i = 0$. It follows that $Q_i = I_{K_i}$ (I_K stands as usually for the identity operator in K). Notice now that I_{K_i} is (by (7) of Section 2) in the strong operator closure of the self-adjoint operator algebra \mathcal{A}_i

generated by $\mathcal{F}_i = \{\pi_i(u) : u \in S\}$. Hence, for $\varepsilon > 0$ and $f \in E$, there is an $A \in \mathcal{A}_1$ such that

$$\|AR_1f - R_1f\| = \|UAR_1f - UR_1f\| < \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon$$

and simultaneously

$$\|AU^{-1}R_2f - U^{-1}R_2f\| = \|UAU^{-1}R_2f - R_2f\| < \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon.$$

By (16) we have that $UAR_1f = UAU^{-1}R_2f$. It follows now from the above inequalities that

$$\|UR_1f - R_2f\| < \varepsilon.$$

Since f and ε are arbitrary, we conclude that $UR_1 = R_2$.

Summing all up we proved the following theorem:

THEOREM 2. *Let S be a semi-group and let $\pi_i(\cdot) : S \rightarrow L(K_i)$ be a representation of S into $L(K_i)$, where K_i is a Hilbert space. Let E be a Banach space and $R_i \in L(E, K_i)$ ($i = 1, 2$).*

If K_1, K_2 are minimal, i.e., $K_i = \bigvee_{s \in S} \pi_i(s)R_iE$ for $i = 1, 2$ and

$$R_1^* \pi_1(v)^* \pi_1(u) R_1 = R_2^* \pi_2(v)^* \pi_2(u) R_2 \quad \text{for } u, v \in S,$$

then π_1 and π_2 are unitary equivalent in the following sense: there is a unitary map $U : K_1 \rightarrow K_2$ such that $U\pi_1(u) = \pi_2(u)U$ for $u \in S$ and $UR_1 = R_2$.

Remark. If S is a $*$ -semi-group and the things are going on with $*$ -representations π_1, π_2 of S , then the above theorem, for Hilbert space E , reduces to uniqueness theorem of [3], [4]. The point is that $N_i = M_i$ in this case.

References

- [1] J. Górnjak and A. Weron, *An analogue of Sz.-Nagy's dilation theorem*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci., Sér. sci. math., astr., phys. 24 (1976), p. 873–876.
- [2] P. Masani, *An explicit treatment of dilation theory*, preprint, Autumn 1975.
- [3] W. Mlak, *Dilations of Hilbert space operators (general theory)*, Diss. Math. 153, Warszawa 1977.
- [4] — and W. Szymański, *Dilation theorems for $*$ -semi-groups without unit*, preprint, 1974.
- [5] H. Niemi, *On the linear prediction problem of certain non-stationary stochastic processes*, Math. Scand. 39 (1976), p. 146–160.
- [6] F. Szafraniec, *Dilations on involution semi-groups*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. (to appear).
- [7] — *A general dilation theorem*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci., Sér. sci. math., astr., phys. 25 (1977), p. 263–267.
- [8] B. Sz.-Nagy, *Fortsetzung linearer Transformationen des Hilbertschen Raumes mit Austritt aus dem Raum*, Appendix to F. Riesz and B. Sz.-Nagy, *Vorlesungen über Funktionalanalysis*, Berlin 1956.

- [9] A. Weron, *On positive definite operator valued functions in Banach spaces* (in Russian), Sb. Akad. Nauk Gruzin SSR 71 (1973), p. 297–300.
- [10] -- *Prediction theory in Banach spaces*, in: Probability Winter School, Karpacz 1975, p. 207–228; Lectures Notes in Math. 472, Springer, Berlin 1975.

INSTYTUT MATEMATYCZNY PAN
KRAKÓW, POLAND
INSTYTUT MATEMATYCZNY POLITECHNIKI WROCLAWSKIEJ
WROCLAW, POLAND

Reçu par la Rédaction le 13. 11. 1977
