## REMARKS ON SIMILARITY AND QUASISIMILARITY OF OPERATORS

RY

## J. JANAS (KRAKÓW)

The paper is divided into two parts. The first part concerns an extension of Friedrichs' method of similarity. The second one is devoted to quasisimilar subnormal operators.

1. Let L(E) be the Banach algebra of all bounded linear operators in a Banach space E. Assume we are given an operator  $T \in L(E)$ , a second Banach space W, and a continuous linear map  $\varphi \colon w \in W \to \varphi w \in L(E)$  (with ker  $\varphi = 0$ ). Let  $\Gamma \colon W \to L(E)$  be a continuous map such that

(1) 
$$T\Gamma(w) - \Gamma(w) T = \varphi w, \quad w \in W.$$

We would like to know for which  $w \in W$  operators T and  $T + \varphi w$  are similar. We use the method developed by Friedrichs [3]. In order to do this we also assume that there is a continuous map  $\psi \colon W \times W \to W$  such that

(2) 
$$\varphi \psi(w_1, w_2) = \Gamma(w_1) \varphi w_2, \quad w_1, w_2 \in W.$$

Suppose additionally that  $\psi(\cdot, w_0)$  satisfies, for every  $w_i \in W$  (i = 0, 1, 2) and  $||w_i|| \le 1$  (i = 1, 2) the following condition (the local Lipschitz condition):

(3) 
$$||\psi(w_1, w_0) - \psi(w_2, w_0)|| \leq k ||w_0|| ||w_1 - w_2||.$$

Now applying the method of Friedrichs we have

PROPOSITION 1. Let  $\varphi: W \to L(E)$  be a continuous linear map with zero kernel. Suppose we are given an operator  $T \in L(E)$  and a continuous map  $\Gamma: W \to L(E)$  with  $\Gamma(0) = 0$  which satisfies (1). Assume that there is a continuous map  $\psi: W \times W \to W$  which satisfies (2) and (3). Assume that  $||z|| < \delta$  implies  $||\Gamma(z)|| < 1$  for a certain  $\delta > 0$ . If

$$\frac{||w||}{1-||w||\,k}<\delta,$$

then the operators  $T + \varphi w$  and T are similar.

Proof. We want to find an invertible  $U \in L(E)$  such that

$$U(T+\varphi w)=TU.$$

Following Friedrichs we put  $U = I + \Gamma(z)$ . Hence

$$(I + \Gamma(z))(T + \varphi w) = T(I + \Gamma(z))$$

is equivalent to

$$\varphi z = \Gamma(z) \varphi w + \varphi w$$
.

By (2) we can write

$$\varphi z - \varphi \psi(z, w) = \varphi(z - \psi(z, w)) = \varphi w,$$

and so

$$(4) z - \psi(z, w) = w.$$

Now it is clear (by (3)) that for any  $w \in W$  ( $w \neq 0$ ) such that

$$\frac{||w||}{1-||w||\,k}<\delta$$

the sequence  $\{u_n\} \subset W$  defined by

$$u_0 = w,$$
  

$$u_1 = \psi(u_0, w) + w,$$

$$u_{n+1} = \psi(u_n, w) + w$$

is convergent to the unique solution  $z_0$  of (4). Moreover, by our choice of w and  $\delta$  we have

$$||z_0|| \le ||w|| + k ||z_0|| ||w||.$$

It follows that

$$||z_0|| \leq \frac{||w||}{1-||w||k} < \delta,$$

and so  $||\Gamma(z_0)|| < 1$ . Thus the operator  $I + \Gamma(z_0)$  is invertible, and the proof is complete.

Now we give some illustrating examples.

Example 1. Let  $T \in L(E)$ . Assume that for certain  $X_1, X_2 \in L(E)$  we have

- (a)  $TX_1 X_1 T = [T, X_1]$  is a one-dimensional operator  $C_1$ ;
- (b)  $X_2$  commutes with T;
- (c) if  $C_1 z = (z, w) \dot{x}$ , then  $X_s \dot{x} = \lambda_s \dot{x}$ , s = 1, 2.

Put

$$\Gamma(\alpha) = \alpha X_1 + \alpha^2 X_2, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{C}, \ \varphi \alpha = [T, \Gamma(\alpha)] = \alpha C_1.$$

Now

$$\Gamma(\alpha) \varphi \beta = \varphi \psi(\alpha, \beta), \quad \text{where } \psi(\alpha, \beta) = (\alpha \lambda_1 + \alpha^2 \lambda_2) \beta.$$

Since  $\psi(\alpha, \beta)$  satisfies (3) (with  $k = |\lambda_1| + 2|\lambda_2|$ ) and (2), Proposition 1 can be applied.

EXAMPLE 2. Let  $T, X \in L(E)$  be a pair of operators in E such that X[T, X] = [T, X]X = 0. Now put

$$\Gamma(\alpha) = \alpha X, \quad \varphi \alpha = \alpha [T, X], \ \alpha \in C.$$

We have.

$$\Gamma(\alpha) \varphi \beta = \alpha \beta [T, X] X = 0.$$

Take any  $\alpha$  such that  $|\alpha| ||X|| < 1$ . Then the operators  $T + \varphi \alpha$  and T are similar.

We can specify the above general assumptions in a special case. Namely, if E = H is a Hilbert space and S is a quasinormal operator ( $SS^*S = S^*SS$ ), then we can simply put  $T = S^*$  and X = S, for they satisfy the above assumptions.

Remark 1. Let

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} S & A \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}, \quad X = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & W \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

be a pair of operators on E (where the above matrices are written with respect to an arbitrary decomposition  $E = E_1 \oplus E_2$ ).

Since X[T, X] = 0, we obtain the similarity of T+[T, X] and T. In fact, I+X is invertible and

$$(I+X)(T+[T, X]) = T(I+X).$$

This remark suggests that the method of Friedrichs can also be applied in other situations.

2. Now we shall consider quasisimilar subnormal operators in a complex Hilbert space. Let us recall this notion.

We say that  $T_s \in L(H_s)$ , s = 1, 2, are quasisimilar if there are linear, bounded mappings  $X_1: H_2 \to H_1$  and  $X_2: H_1 \to H_2$  with the following properties:

$$\ker X_i = \{0\}, \quad \overline{R(X_i)} = H_i \quad \text{and} \quad X_2 T_1 = T_2 X_1, \quad T_1 X_1 = X_1 T_2.$$

In his work [2], Clary has proved that quasisimilar subnormal operators

have equal spectra. As we shall see below they also have equal essential spectra under some additional conditions.

Let  $\sigma_e(T)$  denote the essential spectrum of  $T \in L(E)$ . We have the following

PROPOSITION 2. Assume that  $T_s \in L(H_s)$  are quasisimilar subnormal operators with minimal normal extensions  $N_s \in L(K_s)$ , s = 1, 2. Assume that  $\sigma(N_s) \subset \partial \sigma(T_s)$ . Then  $\sigma_e(T_1) = \sigma_e(T_2)$ .

Proof. By the above-mentioned result of Clary,  $\sigma(T_1) = \sigma(T_2)$ . Thus  $\sigma(N_1) = \partial \sigma(T_1) = \partial \sigma(T_2) = \sigma(N_2)$ . By symmetry it is enough to check that  $\lambda \notin \sigma_e(T_1)$  implies  $\lambda \notin \sigma_2(T_2)$ . Let  $\lambda \notin \sigma_e(T_1)$ . We have two cases:  $\lambda \notin \sigma(N_1)$  and  $\lambda \in \sigma(N_1)$ .

If  $\lambda \notin \sigma(N_1)$ , then  $\lambda \notin \sigma(N_2)$ . Hence  $R(\lambda - T_2)$  is closed. But

$$\dim \ker (\lambda - T_2) = \dim \ker (\lambda - T_1) < \infty$$

and

$$\dim \ker (\lambda - T_2)^* = \dim \ker (\lambda - T_1)^* < \infty,$$

so  $\lambda \notin \sigma_e(T_2)$ .

If  $\lambda \in \sigma(N_1)$ , then  $\lambda \in \partial \sigma(T_1)$ . By the result of Putnam [4], either  $\lambda$  is an isolated point of the point spectrum of  $T_1$  ( $\sigma_p(T_1)$ ) with a finite multiplicity or  $\lambda \in \sigma_e(T_1)$ . Since the latter is impossible, we have  $\lambda \in \sigma_p(T_1)$ . Hence  $\lambda \in \sigma_p(T_2)$ , and so  $\lambda \notin \sigma_e(T_2)$ . The proof is complete.

The assumptions of Proposition 2 are not necessary for the equality of essential spectra of quasisimilar subnormal operators, as the following example shows.

EXAMPLE 3. Let  $A^2$  denote the Bergman space in the unit disc D. Denote by  $B_z$  the operator of multiplication by z on  $A^2$ . Let S be a subnormal operator quasisimilar to  $B_z$ . Then  $\sigma_e(S) = \sigma_e(B_z) = \partial D$ .

Indeed, it is clear that S is cyclic. Thus there exists a measure  $\mu$  (supp  $\mu \subseteq \overline{D}$ ) such that S is unitarily equivalent to the operator  $T_{\mu}$  of multiplication by z on  $H^2(\mu)$ . By our assumption there exists  $X: H^2(\mu) \to A^2$  such that  $XT_{\mu} = B_z X$ . It follows that  $Xp = \phi p$  for every polynomial p, where  $\phi = X1$ . Hence

$$\int |p\phi|^2 dA \leqslant ||X||^2 \int |p|^2 d\mu,$$

where dA denotes two-dimensional Lebesgue measure. Since  $\phi$  is cyclic for  $B_z$ ,  $\phi(0) \neq 0$ . Thus

$$\int_{D} \log |\phi|^{2} dA = 2 \int_{0}^{1} \left( \int_{0}^{2\pi} \log |\phi(re^{i\theta})|^{2} d\theta \right) r dr = 2\pi \log |\phi(0)| > -\infty.$$

Now applying the reasoning of Brennan in [1], p. 175, we have

$$|p(\lambda)|^2 \leqslant C_{\lambda} \int |p|^2 |\phi|^2 dA \leqslant C_{\lambda} ||X||^2 \int |p|^2 d\mu.$$

It follows that  $(\lambda - T_{\mu})H^{2}(\mu)$  is closed in  $H^{2}(\mu)$ . Since

$$\dim \ker (\lambda - T_u)^* = \dim \ker (\lambda - B_z)^* = 1$$
,

 $\lambda - T_{\mu}$  is Fredholm. But  $\sigma(T_{\mu}) = \bar{D}$ , whence  $\partial D \subseteq \sigma_{e}(T_{\mu})$ . Consequently,

$$\sigma_e(S) = \sigma_e(T_u) = \partial D = \sigma_e(B_z).$$

By the way let us note the following simple corollary to the abovementioned result of Clary. But first recall the notation. For  $T \in L(H)$  we denote by Rat T the algebra of operators of the form r(T), where r is a rational function with poles off the spectrum  $\sigma(T)$ .

COROLLARY. Assume that  $T \in L(H)$  and  $S \in L(K)$  are hyponormal and quasisimilar (XT = SX and TY = YS). If there is a finite number of vectors  $f_1, \ldots, f_n \in H$  for which

$$\bigvee_{i} \operatorname{Rat}(T) f_{i} = H$$

 $(\bigvee M_i$  denotes the linear span of  $M_i$ ), then

$$\bigvee_{i} \operatorname{Rat}(S) X f_{i} = K.$$

Proof. Let  $y \perp r(S) X f_i$  for every  $r \in \text{Rat } \sigma(S)$ , i = 1, ..., n. Since  $\sigma(T) = \sigma(S)$ ,  $r \in \text{Rat } \sigma(T)$  and we have

$$0 = (y, r(S) X f_i) = (y, Xr(T) f_i),$$

and so (y, Xf) = 0,  $f \in H$ . Hence y = 0. The proof is complete.

Remark 2. Let  $\mathcal{K}(H)$  stand for the ideal of compact operators in a Hilbert space H. Denote by  $\pi$  the projection onto the Calkin algebra:

$$\pi: L(H) \to L(H)/\mathscr{K}(H).$$

Suppose S and T are given hyponormal operators on H which are similar modulo compact, i.e.,

$$S = X^{-1} TX + K$$
,  $K \in \mathcal{K}(H) = \mathcal{K}$ .

Assume that  $[T^*, T] \in \mathcal{K}$ . Then  $[S^*, S] \in \mathcal{K}$ .

In fact,  $\pi(T)$  is normal in  $L(H)/\mathcal{K}$  and

$$\pi(S) = \pi(X)^{-1} \pi(T) \pi(X).$$

Hence, applying Corollary 1 of [5], we see that  $\pi(S)$  is also normal, and so  $[S^*, S] \in \mathcal{K}$ .

Remark 3. If S and T are quasisimilar and quasinormal (see Example 2) and  $[S^*, S] \in \mathcal{N}$ , then  $[T^*, T] \in \mathcal{N}$ .

This is immediate by [7].

PROBLEM (P 1345). In view of the above remarks (and Corollary 1) we ask whether for quasisimilar hyponormal operators S and T the compactness of  $[S^*, S]$  implies the compactness of  $[T^*, T]$ .

Now we shall give an application of the above-mentioned result of Clary to subnormal operators. We say that a collection  $A_1, \ldots, A_n$  of commuting operators on H has a commuting normal extension if there exist commuting normal operators  $N_1, \ldots, N_n$  defined on some  $K \supset H$  with

$$A_i f = N_i f$$
,  $f \in H$ ,  $i = 1, ..., n$ .

In what follows  $\sigma(A_1, \ldots, A_n)$  stands for the Taylor joint spectrum of  $A_1, \ldots, A_n$  (see [6]). Denote by  $\mathcal{P}(A_1, \ldots, A_n)$  the smallest Banach algebra with unit generated by  $A_1, \ldots, A_n$ .

PROPOSITION 3. Suppose we are given two collections  $\{A_1, ..., A_n\}$  (on  $H_1$ ) and  $\{B_1, ..., B_n\}$  (on  $H_2$ ) of commuting subnormal operators with normal extensions on larger spaces. If  $\{A_1, ..., A_n\}$  and  $\{B_1, ..., B_n\}$  are quasisimilar  $(XA_i = B_i X, A_i Y = YB_i, i = 1, ..., n)$ , then

$$\sigma(A_1, \ldots, A_n) = \sigma(B_1, \ldots, B_n),$$

where  $Z^{\hat{}}$  denotes the polynomial convex hull of a compact set  $Z \subset C^n$ .

Proof. For  $T \in L(H)$  we denote by r(T) the spectral radius of T. By symmetry it is enough to prove the implication

$$\lambda \notin \sigma(A_1, \ldots, A_n) \hat{} \Rightarrow \lambda \notin \sigma(B_1, \ldots, B_n) \hat{}.$$

Let  $\sigma_{\mathscr{P}(A_1,\ldots,A_n)}(A_1,\ldots,A_n)$  denote the joint spectrum of  $(A_1,\ldots,A_n)$  with respect to  $\mathscr{P}(A_1,\ldots,A_n)=\mathscr{P}$ . Then by Theorem 5.2 of [6] we have

$$\sigma(A_1,\ldots,A_n)^{\hat{}}=\sigma_{\mathscr{Q}}(A_1,\ldots,A_n).$$

Hence there exist  $S_1, ..., S_n \in \mathcal{P}$  such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} S_i(\lambda_i - A_i) = I.$$

Choose sequences of elements  $p_{ki}(A_1, ..., A_n) \in \mathcal{P}$  such that

$$\lim_{k} ||p_{ki}(A_1, \ldots, A_n) - S_i|| = 0, \quad i = 1, \ldots, n.$$

By the result of Clary we have

$$\sigma(p_{ki}(B_1, ..., B_n) - p_{li}(B_1, ..., B_n)) = \sigma(p_{ki}(A_1, ..., A_n) - p_{li}(A_1, ..., A_n))$$

for each k, l and i = 1, ..., n. We can write

$$||p_{ki}(B_1, ..., B_n) - p_{li}(B_1, ..., B_n)|| = r(p_{ki}(B_1, ..., B_n) - p_{li}(B_1, ..., B_n))$$

$$= r(p_{ki}(A_1, ..., A_n) - p_{li}(A_1, ..., A_n))$$

$$= ||p_{ki}(A_1, ..., A_n) - p_{li}(A_1, ..., A_n)||.$$

Hence

$$\lim_{k} p_{ki}(B_1, \ldots, B_n) = R_i \quad \text{for a certain } R_i \in \mathscr{P}(B_1, \ldots, B_n).$$

Thus

$$X = X \sum_{i=1}^{n} S_i(\lambda_i - A_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} R_i(\lambda_i - B_i) X.$$

But  $\overline{R(X)} = H_2$ , so

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} R_{i}(\lambda_{i} - B_{i}) = I, \quad \text{i.e.,} \quad \lambda \notin \sigma(B_{1}, \ldots, B_{n})^{\hat{}}.$$

The proof is complete.

## REFERENCES

- [1] J. E. Brennan, Invariant subspaces and weighted polynomial approximation, Ark. Mat. 11 (2) (1973), pp. 167-187.
- [2] S. Clary, Equality of spectra of quasisimilar hyponormal operators, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 53 (1975), pp. 88-90.
- [3] N. Dunford and J. T. Schwartz, Linear Operators, Part III, Spectral Operators, New York 1971.
- [4] C. R. Putnam, Commutation Properties of Hilbert Space Operators and Related Topics, Springer-Verlag, 1967.
- [5] J. G. Stampfli and B. L. Wadhwa, An asymmetric Putnam-Fuglede theorem dominant operators, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 25 (1976), pp. 359-365.
- [6] J. L. Taylor, The analytic functional calculus for several commuting operators, Acta Math. 125 (1970), pp. 1-38.
- [7] L. R. Williams, Equality of essential spectra of quasisimilar quasinormal operators, preprint, 1980.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS POLISH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES KRAKÓW

Reçu par la Rédaction le 7.4.1981