

for $p \in M$, any smooth vector fields X_1, \dots, X_k , and any section ω of the bundle $\Lambda^k T^*(M, C)$. The symbol $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle$ is here the standard duality between the vector spaces $\Lambda T_p(M, C)$ and $\Lambda T_p^*(M, C)$ for any $p \in M$, defined by $\langle v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_k | w_1 \wedge \dots \wedge w_l \rangle$ equal to 0 if $k \neq l$, and equal to $\det [w_j(v_i); i, j \leq k]$ if $k = l$ (cf. [7]). Thus we have the exterior differential $d\omega$ of a k -form ω defined by the formula

$$(2) \quad \overline{d\omega}(X_1, \dots, X_{k+1}) \\ = \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} (-1)^{i+1} \hat{c}_{X_i} \bar{\omega}(X_1, \dots, X_{i-1}, X_{i+1}, \dots, X_{k+1}) \\ + \sum_{i < j} (-1)^{i+j} \bar{\omega}([X_i, X_j], X_1, \dots, X_{i-1}, \\ X_{i+1}, \dots, X_{j-1}, X_{j+1}, \dots, X_{k+1})$$

for smooth vector fields X_1, \dots, X_{k+1} on (M, C) . Denote by $F^k(M, C)$ the set of all k -forms being smooth sections of $\Lambda^k T^*(M, C)$. The operation d defined by (2) (cf. [5]) has the following properties:

- (i) $d\alpha(p)(v) = v(\alpha)$ for v in $T_p(M, C)$ and $\alpha \in C$;
- (ii) $d(\omega_1 + \omega_2) = d\omega_1 + d\omega_2$ for $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in F^k(M, C)$;
- (iii) $d(\omega \wedge \eta) = d\omega \wedge \eta + (-1)^k \omega \wedge d\eta$ for $\omega \in F^k(M, C)$, $\eta \in F^l(M, C)$;
- (iv) $d \circ d = 0$.

This yields by C -regularity of (M, C) that

- (v) if $\omega \in F^k(M, C)$, U is open in (M, C) and $\omega|U = 0$, then $d\omega|U = 0$.

For any smooth mapping

$$(3) \quad f: (M, C) \rightarrow (N, D)$$

and any $p \in M$ we have the tangent linear mapping

$$f_{*p}: T_p(M, C) \rightarrow T_{f(p)}(N, D)$$

defined by the formula $(f_{*p}v)(\beta) = v(\beta \circ f)$ for $\beta \in D(f(p))$, where $\cdot D(q) = \bigcup \{D_V; q \in V \in \tau_D\}$ (cf. [2]), and for any vector v in $T_p(M, C)$.

If (M, C) and (N, D) are of finite dimensions, then for any $\omega \in F^k(N, D)$ we define the form $f^*\omega \in F^k(M, C)$ in a way such that for any $p \in M$ and any vectors v_1, \dots, v_k in $T_p(M, C)$

$$(4) \quad \langle v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_k | (f^*\omega)(p) \rangle = \langle f_{*p}v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge f_{*p}v_k | \omega(f(p)) \rangle.$$

From (4) and (1) we get

$$\overline{f^*\omega}(X_1, \dots, X_k)(p) = \langle f_{*p}X_1(p) \wedge \dots \wedge f_{*p}X_k(p) | \omega(f(p)) \rangle \quad \text{for } p \in M.$$

For a given mapping (3) we have then the so-called f -pull-back $\omega \mapsto f^*\omega$. We

remark that if $g: (N, D) \rightarrow (N', D')$, then for any $\theta \in F^k(N', D')$ we have $(g \circ f)^* \theta = f^* g^* \theta$.

LEMMA. If (M, C) and (N, D) are differential spaces of finite dimensions, then for any smooth mapping (3) and any form $\omega \in F^k(N, D)$ we have

$$(5) \quad df^* \omega = f^* d\omega.$$

Proof. Let $p \in M$. We have to prove that $(df^* \omega)(p) = (f^* d\omega)(p)$. The hypothesis that (N, D) is of finite dimension yields that there exist a neighbourhood $B \in \tau_D$ of $f(p)$ and smooth vector fields V_1, \dots, V_n on (N, D) such that $V_1(q), \dots, V_n(q)$ is a base for $T_q(N, D)$, $q \in B$. Then (cf. [6]) there exist functions $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n \in D$ such that

$$V_i(f(p))(\varepsilon^j) = \delta_i^j, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, n.$$

From continuity of functions $\hat{\tau}_{V_i} \varepsilon^j$ it follows that at any point q of some neighbourhood of $f(p)$ we have the non-singular matrix

$$(6) \quad [V_i(q)(\varepsilon^j); i, j \leq n].$$

We may assume that such a neighbourhood is B . Let us take the matrix $[\gamma_h^i(q); h, i \leq n]$ being inverse to (6). We have then

$$\gamma_h^i(q) \cdot V_i(q)(\varepsilon^j) = \delta_h^j.$$

Thus

$$(7) \quad \gamma_h^i \cdot \hat{\tau}_{V_i} \varepsilon^j | B = \delta_h^j, \quad h, j = 1, \dots, n.$$

Equalities (7) yield $\gamma_h^i \in D_B$. From D -regularity of the differential space (N, D) it follows that diminishing, if necessary, the set B we can find an extension of functions γ_h^i to functions belonging to D . Denote these extensions also by γ_h^i . Next, we set

$$E_h(q) = \gamma_h^i(q) V_i(q) \quad \text{for } q \in N, h = 1, \dots, n.$$

We have then the smooth vector fields E_1, \dots, E_n which according to (7) satisfy the conditions

$$\hat{\tau}_{E_h} \varepsilon^j | B = \delta_h^j, \quad h, j = 1, \dots, n.$$

In particular, $E_1(q), \dots, E_n(q)$ form a base for $T_q(N, D)$, $q \in B$. Set for $q \in N$

$$(8) \quad \tilde{\omega}(q) = \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_k} \bar{\omega}(E_{i_1}, \dots, E_{i_k})(q) d\varepsilon^{i_1}(q) \wedge \dots \wedge d\varepsilon^{i_k}(q).$$

We have

$$d\varepsilon^j(q)(E_i(q)) = E_i(q)(\varepsilon^j) = (\partial_{E_i} \varepsilon^j)(q) = \delta_i^j \quad \text{for } q \in B.$$

Thus $d\varepsilon^j$ is a 1-form on (N, D) such that $\langle E_i(q) | d\varepsilon^j(q) \rangle = \delta_i^j$, $i, j = 1, \dots, n$.

For any $q \in B$ we have a base $d\varepsilon^1(q), \dots, d\varepsilon^n(q)$ for $(T_q(N, D))^*$. By (8), for $q \in B$ and $h_1 < \dots < h_k$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle E_{h_1}(q) \wedge \dots \wedge E_{h_k}(q) | \tilde{\omega}(q) \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_k} \bar{\omega}(E_{i_1}, \dots, E_{i_k})(q) \langle E_{h_1}(q) \wedge \dots \wedge E_{h_k}(q) | d\varepsilon^{i_1}(q) \wedge \dots \wedge d\varepsilon^{i_k}(q) \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_k} \bar{\omega}(E_{i_1}, \dots, E_{i_k})(q) \det [\delta_{h_r}^{i_s}; r, s \leq k] \\ &= \bar{\omega}(E_{h_1}, \dots, E_{h_k})(q) = \langle E_{h_1}(q) \wedge \dots \wedge E_{h_k}(q) | \omega(q) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\tilde{\omega}(q) = \omega(q)$ for $q \in B$. Thus

$$(9) \quad \omega|B = \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_k} \bar{\omega}(E_{i_1}, \dots, E_{i_k}) d\varepsilon^{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge d\varepsilon^{i_k} | B.$$

Let us remark that if $\omega|B = \omega_1|B$, where $\omega, \omega_1 \in F^k(N, D)$ and B is open in (N, D) , then

$$(10) \quad f^* \omega | f^{-1}[B] = f^* \omega_1 | f^{-1}[B].$$

Indeed, if ω and ω_1 are forms of degree 0, then $f^* \omega = \omega \circ f$ and $f^* \omega_1 = \omega_1 \circ f$, whereas for forms ω and ω_1 of degree $k \geq 1$ equality (10) follows directly from the definition of the f -pull-back by formula (4).

Let β be a form of degree 0 on (N, D) . For any vector v in $T_p(M, C)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} (f^* d\beta)(p)(v) &= (d\beta)(f(p))(f_{*p} v) = (f_* v)(\beta) \\ &= v(\beta \circ f) = v(f^* \beta) = d(f^* \beta)(v). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$(11) \quad f^* d\beta = df^* \beta.$$

Passing to the proof, by induction, of equality (5) we assume that (5) is satisfied for any form of degree lower than k , $k \geq 1$.

Let us take $\beta, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_k \in D$ and set

$$(12) \quad \omega = \beta d\beta_1 \wedge \dots \wedge d\beta_k.$$

We have then $f^* \omega = f^*(\beta d\beta_1 \wedge \dots \wedge d\beta_{k-1}) \wedge f^* d\beta_k$; thus, by (iii), we get

$$\begin{aligned} df^* \omega &= df^*(\beta d\beta_1 \wedge \dots \wedge d\beta_{k-1}) \wedge f^* d\beta_k + \\ &+ (-1)^{k-1} f^*(\beta d\beta_1 \wedge \dots \wedge d\beta_{k-1}) \wedge d(f^* d\beta_k). \end{aligned}$$

From (11) and (iv) it follows that $d(f^* d\beta_k) = dd f^* \beta_k = 0$. This yields

$$\begin{aligned} df^* \omega &= df^*(\beta d\beta_1 \wedge \dots \wedge d\beta_{k-1}) \wedge f^* d\beta_k \\ &= f^*(d(\beta d\beta_1 \wedge \dots \wedge d\beta_{k-1}) \wedge d\beta_k) \\ &= f^* d(\beta d\beta_1 \wedge \dots \wedge d\beta_{k-1} \wedge d\beta_k) = f^* d\omega. \end{aligned}$$

Equality (5) is satisfied for ω of the form (12). Taking now any form $\omega \in F^k(N, D)$ and $p \in M$ we state that there exist a neighbourhood B of $f(p)$ in (N, D) , smooth vector fields E_1, \dots, E_n on (N, D) , and $\varepsilon^1, \dots, \varepsilon^n \in D$ such that (9) holds. Assuming (8) for $q \in N$ and $U = f^{-1}[B]$ we have, in turn,

$$\begin{aligned} \omega|B &= \tilde{\omega}|B, & (d\omega)|B &= (d\tilde{\omega})|B, & (f^*d\omega)|U &= (f^*d\tilde{\omega})|U, \\ (f^*\omega)|U &= \left(\sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_k} f^*(\tilde{\omega}(E_{i_1}, \dots, E_{i_k})d\varepsilon^{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge d\varepsilon^{i_k}) \right) |U, \\ (df^*\omega)|U &= \left(d \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_k} f^*(\tilde{\omega}(E_{i_1}, \dots, E_{i_k})d\varepsilon^{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge d\varepsilon^{i_k}) \right) |U \\ &= \left(\sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_k} f^*d(\tilde{\omega}(E_{i_1}, \dots, E_{i_k})d\varepsilon^{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge d\varepsilon^{i_k}) \right) |U \\ &= (f^*d\tilde{\omega})|U = (f^*d\omega)|U. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, (5) holds for any $\omega \in F^k(N, D)$, which completes the proof.

2. Singular simplices and chains. The standard k -dimensional simplex in the Cartesian space \mathbf{R}^k , i.e., the set of all points (u^1, \dots, u^k) such that $0 \leq u^i \leq 1, i = 1, \dots, k$, and $u^1 + \dots + u^k \leq 1$, will be denoted by Δ_k . Setting

$$u = (u^1, \dots, u^k),$$

$$t_k^0(u) = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^k u^i, \quad \text{and} \quad t_k^i(u) = u^i, \quad i = 1, \dots, k,$$

we have the barycentric coordinates $t_k^0(u), \dots, t_k^k(u)$ of the point u . In \mathbf{R}^k we have the natural differential structure \mathcal{E}_k which consists of all C^∞ real functions on \mathbf{R}^k . This structure induces the differential structure $\mathcal{E}_{k\Delta_k}$ of the simplex Δ_k .

Every smooth mapping $s: (\Delta_k, \mathcal{E}_{k\Delta_k}) \rightarrow (M, C)$ will be called a *singular k -simplex* on (M, C) or, shortly, a *k -simplex* on (M, C) . The set of all k -simplices on (M, C) will be denoted by $S_k(M, C)$. Every function $c: S_k(M, C) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ such that the set of all $s \in S_k(M, C)$ for which $c(s) \neq 0$ is finite will be called a *singular k -chain* on (M, C) or, shortly, a *k -chain* on (M, C) . The set of all k -chains on (M, C) will be denoted by $C_k(M, C)$.

For any $s \in S_k(M, C)$ and any real number a we set $(as)(u) = a$ if $u = s$, and $(as)(u) = 0$ if $s \neq u \in S_k(M, C)$. In such a way we have defined a k -chain as on (M, C) . It is evident that any k -chain c may be written in the form

$$(13) \quad c = c(s_1)s_1 + \dots + c(s_h)s_h,$$

where $\{s_1, \dots, s_h\} = \{s; s \in S_k(M, C) \text{ and } c(s) \neq 0\}$. It is convenient to write

$$c = \sum_s c(s)s$$

instead of (13). Taking any smooth mapping (3) and setting for $c \in C_k(M, C)$

$$f_*(c) = \sum_s c(s) f \circ s$$

we get the mapping $f: C_k(M, C) \rightarrow C_k(N, D)$.

The set $C_k(M, C)$ may be treated in a natural way as a linear space. We have then a functor $f \mapsto f_*$ from the category of differential spaces into the category of linear spaces.

Now, we take the standard immersions $\Delta_{k,i}: \Delta_k \rightarrow \Delta_{k+1}$ setting for any $u \in \Delta_k$

$$(14) \quad t_{k+1}^j(\Delta_{k,i}(u)) = \begin{cases} t_k^j(u) & \text{if } j < i, \\ 0 & \text{if } j = i, \\ t_k^{j-1}(u) & \text{if } j > i, \end{cases}$$

$i = 0, \dots, k$ and $j = 0, \dots, k+1$. From this definition it follows that

$$\Delta_{k+1,h} \circ \Delta_{k,i} = \Delta_{k+1,i+1} \circ \Delta_{k,h} \quad \text{if } h \leq i.$$

For any $s \in S_k(M, C)$ we define the k -chain ∂s by the formula

$$(15) \quad \partial s = \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^i s \circ \Delta_{k-1,i},$$

which is called the *border* of s . For any k -chain c on (M, C) we define its border ∂c by the formula

$$(16) \quad \partial c = \sum_s c(s) \cdot \partial s.$$

Equalities (14)–(16) yield $\partial \partial c = 0$. Therefore, we may define the k -th singular homology group $H_k(M, C)$ of the differential space (M, C) .

3. Integration of forms along the chains. Let us consider the Cartesian space \mathbf{R}^k with the natural differential structure \mathcal{E}_k . At every its point u we have a base $\partial_{1u}^k, \dots, \partial_{ku}^k$ of tangent vectors defined by the formulae $\partial_{iu}^k \alpha = \partial_i \alpha(u)$ for any real function α being of class C^∞ in some neighbourhood of u ; ∂_i is the partial derivation with respect to the i -th variable. Taking the mapping

$$\text{id}_{\Delta_k}: (\Delta_k, \mathcal{E}_{k,\Delta_k}) \rightarrow (\mathbf{R}^k, \mathcal{E}_k)$$

we state that there exists a unique system of vectors $\partial_{1u}^k, \dots, \partial_{ku}^k$ of the space $T_u(\Delta_k, \mathcal{E}_{k,\Delta_k})$ such that

$$\text{id}_{\Delta_k, u}(\partial_{iu}^k) = \partial_{iu}^k, \quad i = 1, \dots, k.$$

This system is a base for $T_u(\Delta_k, \mathcal{E}_{k,\Delta_k})$.

Let $s \in S_k(M, C)$ and $\omega \in F^k(M, C)$. The integral

$$\int_{\Delta_k} \langle \partial_{1u}^k \wedge \dots \wedge \partial_{ku}^k | s^* \omega(u) \rangle du$$

will be denoted by $\int_s \omega$. For any $c \in C_k(M, C)$ we set

$$(17) \quad \int_c \omega = \sum_s c(s) \int_s \omega.$$

The number $\int_c \omega$ defined by formula (17) will be called the *integral of the form ω along the chain c* .

THEOREM (Stokes' formula). *If (M, C) is a Hausdorff differential space of finite dimension, then for any form $\omega \in F^k(M, C)$ and any $(k+1)$ -chain c on (M, C) we have*

$$\int_c d\omega = \int_{\partial c} \omega.$$

Proof. By linearity of the mapping

$$(c \mapsto \int_c \omega): C_k(M, C) \rightarrow R$$

it suffices to prove that

$$\int_s d\omega = \sum_{h=0}^{k+1} (-1)^h \int_{s \circ \Delta_{k,h}} \omega$$

or, which is equivalent, that

$$(18) \quad \int_{i_{k+1}} \theta = \sum_{h=0}^{k+1} (-1)^h \int_{\Delta_{k,h}} \theta,$$

where $\theta = s^* \omega$ and $i_i(z) = z$ for $z \in \Delta_i$. The number on the right-hand side of formula (18) may be written as $\int_{\tilde{\alpha}_{k+1}} \theta$. Formula (18) takes then the form

$$(19) \quad \int_{i_{k+1}} d\theta = \int_{\tilde{\alpha}_{k+1}} \theta.$$

Formula (19) is nothing but Stokes' formula known in advanced calculus (cf. [6]). This completes the proof.

Stokes' formula allows us to consider the de Rham mapping

$$((h, w) \mapsto \langle h, w \rangle): H_k(M, C) \times H^k(M, C) \rightarrow R$$

for a differential space (M, C) of finite dimension, where for any homology class h in $H_k(M, C)$ and any cohomology class w in $H^k(M, C)$ we set

$$\langle h, w \rangle = \int_c \omega, \quad c \in h \text{ and } \omega \in w.$$

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Kowalczyk, *The open immersion invariance of differential spaces of class D_0* , Demonstratio Math. 13 (1980), pp. 539–550.
- [2] H. Matuszczyk, *On the formula of Ślebodziński for Lie derivative of tensor fields in a differential space*, Colloq. Math. 46 (1982), pp. 233–241.
- [3] – *On exterior forms and exterior differential on a differential space of finite dimension*, Banach Center Publications 12, Polish Scientific Publishers, Warszawa 1984.
- [4] R. Sikorski, *Abstract covariant derivative*, Colloq. Math. 18 (1967), pp. 251–272.
- [5] – *Abstract exterior differential*, ibidem 26 (1972), pp. 55–58.
- [6] – *Introduction to Differential Geometry* (in Polish), Warszawa 1972.
- [7] S. Sternberg, *Lectures on Differential Geometry*, Prentice Hall, N. J., 1964.

Reçu par la Rédaction le 5. 4. 1983
