

TRIGONOMETRIC INTERPOLATION, I

BY

R. TABERSKI (POZNAŃ)

1. Preliminaries. Throughout this paper the function $f(s)$ is 2π -periodic, Riemann-integrable over the interval $\langle -\pi, \pi \rangle$, and is a subject to further restrictions specified below.

Consider the n -th interpolating polynomial

$$\frac{a_0^{(n)}}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^n (a_k^{(n)} \cos ks + b_k^{(n)} \sin ks)$$

which coincides at the points

$$(1) \quad s_l = s_l^{(n)} = 2\pi l / (2n + 1) \quad (l = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots)$$

with $f(s_l)$. Write

$$I_\nu^{(n)}(x; f) = \frac{a_0^{(n)}}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^\nu (a_k^{(n)} \cos kx + b_k^{(n)} \sin kx) \quad (0 \leq \nu \leq n).$$

Since

$$(2) \quad a_k^{(n)} = \frac{2}{2n+1} \sum_{l=-n}^n f(s_l) \cos ks_l, \quad b_k^{(n)} = \frac{2}{2n+1} \sum_{l=-n}^n f(s_l) \sin ks_l,$$

we have

$$(3) \quad I_\nu^{(n)}(x; f) = \frac{2}{2n+1} \sum_{l=-n}^n f(s_l) D_\nu(s_l - x),$$

where

$$D_\nu(z) = \frac{1}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^\nu \cos kz = \frac{\sin(\nu + \frac{1}{2})z}{2 \sin \frac{1}{2}z}.$$

Introduce a convenient integral notation analogous to that of [3], p. 4. Let $\omega_n(s)$ be the step function which is equal to $2\pi l / (2n + 1)$ for

$s \in \langle s_{l-1}, s_l \rangle$ ($l = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$). Consider an interval $\langle a, b \rangle$; suppose that $s_{\alpha-1} < a \leq s_\alpha < s_{\alpha+1} < \dots < s_\beta < b \leq s_{\beta+1}$. Then we shall write

$$(4) \quad \int_a^b \varphi(s) d\omega_n(s) = \frac{2\pi}{2n+1} \sum_{l=\alpha}^\beta \varphi(s_l)$$

for any function $\varphi(s)$ defined in $\langle a, b \rangle$. If φ is continuous in this interval, integral (4) exists in the Riemann-Stieltjes sense. If φ is 2π -periodic, then $\int_a^{a+2\pi} \varphi(s) d\omega_n(s)$ is independent of a . In particular, by the above convention,

$$a_k^{(n)} = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^\pi f(s) \cos ks d\omega_n(s), \quad b_k^{(n)} = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^\pi f(s) \sin ks d\omega_n(s)$$

and

$$I_\nu^{(n)}(x; f) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^\pi f(s) D_\nu(s-x) d\omega_n(s) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(s) D_\nu(s-x) d\omega_n(s).$$

Let $g(s)$ be a function defined in the interval $I = \langle a, b \rangle$. Denote by Π an arbitrary partition of I , generated by the points

$$a = x_0 < x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_{m-1} < x_m = b.$$

Write, for a given $p > 0$,

$$V_p(g; I) = \sup_\Pi \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m |g(x_i) - g(x_{i-1})|^p \right\}^{1/p}.$$

As it is well-known, the quantity $V_p(g; I)$ is called the p -th variation of f ; if $V_p(g; I) < \infty$, we say that g is of bounded p -th variation over I .

In this Note we investigate the convergence of trigonometric polynomials (3) and their coefficients (2) for some functions f .

2. Fundamental lemmas. Start with the following

2.1. LEMMA. Let $S = (s_{l_0}, s_{l_1}, \dots, s_{l_r})$ be an arbitrary subsequence of the nodes $s_{-n}, s_{-n+1}, \dots, s_{n+1}$ defined by (1), and let $q > 1$. Then

$$\max_S \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^r \left| \int_{s_{l_{i-1}}}^{s_{l_i}} D_\nu(s-x) d\omega_n(s) \right|^q \right\}^{1/q}$$

is uniformly bounded in ν, n, x ($0 \leq \nu \leq n, -\pi \leq x \leq \pi$).

Proof. The points $s_{l_0}, s_{l_1}, \dots, s_{l_{r-1}}$ belong to the interval $\langle x-2\pi, x+2\pi \rangle$ whenever $x \in \langle -\pi, \pi \rangle$. Set

$$a_m = x + \frac{2\pi m}{2\nu+1} \quad (m = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots, \pm(2\nu+1)).$$

Consider any interval $\langle a, b \rangle \subset \langle a_m, a_p \rangle$. Applying the inequality

$$(5) \quad \left| \sum_{i=a}^{\beta} \sin\left(\nu + \frac{1}{2}\right)(s_i - x) \right| \leq \frac{2n+1}{2\nu+1} \quad (-\pi \leq x \leq \pi),$$

valid for arbitrary integers a, β , we easily prove that

$$(6) \quad \left| \int_a^b D_\nu(s-x) d\omega_n(s) \right| \leq 5\pi \max \left(\frac{1}{|m|+1}, \frac{1}{|p|+1}, \frac{1}{2\nu+2-|m|}, \frac{1}{2\nu+2-|p|} \right)$$

if $-2\nu-1 \leq m < p \leq 0$ or $0 \leq m < p \leq 2\nu+1$, $0 \leq \nu \leq n$. In the case of $\langle a, b \rangle = \langle a_m, a_{m+1} \rangle$, the left-hand side of (6) can be replaced by

$$\int_{a_m}^{a_{m+1}} |D_\nu(s-x)| d\omega_n(s).$$

Let us write

$$\sum_{i=1}^r \left| \int_{s_{i-1}}^{s_i} D_\nu(s-x) d\omega_n(s) \right|^q = \left(\sum_i' + \sum_i'' \right) \left| \int_{s_{i-1}}^{s_i} D_\nu(s-x) d\omega_n(s) \right|^q,$$

where \sum_i' denotes summation over all those i for which $\langle s_{i-1}, s_i \rangle$ contains no a_m ($m = 0, \pm 1, \dots, \pm(2\nu+1)$).

Obviously

$$\sum_i' \left| \int_{s_{i-1}}^{s_i} D_\nu(s-x) d\omega_n(s) \right|^q \leq \sum_{j=-2\nu}^{2\nu+1} \left\{ \int_{a_{j-1}}^{a_j} |D_\nu(s-x)| d\omega_n(s) \right\}^q$$

and, by (6), the last sums are uniformly bounded. Also, applying (6), we observe that

$$\sum_i'' \left| \int_{s_{i-1}}^{s_i} D_\nu(s-x) d\omega_n(s) \right|^q \leq C,$$

where C is an absolute constant. Hence the assertion follows (cf. [2], p. 272-274).

Now, we shall prove a similar

2.2. LEMMA. *Under the assumption of 2.1,*

$$\max_S \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^r \left| \int_{s_{i-1}}^{s_i} \frac{\cos ks}{\sin ks} d\omega_n(s) \right|^q \right\}^{1/q} \leq \frac{5^{1/q} \pi}{k^{1-1/q}} \quad (1 \leq k \leq n).$$

Proof. Consider the cosine case only. Let us put

$$b_m = \frac{2m-1}{2k} \pi \quad \text{for } m = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots, \pm(k-1),$$

$$b_{-k} = -\pi, \quad b_k = \frac{2k-1}{2k} \pi, \quad b_{k+1} = \pi,$$

and write

$$\sum_{i=1}^r \left| \int_{s_{l_{i-1}}}^{s_{l_i}} \cos ks \, d\omega_n(s) \right|^a = \left(\sum_i' + \sum_i'' \right) \left| \int_{s_{l_{i-1}}}^{s_{l_i}} \cos ks \, d\omega_n(s) \right|^a;$$

where \sum_i' is extended over all those i for which $\langle s_{l_{i-1}}, s_{l_i} \rangle$ contains no b_m .

Observing that, for any $\langle a, b \rangle \subset \langle b_m, b_p \rangle$,

$$\left| \int_a^b \cos ks \, d\omega_n(s) \right| \leq \pi/k \quad \text{when } -k \leq m < p \leq k+1,$$

$$\int_{b_m}^{b_{m+1}} |\cos ks| \, d\omega_n(s) \leq \pi/k \quad \text{when } -k+1 \leq m \leq k-1,$$

$$\left\{ \int_{b_{-k}}^{b_{-k+1}} |\cos ks| \, d\omega_n(s) \right\}^a + \left\{ \int_{b_k}^{b_{k+1}} |\cos ks| \, d\omega_n(s) \right\}^a \leq (\pi/k)^a,$$

and reasoning as before, we get the estimate as desired (cf. [2], p. 275-276).

Finally, an analogue of the Riemann-Lebesgue theorem will be given,

2.3. LEMMA. *Let $0 < \delta < \pi$. Then*

$$(i) \quad \lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\pi}^{x-\delta} f(s) D_\nu(s-x) \, d\omega_n(s) = 0, \quad \lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} \int_{x+\delta}^{\pi} f(s) D_\nu(s-x) \, d\omega_n(s) = 0$$

uniformly in $x \in \langle -\pi + \delta, \pi - \delta \rangle$, and

$$(ii) \quad \lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{x-\delta} f(s) D_\nu(s-x) \, d\omega_n(s) = 0, \quad \lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} \int_{x+\delta}^{2\pi} f(s) D_\nu(s-x) \, d\omega_n(s) = 0$$

uniformly in $x \in \langle \delta, 2\pi - \delta \rangle$.

Proof of (i). Consider only the integral

$$J_{\nu,n}(x) = \int_{x+\delta}^{\pi} f(s) D_\nu(s-x) \, d\omega_n(s) \quad (-\pi + \delta \leq x \leq \pi - \delta).$$

Put

$$F_x(s) = \frac{f(s)}{2 \sin \frac{1}{2}(s-x)}, \quad M = \sup_{-\pi \leq s \leq \pi} |f(s)|.$$

Given a positive $\lambda < \delta/2$, there is a partition

$$-\pi = z_1 < z_2 < \dots < z_k < \dots < z_{m+1} = \pi$$

such that

$$\max_{1 \leq k \leq m} |z_{k+1} - z_k| < \lambda \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{k=1}^m (z_{k+1} - z_k) \operatorname{Osc}_{z_k \leq s \leq z_{k+1}} f(s) < \lambda.$$

Hence, if $z_\varrho < x + \delta \leq z_{\varrho+1}$, we have

$$(7) \quad \sum_{k=\varrho}^m (z_{k+1} - z_k) \operatorname{Osc}_{z_k \leq s \leq z_{k+1}} F_x(s) < \frac{\lambda}{2 \sin \frac{1}{4} \delta} + \frac{\pi \lambda M}{2 \sin^2 \frac{1}{4} \delta}.$$

Let us write

$$J_{\nu, n}(x) = \left(\int_{x+\delta}^{z_{\varrho+1}} + \int_{z_{\varrho+1}}^{\pi} \right) F_x(s) \sin(\nu + \frac{1}{2})(s-x) d\omega_n(s) = J' + J''.$$

Evidently,

$$|J'| \leq \int_{z_\varrho}^{z_{\varrho+1}} |F_x(s)| d\omega_n(s) \leq \frac{M}{2 \sin \frac{1}{4} \delta} \left(\lambda + \frac{2\pi}{2n+1} \right),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |J''| &\leq \sum_{k=\varrho+1}^m \int_{z_k}^{z_{k+1}} |F_x(s) - F_x(z_k)| d\omega_n(s) + \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=\varrho+1}^m |F_x(z_k)| \left| \int_{z_k}^{z_{k+1}} \sin(\nu + \frac{1}{2})(s-x) d\omega_n(s) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{\lambda}{2 \sin \frac{1}{4} \delta} + \frac{\pi \lambda M}{2 \sin^2 \frac{1}{4} \delta} + \frac{2\pi m M}{(2n+1) \sin \frac{1}{4} \delta} + \frac{\pi m M}{(2\nu+1) \sin \frac{1}{4} \delta} \end{aligned}$$

by (7) and (5).

Thus

$$|J_{\nu, n}(x)| \leq \frac{\lambda(M+1)}{\sin \frac{1}{4} \delta} + \frac{\pi \lambda M}{\sin^2 \frac{1}{4} \delta} \quad (-\pi + \delta \leq x \leq \pi - \delta)$$

for ν and n large enough, which completes the proof (cf. [1], p. 461; [3], p. 17).

3. Main results. First we shall present an analogue of the well-known Young's test.

3.1. THEOREM. *Suppose that $f(s)$ is of bounded p -th variation over an interval $\langle A, B \rangle$, $p \geq 1$. Then*

(i) *we have*

$$(8) \quad \lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} I_\nu^{(n)}(x; f) = f(x)$$

at every point of continuity of f in (A, B) ;

(ii) if f is continuous at every point x of a closed interval $\langle a, b \rangle \subset (A, B)$, the convergence (8) is uniform in $\langle a, b \rangle$.

Proof of (ii). Consider the case $-\pi \leq A < B \leq \pi$. Suppose that $p_1 > p$. Choose, for an arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$, a positive $\delta \leq \min(a - A, B - b)$ such that

$$|f(x+h) - f(x)| < \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad V_{p_1}(f; \langle x - \delta, x + \delta \rangle) < \varepsilon,$$

when $x \in \langle a, b \rangle$ and $|h| < \delta$ (see (8.2a) of [2]). Write

$$\begin{aligned} I_\nu^{(n)}(x; f) - f(x) &= \frac{1}{\pi} \left(\int_{-\pi}^{x-\delta} + \int_{x-\delta}^x + \int_x^{x+\delta} + \int_{x+\delta}^{\pi} \right) \{f(s) - f(x)\} D_\nu(s-x) d\omega_n(s) \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} (J_1 + J_2 + J_3 + J_4). \end{aligned}$$

By 2.3, the integrals J_1, J_4 tend to zero as $\nu \rightarrow \infty$, uniformly in $\langle a, b \rangle$. The Abel transformation leads to

$$\begin{aligned} J_3 &= \frac{2\pi}{2n+1} \sum_{j=k}^{m-1} \sum_{l=k}^j \{f(s_j) - f(s_{j+1})\} D_\nu(s_l - x) + \\ &\quad + \frac{2\pi}{2n+1} \{f(s_m) - f(x)\} \sum_{l=k}^m D_\nu(s_l - x) = J'_3 + J''_3, \end{aligned}$$

where the nodes s_k, s_{k+1}, \dots, s_m are in $\langle x, x + \delta \rangle$. Applying inequality (5.1) of [2] and our Lemma 2.1, we obtain

$$|J'_3| \leq C(p_1) V_{p_1}(f; \langle x, x + \delta \rangle) \quad (x \in \langle a, b \rangle, 1 \leq \nu \leq n),$$

with a constant $C(p_1)$ depending only on p_1 . Also, by 2.1, there is an absolute constant K such that

$$\frac{2\pi}{2n+1} \left| \sum_{l=k}^m D_\nu(s_l - x) \right| \leq K.$$

Consequently,

$$|J_3| < \{C(p_1) + K\} \varepsilon \quad (x \in \langle a, b \rangle, 1 \leq \nu \leq n),$$

and J_3 can be replaced by J_2 , too. Thus the result follows.

The proof of (i) runs on the same line (cf. [2], p. 274 and [3], p. 17).

By the analysis of the proof of (5.5) in [3], pp. 17-18, we get

3.2. THEOREM. Let $f(s)$ be continuous at every point of a closed interval $\langle a, b \rangle$. Suppose that, for every $x \in \langle a, b \rangle$, there is a function $\mu_x(s)$ non-decreasing in an interval $\langle 0, \eta \rangle$ such that

$$|f(x \pm s) - f(x)| \leq \mu_x(s) \quad (0 \leq s \leq \eta)$$

and

$$\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 0^+} \int_0^\sigma \frac{\mu_x(s)}{s} ds = 0$$

uniformly in $x \in \langle a, b \rangle$. Then the convergence (8) is uniform in $\langle a, b \rangle$.

Now, three estimates for Fourier-Lagrange coefficients (2) will be given.

3.3. THEOREM. *Suppose that $f(s)$ is of bounded p -th variation over $\langle -\pi, \pi \rangle$, with $p > 1$. Set $V = V_p(f; \langle -\pi, \pi \rangle)$.*

(i) *If $1 \leq k \leq n$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$), then*

$$(9) \quad |a_k^{(n)}| \leq \frac{C_1 V}{k^{1/p'}} \quad \text{for any } p' > p$$

and

$$(10) \quad |a_k^{(n)}| \leq \frac{C_2 V}{k^{1/p}} \log(n+1),$$

where C_1 and C_2 are some positive constants depending on p and p' only.

(ii) *If $0 < c \leq k/n \leq 1$, $c = \text{const}$, we have*

$$(11) \quad |a_k^{(n)}| \leq \left(\frac{2}{c}\right)^{1-1/p} \frac{V}{k^{1/p}}.$$

Estimates (9)-(11) remain true for $b_k^{(n)}$, too.

Proof. By the Abel transformation,

$$a_k^{(n)} = \frac{2}{2n+1} \sum_{j=-n}^{n-1} \sum_{l=-n}^j \{f(s_j) - f(s_{j+1})\} \cos ks_l.$$

(i) Choose a number $q > 1$ such that $1/p + 1/q > 1$. Then inequality (5.1) of [2] and Lemma 2.2 give

$$|a_k^{(n)}| \leq \frac{5^{1/q} V}{k^{1-1/q}} \left\{ 1 + \zeta \left(\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} \right) \right\}.$$

By putting $p' = q/(q-1)$, we get (9).

Choose, next, a $q > 1$ such that $1/p + 1/q = 1$. In this case inequality (5.1) of [2] should be replaced by

$$\left| \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^j a_l b_j \right| \leq (2 + \log n) S_{p,q}(a, b).$$

Applying it together with 2.2, we conclude (10).

(ii) In view of Hölder's inequality (see also [3], p. 15-16)

$$|a_k^{(n)}| \leq \frac{1}{k} \left\{ \sum_{j=-n}^{n-1} |f(s_j) - f(s_{j+1})|^p \right\}^{1/p} (2n)^{1-1/p} \leq \frac{V}{k} (2n)^{1-1/p},$$

and (11) follows.

REFERENCES

[1] I. P. Natanson, *On the convergence of trigonometrical interpolation at equidistant knots*, Annals of Mathematics 45 (1944), p. 457-471.

[2] L. C. Young, *An inequality of the Hölder type connected with Stieltjes integration*, Acta Mathematica 67 (1936), p. 251-282.

[3] A. Zygmund, *Trigonometric series II*, Cambridge 1959.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS I
A. MICKIEWICZ UNIVERSITY IN POZNAŃ

Reçu par la Rédaction le 18. 3. 1968