

REMARK ON SPLINE UNCONDITIONAL BASES IN $H^1(D)$

LESZEK SKRZYPCZAK

*Institute of Mathematics, A. Mickiewicz University
 Poznań, Poland*

In his work [3] Z. Ciesielski has constructed spline unconditional bases in the classical Hardy space $H^1(D)$ of analytic functions on the unit disc in the complex plane. He used systems of knots on R introduced by J. O. Strömberg [5]. Unfortunately, these systems lead to unconditional bases in $H^1(D)$ regarded as a *real* linear space. To construct bases in $H^1(D)$ over C , we have to use other systems. The following systems of knots on R are suitable for this purpose:

1. $\pi(j) = (t_i^{(j)}, i \in Z), j \in Z$, with $t_i^{(j)} = i/2^j$;
2. $\pi(j, k) = (t_i^{(j,k)}, i \in Z), j \in Z, j > 0, k = 1, \dots, 2^j - 1$, with

$$t_i^{(j,k)} = \begin{cases} n & \text{for } i = n(2^j + k), \\ n + p/2^{j+1} & \text{for } i = n(2^j + k) + p, p \in Z, 1 \leq p < 2\lceil k/2 \rceil, \\ n + (p - \lceil k/2 \rceil)/2^j & \text{for } i = n(2^j + k) + p, \\ & 2\lceil k/2 \rceil \leq p < 2^j + \lceil k/2 \rceil - \lfloor k/2 \rfloor, \\ n + (p + 2^j - k)/2^{j+1} & \text{for } i = n(2^j + k) + p, \\ & 2^j + \lceil k/2 \rceil - \lfloor k/2 \rfloor \leq p < 2^j + k, \end{cases}$$

where

$$\lceil x \rceil = \min \{n \in Z: n \geq x\}, \quad \lfloor x \rfloor = \max \{n \in Z: n \leq x\};$$

3. $\pi(j, k, l) = (t_i^{(j,k,l)}, i \in Z), j \in Z, j > 0, k = 0, \dots, 2^j - 2, l \in Z$, with

$$t_i^{(j,k,l)} = \begin{cases} t_{i+i}^{(j,k+1)} & \text{for } i \leq l(2^j + k), \\ t_i^{(j,k)} & \text{for } i > l(2^j + k), \end{cases} \quad l < 0,$$

and

$$t_i^{(j,k,l)} = \begin{cases} t_i^{(j,k+1)} & \text{for } i \leq l(2^j + k + 1), \\ t_i^{(j,k)} & \text{for } i > l(2^j + k + 1), \end{cases} \quad l \geq 0,$$

and for $j \leq 0$

$$\pi(j, 0, l) = (t_i^{(j,0,l)}, i \in Z), \quad l \in Z,$$

with

$$t_i^{(j,0,l)} = \begin{cases} i/2^{j+1} & \text{for } i \leq 2l, \\ (2i-1)/2^j & \text{for } i > 2l. \end{cases}$$

Now, by the same method as in [3] we can construct unconditional bases in $H^1(D)$ over C , using the spline functions of order $r > 1$. We give a sketch of the construction.

Let π be one of the partitions 1–3, let $t_l, l \in Z$, be the knots of π and let

$$N_{r,l}^\pi(s) = (t_{l+r} - t_l) [t_l, \dots, t_{l+r}; (t-s)_+^{r-1}]$$

be the corresponding B -spline of order r . We begin our construction with the following subspaces of $L^2(R)$:

$$S_\pi^r L^2 := \text{span}_{L^2(R)} (N_{r,l}^\pi, l \in Z).$$

It is clear that the codimension of $S_{\pi(j,k,l)}^r$ in $S_{\pi(j,k,l+1)}^r$ is one. Thus, there is $f_{(j,k,l)}^{(r)} \in S_{\pi(j,k,l+1)}^r$ unique up to sign which is orthogonal to $S_{\pi(j,k,l)}^r$ with

$$\|f_{(j,k,l)}^{(r)}\|_{L^2(R)} = 1.$$

We choose the sign so that

$$\text{sgn } f_{(j,k,l)}^{(r)}(s^{(j,k,l)}) = 1,$$

where $s^{(j,k,l)}$ is the knot belonging to $\pi(j, k, l+1)$ but not to $\pi(j, k, l)$. It follows from the definition and the inclusions

$$S_{\pi(j)}^r \subset S_{\pi(j,k)}^r \subset S_{\pi(j,k,l)}^r \subset S_{\pi(j,k,l+1)}^r \subset S_{\pi(j,k+1)}^r \subset S_{\pi(j+1)}^r$$

that the system

$$(f_{(j,k,l)}^{(r)}, j, l \in Z, k = 0, \dots, \max(0, 2^j - 1))$$

is orthonormal in $L^2(R)$.

Along with the orthonormal system $(f_{(j,k,l)}^{(r)})$ we are interested in the family of biorthogonal systems

$$(f_{(j,k,l)}^{(r,m)}, f_{(j,k,l)}^{(r,-m)}), \quad 0 \leq m < r, m \in Z,$$

which appears in a natural way in the course of construction of spline bases in the Hardy spaces. The function $f_{(j,k,l)}^{(r,m)}$, for $|m| < r$, is defined as follows:

$$f_{(j,k,l)}^{(r,m)} = \begin{cases} D^m f_{(j,k,l)}^{(r)} & \text{for } m \geq 0, \\ H^{-m} f_{(j,k,l)}^{(r)} & \text{for } m < 0, \end{cases} \quad j, l \in Z, k = 0, \dots, \max(0, 2^j - 1),$$

where D is the differentiation operator and $(Hf)(s) = \int_s^\infty f(t) dt$.

The following proposition summarizes the properties of the functions $f_{(j,k,l)}^{(r,m)}$ important for further constructions. They can be proved in a similar way to the properties of the function $f_{(j,k)}^{(r,m)}$ in [3].

PROPOSITION 1. *There are constants $C = C(r)$, $q = q(r)$, $0 < q < 1$, such that for $|m| < r$ we have*

$$|f_{(j,k,l)}^{(r,m)}(t)| \leq CN^{1/2+m} q^{N|t-s(j,k,l)|}, \quad N = 2^j, t \in R.$$

Moreover,

$$(f_{(j,k,l)}^{(r,m)}, 1)_{L^2(R)} = 0,$$

$$(f_{(j,k,l)}^{(r,m)}, f_{(j',k',l')}^{(r,-m)})_{L^2(R)} = \delta_{(j,k,l),(j',k',l')}.$$

In what follows we identify the one-dimensional torus with the interval $T = \langle -1/2, 1/2 \rangle$. For given integers m and r such that $-r < m < r$ we define the periodic spline in the usual way:

$$\mathring{f}_{(j,k,l)}^{(r,m)}(t) = \sum_{n \in Z} f_{(j,k,l)}^{(r,m)}(t-n) \quad \text{for } j \geq 0, k = 0, \dots, \max(0, 2^j - 1).$$

The following proposition is a consequence of Proposition 1 (cf. [3]).

PROPOSITION 2. *There are constants $C = C(r)$, $q = q(r)$, $0 < q < 1$ such that*

$$|\mathring{f}_{(j,k,l)}^{(r,m)}(t)| \leq CN^{1/2+m} q^{Nd_T(t,s(j,k,l))}$$

for $t \in R$, $N = 2^j$. Here d_T is the distance on the torus T .

It is a consequence of Proposition 2 that the functions $\mathring{f}_{(j,k,l)}^{(r,m)}$ are well defined. Moreover, by the definition of $f_{(j,k,l)}^{(r,m)}$,

$$f_{(j,k,l)}^{(r,m)}(t-n) = f_{(j,k,l+n)}^{(r,m)}(t), \quad n \in Z,$$

which implies

$$\mathring{f}_{(j,k,l)}^{(r,m)}(t) = \mathring{f}_{(j,k,l+n)}^{(r,m)}(t), \quad n, l \in Z.$$

Thus among the functions $\mathring{f}_{(j,k,l)}^{(r,m)}$, for fixed $j \geq 0$, there are only 2^j different ones, and we label them as follows

$$f_0^{(r,m)} = 1,$$

$$f_n^{(r,m)} = \mathring{f}_{(j,k,0)}^{(r,m)}, \quad \text{for } n = 2^j + k, j \geq 0, k = 0, \dots, 2^j - 1.$$

It follows from the definition and Proposition 1 that the systems

$$(f_n^{(r,m)}, f_n^{(r,-m)})_{n=0}^\infty$$

are biorthogonal in $L^2(T)$.

THEOREM 1. *For $|m| < r$, $r \geq 1$ the system $(f_n^{(r,m)})_{n=0}^\infty$ is an unconditional basis in $L^2(T)$.*

To prove this theorem we have to investigate the orthogonal projections onto finite-dimensional subspaces spanned by $(f_n^{(r,m)})$. All we need is done for other systems of knots by Z. Ciesielski in the second and third parts of [3] but his proofs, with obvious modifications, work in our case too.

Let us introduce the following operator acting on functions on T :

$$(Hf)(t) = \int_t^{1/2} f(s) ds - \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} \int_u^{1/2} f(s) ds du.$$

We define the system of functions

$$g_n^{(r)} = \begin{cases} (f_n^{(r)}(t) + \check{f}_n^{(r)}(t)) \|f_n^{(r)} + \check{f}_n^{(r)}\|_{L^2(T)}^{-1} & \text{for } n = 0, 1, \\ (f_{2(n-1)}^{(r)}(t) + \check{f}_{2(n-1)}^{(r)}(t)) \|f_{2(n-1)}^{(r)} + \check{f}_{2(n-1)}^{(r)}\|_{L^2(T)}^{-1} & \text{for } n = 2, 3, \dots, \end{cases}$$

where $\check{f}(t) = f(-t)$,

$$g_n^{(r,m)} = \begin{cases} D^m g_n^{(r)} & \text{for } 0 \leq m < r, \\ H^{-m} g_n^{(r)} & \text{for } -r < m < 0 \end{cases}$$

for $n > 0$, and

$$g_0^{(r,m)} = 1 \quad \text{for each } m.$$

PROPOSITION 3. (a) *The system $(g_n^{(r)})_{n=0}^\infty$ is an orthonormal system of even functions and it is complete in the subspace $L_+^2(T)$ of even functions in $L^2(T)$.*

(b) *If m is even then $(g_n^{(r,m)}, g_n^{(r,-m)})_{n=0}^\infty$ is a complete biorthogonal system in $L_+^2(T)$.*

If m is odd then $(g_n^{(r,m)}, g_n^{(r,-m)})_{n=1}^\infty$ is a complete biorthogonal system in the subspace $L_-^2(T)$ of odd functions in $L^2(T)$.

Proof. Let $S_n = \text{span}(f_i^{(r)}, i \leq 2n-1)$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Let S_n^+ be the subspace of even functions in S_n . Since the system of knots corresponding to S_n is symmetric with respect to the origin, S_n^+ is the image of S_n under the orthogonal projection onto $L_+^2(T)$. It is clear that $f_n^{(r)}$ is not odd for n even, therefore $g_n^{(r)} \neq 0$ for each n . Moreover, $g_n^{(r)} \in S_n^+$ but $g_n^{(r)} \notin S_{n-1}^+$. The codimension of S_{n-1}^+ in S_n^+ is one and $L_+^2(T) = \overline{\bigcup_n S_n^+}$. Thus

$$L_+^2(T) = \text{span}_{L^2(T)}(g_n^{(r)}, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots).$$

The orthogonality of the functions $(g_n^{(r)})$ is a consequence of the construction of $g_n^{(r)}, f_n^{(r)}, f_{(j,k,l)}^{(r)}$, and particularly of the fact that

$$\int_R f_{(j,k,l)}^{(r)}(t) f_{(j',k',l')}^{(r)}(-t) dt = 0 \quad \text{for } (j, k) \neq (j', k'), k, k' \text{ even.}$$

Part (b) follows easily from part (a).

THEOREM 2. *If $m, |m| < r$, is even then the system $(g_n^{(r,m)})_{n=0}^\infty$ is an unconditional basis in $L_+^2(T)$.*

If $m, |m| < r$, is odd then the system $(g_n^{(r,m)})_{n=1}^\infty$ is an unconditional basis in $L^2_-(T)$.

For the proof we need the following well-known fact (cf. [4], Th. 16.1, Ch. II).

LEMMA. Let X be a Banach space and $(x_n, f_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ an X -complete biorthogonal system. Then $(x_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ is an unconditional basis in X iff the series

$$\sum_{n=1}^\infty |f_n(x)| |f(x_n)|$$

converge for each $x \in X$ and $f \in X^*$.

Proof of Theorem 2. The proof is quite easy. Let us assume that $m \geq 0$ is even and let $x(t), y(t) \in L^2_+(T)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^\infty |(x, g_n^{(r,-m)})| |(g_n^{(r,m)}, y)| &\leq \sum_{n=0}^\infty |(x, c_n H^m f_n^{(r)})| |(y, c_n D^m f_n^{(r)})| \\ &+ \sum_{n=0}^\infty |(x, c_n H^m f_n^{(r)})| |(y, c_n D^m f_n^{(r)})| \\ &+ \sum_{n=0}^\infty |(x, c_n H^m f_n^{(r)})| |(y, c_n D^m f_n^{(r)})| \\ &+ \sum_{n=0}^\infty |(x, c_n H^m f_n^{(r)})| |(y, c_n D^m f_n^{(r)})| \end{aligned}$$

where

$$c_n = \|f_n^{(r)} + \check{f}_n^{(r)}\|_{L^2(T)}^{-1}.$$

One can easily verify that

$$f_n^{(r,m)} = \begin{cases} D^m f_n^{(r)} & \text{for } m \geq 0, \\ H^{-m} f_n^{(r)} & \text{for } m < 0 \end{cases}$$

and

$$(H(\check{f})) (t) = -(Hf) \check{f}(t), \quad (x, Hf)_{L^2(T)} = -(x, H\check{f})_{L^2(T)}, \quad f \in L^2(T).$$

Now, after simple computations, it follows from Theorem 1 that each sum above is finite. Thus by the lemma $(g_n^{(r,m)})_{n=0}^\infty$ is an unconditional basis in $L^2_+(T)$.

Using the technique developed in [2] we can prove the following standard estimates for $g_n^{(r,m)}$:

$$\begin{aligned} |g_n^{(r,m)}(t)| &\leq C n^{1/2+m} q^{n\alpha(t;s_n)}, \\ |g_n^{(r,m)}(t) - g_n^{(r,m)}(s)| &\leq C n^{3/2+m} d_T(t, s) q^{\alpha(t,s;s_n)}, \\ \|g_n^{(r,m)}\|_{L^2(T)} &\sim n^m, \end{aligned}$$

where $C = C(r)$, $q = q(r)$, $0 < q < 1$, $t, s \in T$, $s = (2k-1)/2^{j+1}$ for $n = 2^j + k$, $j = 0, 1, \dots$, $k = 1, \dots, 2^j$, and $\partial(t_1, \dots, t_i; s) = \min(d_T(t_i; s), d_T(-t_i; s))$, $1 \leq i \leq i$.

Now we are ready to consider the Hardy spaces. Let \tilde{g} denote the trigonometric conjugate of g . We define $H^1(T)$ in the following way:

$$H^1(T) = \{g \in L^1(T) : \tilde{g} \in L^1(T)\},$$

with the norm

$$\|g\|_{H^1(T)} = \|g\|_{L^1(T)} + \|\tilde{g}\|_{L^1(T)}.$$

LEMMA. *If $r \geq 2$ and $|m| \leq r-2$ then there is $C = C(r)$ such that*

$$\|g_n^{(r,m)}\|_{H^1(T)} \leq Cn^{-1/2+m}.$$

This lemma was proved for spline orthonormal systems in [1] (see also [6]). In the nonorthogonal case it can be proved with minor modifications only, therefore we omit the proof.

THEOREM 3. *Let $r \geq 2$ and $|m| \leq r-2$. Then:*

(a) *For m even the system $(g_n^{(r,m)})_{n=0}^\infty$ is an unconditional basis in the subspace $H_+^1(T)$ of even functions in $H^1(T)$. For m odd the system $(g_n^{(r,m)})_{n=1}^\infty$ is an unconditional basis in the subspace $H_-^1(T)$ of odd functions in $H^1(T)$.*

(b) *The system $\{1\} \cup \{g_n^{(r,m)}\}_{n=1}^\infty \cup \{\tilde{g}_n^{(r,m)}\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is an unconditional basis in $H^1(T)$.*

(c) *The system $\{1\} \cup \{G_n^{(r,m)}(\varrho e^{it})\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is an unconditional basis in $H^1(D)$. Here $G_n^{(r,m)}(\varrho e^{it}) = g_n^{(r,m)} + i\tilde{g}_n^{(r,m)}(\varrho e^{it})$ where $g_n^{(r,m)}$ and $\tilde{g}_n^{(r,m)}$ are extended to D via the Poisson formula.*

We sketch the proof only. Since both $H_+^1(T)$ and $H_-^1(T)$ are isomorphic to $H^1(I)$, the atomic H^1 space over $I = \langle 0, 1 \rangle$ (see e.g. [1]), we can consider both cases together. Thus to prove (a) it is sufficient to prove that the system $h_n^{(r,m)}(t) = g_n^{(r,m)}(t/2)$, $t \in I$, $n = 0, 1, \dots$ for m even and $n = 1, 2, \dots$ for m odd, is an unconditional basis in $H^1(I)$. Analogously to P. Wojtaszczyk ([6]) we can prove that if $f = \sum_n a_n h_n^{(r,m)}$ then

$$\|f\|_{BMO} \sim \sup_n \left(n \sum_{(I) \subset (n)} |a_I|^2 \right)^{1/2},$$

where (n) denotes the dyadic interval corresponding to the function $h_n^{(r,m)}$. This proves (a). Part (b) follows from the fact that the trigonometric conjugation operator $\tilde{\cdot}$ is an isomorphism of $H^1(T)$ (mod constants), and $\tilde{\cdot}$ maps even functions to odd ones and vice versa. Part (c) is obvious.

References

- [1] S.-Y. A. Chang and Z. Ciesielski, *Spline characterization of H^1* , *Studia Math.* 75 (1983), 183–192.
- [2] Z. Ciesielski, *Constructive function theory and spline systems*, *ibid.* 53 (1975), 277–302.
- [3] —, *Spline bases in spaces of analytic functions*, *Second Edmonton Conf. on Approximation Theory*, Edmonton 1982, *Canad. Math. Soc. Conf. Proc.* vol. 3 (1983), 81–111.
- [4] I. Singer, *Bases in Banach Spaces*, vol. 1, Springer Verlag, 1970.
- [5] J.-O. Strömberg, *A modified Franklin system and higher-order spline systems on R^n as unconditional bases for Hardy spaces*, *Harmonic Analysis. Conf. in Honor A. Zygmund*, Chicago 1981, vols. 1, 2, 1983, 475–494.
- [6] P. Wojtaszczyk, *The Franklin system is an unconditional basis in H^1* , *Arkiv Mat.* 20 (1982), 293–300.

*Presented to the Semester
Approximation and Function Spaces
February 27–May 27, 1986*
