

THE CENTRALIZER OF ERGODIC THEORY GROUP EXTENSIONS

JAN KWIATKOWSKI and MARIUSZ LEMAŃCZYK

*Institute of Mathematics, Nicholas Copernicus University
 Toruń, Poland*

For an ergodic automorphism $T: (X, \mathcal{B}, \mu) \rightarrow (X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ with pure point spectrum and its ergodic G -extension T_φ (G is a compact metric abelian group) we prove that the set $X_0 \subset X$ of those x 's which lift to the centralizer of T_φ is of Haar measure zero. Some related problems for weakly mixing two-fold simple automorphisms are considered.

Introduction

Let $T: (X, \mathcal{B}, \mu) \rightarrow (X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ be an ergodic automorphism of a Lebesgue space. By the centralizer $C(T)$ of T we mean the semigroup of all endomorphisms $S: (X, \mathcal{B}, \mu) \rightarrow (X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ commuting with T , i.e. such that $ST = TS$.

Let $\hat{T}: (\hat{X}, \hat{\mathcal{B}}, \hat{\mu}) \rightarrow (\hat{X}, \hat{\mathcal{B}}, \hat{\mu})$ be another ergodic automorphism. Assume that $\mathcal{B} \subset \hat{\mathcal{B}}$, $\hat{\mu}|_{\mathcal{B}} = \mu$, $\hat{T}|_{\mathcal{B}} = T$ and, besides, for every $\hat{S} \in C(\hat{T})$

$$(1) \quad \hat{S}^{-1} \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}.$$

Then it is well-known that \hat{S} can be represented as a skew product over an $S \in C(T)$, i.e.

$$\hat{X} = X \times Z \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{S}(x, z) = (Sx, S_x z).$$

In this case it is natural to say that $S \in C(T)$ can be lifted to the centralizer of \hat{T} .

The problem we deal with in this paper is to answer the question, how large is the set of such S 's.

To ensure that condition (1) holds we will restrict our attention to the following classes of automorphisms:

- \mathcal{K} : the class of automorphisms with pure point spectra,
- \mathcal{P}_2 : the class of weakly mixing 2-fold simple automorphisms.

Moreover, we will assume that the automorphism \hat{T} is the so called group extension of T (over G), where G is a metric compact abelian group, i.e. $\hat{T} = T_\varphi$, $\varphi: X \rightarrow G$ is measurable and

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} T_\varphi: (X \times G, \tilde{\mu}) &\rightarrow (X \times G, \tilde{\mu}) \\ T_\varphi(x, g) &= (Tx, \varphi(x) + g). \end{aligned}$$

Here $\tilde{\mu} = \mu \times \mu_G$ and μ_G is the Haar measure on G .

If $T \in \mathcal{N}$ then we can identify it with an ergodic translation $\sigma_{x_0}: (X, \mu_X) \rightarrow (X, \mu_X)$, $\sigma_{x_0}(x) = x + x_0$, where X is a compact, monothetic group, μ_X is its Haar measure and x_0 is a cyclic generator of X . Then

$$(3) \quad C(T) = C(\sigma_{x_0}) = \{\sigma_{x_1}: x_1 \in X\} \approx X.$$

When T_φ is a G -extension of T we let X_0 denote the set of such x_1 's that σ_{x_1} can be lifted to the centralizer of T_φ . In view of (3) we can use Haar measure to estimate how big the set X_0 is. The main result of the paper is the following

THEOREM 1. *If T_φ is an ergodic G -extension of a pure point spectrum automorphism $T: (X, \mu_X) \rightarrow (X, \mu_X)$ then X_0 is measurable and either*

$$(4) \quad \mu_X(X_0) = 0 \quad \text{or}$$

$$(5) \quad X_0 = X.$$

Moreover (5) holds iff T_φ has also pure point spectrum.

The case $T \in \mathcal{S}_2$ seems to be more complicated. In Section IV we explain some difficulties and distinguish some subclass of \mathcal{S}_2 -automorphisms for which a result analogous to Theorem 1 holds.

I. Definitions and remarks

Throughout the paper all automorphisms are assumed to be ergodic (unless otherwise state) and acting on a Lebesgue space.

Let $T: (X, \mathcal{B}, \mu) \rightarrow (X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ and $\tau: (Y, \mathcal{C}, \nu) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{C}, \nu)$ be automorphisms. We call τ a *factor* of T if there exists a measurable map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ such that $f\mu = \nu$, $\tau f = fT$. If, besides, f is invertible then T and τ are *isomorphic*. It is well-known that if τ is a factor of T we may (and we do) identify τ with $T: (X, \mathcal{C}, \nu) \rightarrow (X, \mathcal{C}, \nu)$, where $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{B}$ is a T -invariant sub- σ -algebra (we will write $T: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ to denote the factor).

T is said to be *coalescent* if for every T -invariant sub- σ -algebra \mathcal{C} , $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{B}$ whenever $T: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ and $T: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ are isomorphic. This condition is equivalent to the following: every $S \in C(T)$ is invertible ([9], [10]).

Let $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{B}$ be a T -invariant sub- σ -algebra. We call \mathcal{C} *completely invariant* ([10]) if for every $S \in C(T)$, $S^{-1}\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}$ (i.e. every endomorphism S , $S \in C(T)$ becomes invertible on \mathcal{C}).

Following [10] we call T a *canonical system* if, whenever it appears as a factor of an ergodic $U: (Z, \mathcal{D}, \nu) \rightarrow (Z, \mathcal{D}, \nu)$, then there is a unique U -invariant sub- σ -algebra \mathcal{D}' such that $U: \mathcal{D}' \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'$ is isomorphic to T . Using this notion D. Newton in [10] developed a method to obtain forms of isomorphisms between group extensions of canonical systems. However, as it was shown in [8] the class of canonical systems is rather small and coincides with \mathcal{K} . Moreover, when dealing with the centralizer $C(T_\varphi)$ of T_φ given by (2), we need merely know that the σ -algebra

$$(6) \quad \hat{\mathcal{B}} = \{A \times G: A \in \mathcal{B}\}$$

is completely invariant to conclude the validity of Newton's result. If this is the case then for every $\hat{S} \in C(T_\varphi)$ there exist a group endomorphism v of G , a measurable function $f: X \rightarrow G$ and an automorphism $S \in C(T)$ such that

$$(7) \quad \hat{S}(x, g) = (Sx, f(x) + v(g)),$$

$$(8) \quad \varphi(Sx) + f(x) = f(Tx) + v(\varphi(x)) \quad ([10]).$$

Actually, Newton proved something more (Th. 2.1 [10]). Namely,

$$(9) \quad v \text{ is a continuous epimorphism of } G.$$

By $J(T, T)$ we mean the space of all 2-joinings of T , i.e. $\lambda \in J(T, T)$ if λ is a $T \times T$ -invariant probability measure on $\mathcal{B}_1 \times \mathcal{B}_2$, $\mathcal{B}_i = \mathcal{B}$ $i = 1, 2$ and $\lambda|_{\mathcal{B}_i} = \mu$.

Following [4], [12] T is said to be *2-fold simple* if every ergodic $\lambda \in J(T, T)$ is either the product measure $\mu \times \mu$ or a "graph measure" μ_S , $S \in C(T)$, where

$$(10) \quad \mu_S(A \times B) = \mu(A \cap S^{-1}B).$$

It is easy to see that every 2-fold simple automorphism is coalescent. The class of all 2-fold simple automorphisms includes \mathcal{K} . As we will see in Section IV, (7) and (8) hold for the class of weakly mixing group extensions of automorphisms from \mathcal{S}_2 .

II. Proof of Theorem 1

We divide the proof into 2 steps: (1) X_0 is measurable, (2) $\mu_X(X_0) = 0$.

Step 1. In view of (3) equation (8) can be rewritten as follows

$$(11) \quad \varphi(x + x_1) - v(\varphi(x)) = f(x + x_0) - f(x).$$

Consider the set \mathcal{M} of all measurable functions $h: X \rightarrow G$. Then \mathcal{M}

becomes a Polish space with the metric

$$(12) \quad \varrho(h, h') = \int_X d(h(x), h'(x)) \mu_X(dx), \quad h, h' \in \mathcal{M}$$

where

$$(13) \quad d \text{ is a rotation invariant metric on } G.$$

Let $\mathcal{E}(G, G)$ be the set of all continuous group endomorphisms of G . If we put

$$D(v, v') = \sup_{g \in G} d(v(g), v'(g)), \quad v, v' \in \mathcal{E}(G, G)$$

then $\mathcal{E}(G, G)$ becomes a Polish space.

Define the following maps:

$$\begin{aligned} F_1: X &\rightarrow \mathcal{M}, & F_1(x_1) &= \varphi \cdot \sigma_{x_1}, & x_1 &\in X, \\ F_2: \mathcal{E}(G, G) &\rightarrow \mathcal{M}, & F_2(v) &= v \cdot \varphi, & v &\in \mathcal{E}(G, G), \\ F_3: \mathcal{M} &\rightarrow \mathcal{M}, & F_3(f) &= f \cdot \sigma_{x_0} - f, & f &\in \mathcal{M}. \end{aligned}$$

We extend in the natural way each $F_i = 1, 2, 3$ to a map from $X \times \mathcal{E}(G, G) \times \mathcal{M}$ into \mathcal{M} . Let Z be the set of all elements $(x_1, v, f) \in X \times \mathcal{E}(G, G) \times \mathcal{M}$ such that

$$F_1(x_1, v, f) - F_2(x_1, v, f) = F_3(x_1, v, f).$$

Then the set X_0 is just the projection of Z on X . The projection p is continuous. We will prove that F_i , $i = 1, 2, 3$ is continuous. Since $X \times \mathcal{E}(G, G) \times \mathcal{M}$ is a Polish space and Z is a closed subset of it, $p(Z) = X_0$ is analytic, hence measurable.

We now prove that F_1 is continuous. First, suppose that $\varphi': X \rightarrow G$ is continuous. Then, given $\varepsilon > 0$ we select $\delta > 0$ so that if $|x_1 - x'_1| < \delta$ then $d(\varphi'(x_1), \varphi'(x'_1)) < \varepsilon/3$ and consequently

$$d(\varphi'(x_1 + x), \varphi'(x'_1 + x)) < \varepsilon/3 \quad \text{for every } x \in X.$$

Now,

$$\varrho(F(x_1), F(x'_1)) = \int_X d(\varphi'(x + x_1), \varphi'(x + x'_1)) d\mu_X(x) < \varepsilon/3.$$

The set of all continuous functions from X into G is dense in \mathcal{M} with respect to ϱ . Since

$$\begin{aligned} &\varrho(F(x_1), F(x'_1)) \\ &\leq \int_X [d(\varphi \sigma_{x_1}, \varphi' \sigma_{x_1}) + d(\varphi' \sigma_{x_1}, \varphi' \sigma_{x'_1}) + d(\varphi' \sigma_{x'_1}, \varphi \sigma_{x'_1})] \mu_X \\ &= \int_X d(\varphi, \varphi') \mu_X + \int_X d(\varphi' \sigma_{x_1}, \varphi' \sigma_{x'_1}) \mu_X + \int_X d(\varphi, \varphi') \mu_X < \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

whenever φ' is continuous and $\varrho(\varphi, \varphi') < \varepsilon/3$, the result follows.

Now, the map F_2 is continuous, because

$$\varrho(F_2(v), F_2(v')) \leq \int \sup_{x, g \in G} d(v(g), v'(g)) \mu_X(dx) = D(v, v').$$

Since d satisfies (13), F_3 is also continuous.

Hence the proof of Step 1 is complete.

Step 2. First, let us notice that $X_0 = X$ iff T_φ has pure point spectrum. This statement follows from [4]. Indeed, each $T \in \mathcal{H}$ is 2-fold simple and moreover if every element of $C(T)$ can be lifted to $C(T_\varphi)$ then T_φ is also 2-fold simple (see the proof of Th. 5.4 in [4]). Furthermore, if T_φ is 2-fold simple and has some point spectrum then it has pure point spectrum ([4]). We have proved that $X = X_0$ implies that T_φ has discrete spectrum. The reverse implication is more or less trivial.

Next, note that X_0 is a semigroup (this is a consequence of (7)). Hence the set $X_0 \cap X_0^{-1}$ is a group.

If T_φ has no discrete spectrum then by the assertion we have just proved there is an element $x_1 \in X$ such that $x_1 \notin X_0$. Observe that $x_0 \in X_0$, so $TX_0 = \sigma_{x_0} X_0 = X_0$. But σ_{x_0} acts ergodically and therefore $\mu_X(X_0) = 0$ or 1. Assume $\mu_X(X_0) = 1$. Then $\mu_X(X_0 \cap X_0^{-1}) = 1$. But this is impossible because $X_0 \cap X_0^{-1}$ is a subgroup and $x_1 \notin X_0 \cap X_0^{-1}$. We have shown that $\mu_X(X_0) = 0$ and the proof of Theorem 1 is complete.

III. Examples

Now, we deliver some examples which show that the set X_0 can be as small as possible, i.e.

$$(14) \quad X_0 = \{x_0^n: n \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

EXAMPLE 1. Consider some subclass of quasi-discrete spectrum transformations on the 2-torus $[0, 1] \times [0, 1)$ given by the formula

$$U(x, y) = (x + \alpha, nx + y + \beta)$$

where $n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ and the set $\{1, \alpha, \beta\}$ is rationally independent. Putting $Tx = x + \alpha$, $\varphi(x) = nx + \beta$ we see that U is precisely a $[0, 1)$ -extension of the rotation T . Now U is coalescent (this is proved in [2]). Let $S \in C(U)$. By (7) we get

$$S(x, y) = (x + \alpha', f(x) + v(y))$$

where $f: [0, 1) \rightarrow [0, 1)$ is measurable and v is a group automorphism of $[0, 1)$. Now (9) implies immediately that $v = \text{id}$ (the possibility $v = -\text{id}$ is excluded). Furthermore from (8) it follows that

$$n\alpha' + f(x) = f(x + \alpha)$$

or

$$\exp(2\pi i n \alpha') \exp(2\pi i f(x)) = \exp(2\pi i f(x + \alpha)).$$

Therefore $\exp(2\pi i n \alpha')$ is a proper value of the irrational rotation on α . That means that $n\alpha' = k\alpha$ for some integer k . In other words the set X_0 consists of all n -roots of $k\alpha$, $k = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$. If $n = 1$ then (14) holds.

EXAMPLE 2. Let $x = b^0 \times b^1 \times \dots$ be a Morse sequence (see [5], [7]). Consider the shift transformation τ on \mathcal{O}_x (the closure of the trajectory of x via τ). When x is regular and the lengths of the b^j 's are bounded then

$$(15) \quad C(\tau) = \{\tau^n \sigma^j : n \in \mathbb{Z}, j = 0, 1\} \quad ([7]),$$

where $(\sigma z)[i] = 1 - z[i]$, $z \in \mathcal{O}_x$. The shift transformation τ on \mathcal{O}_x is isomorphic to a \mathbb{Z}_2 -extension of $T = \sigma_{x_0}$, where σ_{x_0} is an ergodic translation on a group X of some n_i -adic integers (for details see [5]). Consequently by (15) we get that (14) holds.

A more detailed description of the centralizer of continuous Morse sequences is given in [6]. It is proved that the set X_0 is always of the form

$$(16) \quad X_0 = \bigcap_{p=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} A_{n,k,p}$$

where $A_{n,k,p}$ is a finite union of cylinder sets. Hence $A_{n,k,p}$ is simultaneously closed and open. It follows from (16) that $X \setminus X_0$ contains a G_δ -set. Now, let $x_1 \in X \setminus X_0$. Then the set $\{x_0^n x_1\}$ is dense in X and $\{x_0^n x_1\} \subset X \setminus X_0$ because X_0 is a subgroup of X . We conclude that $X \setminus X_0$ is residual and hence X_0 is of the first category.

We conjecture that this result is true for every ergodic group extension (with partly continuous spectrum) of automorphisms from \mathcal{A} .

IV. Centralizer of weakly mixing group extensions of \mathcal{S}_2 -automorphisms

We start with the following

PROPOSITION 1. Let $T: (X, \mathcal{B}, \mu) \rightarrow (X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ be an ergodic automorphism and let $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{B}$ be a T -invariant sub- σ -algebra. Assume that $T: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is 2-fold simple. Then for every $\hat{S} \in C(T)$ either

$$(17) \quad \hat{S}^{-1} \mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}$$

or

$$(18) \quad \hat{S}^{-1} \mathcal{C} \perp \mathcal{C}.$$

Proof. Take any $\hat{S} \in C(T)$ and consider the corresponding "graph measure" $\mu_{\hat{S}}$ given by (10). By the ergodicity of T this 2-joining is also ergodic and

therefore $\nu = \mu_S|_{\mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{C}}$ is ergodic. By the simplicity of T on \mathcal{C} we get that either ν is the product measure (and then (18) holds) or ν is concentrated on the graph of some $S \in C(T, \mathcal{C})$, i.e. $\nu = \mu_S$. Now, given $A \in \mathcal{C}$ we have

$$(19) \quad \mu_{\hat{S}}(\hat{S}^{-1}A \times X \triangle X \times A) = 0, \quad \mu_S(S^{-1}A \times X \triangle X \times A) = 0.$$

But $S^{-1}A \times X \triangle X \times A \in \mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{C}$, so

$$(20) \quad \mu_S(S^{-1}A \times X \triangle X \times A) = 0.$$

Combining (19) and (20) we get $\hat{S}^{-1}A = S^{-1}A$, $A \in \mathcal{C}$. Since S is an automorphism (we recall that 2-fold simplicity implies coalescence), $\hat{S}^{-1}\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}$ and the proof is complete.

The next corollary says that for some special cases the possibility (18) can be excluded.

COROLLARY 1. *Let $T: (X, \mathcal{B}, \mu) \rightarrow (X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$, $T \in \mathcal{S}_2$. Let G be any compact metric abelian group. Let $\varphi: X \rightarrow G$ be measurable. If T_φ is weakly mixing then the σ -algebra (6) is completely invariant (i.e. T is a completely invariant factor of T_φ).*

Proof. By the weak mixing condition we see that $(T_\varphi \times T_\varphi, \tilde{\mu} \times \tilde{\mu})$ is an ergodic $G \times G$ -extension of $(T \times T, \mu \times \mu)$. It is well-known that if this is the case and $\lambda \in J(T_\varphi, T_\varphi)$ projects into the σ -algebra $\mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B}$ as $\mu \times \mu$ then $\lambda = \tilde{\mu} \times \tilde{\mu}$ ([4]).

Now, fix an ergodic $T \in \mathcal{S}_2$. Due to Corollary 1 we can develop a theory which is parallel to the case $T \in \mathcal{H}$. However there is an essential difference between these two cases. The reason is that for the weakly mixing case $C(T)$ need not be even locally compact. So, the method we have used to prove that the set $X_0 \subset C(T)$ does not work in general. It would be interesting to know how big the set X_0 is with respect to the weak topology.

The following result is implicitly contained in [4] (Th. 5.4 and 3.2).

PROPOSITION 2. *Let $T \in \mathcal{S}_2$ and $\varphi: X \rightarrow G$ be measurable. If T_φ is weakly mixing then $X_0 = C(T)$ iff $T_\varphi \in \mathcal{S}_2$.*

Now, let $\tau: (Y, \mathcal{C}, \nu) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{C}, \nu)$ be 2-fold simple and

$$C(\tau) = \{\tau^i: i \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

Let H be a compact metric abelian group. Fix $\varphi: Y \rightarrow H$ such that $T = \tau_\varphi$, $T: (X, \tilde{\nu}) \rightarrow (X, \tilde{\nu})$, $X = Y \times H$, $\tilde{\nu} = \nu \times \mu_H$ and T is weakly mixing. Then $T \in \mathcal{S}_2$ and moreover

$$C(T) = \{T^n \sigma_h: n \in \mathbb{Z}, h \in H\} \quad ([4]).$$

We will consider G -extensions, say T_f , of T . By ignoring powers of T we can transfer Haar measure μ_H from H into the centralizer of T . In other words if we denote $X_0 = \{h \in H: \sigma_h \text{ can be lifted to } C(T_f)\}$ and assume that T_f is

weakly mixing but is not in \mathcal{M}_2 (Proposition 2), the question is whether or not

$$(21) \quad \mu_H(H_0) = 0.$$

Let us notice that X_0 is Borel because the method we have used in Step 1 works well in this situation. We will deal with some special $G = S^1$ -extensions for which (21) is satisfied.

. Fix a character $\chi_0: H \rightarrow S^1$ and define the S^1 -extension of T by putting

$$(22) \quad T_{\chi_0}((y, h), z) = (T(y, h), \chi_0(h)z).$$

PROPOSITION 3. T_{χ_0} is weakly mixing provided χ_0 is not of finite order.

Proof. The proof is a slight modification of Glasner's proof in [1], Prop. 1.7.

Now we are able to prove the following

PROPOSITION 4. If χ_0 is not of finite order then T satisfies (21).

Proof. First of all we show that

$$(23) \quad X_0 = \ker \chi_0 = \{h \in H: \chi_0(h) = 1\}.$$

Let $h_0 \in X_0$. Then by (7), (8) and (9) we get that there are a map $f: X \rightarrow S^1$ and a continuous epimorphism $v: S^1 \rightarrow S^1$ such that

$$(24) \quad \hat{\sigma}_{h_0}((y, h), z) = (\sigma_{h_0}(y, h), f(y, h)v(z)).$$

It follows from (24) and (8) that

$$\chi_0(h+h_0)f(y, h) = f(T(y, h))[\chi_0(h)]^n,$$

where $v(z) = z^n$. We convert this equality to the following

$$\frac{f(T(y, h))}{f(y, h)} = \chi_0(h_0)[\chi_0(h)]^{-n+1}$$

Write

$$\varphi(y, h) = \chi_0(h), \quad p \in \hat{S}^1, \quad p(z) = z^{-n+1}, \quad c = \chi_0(h_0).$$

We get

$$\frac{f(T(y, h))}{f(y, h)} = cp(\varphi(y, h)).$$

Take the function $\tilde{f}(y, h, z) = f(y, h)/p(z)$. Easy calculation shows that $\tilde{f}T_{\chi_0} = c\tilde{f}$. Thus, by the weak mixing of T_{χ_0} we conclude that $c = 1$ and \tilde{f} is constant a.e. This implies $n = 1$ and hence (23) holds.

If $\mu_H(X_0)$ were strictly positive then the group H/X_0 would have to be finite. This means that the image of χ_0 is a group of roots of unity of a fixed, say k , degree. Hence χ_0^k is the trivial character and we get a contradiction.

Acknowledgements. We would like to thank prof. Ryll-Nardzewski and prof. Iwanik for a helpful discussion.

References

- [1] S. Glasner, *Quasi-factors in ergodic theory*, Israel J. Math. 45 (1983), 198–208.
- [2] F. Hahn, W. Parry, *Some characteristic properties of dynamical systems with quasi-discrete spectrum*, Math. Syst. Th. 2 (1968), 179–190.
- [3] P. R. Halmos, *Measure Theory*, Van Nostrand C., 1950.
- [4] A. Del Junco, D. Rudolph, *On ergodic actions whose self-joinings are graphs*, to appear in Ergodic Theory Dynamical Systems.
- [5] J. Kwiatkowski, *Isomorphism of regular Morse dynamical systems*, Studia Math. 62 (1982), 59–89.
- [6] J. Kwiatkowski, T. Rojek, *The centralizer of Morse shifts induced by arbitrary blocks*, to appear in Studia Math.
- [7] M. Lemańczyk, *The centralizer of Morse shifts*, Ann. Univ. Clermont-Ferrand 4 (1985), 43–56.
- [8] —, *Canonical factors on a Lebesgue space*, to appear in Bull. Pol. Ac. Sc.
- [9] D. Newton, *Coalescence and spectrum of automorphisms of a Lebesgue space*, Z. Wahr. Verw. Geb., 19 (1971), 117–122.
- [10] —, *On canonical factors of ergodic dynamical systems*, J. London Math. Soc. 19 (1979), 129–136.
- [11] W. Parry, *Compact abelian group extensions of discrete dynamical systems*, Z. Wahr. Verw. Geb. 19 (1969), 95–113.
- [12] W. A. Veech, *A criterion for a process to be prime*, Monatshefte Math. 94 (1982), 335–341.
