

*DOMAIN OF PARTIAL ATTRACTION FOR INFINITELY  
DIVISIBLE DISTRIBUTIONS IN A HILBERT SPACE*

BY

J. BARAŃSKA (ŁÓDŹ)

The paper contains a generalization to a Hilbert space of a theorem of Khintchine [4] which asserts that every one-dimensional infinitely divisible distribution has a domain of partial attraction.

Let  $p$  be a probability distribution defined in a separable real Hilbert space  $H$ . A sequence  $p_n$  of distributions in  $H$  is said to be *weakly convergent to a distribution  $p$*  ( $p_n \rightarrow p$ ) if for any continuous function  $f$  bounded in  $H$  we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int f(x) p_n(dx) = \int f(x) p(dx).$$

A sequence of distributions  $p_n$  is called *shift-compact (convergent)* if there exists a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  of elements of  $H$  such that the sequence  $p_n * \delta_{x_n}$  is compact (convergent) and a distribution  $p$  is called *infinitely divisible* if for any natural  $n$  there exists a distribution  $p_n$  such that  $p = p_n^{n*}$ , where  $*$  denotes the convolution of distributions,  $p^{n*}$  denotes the  $n$ -th convolution power of  $p$ , and  $\delta_x$  denotes the distribution concentrated at a point  $x \in H$ .

A distribution  $p$  is said to *belong to the domain of partial attraction of a distribution  $\mu$*  defined in  $H$ , if there exists a subsequence of natural numbers  $n_1 < n_2 < \dots < n_r < \dots$  and a sequence of positive numbers  $a_r \rightarrow 0$  such that the sequence of measures  $(T_{a_r} p)^{n_r*}$  is shift-convergent to  $\mu$ , where  $(T_c p)(Z) = p\{x \in H : cx \in Z\}$  for  $Z$  being a Borel subset of  $H$  and  $c$  a real number.

If the subsequence  $\{n_r\}$  coincides with the sequence of all natural numbers, then the distribution  $p$  is said to *belong to the domain of attraction of the distribution*.

It is known [3] that only infinitely divisible distributions may have domain of partial attraction.

**THEOREM.** *Every infinitely divisible distribution in a separable real Hilbert space has a non-empty domain of partial attraction.*

**Proof.** In the sequel  $\hat{\mu}$  stands for the characteristic functional of the measure  $\mu$ , i.e.  $\hat{\mu}(y) = \int e^{i(x,y)} \mu(dx)$ , and  ${}^0p = p^* \bar{p}$  with  $\bar{p} = T_{-1}p$ .

Let  $\mu$  be an infinitely divisible distribution defined in  $H$ . Varadhan ([8], Theorem 5.10) has given the general form of the characteristic functional of such a distribution,

$$(1) \quad \hat{\mu}(y) = \exp \left\{ i(x_0, y) - \frac{1}{2} (Dy, y) + \int \left[ e^{i(x,y)} - 1 - \frac{i(x,y)}{1 + \|x\|^2} \right] M(dx) \right\},$$

where  $x_0 \in H$ ,  $D$  is an  $S$ -operator, i.e. a non-negative self-adjoint operator with finite trace, and  $M$  is a  $\sigma$ -finite measure in  $H$ , which is finite outside every neighbourhood of zero in  $H$ , and for which

$$(2) \quad \int_{\|x\| \leq 1} \|x\|^2 M(dx) < +\infty.$$

Every infinitely divisible distribution defined in  $H$  is uniquely determined by three elements:  $x_0 \in H$ , an  $S$ -operator and a measure  $M$ . In this connection we shall write  $\mu = [x_0, D, M]$ .

We observe that every infinitely divisible distribution can be written in the form

$$(3) \quad \mu = \mu_D^* \mu_M,$$

where  $\mu_D = [0, D, 0]$ ,  $\mu_M = [x_0, 0, M]$ .

Let a distribution  $q$ , for which

$$(4) \quad \int \|x\|^2 q(dx) = +\infty,$$

belong to the domain of attraction of the distribution  $\mu_D$ , i.e. there exists a sequence of positive numbers  $a_n \rightarrow 0$  such that distribution  $(T_{a_n} q)^{n^*}$  are shift-convergent to  $\mu_D$ . Such a distribution does exist (see [1], Chapter VIII, § 4). Hence it follows (see [5], Corollary 3.2) that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n \int_{\|x\| \leq \varepsilon} (x, y)^2 T_{a_n} q(dx) = (Dy, y) \quad \text{for every } \varepsilon > 0 \text{ and } y \in H.$$

If  $(Dy, y) \neq 0$ , there exists an element  $y_0 \in H$  for which

$$\int (x, y_0)^2 q(dx) = +\infty$$

(see [5], Corollary 3.4) and, consequently,

$$(5) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (na_n^2)^{-1} = +\infty.$$

We introduce the following notation:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (6) \quad Q_k &= \left\{ x \in H : \frac{1}{k} \leq \|x\| < k \right\}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, \\
 M_k &= \text{restriction of the measure } M \text{ to } Q_k \quad (M_k \nearrow M), \\
 V_k &= M_k(H).
 \end{aligned}$$

Let a sequence of natural numbers  $n_1 < n_2 < \dots < n_r < \dots$  increase so fast that

$$(7) \quad 2^{n_r} \geq r^2 V_r,$$

$$(8) \quad b_{n_r}^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{r-1} b_{n_k} \int \|x\|^2 M_k(dx) < \frac{1}{r}, \quad r = 1, 2, \dots,$$

with  $n_1 = 1$  and  $b_n = (2^{n^2} \cdot a_{2n^2}^2)^{-1}$ .

Hence it follows that there exists a strictly increasing subsequence  $\{m_r\}$  of natural numbers which satisfies the following conditions:

$$(7') \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} m_r \sum_{k=r+1}^{\infty} m_k^{-1} V_k = 0,$$

$$(8') \quad m_r a_{m_r}^2 \sum_{k=1}^{r-1} (m_k a_{m_k}^2)^{-1} \int \|x\|^2 M_k(dx) < \frac{1}{r}, \quad r = 1, 2, \dots$$

It suffices to put  $m_r = 2^{n_r^2}$ .

Now we are going to define a distribution which belongs to the domain of partial attraction of the distribution  $\mu_M$ .

Put

$$(9) \quad \hat{p}(y) = \exp \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} m_k^{-1} \int [e^{ia_{m_k}^{-1}(x,y)} - 1] M_k(dx) \right\}, \quad y \in H.$$

This formula describes a characteristic functional of a certain probability measure in  $H$ . In fact, the functional (9) is obviously positive-definite. Its continuity in the  $S$ -topology of Sazonov [7] follows from (7').

We shall prove that the sequence of distributions  $(T_{a_{m_r}} p)^{m_r^*}$  is shift-convergent to  $\mu_M$ .

By a similar arguments as in the proof the classical theorem of Khintchine ([2], § 36), we obtain the equality

$$\begin{aligned}
 (10) \quad \ln [(T_{a_{m_r}} p)^{m_r^*}](y) + i(x_r, y) \\
 = i(x_0, y) + \int \left[ e^{i(x,y)} - 1 - \frac{i(x,y)}{1 + \|x\|^2} \right] M_r(dx) + A_r(y) + B_r(y),
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(x_r, y) = (x_0, y) + \int \frac{(x, y)}{1 + \|x\|^2} M_r(dx) - m_r \cdot a_{m_r} \sum_{k=1}^{r-1} (m_k a_{m_k})^{-1} \int (x, y) M_k(dx),$$

$$(11) \quad A_r(y) = -\frac{1}{2} m_r a_{m_r}^2 \sum_{k=1}^{r-1} (m_k a_{m_k}^2)^{-1} \int (x, y)^2 e^{i\theta a_{m_r} \cdot a_{m_k}^{-1}(x, y)} M_k(dx), \quad |\theta| < 1,$$

$$B_r(y) = m_r \sum_{k=r+1}^{\infty} m_k^{-1} \int [e^{i a_{m_r} \cdot a_{m_k}^{-1}(x, y)} - 1] M_k(dx).$$

From properties (7') and (8') of the sequence  $\{m_r\}$  it follows that

$$(12) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} A_r^{\pm}(y) = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} B_r(y) = 0,$$

the convergence being uniform for  $y$  belonging to an arbitrary sphere.

Next

$$(13) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \int \left[ e^{i(x, y)} - 1 - \frac{i(x, y)}{1 + \|x\|^2} \right] M_r(dx) = \int \left[ e^{i(x, y)} - 1 - \frac{i(x, y)}{1 + \|x\|^2} \right] M(dx),$$

the convergence being uniform for  $y$  belonging to an arbitrary sphere (see [8], Theorem 5.7). These facts prove that

$$(14) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} [(T_{a_{m_r}} p)^{m_r^*} * \delta_{x_r}](y) = \hat{\mu}_M(y),$$

the convergence being uniform for  $y$  belonging to an arbitrary sphere.

According to Theorem 5.5 and Corollary 2.3 in [8] it suffices to show that the measures  $(T_{a_{m_r}}^0 p)^{m_r^*}$  are compact. We shall prove this by verification of the conditions of Prokhorov theorem ([6], § 4). Estimate:

$$\begin{aligned} |1 - [(T_{a_{m_r}}^0 p)^{m_r^*}](y)| &= 1 - |(T_{a_{m_r}} p)(y)|^{2m_r} \\ &= 1 - \exp \left\{ -2m_r \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} m_k^{-1} \int [1 - \cos a_{m_r} a_{m_k}^{-1}(x, y)] M_k(dx) \right\} \\ &\leq 2m_r \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} m_k^{-1} \int [1 - \cos a_{m_r} a_{m_k}^{-1}(x, y)] M_k(dx) \\ &\leq m_r a_{m_r}^2 \sum_{k=1}^{r-1} (m_k a_{m_k}^2)^{-1} \int (x, y)^2 M_k(dx) + 2 \int [1 - \cos(x, y)] M_r(dx) + \\ &\quad + 4m_r \sum_{k=r+1}^{\infty} m_k^{-1} V_k. \end{aligned}$$

Since the measures  $M_r$  increase to the measure  $M$ , we have

$$\int [1 - \cos(x, y)] M_r(dx) \leq \int [1 - \cos(x, y)] M(dx) \\ \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\|x\| \leq 1} (x, y)^2 M(dx) + \int_{\|x\| > 1} [1 - \cos(x, y)] M(dx).$$

The measure  $M$  on the set  $E = \{x \in H: \|x\| > 1\}$  is tight; thus for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a compact set  $K_\varepsilon \subset E$  such that  $M(K_\varepsilon) \geq M(E) - \varepsilon/4$ .

We have

$$\int_{\|x\| > 1} [1 - \cos(x, y)] M(dx) \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{K_\varepsilon} (x, y)^2 M(dx) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Eventually for an arbitrary  $\varepsilon > 0$  we have

$$(15) \quad |1 - [(T_{a_{m_r}} {}^0 p)^{m_r^*}](y)| \leq (S_r^\varepsilon y, y) + C_r + \varepsilon,$$

where

$$(16) \quad (S_r^\varepsilon y, y) = m_r a_{m_r}^2 \sum_{k=1}^{r-1} (m_k a_{m_k}^2)^{-1} \int (x, y)^2 M_k(dx) + \\ + \int_{\|x\| \leq 1} (x, y)^2 M(dx) + \int_{K_\varepsilon} (x, y)^2 M(dx)$$

and

$$(17) \quad C_r = 4m_r \sum_{k=r+1}^{\infty} m_k^{-1} V_k.$$

Since  $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} C_r = 0$ , for an arbitrary  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $r$  sufficiently large we have

$$(18) \quad |1 - [(T_{a_{m_r}} {}^0 p)^{m_r^*}](y)| \leq (S_r^\varepsilon y, y) + 2\varepsilon.$$

Let  $\{e_i\}$  be an arbitrary orthogonal system defined in  $H$ . By standard calculations, (8) and (16) yield the relationships:

$$(19) \quad \sup_r \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (S_r^\varepsilon e_i, e_i) < +\infty, \quad \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sup_r \sum_{i=N}^{\infty} (S_r^\varepsilon e_i, e_i) = 0.$$

Thus the family of  $S$ -operators defined by quadratic form (16) is compact. The compactness of the measures  $(T_{a_{m_r}} {}^0 p)^{m_r^*}$  follows from (18) and (19) by a theorem of Prokhorov [6].

We have shown that the measures  $(T_{a_{m_r}} p)^{m_r^*}$  are shift-convergent to  $\mu_M$ . Obviously, the measures  $(T_{a_{m_r}} q)^{m_r^*}$  are shift-convergent to  $\mu_D$ . Thus the measures  $[T_{a_{m_r}} (p * q)]^{m_r^*}$  are shift-convergent to  $\mu = \mu_D * \mu_M$ , so the proof is complete.

## REFERENCES

- [1] W. Feller, *An introduction to probability theory and its applications*, Volume II, New York 1966.
- [2] B. V. Gnedenko and A. N. Kolmogorov, *Limit distributions for sums of independent random variables*, Cambridge 1954.
- [3] R. Jajte, *On convergence of infinitely divisible distributions in a Hilbert space*, Colloquium Mathematicum 19 (1968), p. 327-332.
- [4] A. J. Khintchine, *Zur Theorie der unbeschränkt teilbaren Verteilungsgesetzen*, Математический сборник 2 (44) (1937), p. 79-119.
- [5] M. Kłosowska, *The domain of attraction of a normal distribution in a Hilbert space*, Studia Mathematica 43 (1972), p. 195-208.
- [6] Ю. В. Прохоров, *Сходимость случайных процессов и предельные теоремы теории вероятностей*, Теория вероятностей и её применения 1 (1956), p. 177-238.
- [7] В. В. Сазонов, *Замечание о характеристических функционалах*, ibidem 3 (1958), p. 201-205.
- [8] S. R. S. Varadhan, *Limit theorems for sums of independent random variables with values in a Hilbert space*, Sankhya, The Indian Journal of Statistics 24 (3) (1962), p. 213-238.

*Reçu par la Rédaction le 22. 12. 1972*

---