

## ATOMS OF CHARACTERISTIC MEASURES

BY

K. URBANIK (WROCLAW)

In this paper we adopt the definitions and notation given in [1] and [2]. In particular,  $P$  will denote the space of all Borel probability measures defined on the positive half-line  $[0, \infty)$ . The space  $P$  is endowed with the topology of weak convergence. For any  $a \in (0, \infty)$ ,  $T_a$  will denote the scale change

$$(T_a \mu)(E) = \mu(a^{-1} E) \quad (\mu \in P).$$

Further,  $\delta_c$  will denote the probability measure concentrated at the point  $c$ . Two measures  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  from  $P$  are said to be *similar*, in symbols  $\mu \sim \nu$ , if  $\mu = T_a \nu$  for a certain  $a \in (0, \infty)$ . A continuous commutative and associative  $P$ -valued binary operation  $\circ$  on  $P$  is called a *generalized convolution* if it is distributive with respect to the convex combinations of measures and the operations  $T_a$  ( $a > 0$ ),  $\delta_0$  is its unit element, and an analogue of the law of large numbers is fulfilled:

$$T_{c_n} \delta_1^{\circ n} \rightarrow \gamma \neq \delta_0$$

for a choice of a norming sequence  $c_n$  of positive numbers. The power  $\delta_1^{\circ n}$  is taken here in the sense of the operation  $\circ$ . The limit measure  $\gamma$  is called a *characteristic measure* of the generalized convolution in question. It is known by Proposition 4.4 in [2] that the characteristic measure is uniquely determined up to the equivalence relation  $\sim$ . Moreover, by Proposition 4.5 in [2] there exists a constant  $\kappa = \kappa(\circ)$  belonging to  $(0, \infty]$  and called the *characteristic exponent* of the generalized convolution  $\circ$  such that

$$(1) \quad T_a \gamma \circ T_b \gamma = T_{g_\kappa(a,b)} \gamma$$

for any pair  $a, b \in (0, \infty)$ , where

$$g_\kappa(a, b) = (a^\kappa + b^\kappa)^{1/\kappa} \quad \text{if } \kappa \in (0, \infty)$$

and

$$g_\infty(a, b) = \max(a, b).$$

The aim of this note is to investigate the atoms of characteristic measures. Given  $\mu \in P$  we denote by  $A(\mu)$  the set of all atoms of  $\mu$ , i.e.,

$$A(\mu) = \{a: \mu(\{a\}) > 0\}.$$

For any  $b \in (0, \infty)$  we have the formula

$$(2) \quad A(T_b \mu) = b A(\mu).$$

Moreover, by Lemma 2.2 in [2], the relation

$$(3) \quad 0 \notin A(\gamma)$$

is true. Taking into account formula (2) and choosing an appropriate equivalent version of  $\gamma$  if necessary, we assume in this paper without loss of generality that the condition

$$(4) \quad 1 \in A(\gamma) \quad \text{whenever } A(\gamma) \neq \emptyset$$

is fulfilled.

Given  $p \in (0, \infty]$  and two independent non-negative random variables  $X$  and  $Y$  with probability distributions  $\mu$  and  $\nu$ , respectively, we denote by  $\mu *_{p} \nu$  the probability distribution of the random variable  $g_p(X, Y)$ . The operation  $*_{p}$  is a generalized convolution with the characteristic exponent  $p$  and the characteristic measure  $\delta_1$ . Thus  $A(\gamma) \neq \emptyset$  for all generalized convolutions  $*_{p}$  ( $p \in (0, \infty]$ ). The converse implication is also true.

**THEOREM.** *Let  $\circ$  be a generalized convolution for which the characteristic measure has at least one atom. Then  $\circ = *_{p}$ , where  $p = \kappa(\circ)$ .*

Before proving the Theorem we shall prove some lemmas.

**LEMMA 1.** *If  $A(\gamma) \neq \emptyset$ , then for every  $a, b \in A(\gamma)$  and every  $x, y \in (0, \infty)$  the inclusion*

$$A(\delta_{ax} \circ \delta_{by}) \subset g_x(x, y) A(\gamma)$$

is true.

**Proof.** Let  $a, b \in A(\gamma)$ . Then the measure  $\gamma$  can be written in the form

$$\gamma = q\delta_a + r\delta_b + s\lambda,$$

where  $q, r > 0, s \geq 0, q+r+s=1$  and  $\lambda \in P$ . Hence it follows that for any  $x, y \in (0, \infty)$

$$T_x \gamma \circ T_y \gamma = qr\delta_{ax} \circ \delta_{by} + (1-qr)\varrho$$

with a certain  $\varrho \in P$ . Thus

$$A(\delta_{ax} \circ \delta_{by}) \subset A(T_x \gamma \circ T_y \gamma).$$

Our assertion is now a direct consequence of formulae (1) and (2).

If  $\kappa(\circ) < \infty$  and  $A(\gamma) \neq \emptyset$ , then by  $K(\gamma)$  we shall denote the denumerable number field generated by  $\kappa(\circ)$ -th powers of elements of  $A(\gamma)$ . In what follows  $\text{card} B$  will denote the cardinality of the set  $B$ .

**LEMMA 2.** *Suppose that  $\kappa(\circ) < \infty$  and  $\text{card} A(\gamma) > 1$ . Then*

$$A(\delta_u \circ \delta_v) = \emptyset$$

for any pair  $u, v \in (0, \infty)$  for which the numbers  $u^{\kappa}$  and  $v^{\kappa}$  are linearly independent over the field  $K(\gamma)$ .

**Proof.** Suppose that the pair  $u, v$  fulfils the condition of the lemma. By (4) we have the relation  $1 \in A(\gamma)$ . Since  $\text{card } A(\gamma) > 1$ , we can choose a number  $c \in A(\gamma)$  such that  $c \neq 1$ . Moreover, by (3), we have the inequality  $c > 0$ . Setting  $a = b = 1, x = u, y = v$  and  $a = 1, b = c, x = u, y = c^{-1}v$  into the assertion of Lemma 1 we obtain the inclusions

$$A(\delta_u \circ \delta_v) \subset g_x(u, v)A(\gamma)$$

and

$$A(\delta_u \circ \delta_v) \subset g_x(u, c^{-1}v)A(\gamma).$$

Consequently, the inequality  $A(\delta_u \circ \delta_v) \neq \emptyset$  and formula (3) would imply the existence of a pair of positive numbers  $a, b$  in  $A(\gamma)$  such that

$$(u^x + v^x)a^x = (u^x + c^{-x}v^x)b^x.$$

But, by the linear independence of the numbers  $u^x$  and  $v^x$  over the field  $K(\gamma)$ , the above equality is impossible, which completes the proof.

**LEMMA 3.** *Suppose that  $\kappa(o) < \infty$  and  $A(\gamma) \neq \emptyset$ . If  $0 \notin A(\mu)$  and  $A(v) = \emptyset$ , then*

$$A(\mu \circ v) = \emptyset.$$

**Proof.** Suppose the contrary, i.e.,

$$A(\mu \circ v) \neq \emptyset.$$

Let  $a \in A(\mu \circ v)$ . Then, by Lemma 1.2 in [2],

$$(\mu \circ v)(\{a\}) = \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty (\delta_x \circ \delta_y)(\{a\})v(dx)\mu(dy).$$

Since  $0 \notin A(\mu)$ , we can find a positive number  $w$  satisfying the condition

$$\int_0^\infty (\delta_x \circ \delta_w)(\{a\})v(dx) > 0,$$

which, by the assumption  $A(v) = \emptyset$ , yields that the set

$$\{x: (\delta_x \circ \delta_w)(\{a\}) > 0\}$$

is non-denumerable. Consequently, we can find a pair  $u, v$  of positive numbers such that

$$(\delta_u \circ \delta_w)(\{a\}) > 0, \quad (\delta_v \circ \delta_w)(\{a\}) > 0,$$

and the numbers  $u^x, v^x, w^x$  are linearly independent over the field  $K(\gamma)$ . Applying Lemma 1 we get the relation

$$a \in A(\delta_u \circ \delta_w) \cap A(\delta_v \circ \delta_w) \subset g_x(u, w)A(\gamma) \cap g_x(v, w)A(\gamma),$$

which, by (3), implies the existence of a pair of positive numbers  $b, c \in A(\gamma)$  such that

$$(u^x + w^x)b^x = (v^x + w^x)c^x.$$

But this contradicts the linear independence of the triple  $u^x, v^x, w^x$  over the field  $K(\gamma)$ . The lemma is thus proved.

**Proof of the Theorem.** By Lemma 2.1 in [2] the equality  $\kappa(o) = \infty$  yields  $o = *_{\infty}$ . Consequently, we may restrict ourselves to the case  $\kappa(o) < \infty$ . It is clear that the characteristic measure  $\gamma$  can be written in the form

$$(5) \quad \gamma = q\varrho + (1-q)\lambda,$$

where

$$(6) \quad 0 < q \leq 1,$$

$$(7) \quad \varrho = \sum_{a \in A(\gamma)} q_a \delta_a,$$

$$q_a > 0 \quad \text{for } a \in A(\gamma),$$

$$\sum_{a \in A(\gamma)} q_a = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad A(\lambda) = \emptyset.$$

Further, by (3), the condition  $0 \notin A(\varrho)$  is fulfilled. Consequently, by Lemma 3,

$$A(\varrho \circ \lambda) = A(\lambda \circ \lambda) = \emptyset,$$

which yields the formula

$$(8) \quad \gamma \circ \gamma = q^2 \varrho \circ \varrho + (1-q^2) \nu,$$

where  $A(\nu) = \emptyset$ . On the other hand, setting  $c = g_x(1, 1)$  we have, by (1) and (5),

$$(9) \quad \gamma \circ \gamma = T_c \gamma = q T_c \varrho + (1-q) T_c \lambda.$$

Taking into account that the measure  $\varrho$  is purely atomic and comparing the right-hand sides of (8) and (9) we get the inequality  $q \leq q^2$ , which, by (6), implies the formula  $q = 1$ . Thus, by (5) and (7), the characteristic measure  $\gamma$  is of the form

$$(10) \quad \gamma = \sum_{a \in A(\gamma)} q_a \delta_a.$$

Consequently, for any pair  $x, y$  of positive numbers we have the formula

$$T_x \gamma \circ T_y \gamma = \sum_{a, b \in A(\gamma)} q_a q_b \delta_{ax} \circ \delta_{by},$$

which, by formulae (1) and (2), yields

$$(11) \quad g_x(x, y) A(\gamma) = \bigcup_{a, b \in A(\gamma)} A(\delta_{ax} \circ \delta_{by}).$$

Taking a pair  $x, y$  of positive numbers for which  $x^x$  and  $y^x$  are linearly

independent over the field  $K(\gamma)$ , we conclude, by (3), that for every  $a, b \in A(\gamma)$  the numbers  $a^x u^x$  and  $b^x v^x$  are also linearly independent over  $K(\gamma)$ . Assume that the set  $A(\gamma)$  contains at least two elements. Then, by Lemma 2,

$$A(\delta_{ax} \circ \delta_{by}) = \emptyset \quad \text{for all } a, b \in A(\gamma),$$

which, by (11), yields  $A(\gamma) = \emptyset$ . But this contradicts the assumption. Consequently,  $A(\gamma)$  is a one-point set, which, by assumption (4), gives the formula  $A(\gamma) = \{1\}$ . Thus, by (10),  $\gamma = \delta_1$  and, finally, by (1),

$$\delta_x \circ \delta_y = \delta_{\theta_x(x,y)} \quad \text{for all } x, y \in (0, \infty).$$

Hence the equality  $\circ = *_x$  follows, which completes the proof.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] K. Urbanik, *Generalized convolutions*, Studia Math. 23 (1964), pp. 217–245.  
 [2] – *Generalized convolutions. IV*, ibidem 53 (1986), pp. 57–95.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS  
 WROCLAW UNIVERSITY  
 WROCLAW, POLAND

*Reçu par la Rédaction le 10.10.1988*