

## ON GROUP RINGS OF ORDERED GROUPS

BY

G. KARPILOVSKY (BUNDOORA)

Let  $G$  be a right-ordered group and let  $R$  be a commutative unital ring. It is well known [2] that absence of zero divisors in  $R$  forces the group ring  $RG$  to have only trivial units. In this note we prove that  $RG$  has trivial unit group if and only if  $R$  has no non-zero nilpotent elements and no non-trivial idempotents. We also prove that, when  $G$  is an ordered group,  $RG$  has no  $R$ -integral elements except for  $R$  if and only if  $R$  has no non-zero nilpotent elements. Finally, we offer a brief argument to show that if  $G$  is an abelian group such that the torsion subgroup of  $G$  is finite, then  $G$  is determined up to isomorphism by its rational group algebra  $QG$ . This result extends the work of Perlis and Walker [3], in which  $G$  was assumed to be finite.

**1. Background information.** In this section we shall describe the notation and, for the reader's convenience, recall relevant definitions.

Let  $R$  be a unital ring and let  $G$  be a group. Then the group ring  $RG$  of  $G$  over  $R$  is the free  $R$ -module with basis  $G$  and with multiplication extended  $R$ -bilinearly from the multiplication in  $G$ . It is a functor of both  $R$  and  $G$ . If  $J$  is an ideal of  $R$  and if  $\bar{\alpha}$  is the image of  $\alpha$  under the canonical homomorphism  $R \rightarrow R/J$ , then the mapping

$$RG \rightarrow (R/J)G, \quad \sum \alpha_g g \rightarrow \sum \bar{\alpha}_g g$$

is a surjective homomorphism with kernel  $JG$ . The kernel of the augmentation  $RG$  to  $R$  induced by collapsing  $G$  to 1 is called the *augmentation ideal* and will be denoted by  $I(G)$ . A unit  $u \in RG$  is said to be *trivial* if  $u = r \cdot g$  for some  $r \in U(R)$ , the unit group of  $R$ , and some  $g \in G$ . For  $x = \sum x_g g \in RG$  the supporting subgroup of  $x$  is defined as the subgroup of  $G$  generated by  $\text{Supp } x = \{g \in G \mid x_g \neq 0\}$ . A unit  $u = \sum u_g g$  is called *normalised* if  $\sum u_g = 1$  and a group basis in  $RG$  is said to be *normalised* if it consists of normalised units. Suppose that  $H$  is a subgroup of  $G$  and let  $T$  be a right transversal for  $H$  in  $G$ . Then  $RG$  is a free  $RH$ -module with  $T$  as a free basis. In particular, if  $G = H_1 \times H_2$ , then  $RG$  is a free  $RH_1$ -module with  $H_2$  as a free basis, and since  $sh = hs$  for any  $s \in RH_1$  and for any  $h \in H_2$ , we have  $R(H_1 \times H_2) = (RH_1)H_2$ . A group  $G$  is said to be *ordered* (respectively, *right-ordered*) if

the elements of  $G$  can be linearly ordered in a manner compatible with the group multiplication (respectively, with multiplication on the right). Any torsion-free nilpotent group is an ordered group and any group having a finite subnormal series with quotients which are torsion-free abelian is a right-ordered group (see [2]). After this digression into the terminology we now direct our attention to units and integral elements in  $RG$ .

**2. Units and integral elements in group rings of ordered groups.** The object of this section is to prove the following result:

**THEOREM 1.** (a) *Let  $R$  be a commutative unital ring and let  $G$  be a right-ordered group. Then the group ring  $RG$  has only trivial units if and only if  $R$  has no non-zero nilpotent elements and no non-trivial idempotents.*

(b) *Let  $R$  be an associative ring with 1 and let  $G$  be an ordered group. Then  $RG$  has no  $R$ -integral elements except for  $R$  if and only if  $R$  has no nilpotent elements.*

*Proof.* Let  $RG$  have only trivial units. If  $0 \neq r \in R$  with  $r^n = 0$  for some  $n \geq 1$ , then for any non-identity element  $g$  in  $G$  we have  $(rg)^n = 0$  so that  $1 + rg$  is a non-trivial unit. If  $r$  is a non-trivial idempotent in  $R$ , then for any non-identity element  $g$  in  $G$  the unit  $u = r \cdot 1 + (1-r)g$  is non-trivial in  $RG$  (with  $u^{-1} = r \cdot 1 + (1-r)g^{-1}$ ). This proves the "only if" part of (a). Suppose now that  $R$  has no non-zero nilpotent elements and no non-trivial idempotents. Let  $x = \sum x_g g$  and  $y = \sum y_g g$  be elements in  $RG$  such that  $xy = 1 = yx$ . Then, by taking coefficients of 1 in  $xy = 1$ , we get

$$(1) \quad \sum x_g y_{g^{-1}} = 1,$$

and therefore

$$(2) \quad x_t y_{t^{-1}} \neq 0 \quad \text{for some } t \in G.$$

We claim that the result will hold provided the following is true:

$$(3) \quad x_g x_h = 0 \quad \text{whenever } g \neq h.$$

Indeed, if (3) is valid, then multiplication of (1) by  $x_t$  yields

$$x_t^2 y_{t^{-1}} = x_t \quad \text{and} \quad (x_t y_{t^{-1}})^2 = x_t y_{t^{-1}}.$$

Because of (2) this forces  $x_t y_{t^{-1}} = 1$  and multiplication of this equality by  $x_g$  ( $g \neq t$ ) yields the desired result.

We are now left to prove (3). Suppose by way of contradiction that  $x_g x_h \neq 0$  for some  $g, h$  in  $G$  such that  $g \neq h$ . Since  $x_g x_h$  is not a nilpotent element, there exists a prime ideal  $P$  in  $R$  such that  $x_g x_h \notin P$ . Let  $\bar{s}$  be the image of  $s \in RG$  under the canonical homomorphism  $RG \rightarrow (R/P)G$ . Then

$$\bar{x}_g \neq 0, \bar{x}_h \neq 0 \text{ in } \bar{R} = R/P \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{x}_y = 1 = \bar{y}_x \text{ in } \bar{R}G.$$

Thus  $\bar{R}G$  has a non-trivial unit, which is a contradiction because  $\bar{R}$  is an integral domain (see [2]). This completes the proof of (a).

To prove (b), we first note that if  $r^n = 0$  and  $0 \neq r \in R$ , then  $rg$  is  $R$ -integral for any  $1 \neq g \in G$ . Suppose, conversely, that  $R$  has no nilpotent elements and assume by way of contradiction that  $\alpha \notin R$  is  $R$ -integral, i.e.

$$\alpha^n = r_1 \alpha^{n-1} + r_2 \alpha^{n-2} + \dots + r_n$$

for some  $n \geq 2$  and some  $r_i \in R$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . An easy induction argument shows that if  $s$  is the greatest element in  $\text{Supp } \alpha$  (respectively,  $t$  is the smallest element in  $\text{Supp } \alpha$ ), then  $s^k$  is the greatest element in  $\text{Supp } \alpha^k$  (respectively,  $t^k$  is the smallest element in  $\text{Supp } \alpha^k$ ). Suppose that  $s > 1$ . Then because of  $s^n > s^i$  for any  $i$ ,  $0 \leq i < n$ , it follows that  $s^n \in \text{Supp } \alpha^n$  but  $s^n \notin \text{Supp } \alpha^i$ ,  $0 \leq i < n$ , a contradiction. Thus  $s \leq 1$ . A similar argument shows that  $t \geq 1$ , and therefore  $s = t = 1$ , contrary to the assumption that  $\alpha \notin R$ . Consequently, it may be inferred that (b) also holds, thus completing the proof.

The corollary which follows is well known for the case where  $R$  is an integral domain (see [2]).

**COROLLARY.** *Let  $G$  be a right-ordered group and let  $R$  be a commutative unital ring without non-zero nilpotent elements and without non-trivial idempotents. Then  $RG$  determines  $G$  up to isomorphism.*

**Proof.** Let  $H$  be a group such that  $RG \cong RH$ . Without loss of generality we may assume that  $H$  is a normalised group basis of  $RG$ . Now, Theorem 1 may be used to infer that  $H \subseteq G$ , and since  $H$  is an  $R$ -basis of  $RG$ , we have  $H = G$ , as desired.

**3. Isomorphisms of rational group algebras.** It is well known [3] that if  $G$  is a finite abelian group, then  $G$  is determined up to isomorphism by its rational group algebra  $QG$ . The theorem which follows extends this result.

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $G$  be an abelian group such that the torsion subgroup  $T(G)$  of  $G$  is finite. Then  $G$  is determined up to isomorphism by  $QG$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $H$  be a group such that  $QG \cong QH$ . Without loss of generality we may assume that  $H$  is a normalised group basis of  $QG$ . It is clear that each element in  $QT(H)$  is  $Q$ -integral. On the other hand, if  $\alpha$  is a  $Q$ -integral element of  $QH$ , then by looking at the supporting subgroup of  $\alpha$  we deduce that  $\alpha \in Q(T(H_1) \times F)$ , where  $H_1$  and  $F$  are finite and torsion-free subgroups of  $H$ , respectively. Since  $Q(T(H_1) \times F) = (QT(H_1))F$  and  $QT(H_1)$  is semisimple, we infer from Theorem 1 that  $\alpha \in QT(H_1) \leq Q(T(H))$ . Thus  $QT(H)$  consists of all  $Q$ -integral elements in  $QH$ , and therefore  $QT(G) = QT(H)$ . It follows from [3] that  $T(G) \cong T(H)$ . We now use a result due to Baer [1] to deduce that  $G = T(G) \times F_1$  and  $H = T(H) \times F_2$ , where  $F_1$  (respec-

tively,  $F_2$ ) is a torsion-free subgroup of  $G$  (respectively, of  $H$ ). Since  $QG \cdot I(T(G)) = QH \cdot I(T(H))$ , we have

$$QF_1 \cong QG/QG \cdot I(T(G)) = QH/QH \cdot I(T(H)) \cong QF_2.$$

The desired result is now a consequence of the Corollary to Theorem 1.

It is known that if  $G$  is a finite  $p$ -group and if  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  is the field of  $p$ -adic integers, then  $\mathbb{Q}_p G$  determines  $G$  up to isomorphism (see [4]). Therefore, the same proof as that of Theorem 2 gives the following useful companion to it.

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $G$  be an abelian group such that the torsion subgroup of  $G$  is a finite  $p$ -group. Then  $G$  is determined up to isomorphism by its  $p$ -adic group algebra  $\mathbb{Q}_p G$ .*

#### REFERENCES

- [1] R. Baer, *The subgroup of the elements of finite order of an abelian group*, *Annals of Mathematics* 37 (1936), p. 766-781.
- [2] D. S. Passman, *Algebraic structure of group rings*, New York 1977.
- [3] P. Perlis and G. L. Walker, *Abelian group algebras of finite order*, *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society* 68 (1950), p. 420-426.
- [4] S. K. Sehgal, *On the isomorphism of  $p$ -adic group rings*, *Journal of Number Theory* 2 (1970), p. 500-508.

*Reçu par la Rédaction le 1. 10. 1980*