

ON FIXED POINT THEOREMS
FOR MULTIFUNCTIONS IN DENDROIDS

BY

ROMAN MAŃKA (MOGILNO)

1. Introduction. The space X considered in this paper is always assumed to be an arbitrary *dendroid*, i.e., a hereditarily unicoherent and arcwise connected metric continuum. The *hereditary unicoherence* of X means that for every two subcontinua K and L of X the common part $K \cap L$ is a continuum. The *arcwise connectedness* of X states that for any two points a and b of X there exists in X an arc joining these points a and b . By the hereditary unicoherence of X , this is the unique arc between a and b in X , and so it can be denoted by ab .

The *multifunctions* F of X into itself will be considered which assign to each point $x \in X$ a non-empty closed subset $F(x)$ of X . Such a multifunction F is called *upper semi-continuous* if for every closed subset $A \subset X$ the upper counter-image $F^{-1}(A) = \{x \in X: F(x) \cap A \neq \emptyset\}$ is closed. F is called *lower semi-continuous* provided that the counter-image $F^{-1}(A)$ is open whenever A is open. For the metric compact space X the upper semi-continuity of F means that for every convergent sequence of points $x_n \in X$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) the inclusion

$$\text{Ls}_n F(x_n) \subset F(\lim_n x_n)$$

holds (see, e.g., [2], p. 61) and the lower semi-continuity of F means that

$$F(\lim_n x_n) \subset \text{Li}_n F(x_n)$$

(see, e.g., [2], p. 62).

A point $x \in X$ is called a *fixed point* of a multifunction F of X into itself if $x \in F(x)$. Two classes of multifunctions F of X into itself are the most important for the theorem stating that there exists a fixed point of F . First, upper semi-continuous multifunctions F , called *c-functions*, which assign to each $x \in X$ a subcontinuum $F(x)$ of the continuum X . Second, multifunctions F of X into itself which are *continuous*, i.e., both upper and lower semi-continuous. In these two cases the fixed point theorem for a dendroid X was

proved by Ward, Jr. (see [4] and [5]), who used an order theoretical method by a characterization of an arbitrary dendroid X in terms of ordered sets.

The aim of the present paper is to give other proofs of those two theorems which both will be a modification of the method which I have developed in my fixed point theorem [3]. Such a modification will give, may be, a direction for a generalization of the theorem of Ward, Jr. [4] (for continuous multifunctions) from dendroids to λ -dendroids, i.e., hereditarily unicoherent and λ -connected continua (see [1]).

2. Preliminaries. For any two arcs $ab, ac \subset X$ with the same initial point a , we define the association $ab < ac$ (see [3], p. 106) if $ab \cap ac \neq (a)$, i.e., if $ab \cap ac$ is an arc non-degenerate to one point a . The association $<$ is an equivalence relation in the family of all arcs with the same initial point (see [3], p. 108, Proposition 2) and, of course, $ab \subset ac$ implies $ab < ac$ for a non-degenerate arc ab .

Since, by the hereditary unicoherence of X , for every continuum $K \subset X$

$$(2.1) \quad b, c \in K \text{ implies } bc \subset K,$$

we have

$$(2.2) \quad a \notin K \text{ and } b, c \in K \text{ imply } ab < ac$$

and, moreover (see [3], p. 108, Proposition 6),

$$(2.3) \quad ab \subset ad \text{ and } bc < bd \text{ imply } ab \subset ac.$$

It is worth noting that, by (2.1), $b \in ac$ means that $ab \subset ac$, which is equivalent to the equality $ab \cup bc = ac$.

If F is a c-function mapping X into itself, then the image $F(K)$, defined by the formula

$$F(K) = \bigcup_{x \in K} F(x) \quad \text{for any } K \subset X,$$

satisfies the following condition:

$$(2.4) \quad F(K) \text{ is a continuum whenever } K \text{ is a continuum}$$

(see, e.g., [5], p. 161).

If F is a continuous multifunction in X , then for every component $\mathcal{C}F(K)$ of the image $F(K)$ of an arbitrary continuum $K \subset X$ and for every $x \in K$ the inequality $F(x) \cap \mathcal{C}F(K) \neq \emptyset$ holds (see [4], p. 924). It follows that for any two continua $K, L \subset X$ and for every component $\mathcal{C}F(K)$

$$(2.5) \quad K \cap L \neq \emptyset \text{ implies that there exists a component } \mathcal{C}F(L) \text{ such that } \mathcal{C}F(K) \cap \mathcal{C}F(L) \neq \emptyset.$$

3. The fixed point theorem for c-functions. The following theorem, due to Ward, Jr. [5], will now be proved:

THEOREM 1. *If F is a c -function mapping a dendroid X into itself, then there exists a fixed point of F .*

Proof. For the fixed point, consider the family \mathcal{P}_a of all arcs $ab \subset X$ defined as follows: for every $p \in ab - (b)$ and for every $q \in F(p)$ the association $pq < pb$ holds.

The following four properties of families \mathcal{P}_a will yield the proof of the theorem.

(3.1) *If $a \notin F(a)$, then for every $d \in F(a)$ there exists $ab \in \mathcal{P}_a$ such that $ab \subset ad$.*

For the proof of (3.1), assume that $d \in F(a)$ and that an arc $ab \subset ad$ satisfies, by the upper semi-continuity of F , the equality $ab \cap F(ab) = \emptyset$. Then for every $p \in ab - (b)$ and every $q \in F(p)$ we have $p \notin F(ab)$ and $q, d \in F(ab)$. Since $F(ab)$ is a continuum by (2.4), we have $pq < pd$ by (2.2). But $pd < pb$ since $ab \subset ad$, and therefore $pq < pb$ by the transitivity of association.

(3.2) *If $ab \in \mathcal{P}_a$, $b \notin F(b)$, and $d \in F(b)$, then $ab \subset ad$.*

Since $b \notin F(b)$ by assumption, the upper semi-continuity of F implies that

$$p'b \cap F(p'b) = \emptyset \quad \text{for some } p' \in ab - (b).$$

For every $p \in p'b - (b)$ we have $p \in ab - (b)$, whence $ap \subset ab$ and $pb < pq$ for every $q \in F(p)$ by the definition of \mathcal{P}_a . From (2.3) it follows that $ap \subset aq$. But $p \notin F(p'b)$ and $q, d \in F(p'b)$, whence $pq < pd$ by (2.2) and (2.4). Therefore, by (2.3), $ap \subset ad$ for every $p \in p'b - (b)$. Since the union of all such arcs ap is $ab - (b)$, we have $ab - (b) \subset ad$, and hence $ab \subset ad$.

(3.3) *If $ab \cup bc = ac$, $ab \in \mathcal{P}_a$ and $bc \in \mathcal{P}_b$, then $ac \in \mathcal{P}_a$.*

Indeed, if $p \in ab - (b)$, then $pc < pb$, since $ab \subset ac$ by assumption. Moreover, $pb < pq$ for every $q \in F(p)$ because $ab \in \mathcal{P}_a$. Hence $pq < pc$ by the transitivity of association. If $p \in bc - (c)$, then $pc < pq$ by the assumption that $bc \in \mathcal{P}_b$.

(3.4) *If $ab = \overline{\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} ab_n}$ and $ab_n \in \mathcal{P}_a$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$), then $ab \in \mathcal{P}_a$.*

Let $p \in ab - (b)$. Then there exists an n such that $p \in ab_n - (b_n)$. Therefore, $pb_n < pb$ since $ab_n \subset ab$, and $pq < pb_n$ for every $q \in F(p)$ by the assumption that $ab_n \in \mathcal{P}_a$. From the reflexivity of association it follows that $pq < pb$.

To complete the proof of Theorem 1 observe that in the dendroid X for every increasing sequence of arcs $ab_1 \subset ab_2 \subset \dots$ the closure $\overline{\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} ab_n}$ is an arc ab (a short proof of this statement is given in [3], p. 109, Remark 2). Thus,

by (3.1) and (3.4), and by a version of the Brouwer reduction theorem (see [3], p. 115), for $a \notin F(a)$ there exists an arc ab maximal in \mathcal{P}_a . Then from (3.1)–(3.3) it follows that $b \in F(b)$.

Indeed, by (3.2), if $b \notin F(b)$, then $ab \subset ad$ for every $d \in F(b)$, and, by (3.1), there exists $bc \subset bd$ such that $bc \in \mathcal{P}_b$. Thus $ab \cup bc = ac \in \mathcal{P}_a$ by (3.3), which contradicts the maximality of ab in \mathcal{P}_a .

4. The fixed point theorem for continuous multifunctions. Let F be an arbitrary continuous multifunction of the dendroid X into itself. For an arbitrary arc $ab \subset X$ a sequence of components $\mathcal{C}F(b_{i-1}b_i)$ of $F(b_{i-1}b_i)$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots$ ($b_0 = a$) will be denoted by $[\mathcal{C}F(a), \mathcal{C}F(b)]$ provided that the arcs ab_i constitute a countable partition of ab , i.e.,

$$ab_{i-1} \not\subseteq ab_i \text{ for every } i \quad \text{and} \quad \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} ab_i = ab - (b),$$

and that the following chain conditions hold:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}F(a) \subset \mathcal{C}F(ab_1), \quad \mathcal{C}F(b_{i-1}b_i) \cap \mathcal{C}F(b_i b_{i+1}) \neq \emptyset, \\ \text{Ls } \mathcal{C}F(b_{i-1}b_i) \cap \mathcal{C}F(b) \neq \emptyset. \end{aligned}$$

Then we say that $ab \in \mathcal{P}_a[\mathcal{C}F(a), \mathcal{C}F(b)]$ if there exists a chain $[\mathcal{C}F(a), \mathcal{C}F(b)]$ such that for every $i = 1, 2, \dots$ and every $p \in b_{i-1}b_i - (b_i)$ there exists $q \in \mathcal{C}F(b_{i-1}b_i)$ with $pq < pb$.

LEMMA 1. *If $a \notin F(a)$, then for every component $\mathcal{C}F(a)$ and every $d \in \mathcal{C}F(a)$ there exist an arc $ab \subset ad$ and a component $\mathcal{C}F(b)$ such that $ab \in \mathcal{P}_a[\mathcal{C}F(a), \mathcal{C}F(b)]$.*

Proof. Let

$$(4.1) \quad d \in \mathcal{C}F(a)$$

and let

$$(4.2) \quad ab \subset ad$$

be an arc such that $ab \cap F(ab) = \emptyset$ by the upper semi-continuity of F . Then taking the component $\mathcal{C}F(ab)$ of $F(ab)$ which contains $\mathcal{C}F(a)$ we have

$$(4.3) \quad ab \cap \mathcal{C}F(ab) = \emptyset,$$

$$(4.4) \quad \mathcal{C}F(a) \subset \mathcal{C}F(ab).$$

Take an arbitrary countable partition $\overline{\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} ab_i}$ of ab and define a chain $[\mathcal{C}F(a), \mathcal{C}F(b)]$ for some $\mathcal{C}F(b)$ as follows:

Let $\mathcal{C}F(ab_1)$ denote the component of $F(ab_1)$ which contains $\mathcal{C}F(a)$. Take, by (2.5), a component $\mathcal{C}F(b_1b_2)$ of $F(b_1b_2)$ such that

$$\mathcal{C}F(b_1b_2) \cap \mathcal{C}F(ab_1) \neq \emptyset$$

and, by induction, let $\mathcal{C}F(b_i b_{i+1}) \cap \mathcal{C}F(b_{i-1} b_i) \neq \emptyset$. Since $b_{i-1} b_i \rightarrow (b)$, by the upper semi-continuity we obtain

$$\text{Ls}_i \mathcal{C}F(b_{i-1} b_i) \subset F(b).$$

Thus for $\mathcal{C}F(b)$ we take in $F(b)$ the component of an arbitrary point of $\text{Ls}_i \mathcal{C}F(b_{i-1} b_i)$.

Since the union $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{C}F(b_{i-1} b_i)$ is connected and contains $\mathcal{C}F(a)$, by (4.4) we get

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{C}F(b_{i-1} b_i) \subset \mathcal{C}F(ab).$$

Now, let $p \in b_{i-1} b_i - (b_i)$. Then for an arbitrary $q \in \mathcal{C}F(b_{i-1} b_i)$ we have $q \in \mathcal{C}F(ab)$. Moreover, $d \in \mathcal{C}F(ab)$ by (4.1) and (4.4), and $p \notin \mathcal{C}F(ab)$ by (4.3). It follows from (2.2) that $pq < pd$. But $pd < pb$ in view of (4.2), and therefore $pq < pb$ by the transitivity of association.

LEMMA 2. *If $ab \in \mathcal{P}_a[\mathcal{C}F(a), \mathcal{C}F(b)]$, $d \in \mathcal{C}F(b)$ and $b \notin F(b)$, then $ab \cup bd = ad$.*

Proof. Let $p' b \cap F(p' b) = \emptyset$ for some $p' \in ab - (b)$ by the assumption that $b \notin F(b)$ and the upper semi-continuity of F . Then taking the component $\mathcal{C}F(p' b)$ which contains the union $\bigcup_{i=i_0}^{\infty} \mathcal{C}F(b_{i-1} b_i)$, where i_0 is the first integer such that $b_{i_0-1} \in p' b$, we have

$$(4.5) \quad p' b \cap \mathcal{C}F(p' b) = \emptyset,$$

$$(4.6) \quad \bigcup_{i=i_0}^{\infty} \mathcal{C}F(b_{i-1} b_i) \subset \mathcal{C}F(p' b).$$

Moreover, we have

$$\text{Ls}_i \mathcal{C}F(b_{i-1} b_i) \cap \mathcal{C}F(b) \neq \emptyset \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Ls}_i \mathcal{C}F(b_{i-1} b_i) \subset \mathcal{C}F(p' b),$$

and therefore by (4.6) we obtain

$$(4.7) \quad \mathcal{C}F(b) \subset \mathcal{C}F(p' b).$$

Let $p \in p' b - (b) \subset ab - (b)$. Then $p \in b_{i-1} b_i - (b_i)$ for some $i \geq i_0$, and for some $q \in \mathcal{C}F(b_{i-1} b_i)$ we have $pq < pb$ by the definition of $\mathcal{P}_a[\mathcal{C}F(a), \mathcal{C}F(b)]$. But $p \in ab$ implies $ap \subset ab$, and hence $ap \subset aq$ by (2.3).

Simultaneously, $q \in \mathcal{C}F(p' b)$ by (4.6), $d \in \mathcal{C}F(p' b)$ by (4.7), and $p \notin \mathcal{C}F(p' b)$ by (4.5), whence $pq < pd$ by (2.2). It follows from (2.3) that $ap \subset ad$ for every $p \in p' b - (b)$. Since the union of all such arcs ap is $ab - (b)$, we get $ab - (b) \subset ad$. Therefore $ab \subset ad$, i.e., $ab \cup bd = ad$.

*

LEMMA 3. Let $ab \cup bc = ac$. If

$$ab \in \mathcal{P}_a[\mathcal{C}F(a), \mathcal{C}F(b)] \quad \text{and} \quad bc \in \mathcal{P}_b[\mathcal{C}F(b), \mathcal{C}F(c)],$$

then $ac \in \mathcal{P}_a[\mathcal{C}F(a), \mathcal{C}F(c)]$ with a chain $[\mathcal{C}F(a), \mathcal{C}F(c)]$ joining $\mathcal{C}F(b)$ and $\mathcal{C}F(c)$ (which means that $\mathcal{C}F(b) \subset \mathcal{C}F(c_{i-1}c_i)$ for some i).

Proof. We will construct the desired chain $[\mathcal{C}F(a), \mathcal{C}F(c)]$ replacing all components $\mathcal{C}F(b_{i-1}b_i)$ of the chain $[\mathcal{C}F(a), \mathcal{C}F(b)]$ by the component $\mathcal{C}F(ab)$ such that

$$(4.8) \quad \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{C}F(b_{i-1}b_i) \subset \mathcal{C}F(ab).$$

Since

$$\text{Ls } \mathcal{C}F(b_{i-1}b_i) \cap \mathcal{C}F(b) \neq \emptyset \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{C}F(b) \subset \mathcal{C}F(bc_1),$$

we have $\mathcal{C}F(ab) \cap \mathcal{C}F(bc_1) \neq \emptyset$. Therefore, $\mathcal{C}F(ab), \mathcal{C}F(bc_1), \mathcal{C}F(c_1c_2), \dots$ constitute the chain $[\mathcal{C}F(a), \mathcal{C}F(c)]$ joining $\mathcal{C}F(b)$ and $\mathcal{C}F(c)$.

Now, to prove that $ac \in \mathcal{P}_a[\mathcal{C}F(a), \mathcal{C}F(b)]$, let $p \in ab - (b)$. Then there exists an i such that $p \in b_{i-1}b_i - (b_i)$, and hence $pb < pq$ for some $q \in \mathcal{C}F(b_{i-1}b_i)$. Simultaneously, $pc < pb$, since $ab \subset ac$ by assumption, and therefore $pq < pc$ by the transitivity of association $<$. Moreover, $q \in \mathcal{C}F(ab)$ by (4.8).

LEMMA 4. If

$$ab = \overline{\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} ab_n},$$

where $ab_n \not\subseteq ab_{n+1}$ and $ab_n \in \mathcal{P}_a[\mathcal{C}F(a), \mathcal{C}F(b_n)]$ for every $n = 1, 2, \dots$ and if the chain $[\mathcal{C}F(a), \mathcal{C}F(b_{n+1})]$ joins $\mathcal{C}F(b_n)$ and $\mathcal{C}F(b_{n+1})$ for every $n = 1, 2, \dots$, then $ab \in \mathcal{P}_a[\mathcal{C}F(a), \mathcal{C}F(b)]$ for some component $\mathcal{C}F(b)$.

Proof. For the given partition $ab - (b) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} ab_n$ we will define the desired chain $[\mathcal{C}F(a), \mathcal{C}F(b)]$ of components $\mathcal{C}F(b_n b_{n+1})$ for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ($b_0 = a$).

Take, for $\mathcal{C}F(ab_1)$, the component of $F(ab_1)$ which contains the union $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{C}F(b_{i-1}b_i)$ of the components of the chain $[\mathcal{C}F(a), \mathcal{C}F(b_1)]$. Then, obviously, $\mathcal{C}F(a) \subset \mathcal{C}F(ab_1)$. Now assume, by induction, that a sequence of components $\mathcal{C}F(ab_1), \mathcal{C}F(b_1b_2), \dots, \mathcal{C}F(b_{n-1}b_n)$ is defined so that

$$\mathcal{C}F(b_{i-1}b_i) \cap \mathcal{C}F(b_i b_{i+1}) \neq \emptyset \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{C}F(b_i b_{i+1}) \cap \mathcal{C}F(b_{i+1}) \neq \emptyset$$

for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$. Let $\mathcal{C}F(b_n b_{n+1})$ denote the component of $F(b_n b_{n+1})$

which contains the union $\bigcup_{i=i_n}^{\infty} \mathcal{C}F(b_{n+1,i-1} b_{n+1,i})$ of some components of the chain $[\mathcal{C}F(a), \mathcal{C}F(b_{n+1})]$, where i_n is such that

$$\mathcal{C}F(b_n) \subset \mathcal{C}F(b_{n+1,i_n-1} b_{n+1,i_n}).$$

Then

$$\mathcal{C}F(b_{n-1} b_n) \cap \mathcal{C}F(b_n b_{n+1}) \neq \emptyset, \quad \mathcal{C}F(b_{n+1}) \cap \mathcal{C}F(b_n b_{n+1}) \neq \emptyset$$

and

$$(4.9) \quad \bigcup_{i=i_n}^{\infty} \mathcal{C}F(b_{n+1,i-1} b_{n+1,i}) \subset \mathcal{C}F(b_n b_{n+1}).$$

The sequence of components $\mathcal{C}F(b_n b_{n+1})$ so defined has the property that

$$\text{Ls}_n \mathcal{C}F(b_n b_{n+1}) \cap \mathcal{C}F(b) \neq \emptyset$$

by the upper semi-continuity of F . Thus this sequence forms a chain $[\mathcal{C}F(a), \mathcal{C}F(b)]$.

Now, let $p \in b_n b_{n+1} - (b_{n+1})$. Then $p \in b_{n+1,i-1} b_{n+1,i} - (b_{n+1,i})$ for some $i \geq i_n$, and therefore there exists $q \in \mathcal{C}F(b_{n+1,i-1} b_{n+1,i})$ such that $pq < pb_{n+1}$. Since $pb_{n+1} < pb$, we have $pq < pb$ by the transitivity of association $<$. By (4.9) we obtain $q \in \mathcal{C}F(b_n b_{n+1})$.

The theorem of Ward, Jr. [4], can now be proved.

THEOREM 2. *If F is a continuous multifunction mapping a dendroid X into itself, then there exists a fixed point of F .*

Proof. Since for every increasing sequence of arcs $ab_1 \not\subseteq ab_2 \not\subseteq \dots$ the closure $\overline{\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} ab_n}$ is an arc ab in the dendroid X , by Lemmas 1 and 4 and by a version of the Brouwer reduction theorem for $a \notin F(a)$ there exists an arc ab which is maximal in $\mathcal{P}_a[\mathcal{C}F(a), \mathcal{C}F(b)]$ in the sense that there exists no greater arc $ac \in \mathcal{P}_a[\mathcal{C}F(a), \mathcal{C}F(c)]$ with the chain $[\mathcal{C}F(a), \mathcal{C}F(c)]$ joining $\mathcal{C}F(b)$ and $\mathcal{C}F(c)$. Then from Lemmas 1–3 it follows that $b \in F(b)$ for the maximal arc ab .

Indeed, if $b \notin F(b)$, then $ab \subset ad$ for every $d \in \mathcal{C}F(b)$ by Lemma 2, and by Lemma 1 there exist an arc $bc \subset bd$ and a component $\mathcal{C}F(c)$ such that $bc \in \mathcal{P}_b[\mathcal{C}F(b), \mathcal{C}F(c)]$ for some chain $[\mathcal{C}F(b), \mathcal{C}F(c)]$. Thus, by Lemma 3, $ac \in \mathcal{P}_a[\mathcal{C}F(a), \mathcal{C}F(c)]$ with the chain $[\mathcal{C}F(a), \mathcal{C}F(c)]$ joining $\mathcal{C}F(b)$ and $\mathcal{C}F(c)$, which contradicts the maximality of ab .

REFERENCES

- [1] B. Knaster et S. Mazurkiewicz, *Sur un problème concernant les transformations continues*, Fundamenta Mathematicae 21 (1933), p. 85–90.
- [2] K. Kuratowski, *Topology*, Vol. II, New York–London–Warszawa 1968.
- [3] R. Mańka, *Association and fixed points*, Fundamenta Mathematicae 91 (1976), p. 105–121.
- [4] L. E. Ward, Jr., *A fixed point theorem for multi-valued functions*, Pacific Journal of Mathematics 8 (1958), p. 921–927.
- [5] – *Characterization of the fixed point property for a class of set-valued mappings*, Fundamenta Mathematicae 50 (1961), p. 159–164.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS
POLISH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES

Reçu par la Rédaction le 4.12.1981
