FASC. 2

## FINITE BOLYAI-LOBATCHEVSKY k-SPACES

 $\mathbf{BY}$ 

## MASON HENDERSON (MISSOULA, MONTANA)

Suppose k, n, and m are integers greater than 1 and S is a set. Further, suppose  $S_i$  is a class of non-empty subsets of S (for i = 0, 1, ..., k-1). We will refer to an element of  $S_i$  as an i-space.  $(S_0, S_1, ..., S_{k-1})$  is a *finite Bolyai-Lobatchevsky* (B-L) k-space if the following axioms hold:

- 1.  $S_0 = \{\{a\} \mid a \in S\},\$
- 2.  $S_1 \neq \emptyset$ .

DEFINITIONS. A is an *i-space* of, or is on, a *j*-space  $B \Leftrightarrow A \subset B$  or  $B \subset A$ . P is a point  $\Leftrightarrow P \in S_0$ . l is a line  $\Leftrightarrow l \in S_1$ . a is a plane  $\Leftrightarrow a \in S_2$ .

- 3. l is a line  $\Rightarrow \mathcal{I}$  exactly n points, each of which is on l.
- 4.  $l \in S_i \Rightarrow \mathcal{I}P \in S_0 \Rightarrow P \land l = \emptyset \ (i \leqslant k-1).$
- 5.  $l \in S_i$  (i < k-1),  $P \in S_0$ ,  $P \cap l = \emptyset \Rightarrow \mathcal{I}$  unique  $l' \in S_{i+1} \ni l \subset l'$  and  $P \subset l'$  (l' may be called lP or Pl; it may be said that l' is determined by <math>l and P).
  - 6. P and Q are distinct points of an i-space  $A \Rightarrow PQ \subset A$ .
  - 7.  $l \in S_{k-1}, f \in S_{k-1} \Rightarrow l \cap f = \emptyset, l \cap f = l, \text{ or } l \cap f \in S_{k-2}.$

DEFINITION. Let l and f be i-spaces, each on some (i+1)-space g. Then l is parallel to  $f \Leftrightarrow l \cap f = \emptyset$ .

8. If P is a point not on a given line l, then there are exactly m lines on P (and on Pl) parallel to l.

It is the primary purpose of this paper to show that no finite B-L k-space exists for k > 3. We will assume that such a space exists, and arrive at a contradiction. Several theorems applicable to the case k = 3, are proved in the process.

## THEOREMS FOR THE CASES k > 3

THEOREM. A plane is determined by any two lines which have exactly one point in common (whose intersection is a single point).

Proof. Each line has n points on it, n > 1, so there is a point on one line which is not on the other. Such a point and the line not contain-

ing it determine a plane. The fact that any two planes so determined are identical is a simple exercise.

THEOREM. There are n+m lines of a plane on any point of that plane. Proof. Given a point P of plane  $\pi$ ,  $\mathcal{A}$  a line l not on P. There are n lines on P (and on  $\pi$ ) intersecting l, and m lines on p not intersecting l.

THEOREM. There are  $t_2 = (n+m)(n-1)+1$  points on a plane.

Proof. Let P be a point of plane  $\pi$ . There are n+m lines of  $\pi$  on P and n-1 points (other than P) on each such line. The number of points (not P) on lines on P is thus (n+m)(n-1). That  $t_2$  is actually a count of points of  $\pi$  is then a simple exercise, since any point of  $\pi$  is on a line of  $\pi$  on P. Several counting results follow, with a short justification:

1. The number of lines on a plane,  $q_2$ , is  $n+m/nt_2$ . This is a result of the relationship  $q_2n=(n+m)t_2$ . This equation is obtained by counting all possible ordered pairs  $(P_i, l_j)$  where  $P_i$  is a point on the line  $l_j$  [2]. There are  $t_2$  points, each with n+m lines on it. Thus there are  $t_2(n+m)$  such ordered pairs. But there are  $q_2$  lines, each with n points on it, giving  $q_2n$  ordered pairs. These countings are obviously exhaustive and involve no repetition, so they must yield the same result.

From the equation  $q_2 n = (n+m)t_2$ , a necessary condition for the existence of a finite B-L plane has been obtained [1]:

$$q_2 n = (n+m)t_2 = nt_2 + mt_2 = nt_2 + m[(n+m)(n-1)+1],$$
  
 $q_2 n = nt_2 + mn(n-1) + m^2(n-1) + m,$   
 $q_2 n = nt_2 + mn^2 - mn + m^2n - (m^2 - m).$ 

Since n is a factor of each term except  $(m^2-m)$ , and all terms are integers, it is obvious that  $n \mid m(m-1)$ . This, then is a necessary condition for the existence of the plane.

- 2. The number of lines on a plane parallel to a given line of that plane is  $i = q_2 n(n+m-1)-1$ . Suppose l is the given line. There are  $q_2-1$  lines (other than l) on the given plane. At each of the n points of l, n+m-1 of the lines (not l) intersect l. Thus n(n+m-1) lines other than l intersect l. Lines parallel to l are thus i in number.
- 3. There are  $i^* = i (n-1)m$  lines parallel to each of two intersecting (distinct) lines and in the plane determined by those two lines. Let h and j be the lines, with intersection the point P. There are i lines (on the plane of h and j) parallel to j. On each point of h (not P) are exactly m of these parallels. There are n-1 points of h other than P, or m(n-1) of the i lines parallel to j are not parallel to h. This leaves i-m(n-1) lines parallel to both lines j and h (I omit, of course, certain details such as distinctness in these abbreviated proofs). Manipulation yields  $i^* = m(m-1)(n-1)/n$ .

- 4.  $t_3 = (n+m)(t_2-n)+n$  is the number of points on a 3-space. Points of a 3-space are all on the planes which are on a given line of that 3-space. There are n+m planes on a line of the 3-space. To prove this, consider a given line l, and P a point of l. Certainly on P there is a plane  $\pi$  containing no other points of l. Then planes on l intersect  $\pi$  in lines on P. There are exactly n+m lines of  $\pi$  on P, hence exactly n+m planes of the 3-space on l. Points not on l are  $l_2-n$  in number for each such plane, so there are  $l_2-n$  in points not on l in the 3-space. Adding the l points of l gives the formula for l3.
- 5. Let  $\pi$  be a plane and P a point not on  $\pi$ . Then the number of planes on P (and on the 3-space  $P\pi$ ) parallel to  $\pi$  is

$$m^2 - i^* = m^2 - m(m-1) \left(\frac{n-1}{n}\right).$$

The proof here is somewhat longer. Let l and j be two distinct lines of  $\pi$  intersecting at point Q. On P and Pj are m lines parallel to j, say  $j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_m$ . Also, on P and Pl are m lines parallel to  $l: l_1, l_2, \ldots, l_m$ . Make the convention that  $A_{rs}$  is the plane determined by  $l_r$  and  $j_s$ . There are  $m^2$  planes of this type, since there are exactly  $m^2$  pairs (r, s) and, by a simple argument, distinct planes are associated with distinct pairs. Any plane on P (and on  $P\pi$ ) parallel to  $\pi$  must be an  $A_{rs}$  for some r and s. Indeed, a plane a on P parallel to  $\pi$  has a trace on Pj (a line of intersection with  $P_j$ ), say u. u is parallel to j, because if R is a point of u and of j, then R is a point of  $\pi$  and of  $\pi$ . But  $\pi$  is parallel to  $\pi$ . Therefore  $\pi$  is parallel to  $\pi$ . That is,  $\pi$  is  $\pi$  for some  $\pi$ . Similarly, the trace of  $\pi$  on  $\pi$  is  $\pi$  for some  $\pi$ .

Thus, the number of planes on P parallel to  $\pi$  is the total number of  $A_{rs}$  planes  $(m^2)$  diminished by the number of  $A_{rs}$  planes which intersect  $\pi$ .

Let us consider a plane  $A_{hg}$  which intersects  $\pi$ . Suppose  $\beta$  is the trace it has on  $\pi$ . If  $\beta$  intersects j, say in point S, then S is on  $A_{hg}$  and on  $P_j$ . Then P and S are points of  $A_{hg}$  and of  $P_j$ , which implies that PS is on each of these planes, and must accordingly be their (unique) line of intersection. Now the intersection of  $A_{hg}$  and  $P_j$  is  $j_g$ , a line parallel to j. But PS is not parallel to j since S is a point of j. Thus, the assumption that  $\beta$  intersects j is incorrect. It is similarly proved that  $\beta$  does not intersect l. The aim of all this is to show that  $\beta$  is a line parallel to each of two intersecting lines (l and j). It is then fairly clear that there is a one-to-one correspondence of  $A_{rs}$  planes which intersect  $\pi$  and lines of  $\pi$  parallel to each of l and j. There are  $i^*$  of these lines, and accordingly  $i^*$  of the  $A_{rs}$  planes which intersect  $\pi$ . We have proved that there are  $m^2-i^*$  of the  $A_{rs}$  planes which do not intersect  $\pi$ . Only  $A_{rs}$  planes (of

all planes on P) can be parallel to  $\pi$ , so the number of planes on P parallel to  $\pi$  is  $m^2-i^*$ . It should be noticed that this number is positive.

6. We are now in a position to show that no finite B-L k-space exists (k > 3). We begin with the assumption that such a k-space does exist.

Accordingly, there exists (in some 4-space) a plane  $\pi$ , a point P not on  $\pi$  and the 3-space  $P\pi$ . There is a point Q not on  $P\pi$ , and the 3-space  $Q\pi$  exists accordingly.  $Q\pi \neq P\pi$ , so  $Q\pi \cap P\pi = \pi$ . On Q (and on  $Q\pi$ ) there is at least one plane  $\alpha$  parallel to  $\pi$  (from 5, above), and  $\alpha$  is thus disjoint from  $P\pi$ . Then for any point S of  $P\pi$ ,  $S\alpha$  is a 3-space (distinct from  $P\pi$ ) having non-empty intersection with  $P\pi$ . Thus  $S\alpha \cap P\pi$  is a plane S' of  $P\pi$  containing S. With  $\alpha$  fixed, each point of  $P\pi$  thus has associated with it a unique plane of  $P\pi$ . The set of planes so determined has the interesting property that each point of  $P\pi$  is on exactly one of them. Let us suppose that some point T is on two of these planes, A and B. Then A is easily seen to be the (unique) intersection of  $T\alpha$  and  $P\pi$ , as is B. Thus A = B.

All of this is to demonstrate that the points of  $P\pi$  must be arranged on a set of pairwise disjoint planes. There are  $t_3$  points thusly arranged on planes (each containing  $t_2$  points); so, for some integer s,  $st_2 = t_3$ . Then  $st_2 = t_3 = (t_2 - n)(n + m) + n$ ,

(1) 
$$st_2 = (n+m)t_2 + n - n(n+m).$$

But 
$$t_2 = (n+m)(n-1)+1 = (n+m)n-n-m+1$$
, or

(2) 
$$n-(n+m)n = -t_2-m+1.$$

Substituting (2) in (1), we get

$$st_2-(n+m)t_2=-t_2-(m-1);$$

 $t_2$  is a factor of all terms except (m-1), so  $t_2 \mid (m-1)$ . This is impossible, because  $t_2 > m-1$  and m-1 > 0. This contradiction proves that no finite B-L k-space exists if k > 3.

7. Whether a finite B-L 3-space exists or not is an open question as far as the author has been able to determine. Two necessary conditions have been found, however. They are:

$$n \mid (m-1),$$
  
 $t_2 \mid m(m-1).$ 

The first is proved by a construction similar to that used to prove that all points of a 3-space (in a higher space) are on a set of pairwise disjoint planes. With the argument scaled down one "dimension", it can be shown that the points of a plane (in a 3-space) are on a set of pairwise disjoint lines. Thus, for some integer s:

$$sn = t_2 = (n+m)(n-1)+1,$$
  
 $sn = n(n+m)-n-(m-1);$ 

n is a factor of all terms except m-1, so  $n \mid (m-1)$ .

The second is proved by a consideration of the number z, of planes on a point (in a 3-space), the number x of planes in a 3-space, and  $t_2$  and  $t_3$ . By an argument similar to that used to determine the number of lines on a plane, the equation

$$(A) t_2 x = t_3 z$$

arises. This equation becomes useful once z is known.

To find z, we first determine the number of lines on a point (in a 3-space). The points on a 3-space are obviously all on lines on a given point P. n-1 points other than P are on each of these lines. If there are s lines on P, then there are s(n-1) points (not P) in the 3-space. Thus there are s(n-1)+1 points in all in the 3-space. Therefore  $s(n-1)+1=t_3$ . Solving, we obtain s=(n+m)(n+m-1)+1.

We use s next to determine z. Let us consider (in a 3-space) a point P and a plane  $\pi$  on P. There are n+m lines on  $\pi$  and on P. There are  $\binom{n+m}{2}$  pairs of (distinct) lines on P and on  $\pi$ , and each pair determines the plane  $\pi$ . Any pair of lines on P determines a plane on P. With a little reflection, it becomes obvious that the number of pairs of lines on P is the product of the number of pairs of lines on a given plane (on P) and the total number of planes on P. Thus

$$\binom{s}{2} = \binom{n+m}{2} z,$$

regardless of the choice of P.

Solving:

$$z = \frac{s(s-1)}{(n+m)(n+m-1)} = \frac{s(s-1)}{(s-1)} = s$$

$$= \frac{(n+m)(n+m-1)+1}{(n+m)(n-1)+1+m(n+m)}$$

$$= t_2 + m(n+m).$$

We use this expression for z in equation (A):

$$t_2x = t_3z = t_3[t_2 + m(n+m)],$$
  
 $t_2x = t_3t_2 + t_3m(n+m),$   
 $t_2x = t_3t_3 + [(n+m)(t_2-n) + n]m(n+m)$ 

 $\mathbf{or}$ 

$$t_2x = t_3t_2 + (n+m)(t_2-n)(m)(n+m) + nm(n+m),$$
  
 $t_2x = t_3t_2 + (n+m)^2t_2m - mn(n+m)^2 + mn(n+m),$ 

 $\mathbf{or}$ 

(B) 
$$t_2x-t_3t_2-(n+m)^2t_2m=-m(n+m)(n)(n+m-1).$$

It is easy to verify that  $n(n+m-1) = t_2+m-1$ . Substituting in the right hand member of (B) yields:

$$t_2x-t_3t_2-(n+m)^2t_2m=-m(n+m)(t_2+m-1)$$

or

$$t_2x-t_3t_2-(n+m)^2t_2m=-m(n+m)t_2-m(m-1)(n+m).$$

Obviously  $t_2$  divides m(m-1)(n+m).

Then for some non-zero integer r,

(C) 
$$m(m-1)(n+m) = rt_2 = r[(n+m)(n-1)+1],$$
  
 $m(m-1)(n+m) = r(n+m)(n-1)+r.$ 

Hence  $(n+m) \mid r$ , and r = d(n+m) for some non-zero integer d. Substituting in (C)  $m(m-1)(n+m) = d(n+m)t_2$ , we get

$$m(m-1)=dt_2$$
.

From this, it follows that  $t_2 \mid m(m-1)$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] L. Szamkołowicz, On the problem of existence of finite regular planes, Colloquium Mathematicum 9 (1962), p. 245-250.
- [2] E. Witt, Über Steinersche Systeme, Abhandlungen aus dem Mathematischen Seminar der Hansischen Universität Hamburg 12 (1938), p 265-275.

Reçu par la Rédaction le 5. 6. 1965