

## IMAGES OF COMPACT 0-DIMENSIONAL SEMIGROUPS

BY

AUGUST LAU (DENTON, TEXAS)

Every groupoid considered in the paper is equipped with a Hausdorff topology which makes the operation jointly continuous. A *semilattice* is a commutative semigroup in which each element is idempotent; it is a *Lawson semilattice* if it has a basis of neighborhoods each of which is a subsemigroup. A compact semigroup  $S$  is a *Z-image* if there exists a continuous homomorphism from a compact 0-dimensional semigroup onto  $S$ . The first hint of using Z-images is the following theorem:

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $S$  be a compact semilattice. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1)  $S$  is a Lawson semilattice.
- (2)  $S$  is a continuous homomorphic image of a compact 0-dimensional semilattice.
- (3)  $S$  is a continuous homomorphic image of a compact 0-dimensional semigroup.

It was well known that (1) and (2) are equivalent (see [3] and [6]). A compact groupoid  $S$  is *finitely neighborable* (f.n.) if, given any open cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $S$ , there exists a finite refinement  $\mathcal{V}$  such that

$$S = \bigcup \{\text{int } V \mid V \in \mathcal{V}\},$$

and if  $A, B \in \mathcal{V}$ , then there exists  $C \in \mathcal{V}$  such that  $AB \subseteq C$ . It turns out that compact 0-dimensional semigroups and their continuous homomorphic images are f.n. (see [4]). So a compact semilattice which is a Z-image is f.n. For a compact semilattice, f.n. is equivalent to being Lawson [4].

Theorem 1 shows the importance of Z-images. One would like to obtain an intrinsic characterization of semigroups which are Z-images. However, for groupoids, there is a solution [5]:

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $S$  be a compact groupoid. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1)  $S$  is a continuous homomorphic image of a compact subgroupoid of a product of finite groupoids.
- (2)  $S$  is f.n.

Unfortunately, the author cannot adapt the groupoid proof to the semigroup situation. So it remains:

**PROBLEM 1 (P 1049).** Let  $S$  be a compact semigroup. Is it true that  $S$  is a  $Z$ -image iff  $S$  is f.n.?

Hofmann in [1] used the concept of *ultrametric*, i.e.,

$$d(ab, cd) \leq \max(d(a, c), d(b, d)).$$

It turns out that f.n. is stronger than ultrametric.

**LEMMA 1.** *If  $S$  is a compact metric f.n. semigroup, then  $S$  is ultrametrizable.*

**Proof.** Let  $N_1, N_2, \dots$  be a countable base for its uniformity. Since  $S$  is compact, for each  $n$  there exists a finite open cover  $\mathcal{U}_n$  such that

$$\bigcup \{U \times U \mid U \in \mathcal{U}_n\} \subseteq N_n.$$

Let  $\mathcal{V}_n$  be the refinement of  $\mathcal{U}_n$  given by the definition of f.n. If  $U, V \in \mathcal{V}_n$ , then

$$(U \times U)(V \times V) \subseteq W \times W \quad \text{for some } W \in \mathcal{V}_n.$$

Thus  $\bigcup \{V \times V \mid V \in \mathcal{V}_n\}$  is a subsemigroup of  $S \times S$ . This is sufficient to give  $S$  an ultrametric (see [1], p. 283).

**LEMMA 2.** *Let  $S$  be a compact semigroup. Then a  $Z$ -image implies f.n. which implies an inverse limit of ultrametrizable compact semigroups.*

**Proof.**  $Z$ -images are f.n. [4]. Let  $S$  be f.n. Then  $S$  is an inverse limit of compact metric semigroups (see [2] or [7]). Each factor of the limit is f.n., since  $S$  is f.n. By Lemma 1, each factor is ultrametrizable.

Before proceeding to the equivalence of the three concepts for commutative semigroups, some lemmas are needed.

**LEMMA 3.** *If  $S$  is a compact ultrametrizable semigroup, then each maximal subgroup is 0-dimensional.*

For the proof, see [1], p. 282.

**Notation.** If  $F$  is a subset of a semigroup, then  $F^*$  denotes the smallest closed semigroup generated by  $F$ .

**LEMMA 4.** *If  $S$  is a compact commutative semigroup where each maximal subgroup is 0-dimensional and  $F$  is a finite subset of  $S$ , then  $F^*$  is 0-dimensional.*

**Proof.** If  $G$  is a compact subgroup of  $S$  and  $x \in S$ , then  $xG$  is 0-dimensional, since  $xG$  is homeomorphic to  $G/H$ , where  $H = \{g \in G \mid xg = xe\}$  ( $e$  is the identity of  $G$ ). If  $y \in F$ , then  $\{y\}^*$  is 0-dimensional since its minimal ideal is a compact group. Note that  $S$  is commutative. Hence  $F^*$  is the countable union of finite products of singletons or finite products of points with compact 0-dimensional groups. A finite product of compact

0-dimensional groups is the quotient of the Cartesian product of the groups, and hence is 0-dimensional. By the sum theorem in dimension theory,  $F^*$  is 0-dimensional.

If  $S$  is a compact ultrametric semigroup, then  $2^S$  is the hyperspace of compact non-empty subsets of  $S$  with the Hausdorff metric which is an ultrametric on  $2^S$  ( $2^S$  is a semigroup under set product). It is equivalent to the Vietoris topology generated by

$$\langle U_1, U_2, \dots, U_n \rangle = \{A \in 2^S \mid A \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n U_i \text{ and } A \cap U_i \neq \emptyset \text{ for each } i\},$$

where each  $U_i$  is open in  $S$ .

**THEOREM 3.** *If  $S$  is a compact commutative semigroup, then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1)  $S$  is a  $Z$ -image.
- (2)  $S$  is f.n.
- (3)  $S$  is an inverse limit of ultrametrizable compact semigroups.

*Proof.* By Lemma 2, (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3). It is sufficient to prove that if  $S$  is a compact commutative ultrametric semigroup, then  $S$  is a  $Z$ -image. Construct a sequence of finite closed covers of  $S$ , denoted by  $\mathcal{C}_1, \mathcal{C}_2, \dots$ , such that

- (a)  $\text{mesh } \mathcal{C}_n \leq 1/n$ ,
- (b) if  $A \in \mathcal{C}_n$ , then  $A$  is the union of some elements of  $\mathcal{C}_{n+1}$ .

Note that if  $\mathcal{C}$  is a finite closed cover of  $S$  and  $\text{mesh } \mathcal{C} \leq t$ , then  $\text{diam } A_1 A_2 \dots A_n \leq t$  for  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n \in \mathcal{C}$ , since  $S$  has an ultrametric. Hence  $\text{mesh } \mathcal{C}^* \leq t$ , where  $\mathcal{C}^*$  is the compact semigroup generated by  $\mathcal{C}$  in  $2^S$ . Also  $\mathcal{C}^*$  is 0-dimensional by Lemmas 3 and 4.

Let

$$G = \left\{ (A_n) \in \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{C}_n^* \mid A_n \supseteq A_{n+1} \text{ for all } n = 1, 2, \dots \right\}.$$

Then  $G$  is a subsemigroup of the Cartesian product. To show that  $G$  is closed, let  $A_{n+1} \not\subseteq A_n$  for some  $n$ . Choose open sets  $U$  and  $V$  so that  $A_n \subseteq U$  and  $A_{n+1} \cap V \neq \emptyset$  and  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ . Let

$$W = \underbrace{2^S \times \dots \times 2^S}_{n-1 \text{ factors}} \times \langle U \rangle \times \langle S, V \rangle \times 2^S \times \dots$$

Then  $W \cap G = \emptyset$ .

Define  $f: G \rightarrow S$  by  $f((A_n)) = \bigcap A_n$  which is a point since  $\text{mesh } \mathcal{C}_n^* \rightarrow 0$ . To show continuity, let  $\bigcap A_n^* \in U$  which is open in  $S$ . Then  $A_N \subseteq U$  for some  $N$ . Let

$$W = \underbrace{2^S \times \dots \times 2^S}_{N-1 \text{ terms}} \times \langle U \rangle \times 2^S \times \dots$$

Then  $f(W \cap G) \subseteq U$ .

To show that  $f$  is a homomorphism, let  $(A_n), (B_n) \in \mathcal{G}$ . Then

$$(\bigcap A_n)(\bigcap B_n) \subseteq \bigcap A_n B_n.$$

But  $\text{diam } A_n B_n \rightarrow 0$  implies

$$(\bigcap A_n)(\bigcap B_n) = \bigcap A_n B_n.$$

To show "onto", let  $x \in S$ . Then  $x \in A_1 \in \mathcal{C}_1$ . Since  $A_1$  is a union of some elements in  $\mathcal{C}_2$ ,  $x \in A_2 \subseteq A_1$  for some  $A_2 \in \mathcal{C}_2$ . One can choose a sequence  $A_1, A_2, \dots$  in  $\mathcal{G}$  so that  $x = \bigcap A_n$ .

**PROBLEM 2 (P 1050).** If  $S$  is a compact ultrametric semigroup and  $F$  is a finite subset, is  $F^*$  0-dimensional?

If Problem 2 has a positive solution, then Problem 1 has a positive solution (the same proof as for Theorem 3).

**COROLLARY.** *The interval  $[0, 1/2]$  under real multiplication is a  $Z$ -image.*

#### REFERENCES

- [1] K. H. Hofmann, *A general invariant metrization theorem for compact spaces*, *Fundamenta Mathematicae* 68 (1970), p. 281-296.
- [2] — and P. S. Mostert, *Elements of compact semigroups*, C. E. Merrill Books 1966.
- [3] A. Y. W. Lau, *Small semilattices*, *Semigroup Forum* 4 (1972), p. 150-155.
- [4] — *Coverable semigroups*, *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society* 38 (1973), p. 661-664.
- [5] — *Finitely neighborable groupoids*, *Kyungpook Mathematical Journal* 16 (1976), p. 155-159.
- [6] J. D. Lawson, *Topological semilattices with small semilattices*, *The Journal of the London Mathematical Society* 1 (1969), p. 719-724.
- [7] W. Taylor, *Some constructions of compact algebras*, *Annals of Mathematical Logic* 3 (1971), p. 395-437.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
NORTH TEXAS STATE UNIVERSITY  
DENTON, TEXAS

*Reçu par la Rédaction le 9. 3. 1977*