

ON WEAK AUTOMORPHISMS OF ALGEBRAS HAVING A BASIS

BY

ERNEST PŁONKA (WROCŁAW)

1. Let  $A$  be a non-empty set. We define  $\mathbf{O}^{(n)} = \mathbf{O}^{(n)}(A) = \{f \mid f: A^n \rightarrow A\}$  and  $\mathbf{O} = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{O}^{(n)}$ . Given a permutation  $\sigma \in S_A$ , we define permutation  $\sigma^*$  of  $\mathbf{O}$  by

$$(1) \quad (\sigma^* f)(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sigma f(\sigma^{-1}x_1, \dots, \sigma^{-1}x_n).$$

Following Goetz (see [3]) and Marczewski we say that a permutation  $\sigma$  of  $A$  is a *weak automorphism* of an algebra  $\mathfrak{A} = \langle A; \mathbf{F} \rangle$  ( $\mathbf{F} \subset \mathbf{O}$ ) if, for every  $n$ , the permutation  $\sigma^*$  maps the set  $\mathbf{A}^{(n)}$  of all algebraic operations of  $n$  variables (cf. [4]) of algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  onto itself.

Observe that for each weak automorphism  $\sigma$  of  $\mathfrak{A}$  we have

$$(2) \quad \sigma^* e_j^{(n)} = e_j^{(n)}$$

for every trivial operation  $e_j^{(n)}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = x_j$ , and

$$(3) \quad \sigma^*(f(g_1, \dots, g_n)) = (\sigma^* f)(\sigma^* g_1, \dots, \sigma^* g_n)$$

for all  $f \in \mathbf{A}^{(n)}$ ,  $g_1, \dots, g_n \in \mathbf{A}^{(n)}$ .

A permutation  $\varphi$  of the set  $\mathbf{A} = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{A}^{(n)}$ , which satisfies conditions (2) and (3) together with the condition  $\varphi(\mathbf{A}^{(n)}) = \mathbf{A}^{(n)}$  for  $n = 0, 1, \dots$ , is called a *clone automorphism* of  $\mathfrak{A}$  (for details see [1], p. 127). Clearly, the set  $\text{Aut}(\mathbf{A})$  of clone automorphisms forms a group.

Investigations of connections between the group of automorphisms  $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{A})$  and the group of weak automorphisms  $\text{Aut}^*(\mathfrak{A})$  of the algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  were initiated in [2] and [5]. In particular, if  $\mathfrak{A}$  has a finite independent set of generators, i.e. a finite basis, then the group  $\text{Aut}^*(\mathfrak{A})$  is a normal product of  $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{A})$  and  $\text{Aut}(\mathbf{A})$  (see [5]).

The aim of this paper is to generalize this result.

2. THEOREM. *If an algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  has a basis  $\{b_i\}_{i \in I}$  (not necessarily finite), then*

(a) The mapping  $\text{Aut}^*(\mathfrak{A}) \ni \tau \rightarrow (a, \tau^*) \in \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{A}) \times \text{Aut}(A)$ , where  $ab_i = \tau b_i, i \in I$ , is one-to-one and onto.

(b) The mapping  $\text{Aut}(A) \ni \varphi \xrightarrow{h} h_\varphi \in \text{Aut}(\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{A}))$ , where  $h_\varphi(a)$  is an automorphism of  $\mathfrak{A}$  determined by the condition

$$h_\varphi(a)b_i = (\varphi f)(b_{j_1}, \dots, b_{j_n}) \quad \text{provided } ab_i = f(b_{j_1}, \dots, b_{j_n}),$$

is a homomorphism.

(c) If  $\tau_1 \rightarrow (a_1, \tau_1^*)$  and  $\tau_2 \rightarrow (a_2, \tau_2^*)$ , then  $\tau_1 \tau_2 \rightarrow (a_1 h_{\tau_1^*}(a_2), \tau_1^* \tau_2^*)$ .

Thus  $\text{Aut}^*(\mathfrak{A})$  is (isomorphic to) the normal product  $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{A})\text{Aut}(A)$  determined by the homomorphism  $h$ .

**3.** First of all we show that

(i) If  $\tau \in \text{Aut}^*(\mathfrak{A})$ , then  $\{\tau b_i\}_{i \in I}$  is a basis of  $\mathfrak{A}$ .

(ii) If  $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(A)$  and  $f \in A^{(n)}$  and  $g \in A^{(k)}$ , then the equality

$$(4) \quad f(b_{i_1}, \dots, b_{i_n}) = g(b_{i_{n+1}}, \dots, b_{i_{n+k}})$$

implies the equality

$$(5) \quad (\varphi f)(b_{i_1}, \dots, b_{i_n}) = (\varphi g)(b_{i_{n+1}}, \dots, b_{i_{n+k}}).$$

**Proof.** Statement (i) follows readily from [2]. To prove (ii) suppose that elements of the sequence  $i_1, \dots, i_{n+k}$  belong to the set  $\{j_1, \dots, j_r\}$ . Then we can write (4) in the form

$$f(e_{p_1}^{(r)}, \dots, e_{p_n}^{(r)})(b_{j_1}, \dots, b_{j_r}) = g(e_{p_{n+1}}^{(r)}, \dots, e_{p_{n+k}}^{(r)})(b_{j_1}, \dots, b_{j_r})$$

for some  $p_1, \dots, p_{n+k} \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ . Hence

$$f(e_{p_1}^{(r)}, \dots, e_{p_n}^{(r)}) = g(e_{p_{n+1}}^{(r)}, \dots, e_{p_{n+k}}^{(r)}).$$

In view of (2) and (3) we get

$$(\varphi f)(e_{p_1}^{(r)}, \dots, e_{p_n}^{(r)}) = (\varphi g)(e_{p_{n+1}}^{(r)}, \dots, e_{p_{n+k}}^{(r)})$$

and (4) follows.

**4. Proof of the theorem.** To simplify notation let  $\mathbf{b}$  (or  $\mathbf{b}$  with an index) denote a finite sequence of the form  $b_{j_1}, \dots, b_{j_n}$ .

(a) If  $\tau_1 a \neq \tau_2 a$  and  $a = f(\mathbf{b})$ , then  $(\tau_1^* f)(\tau_1^* \mathbf{b}) \neq (\tau_2^* f)(\tau_2^* \mathbf{b})$ . Hence if  $\tau_1 b_i = \tau_2 b_i, i \in I$ , then  $\tau_1^* = \tau_2^*$ . Therefore the mapping  $\tau \rightarrow (a, \tau^*)$  is one-to-one.

Given  $(a, \varphi) \in \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{A}) \times \text{Aut}(A)$  and  $a = f(\mathbf{b})$ , define

$$\sigma a = (\varphi f)(a\mathbf{b}).$$

In view of (ii),  $\sigma$  does not depend on the choice of  $f$  and so it is a transformation of  $A$  (if  $a$  ranges over  $A$ ). Further, if

$$\sigma a = (\varphi f)(\mathbf{b}_1) = (\varphi g)(\mathbf{b}_2) = \sigma c,$$

then, by (ii),

$$a = f(\mathbf{b}_1) = (\varphi^{-1}(\varphi f))(\mathbf{b}_1) = (\varphi^{-1}(\varphi g))(\mathbf{b}_2) = g(\mathbf{b}_2) = c.$$

Since  $\{ab_i\}_{i \in I}$  is a basis of  $\mathfrak{A}$ , every element  $a \in A$  is of the form  $a = f(a\mathbf{b})$ . Hence  $\sigma(\varphi^{-1}f)(\mathbf{b}) = f(a\mathbf{b}) = a$  and, consequently,  $\sigma$  is a permutation of  $A$ . Suppose now that  $a_i = g_i(\mathbf{b})$  for  $i = 1, \dots, m$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma f(a_1, \dots, a_m) &= \sigma f(g_1, \dots, g_m)(\mathbf{b}) \\ &= (\varphi f)(g_1, \dots, g_m)(\mathbf{b}) = (\varphi f)(\sigma a_1, \dots, \sigma a_m) \end{aligned}$$

and so  $\sigma$  is a weak automorphism of  $\mathfrak{A}$ .

(b) First we prove that

(iii) *If  $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{A})$ ,  $ab_i = f_i(\mathbf{b}_i)$ ,  $i \in I$ , and  $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(A)$ , then the set  $\{\varphi f_i(\mathbf{b}_i)\}_{i \in I}$  is a basis of  $\mathfrak{A}$ .*

In fact, because by virtue of (a) there exists a weak automorphism  $\tau$  of  $\mathfrak{A}$  such that  $\tau \rightarrow (\alpha, \varphi)$ , then, by (i), the image of the basis  $\{f_i(\mathbf{b}_i)\}_{i \in I}$  is a basis of  $\mathfrak{A}$ . On the other hand,  $\tau f_i(\mathbf{b}_i) = (\varphi f_i)(\alpha \mathbf{b}_i)$ ,  $i \in I$ . Since  $(\varphi f_i)(\mathbf{b}_i) = \alpha^{-1}(\varphi f_i)(\alpha \mathbf{b}_i)$ , the result follows.

In view of what we have already shown, the mapping  $h_\varphi(\alpha)$  maps the basis  $\{b_i\}_{i \in I}$  onto a basis  $\{(\varphi f_i)(\mathbf{b}_i)\}_{i \in I}$  (we assume  $ab_i = f_i(\mathbf{b}_i)$ ). Hence  $h_\varphi(\alpha)$  is an automorphism of  $\mathfrak{A}$ .

Suppose now that  $h_\varphi(\alpha) = h_\varphi(\beta)$  and

$$(6) \quad ab_i = f_i(\mathbf{b}_i), \quad \beta b_i = g_i(\mathbf{b}_i), \quad i \in I.$$

This yields

$$(\varphi f_i)(\mathbf{b}_i) = h_\varphi(\alpha)b_i = h_\varphi(\beta)b_i = (\varphi g_i)(\mathbf{b}_i).$$

Because of (iii), we get

$$ab_i = f_i(\mathbf{b}_i) = (\varphi^{-1}(\varphi f_i))(\mathbf{b}_i) = (\varphi^{-1}(\varphi g_i))(\mathbf{b}_i) = g_i(\mathbf{b}_i) = \beta b_i, \quad i \in I,$$

which gives the equality  $\alpha = \beta$ .

Further, if  $\alpha$  is an automorphism of  $\mathfrak{A}$  such that  $ab_i = f_i(\mathbf{b}_i)$ ,  $i \in I$ , then, by (iii), the set  $\{(\varphi^{-1}f_i)(\mathbf{b}_i)\}_{i \in I}$  is a basis of  $\mathfrak{A}$ . Consequently, the mapping  $\beta: b_i \rightarrow (\varphi^{-1}f_i)(\mathbf{b}_i)$ ,  $i \in I$ , determines an automorphism of  $\mathfrak{A}$ . Clearly,  $h_\varphi(\beta) = \alpha$ . Hence the transformation  $h_\varphi$  is a permutation of the set  $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{A})$ . Moreover, if  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are automorphisms of  $\mathfrak{A}$  for which (6) holds, then

$$h_\varphi(\alpha \cdot \beta)b_i = \varphi(g_i(f_1, \dots, f_m))(\mathbf{b}),$$

$$\begin{aligned} h_\varphi(\alpha)h_\varphi(\beta)b_i &= h_\varphi(\alpha)g_i(\mathbf{b}_i) = (\varphi g_i)(h_\varphi(\alpha)f_1(\mathbf{b}), \dots, h_\varphi(\alpha)f_m(\mathbf{b})) \\ &= (\varphi g_i)(\varphi f_1, \dots, \varphi f_m)(\mathbf{b}), \end{aligned}$$

and therefore  $h_\varphi$  is an automorphism of  $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{A})$ .

Let  $a$  be an automorphism of  $\mathfrak{A}$  with  $ab_i = f_i(\mathbf{b}_i)$ ,  $i \in I$ . We have

$$h_{\varphi\psi}(a)b_i = ((\varphi\psi)f_i)(\mathbf{b}_i) = (\varphi(\psi f_i))(\mathbf{b}_i) = h_\varphi(h_\psi(\varphi)b_i),$$

which completes the proof of part (b).

(c) Let us suppose that  $\tau_1 \rightarrow (\alpha_1, \tau_1^*)$  and  $\tau_2 \rightarrow (\alpha_2, \tau_2^*)$ . It follows from (1) that  $\tau_1\tau_2 \rightarrow (\beta, (\tau_1 \cdot \tau_2)^*)$ , where  $\beta$  is an automorphism of  $\mathfrak{A}$ . Since  $(\tau_1\tau_2)^* = \tau_1^*\tau_2^*$ , we must only show that  $\beta$  is equal to  $\alpha_1 h_{\tau_1^*}(\alpha_2)$ .

Let  $\alpha_2 b_i = f_i(\mathbf{b}_i)$ ,  $i \in I$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \beta b_i &= \tau_1\tau_2 b_i = \tau_1 f_i(\mathbf{b}_i) = (\tau_1^* f_i)(\alpha_1 \mathbf{b}_i), \\ \alpha_1 h_{\tau_1^*}(\alpha_2) b_i &= \alpha_1 (\tau_1^* f_i)(\mathbf{b}_i) = (\tau_1^* f_i)(\alpha_1 \mathbf{b}_i), \quad i \in I. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\beta$  and  $\alpha_1 h_{\tau_1^*}(\alpha_2)$  are equal on a basis, they are equal everywhere and the theorem follows.

Remark. The converse of the theorem is false. In the algebra  $\mathfrak{A} = \langle \{1, 2, 3\}; S_3 \rangle$  there is  $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{A}) = 1$ ,  $\text{Aut}^*(\mathfrak{A}) = S_3$ ,  $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{A}) = \text{Aut}(S_3) = S_3$ , and therefore  $\text{Aut}^*(\mathfrak{A})$  is the normal product of  $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{A})$  and  $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{A})$ , but the algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  has no basis (1).

---

(1) This example was suggested to me by J. Dudek.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] P. H. Cohn, *Universal algebra*, New York 1965.
- [2] J. Dudek and E. Płonka, *Weak automorphisms of linear spaces and of some other abstract algebras*, *Colloquium Mathematicum* 22 (1971), p. 201-208.
- [3] A. Goetz, *On weak isomorphisms and weak homomorphisms of abstract algebras*, *ibidem* 14 (1966), p. 163-167.
- [4] E. Marczewski, *Homomorphisms and independence in abstract algebras*, *Fundamenta Mathematicae* 50 (1961), p. 45-61.
- [5] J. R. Senft, *On weak automorphisms of universal algebras*, *Dissertationes Mathematicae* 74, Warszawa 1970.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS OF THE WROCLAW UNIVERSITY

*Reçu par la Rédaction le 4. 5. 1970*

---