

MODULES WHOSE COUNTABLY GENERATED SUBMODULES  
ARE EPIMORPHIC IMAGES

BY

O. A. S. KARAMZADEH (AHVAZ)

Cukerman [2], Shanny [5], and Ware [7] have shown that a ring  $R$  is Artin semisimple if and only if  $R$  has a nonfinitely generated free module with regular endomorphism ring. This answers a question raised in [6]. In an easy way we prove a stronger result and as a corollary we get the afore-mentioned theorem. Artinian projective modules need not be Noetherian (see [3]) but we give a class of projective modules for which this is true. Zelmanowitz [8] calls a module *regular* if every cyclic submodule is a projective direct summand. We observe some properties of modules whose cyclic submodules are merely direct summands.

Throughout this paper all rings are associative with a unity and modules are unitary right modules. A module has a *finite uniform dimension* if it contains no infinite direct sum of submodules. A ring  $R$  is called *regular* if given  $a \in R$  there exists  $a' \in R$  such that  $a = aa'a$ , and  $R$  is called *right self-injective* if it is injective as a right  $R$ -module. An  $R$ -module  $M$  is called *quasi-injective* if, given any  $R$ -submodule  $N$  of  $M$  and a homomorphism  $f: N \rightarrow M$ ,  $f$  can be extended to a homomorphism  $f: M \rightarrow M$ . It is well known that if  $M$  is a quasi-injective  $R$ -module and  $H = \text{End}_R(M)$ , then  $H/J(H)$  is a regular, right self-injective ring, where  $J(H)$  denotes the Jacobson radical of  $H$ .

**Definition 1.** An  $R$ -module  $M$  is called *regular* if every cyclic  $R$ -submodule of  $M$  is a direct summand.

Projective modules over regular rings, every right ideal in a regular ring, and semisimple modules are some examples of regular modules.

The following two lemmas are stated in [8].

**LEMMA 1.** *If  $M$  is a regular  $R$ -module, then every finitely generated  $R$ -submodule of  $M$  is a direct summand.*

**Proof.** Let  $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  be a minimal set of generators of a submodule  $N$  of  $M$ . Proceed by induction on  $n$ . For  $n = 1$  the assertion follows from

the definition. Let us assume that it is also true for submodules generated by less than  $n$  elements. Since  $x_n R$  is a direct summand of  $M$ , there exists a homomorphism  $\theta_n: M \rightarrow N$  such that  $\theta_n(x_n) = x_n$ . Let us set  $y_i = x_i - \theta_n(x_i)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . We note that  $y_n = 0$ . Then by the induction hypothesis there exists a homomorphism  $\theta': M \rightarrow N$  such that  $\theta'(y_i) = y_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Now define  $\theta: M \rightarrow N$  by  $\theta = \theta_n + \theta'(1 - \theta_n)$ . Clearly,  $\theta(x_i) = x_i$  and, therefore, the sequence  $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow M$  splits.

**LEMMA 2.** *Every countably generated regular  $R$ -module is a direct sum of cyclic submodules.*

The proof goes by an easy induction (see [8]).

**COROLLARY 1.** *If  $M$  is a regular module with a finite uniform dimension, then  $M$  is a finite direct sum of simple submodules.*

**Proof.** We note that every submodule is regular. Therefore, by Lemma 2, every submodule must be finitely generated, and hence is a direct summand. Thus  $M$  is semisimple, and since it has a finite uniform dimension, the proof is completed.

The following gives a converse to Schur's lemma.

**PROPOSITION 1.** *If  $M$  is a regular  $R$ -module such that  $H = \text{End}_R(M)$  is a division ring, then  $M$  must be a simple  $R$ -module.*

**Proof.** It is sufficient to show that  $mR = M$  for each  $m \in M$  ( $m \neq 0$ ). Now take  $m \in M$  ( $m \neq 0$ ). Then, since  $M$  is regular, there is a projection  $p: M \rightarrow mR$ . Therefore, if  $i: mR \rightarrow M$  is a natural inclusion, then  $0 \neq ip \in H$ . By the assumption on  $H$ ,  $ip$  is an isomorphism, whence  $M = \text{Im}(ip) = mR$ , which completes the proof.

**PROPOSITION 2.** *If every injective  $R$ -module is regular, then  $R$  is Artin semisimple.*

**Proof.** We note that every cyclic  $R$ -module is injective as a direct summand of its injective envelope. Now apply Osofsky's result [4].

We also observe the following properties of regular modules.

**Remark 1.** *If  $M$  is a regular  $R$ -module, then  $J(M) = 0$ .*

To see this we show that given any  $x \in M$  ( $x \neq 0$ ) there exists a maximal submodule not containing  $x$ . Since  $M$  is regular, we have  $M = xR \oplus P$  for some submodule  $P$ . Now, an easy application of Zorn's lemma shows that there exists a submodule  $N$  of  $M$  maximal with respect to the property that  $N \supseteq P$ ,  $x \notin N$ . But, clearly,  $N$  is a maximal submodule. We also observe that if  $M$  is a regular faithful  $R$ -module, then  $MJ(R) \subseteq J(M)$  implies  $J(R) = 0$ . Hence the annihilator of  $M$  in  $R$  is an intersection of maximal right ideals.

Next we characterize modules whose countably generated submodules are direct summands.

**LEMMA 3.** *If every countably generated submodule of an  $R$ -module  $M$  is finitely generated, then  $M$  is Noetherian.*

*Proof.* It is sufficient to show that  $M$  has acc on finitely generated submodules. Let  $N_1 \subset \dots \subset N_n \subset \dots$  be an infinite ascending chain of finitely generated submodules. Then  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} N_i$  is a countably generated submodule which must be finitely generated, so the chain terminates.

**COROLLARY 2.** *If  $M$  is an  $R$ -module whose countably generated submodules are direct summands, then  $M$  is semisimple.*

*Proof.* Let  $N$  be a finitely generated submodule of  $M$ . Clearly, every countably generated submodule of  $N$  is a direct summand of  $N$ . Now, by Lemma 3,  $N$  is Noetherian. Since  $N$  is also regular, it is semisimple. But  $M = \sum_{x \in M} xR$  shows that  $M$  is a sum of simple submodules, i.e.  $M$  is semisimple.

**Definition 2.** An  $R$ -module  $M$  is said to be a  $C$ -module if, given any countably generated submodule  $N$ , there exists an epimorphism  $f: M \rightarrow N$ .

Infinitely generated free  $R$ -modules and semisimple modules are  $C$ -modules. There exists also a projective  $C$ -module which is neither free nor semisimple. Indeed, let  $F$  be a nonfinitely generated free  $R$ -module and suppose  $R'$  is a ring not isomorphic to  $R$ . Put  $A = R \times R'$ . Then  $F$  becomes in a natural way an  $A$ -module, and it is clear that  $F$  is a projective  $C$ -module over  $A$ .

It is well known that an Artinian projective module cannot be Noetherian (see [3]). But we have the following

**PROPOSITION 3.** *Artinian projective  $C$ -modules are Noetherian.*

*Proof.* Suppose  $M$  is an Artinian projective  $C$ -module. It is well known that an Artinian projective module is finitely generated (see [3]). Now Lemma 3 completes the proof.

**Definition 3.** Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module and  $H = \text{End}_R(M)$ . Then  $A = \{f \in H: \text{Im} f \text{ is contained in a countably generated submodule of } M\}$

is an ideal of  $H$  called the *ideal of endomorphisms of countable rank*.

**THEOREM.** *If  $M$  is a  $C$ -module whose ideal of endomorphisms of countable rank is a regular ring, then  $M$  is semisimple.*

*Proof.* Let  $N$  be a countably generated submodule of  $M$ . Then there exists an epimorphism  $M \xrightarrow{f} N \rightarrow 0$ . Now, there exists  $g: M \rightarrow M$  such that  $f = fgf$ , so  $fg: M \rightarrow N$  splits the sequence  $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow M$ . Thus every countably generated submodule of  $M$  is a direct summand and the Theorem follows by Corollary 2.

Remark 2. Bass [1] has shown that infinitely generated projective modules tend to be free. This roughly means that, as a projective module becomes greater, free summands tend to appear.

COROLLARY 3. *Let  $M$  be a  $C$ -module over a ring  $R$ . If the ideal of endomorphisms of  $M$  of countable rank is a regular ring and  $M$  has a nontrivial free direct summand, then  $R$  is Artin semisimple.*

Definition 4. An  $R$ -module  $M$  is called *nonsingular* if

$$Z(M) = \{X \subset M : \text{Ann}(X) \text{ is an essential right ideal}\} = 0.$$

COROLLARY 4. *If  $P$  is a nonsingular  $C$ -module over  $R$  such that  $H = \text{End}_R(P)$  is a right self-injective ring, then  $P$  is semisimple.*

Proof. Since the endomorphism ring of a nonsingular quasi-injective module is a self-injective regular ring, it is sufficient to show that  $P$  is quasi-injective. So let  $N$  be a submodule of  $P$  and suppose  $f: N \rightarrow P$  is a homomorphism. We must show that  $f$  can be extended to an element  $f' \in H$ . Put  $A = \text{Hom}_R(P, N)$ . Then  $A$  is a right ideal of  $H$ . Now we consider a homomorphism  $\theta: A \rightarrow H$  defined by  $\theta(a) = fa$  for all  $a \in A$ . Since  $H$  is a right self-injective ring,  $\theta$  can be extended to  $\theta': H \rightarrow H$ . Therefore, if we put  $\theta'(1) = f'$ , then  $\theta'(a) = f'a = fa$  for all  $a \in A$ . We now claim that  $f'$  extends to  $f$ . Indeed, if  $0 \neq x$  is any element of  $N$ , then there exists  $h \in A$  such that  $h(P) = xR$ . Therefore, there exists  $m \in P$  such that  $h(m) = x$ . Now  $f'(x) = f'(h(m)) = f'h(m) = fh(m) = f(x)$ .

COROLLARY 5. *If  $R$  is a nonsingular ring such that the ring of infinite row matrices over  $R$  is right self-injective, then  $R$  must be Artin semisimple.*

#### REFERENCES

- [1] H. Bass, *Big projective modules are free*, Illinois Journal of Mathematics 7 (1963), p. 24-31.
- [2] Г. М. Цукерман, *Кольца эндоморфизмов свободных модулей*, Сибирский математический журнал 7 (1966), p. 1161-1167.
- [3] J. W. Fisher, *Finiteness conditions for projective and injective modules*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society 40 (1973), p. 389-393.
- [4] B. L. Osofsky, *Rings all of whose finitely generated modules are injective*, Pacific Journal of Mathematics 14 (1964), p. 645-650.
- [5] R. F. Shanny, *Regular endomorphism rings of free modules*, The Journal of the London Mathematical Society 4 (1971), p. 353-354.
- [6] L. A. Skornjakov, *Complemented lattices and regular rings*, Edinburgh-London 1964.
- [7] R. Ware, *Endomorphism ring of projective modules*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society 155 (1971), p. 233-256.
- [8] J. Zelmanowitz, *Regular modules*, ibidem 163 (1972), p. 341-355.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
JUNDI-SHAPUR UNIVERSITY, AHVAZ-IRAN

*Reçu par la Rédaction le 22. 1. 1979;  
en version modifiée le 23. 11. 1979*