

*IMBEDDING LOCALLY CONVEX LATTICES
INTO COMPACT LATTICES*

BY

ALBERT R. STRALKA (RIVERSIDE, CALIFORNIA)

Let \mathcal{L} be the class of locally convex, distributive topological lattices. All those distributive topological lattices which are compact [5], or locally compact and connected [1], or discrete belong to \mathcal{L} . Relying on the imbedding theorem in [2], it was shown in [6] that if $L \in \mathcal{L}$ and has finite breadth n , then L can be imbedded in a product of n compact chains. The condition of local convexity thus serves to characterize sublattices of finite products of compact chains. In general, it is not even true that compact members of \mathcal{L} can be imbedded in products of chains [3].

In this note* we are concerned with the question of when members of \mathcal{L} — without the assumption of finite breadth — can be imbedded in compact lattices. We first show that if $L \in \mathcal{L}$ and L is locally compact and connected, then L can be so imbedded. Next, we give an example of a member of \mathcal{L} — which has the discrete topology — which cannot be imbedded in a compact lattice.

Recall that a *topological lattice* is a Hausdorff space L with a pair of continuous maps $\wedge, \vee: L \times L \rightarrow L$ such that (L, \wedge, \vee) is a lattice. A subset A of a lattice is *convex* if whenever $a, b \in A$ and $a \leq x \leq b$, then $x \in A$. A topological lattice is *locally convex* if its topology has a base of convex sets. As noted above, \mathcal{L} will be the class of locally convex, distributive topological lattices. For $L \in \mathcal{L}$ and $a, b \in L$ with $a < b$, the interval from a to b , $[a, b]$, is $\{x \in L; a \leq x \leq b\}$ and $[a, b]^\#$ is the natural continuous homomorphism of L onto $[a, b]$, i.e., for $x \in L$,

$$[a, b]^\#(x) = (a \vee x) \wedge b = a \vee (x \wedge b).$$

By an *imbedding* we shall mean an open, injective, continuous homomorphism. For a set W , $\partial(W)$ will be its boundary and W^* will be its closure.

* Partially supported by N.S.F. Grant 33912.

1. Locally compact connected lattices. Throughout this section L will be an arbitrary but fixed member of \mathcal{L} which is, in addition, locally compact and connected. The topology on L will have a neighborhood base of compact convex sets. \mathcal{F} will denote the set of compact intervals of L . The following lemma will be used often and usually without reference.

LEMMA 1.1. *Let W be a compact, convex neighborhood of the point p of L , let $w_1, w_2 \in W$ and $q, r \in L/W$ with $w_1 < w_2$ and $q < p$. Then*

- (i) $[q, p] \cap \partial(W) \neq \emptyset$;
- (ii) $[w_1, w_2] \subseteq W$;
- (iii) $[w_1, w_2] \in \mathcal{F}$;
- (iv) *either $p \wedge r \notin W$ or $p \vee r \notin W$.*

Proof. (i) holds, because $[q, p]$ is connected. (ii) and (iii) result from the fact that W is both compact and convex. If both $p \wedge r$ and $p \vee r$ belong to W , then, because W is convex, r would belong to W . Hence (iv) holds.

Now endow $\mathbf{X}\mathcal{F}$ with coordinate-wise operations and the Tichonov topology. $\mathbf{X}\mathcal{F}$ becomes a compact distributive topological lattice. The parametric map $\mathcal{F}^\# : L \rightarrow \mathbf{X}\mathcal{F}$ defined by

$$(\mathcal{F}^\#(x))_{[a,b]} = [a, b]^\#(x)$$

is a continuous homomorphism. From Mrówka's imbedding theorem in [4] and the discussion in section 3 of [6] we have the following

LEMMA 1.2. *If \mathcal{F} separates points in L (i.e., for $x, y \in L$ with $x \neq y$, there is $[a, b] \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $[a, b]^\#(x) \neq [a, b]^\#(y)$), then $\mathcal{F}^\#$ is injective. $\mathcal{F}^\#$ is an imbedding if, in addition, given $p \in L$ and F a closed subset of L not containing p , there are $[a_1, b_1], \dots, [a_n, b_n] \in \mathcal{F}$ and subsets F_1, \dots, F_n of L such that*

$$F = F_1 \cup \dots \cup F_n \quad \text{and} \quad [a_i, b_i]^\#(p) \notin ([a_i, b_i]^\#(F_i))^*.$$

With this result we are now prepared to prove our imbedding theorem.

THEOREM 1.3. $\mathcal{F}^\# : L \rightarrow \mathbf{X}\mathcal{F}$ is an imbedding. Thus every locally compact, connected distributive topological lattice can be imbedded in a compact distributive topological lattice.

Proof. We begin by showing that $\mathcal{F}^\#$ is injective. Let $x, y \in L$ with $x \neq y$. Select a compact, convex neighborhood W of x which excludes y . Then either $x \vee y \notin W$ or $x \wedge y \notin W$. We assume the latter holds. Then

$$[x \wedge y, x] \cap \partial(W) \neq \emptyset.$$

Let w be any point of that set. $[w, x] \in \mathcal{F}$ and

$$[w, x]^\#(y) = w \neq x = [w, x]^\#(x).$$

Hence $\mathcal{F}^\#$ is injective.

Now suppose that $p \in L$ and F is a closed subset of L with $p \notin F$. There is a compact, convex neighborhood W of p which is contained in $L \setminus F$. The sets

$$B = \partial(W) \cap (p \wedge L) \quad \text{and} \quad T = \partial(W) \cap (p \vee L)$$

are compact. This implies that there are a subset $T_0 = \{t_1, \dots, t_n\} \subseteq T$ and open sets $U(t_1), \dots, U(t_n)$ of T such that $t_i \in U(t_i)$,

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^n U(t_i) = T \quad \text{and} \quad [p, t_i]^\#(p) \notin ([p, t_i]^\#(U(t_i)))^* \vee [p, t_i].$$

Similarly, there are a subset $B_0 = \{b_1, \dots, b_m\} \subseteq B$ and open sets $U(b_1), \dots, U(b_m)$ of B such that $b_i \in U(b_i)$,

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^m U(b_i) = B \quad \text{and} \quad [b_i, p]^\#(p) \notin ([b_i, p]^\#(U(b_i)))^* \wedge [b_i, p].$$

Next, we define a map $\gamma: B \cup T \rightarrow B_0 \cup T_0$ which assigns to an element x of $B \cup T$ an element $\gamma(x)$ of $B_0 \cup T_0$ with the property that $x \in U(\gamma(x))$. For $f \in F$, either $p \wedge f \notin W$ or $p \vee f \notin W$. Hence

$$([p \wedge f, p] \cup [p, p \vee f]) \cap \partial(W) \neq \emptyset.$$

Let $\delta(f)$ be any point of this set and let $F_i = \delta^{-1}(\gamma^{-1}(b_i))$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$ and $F_{m+i} = \delta^{-1}(\gamma^{-1}(t_i))$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then $F = F_1 \cup \dots \cup F_{m+n}$. Now, let $f \in F_i$. We may assume that $i = 1$. Then $b_1 = \gamma(\delta(f))$ and we have

$$[b_1, p]^\#(f) = b_1 \vee (p \wedge f) \leq b_1 \vee \delta(f).$$

Hence

$$[b_1, p]^\#(f) \in ([b_1, p]^\#(U(b_1)))^* \wedge [b_1, p].$$

Therefore, $[b_1, p]^\#(p) \notin ([b_1, p]^\#(F_i))^*$. It then follows that $\mathcal{F}^\#$ is an imbedding.

Remark. The methods used in this section can be modified to show that if L is a locally compact, connected (not necessarily distributive) topological lattice such that every pair of distinct points of L can be separated by a continuous homomorphism into a compact topological lattice, then L can be imbedded in a compact lattice. In particular, if $L \in \mathcal{L}$, L is locally compact and connected and $\text{Hom}(L, I)$ separates points, then L can be imbedded in a product of copies of I . (I is the real interval $[0, 1]$ with the usual operations.)

2. An example. In this section we present an example of a member of \mathcal{L} which cannot be imbedded in a compact lattice. \mathcal{Z} will be the lattice on $\{0, 1\}$. Let Y be the cartesian product of a countable number of copies of \mathcal{Z} with coordinate-wise operations and the *discrete* topology. Y , obviously, belongs to \mathcal{L} . $\text{Hom}(Y, \mathcal{Z})$ separates points, so Y has a continuous injective

homomorphism into a compact lattice; in fact, Y has a continuous injective homomorphism *onto* a compact topological lattice. Suppose that Y is a sublattice of a compact topological lattice K . We may assume that $O_Y = O_K$ and $1_K = 1_Y$. Since $\{O_Y\}$ is an open set in Y , it cannot be a limit of a sequence of points of Y . However, define a_n to be that point of Y whose first n coordinates are zero and all subsequent coordinates are one. Then $\{a_n\}$ is an infinite decreasing sequence in K , so it must have a limit point k and $k \leq a_n$ for all n . Next, we define e_n to be that point of Y whose n -th coordinate is one and all other coordinates are zero. $e_n \wedge a_m = 0$ for $m \neq n$. Then, since K is a compact topological lattice, the infinite distributivity law holds, so

$$O_Y = \bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} (e_n \wedge k) = k \wedge \left(\bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} e_n \right) = k \wedge 1_Y = k.$$

This is a contradiction. Hence Y cannot be imbedded in a compact lattice. (See also [7].)

REFERENCES

- [1] L. W. Anderson, *One-dimensional topological lattices*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society 10 (1959), p. 715-720.
- [2] K. A. Baker and A. R. Stralka, *Compact, distributive lattices of finite breadth*, Pacific Journal of Mathematics 34 (1970), p. 311-320.
- [3] J. D. Lawson, *Lattices with no interval homomorphisms*, ibidem 32 (1970), p. 459-466.
- [4] S. Mrówka, *Further results on E-compact spaces. I*, Acta Mathematica 120 (1968), p. 161-185.
- [5] L. Nachbin, *Topology and order*, Princeton, New Jersey, 1956.
- [6] A. R. Stralka, *Locally convex topological lattices*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society 151 (1970), p. 629-640.
- [7] J. W. Stepp, *Semilattices which are imbeddable in a product of min intervals*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society 28 (1971), p. 81-86.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, RIVERSIDE
RIVERSIDE, CALIFORNIA

Reçu par la Rédaction le 8. 6. 1972