

CARDINAL FUNCTIONS ON HYPERSPACES

BY

TAKEMI MIZOKAMI (SASEBO, NAGASAKI)

For a space X , $\mathcal{C}(X)$ denotes the collection of all non-empty compact subsets of X , and $\mathcal{A}(X)$ denotes the collection of all non-empty closed subsets of X . It is well known that $\mathcal{C}(X)$ and $\mathcal{A}(X)$ can be topologized by the finite topology a base of which consists of all sets of the form

$$\langle U_1, \dots, U_n \rangle = \{K \in \mathcal{C}(X) \text{ (respectively, } K \in \mathcal{A}(X)) : K \subset \bigcup U_j \text{ and } K \cap U_j \neq \emptyset \text{ for each } j\},$$

where U_1, \dots, U_n are open sets of X . We shall consider in this paper the heredity on $\mathcal{C}(X)$ or $\mathcal{A}(X)$ of cardinal functions on X .

Let $\chi, \psi, \delta, d, \omega, \pi, z$ be the cardinal functions called *character, pseudo-character, tightness, density, weight, π -weight, width*, respectively, as defined in [2]. Let φ be the cardinal function of χ and ψ ; we put

$$\varphi_K(X) = \sup\{\varphi(K, X) : K \in \mathcal{C}(X)\}, \quad \varphi_A(X) = \sup\{\varphi(F, X) : F \in \mathcal{A}(X)\}$$

(for $\varphi(K, X)$ and $\varphi(F, X)$, see [2]).

Let φ be the cardinal function of d and π ; we set

$$\varphi_K(X) = \sup\{\varphi(K) : K \in \mathcal{C}(X)\} \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi_A(X) = \sup\{\varphi(F) : F \in \mathcal{A}(X)\}.$$

In the sequel, all spaces are assumed to be Hausdorff and all cardinals are assumed to be infinite. N always denotes the set of all positive integers.

LEMMA 1. $\psi(\mathcal{C}(X)) \geq \pi_K(X)$.

Proof. Let $\psi(\mathcal{C}(X)) = m$ and $K \in \mathcal{C}(X)$. Then K can be represented as

$$K = \bigcap \{\langle W_{a_1}, \dots, W_{a_{k_a}} \rangle : a \in A\},$$

where $k_a \in N$ and $|A| \leq m$. Put

$$\mathcal{V} = \{V_{aj} = K \cap W_{aj} : j = 1, \dots, k_a, a \in A\}.$$

We shall show that \mathcal{V} is a π -base for the subspace K . Let $\emptyset \neq G' = G \cap K$ with G open in X . Then

$$L = K \setminus G \in \mathcal{C}(X) \quad \text{and} \quad K \neq L.$$

Thus there exists an $a \in A$ such that $L \notin \langle W_{a1}, \dots, W_{ak} \rangle$. This implies $L \cap W_{aj} = \emptyset$ for some j . It follows that $G' \subset V_{aj}$. Hence $\pi(K) \leq m$ for each $K \in \mathcal{C}(X)$.

LEMMA 2. *If X is locally compact, then $\partial'_1(\mathcal{C}(X)) \geq \chi_K(X)$.*

Proof. Let X be a locally compact space with $\partial(\mathcal{C}(X)) = m$. Let $K \in \mathcal{C}(X)$. Put

$$\mathcal{U} = \{U : U \text{ is a compact neighborhood of } K \text{ in } X\}.$$

It follows from the local compactness of X that $K \in \text{Cl}_{\mathcal{C}(X)}(\mathcal{U})$. Since $\partial(\mathcal{C}(X)) = m$, there exists a subcollection \mathcal{U}_0 of \mathcal{U} with $|\mathcal{U}_0| \leq m$ such that $K \in \text{Cl}_{\mathcal{C}(X)}(\mathcal{U}_0)$. To see that \mathcal{U}_0 is a neighborhood base of K in X , suppose that $K \subset G$ with G open in X . Then $K \in \langle G \rangle$. There exists a $U \in \mathcal{U}_0$ such that $U \in \langle G \rangle$, which implies $U \subset G$. Therefore, $\{\text{Int } U : U \in \mathcal{U}_0\}$ is a local base of K in $\mathcal{C}(X)$.

In a similar way we have

COROLLARY. *If X is regular, then $\partial(\mathcal{A}(X)) \geq \chi_K(X)$.*

LEMMA 3. $\partial(\mathcal{C}(X)) \geq d_K(X)$.

Proof. Let $\partial'_1(\mathcal{C}(X)) = m$. Then

$$\mathcal{A}(K) \subset \mathcal{C}(X) \quad \text{and} \quad \partial(\mathcal{A}(K)) \leq m.$$

Thus it suffices to prove the inequality $\partial(\mathcal{A}(X)) \geq d(X)$ when X is compact. But this is clear from Theorem 1 in [3].

THEOREM 1. $\chi(\mathcal{C}(X)) = \chi_K(X)d_K(X)$.

Proof. Let $\chi(\mathcal{C}(X)) = m$. By Lemma 1 and by trivial inequalities $\psi \leq \chi$ and $d \leq \pi$ we have $d_K(X) \leq m$. Let $K \in \mathcal{C}(X)$ and let $\mathcal{B}(K) = \{B_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$ be a local base of K in $\mathcal{C}(X)$ with $|A| \leq m$. Without loss of generality we can assume that

$$B_\alpha = \langle B_{\alpha 1}, \dots, B_{\alpha k_\alpha} \rangle,$$

where each $B_{\alpha j}$ is open in X and $k_\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$. Then we put

$$B_\alpha = \bigcup \{B_{\alpha j} : j = 1, \dots, k_\alpha\}.$$

We infer easily that $\{B_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$ is a base of K in X , and thus $\chi_K(X)d_K(X) \leq m$. Conversely, let $m = \chi_K(X)d_K(X)$. Then we show that $\chi(\mathcal{C}(X)) \leq m$. Since $\chi_K(X) \leq m$, there exists a base $\{U_\alpha : 0 \leq \alpha < \omega_m\}$ of K in X , where ω_m is an initial ordinal of m . On the other hand, $d_K(X) \leq m$ implies the existence of a dense set $D = \{d_\alpha : 0 \leq \alpha < \omega_m\}$. Since $\chi(p, X) \leq m$, there exists a local base $\{V_\alpha(d_\beta) : 0 \leq \alpha < \omega_m\}$ of d_β in X . Put

$$\mathcal{V} = \{V_\alpha(d_\beta) : 0 \leq \alpha, \beta < \omega_m\}.$$

Then $|\mathcal{V}| \leq m$. Let $\Delta(\mathcal{V})$ be the totality of finite subcollections of \mathcal{V} . For each $\{V_1, \dots, V_k\} \in \Delta(\mathcal{V})$ and $0 \leq \alpha < \omega_m$ we put

$$\langle V_1, \dots, V_k : U_\alpha \rangle = \{L \in \mathcal{C}(X) : L \subset U_\alpha \text{ and } L \cap V_j \neq \emptyset \text{ for each } j\}.$$

Set

$$\langle \mathcal{V} \rangle = \{\langle V_1, \dots, V_k : U_\alpha \rangle : \{V_1, \dots, V_k\} \in \Delta(\mathcal{V}), 0 \leq \alpha < \omega_m\}.$$

Then $\langle \mathcal{V} \rangle$ is a family of open sets containing K with $|\langle \mathcal{V} \rangle| \leq m$. Let $\langle W_1, \dots, W_n \rangle$ be an open set containing K . Since $K \subset \bigcup W_j$, we have $U_\alpha \subset \bigcup W_j$ for some α . Now, $W_j \cap K \neq \emptyset$ implies that there exists a $V_j \in \mathcal{V}$ such that $V_j \subset W_j$. Thus

$$K \in \langle V_1, \dots, V_n : U_\alpha \rangle \subset \langle W_1, \dots, W_n \rangle.$$

Hence we have $\chi(\mathcal{C}(X)) \leq m$.

COROLLARY 1. $\mathcal{C}(X)$ is first countable if and only if every compact set of X is separable and of countable character.

This is an answer to Question 2 proposed by Smithson in [4]. The similar argument is applicable to the case $\mathcal{A}(X)$, and so we have

COROLLARY 2. $\chi(\mathcal{A}(X)) = \chi_A(X) d_A(X)$.

COROLLARY 3. If X is locally compact, then $\chi(\mathcal{C}(X)) = \partial(\mathcal{C}(X))$.

Proof. The inequality $\partial(\mathcal{C}(X)) \leq \chi(\mathcal{C}(X))$ is trivial. Conversely, by Lemmas 2 and 3, we have $\chi_K(X), d_K(X) \leq \partial(\mathcal{C}(X))$. Hence by Theorem 1 we have the equality.

COROLLARY 4. $\chi(\mathcal{C}(X)) \leq \partial(\mathcal{A}(X))$ if X is regular.

For the proof use the Corollary to Lemma 2.

This refines Corollary 2 to Theorem 2 in [3].

THEOREM 2. $\psi(\mathcal{C}(X)) = \psi_K(X) \pi_K(X)$.

Proof. By Lemma 1, $m = \psi(\mathcal{C}(X)) \geq \pi_K(X)$. Let $K \in \mathcal{C}(X)$. Write

$$K = \bigcap \{\langle W_{\alpha_1}, \dots, W_{\alpha_k} \rangle : \alpha \in A\}, \quad |A| \leq m.$$

Set $W_\alpha = \bigcup \{W_{\alpha_j} : j = 1, \dots, k_\alpha\}$. If $p \notin K$, then

$$L = \{p\} \cup K \in \mathcal{C}(X) \quad \text{and} \quad L \neq K.$$

Therefore, $L \notin \langle W_{\alpha_1}, \dots, W_{\alpha_k} \rangle$ for some α . Then $p \notin W_\alpha$. Consequently $K = \bigcap W_\alpha$. Hence we have

$$\psi(\mathcal{C}(X)) \geq \psi_K(X) \pi_K(X).$$

Conversely, let $m = \psi_K(X) \pi_K(X)$ and $K \in \mathcal{C}(X)$. Let $\mathcal{G} = \{G_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$ be a π -base for K and let V_α be an open set of X with $V_\alpha \cap K = G_\alpha$. Put

$$\mathcal{V} = \{V_\alpha : \alpha \in A\} \quad \text{and} \quad K = \bigcap \{W_\beta : \beta \in B\}, \quad |B| \leq m.$$

Let $\Delta(\mathcal{V})$ be the totality of finite subcollections of \mathcal{V} . Then $|\Delta(\mathcal{V})| \leq m$. For each $\{V_1, \dots, V_k\} \in \Delta(\mathcal{V})$ and $\beta \in B$, construct

$$\langle V_1, \dots, V_k: W_\beta \rangle = \{L \in \mathcal{C}(X): L \subset W_\beta, L \cap V_j \neq \emptyset \text{ for each } j\}.$$

Then $\langle V_1, \dots, V_k: W_\beta \rangle$ is an open set containing K . Suppose that $K \neq L \in \mathcal{C}(X)$. If $L \setminus K \neq \emptyset$, then there exist a point $p \in L \setminus K$ and $\beta \in B$ with $p \notin W_\beta$. Then

$$L \notin \langle V_1, \dots, V_k: W_\beta \rangle$$

for any finite collection $\{V_1, \dots, V_k\}$ of \mathcal{V} . If $L \subset K$, then there exist a point $p \in K \setminus L$ and G_α with $G_\alpha \cap L = \emptyset$. In this case we have $L \notin \langle V_\alpha: W_\beta \rangle$ for any $\beta \in B$. Therefore, it follows that

$$K = \bigcap \{ \langle V_1, \dots, V_k: W_\beta \rangle : \{V_1, \dots, V_k\} \in \Delta(\mathcal{V}), \beta \in B \}.$$

This completes the proof.

A similar argument shows that

COROLLARY 1. $\psi(\mathcal{A}(X)) = \psi_A(X)\pi_A(X)$.

COROLLARY 2. Every point in $\mathcal{C}(X)$ is G_α if and only if every compact set of X is G_α and has a countable π -base.

THEOREM 3. $d(X) = d(\mathcal{C}(X))$.

Proof. Suppose that $d(\mathcal{C}(X)) = m$. Then there exists a dense subset $\{K_\alpha: \alpha \in A\}$ of $\mathcal{C}(X)$ with $|A| = m$. Take an arbitrary point $p_\alpha \in K_\alpha$ for each α . Then $D = \{p_\alpha: \alpha \in A\}$ is dense in X . Hence $d(X) \leq m$.

Conversely, suppose that D is a dense set of X with $|D| = m$. Then $\mathcal{F}(D) = \{E \subset D: E \text{ finite}\}$ is a dense subset of $\mathcal{C}(X)$. Hence $d(\mathcal{C}(X)) \leq m$.

COROLLARY 1 (Theorem 2.1 in [1]). $|\mathcal{C}(X)| \leq 2^{2^{d(X)}}$.

For the proof, use 2.4 in [2].

COROLLARY 2. $|\mathcal{C}(X)| \leq 2^{z(X)z_K(X)}$.

Indeed, by 2.6, 2.20 in [2] and Theorem 1 we have

$$|\mathcal{C}(X)| \leq d(\mathcal{C}(X))^{z(\mathcal{C}(X))} = d(X)^{z_K(X)d_K(X)} \leq z(X)^{z(X)z_K(X)} = 2^{z(X)z_K(X)}.$$

THEOREM 4. (i) $\pi(X) = \pi'(\mathcal{C}(X))$. (ii) $\omega(X) = \omega(\mathcal{C}(X))$.

The proof is trivial.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. K. Burke and R. E. Hodel, *The number of compact subsets of a topological space*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society 58 (1976), p. 363-368.
- [2] I. Juhász, *Cardinal functions in topology*, Mathematical Centre Tracts 34, Amsterdam 1975.

- [3] V. I. Malyhin, *On tightness and Suslin number in $\exp X$ and in a product of spaces*, Soviet Mathematics Doklady 13 (1972), p. 496-499.
- [4] R. E. Smithson, *First countable hyperspaces*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society 56 (1976), p. 325-328.

SASEBO TECHNICAL COLLEGE
OKISHIN-CHO, SASEBO, NAGASAKI

Reçu par la Rédaction le 1. 8. 1977
