

SEMIGROUPS OF OPERATORS
COMMUTING WITH TRANSLATIONS

BY

V. A. BABALOLA AND A. OLUBUMMO (IBADAN)

1. Introduction. Let G denote a compact Abelian group with the character group X . We denote by $C(G)$ the Banach space of all continuous complex-valued functions on G with the uniform norm. We write λ for Haar measure on G .

Let $L_p(G)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, have the usual meaning. To avoid unnecessary repetition, we shall write $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}(G)$ for an arbitrary, but fixed, member of the set

$$\{C(G); L_p(G): 1 \leq p < \infty\}.$$

Given $A \subset \mathcal{U}$, we denote by \hat{A} the set of all Fourier transforms \hat{f} of $f \in A$. A complex-valued function φ on X is called an (A, B) -multiplier ([1] and [2]) if $\varphi \hat{f} \in \hat{B}$ for each $f \in A$, where A and B are subsets of \mathcal{U} . A collection $\mathcal{S} = \{T(\xi): \xi > 0\}$ of bounded linear operators on \mathcal{U} is said to be a *semigroup* of operators on \mathcal{U} if

$$T(\xi_1 + \xi_2) = T(\xi_1)T(\xi_2) \quad \text{for all } \xi_1, \xi_2 > 0.$$

A comprehensive account of semigroups of operators on Banach spaces can be found in Hille and Phillips [3], where all undefined terms used in the present paper in connection with such semigroups are explained.

The following two theorems are our main results.

1.1. THEOREM. *Let $\mathcal{S} = \{T(\xi): \xi > 0\}$ be a semigroup of bounded linear operators on \mathcal{U} . Suppose that, for each $\xi > 0$, the operator $T(\xi)$ commutes with translations. Then \mathcal{S} defines a semigroup $\mathcal{M} = \{E_\xi: \xi > 0\}$ of $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{U})$ -multipliers such that*

- (i) *for each $\xi > 0$, $E_\xi \hat{f} = (T(\xi)f)^\wedge$ for all $f \in \mathcal{U}$ and*
- (ii) *$E_{\xi_1 + \xi_2}(\sigma) = E_{\xi_1}(\sigma)E_{\xi_2}(\sigma)$, $\xi_1, \xi_2 > 0$ and $\sigma \in X$.*

If, moreover, $T(\xi)$ is weakly measurable, then there exist a subset X_0 of X and a mapping $\varphi: \sigma \rightarrow \varphi_\sigma$ of X_0 into the field K of complex numbers

such that

$$E_\xi(\sigma) = \begin{cases} e^{\varphi_\sigma \xi} & \text{if } \sigma \in X_0, \\ 0 & \text{if } \sigma \notin X_0 \end{cases}$$

for each $\xi > 0$.

Suppose now that X_0 is a fixed subset of X and let $\varphi: \sigma \rightarrow \varphi_\sigma$ be a mapping of X_0 into K . Put

$$E_\xi(\sigma) = \begin{cases} e^{\varphi_\sigma \xi} & \text{if } \sigma \in X_0, \\ 0 & \text{if } \sigma \notin X_0. \end{cases}$$

Assume that E_ξ as defined here is a $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{U})$ -multiplier. Then we have the following

1.2. THEOREM. *For each $\xi > 0$, define a mapping $T(\xi)$ of \mathcal{U} into itself by*

$$(T(\xi)f)^\wedge = E_\xi \hat{f}, \quad f \in \mathcal{U}.$$

Then:

(i) $\mathcal{G} = \{T(\xi): \xi > 0\}$ defines a semigroup of bounded linear operators on \mathcal{U} , the elements of which commute with translations and which is continuous in the strong operator topology for $\xi > 0$;

(ii) for each $f \in D(A_0)$ and $\sigma \notin X_0$ we have $\hat{f}(\sigma) = 0$, where A_0 denotes the infinitesimal generator of \mathcal{G} and $D(A_0)$ is the domain of A_0 ; moreover, φ is a $(D(A_0), \mathcal{U})$ -multiplier since $(A_0 f)^\wedge = \varphi \hat{f}$ for all $f \in D(A_0)$;

(iii) \mathcal{G} being of class (A) , $X_0 = X$ and $D(A) = \{f \in \mathcal{U}: \varphi \hat{f} \in \hat{\mathcal{U}}\}$ (i. e. φ is a $(D(A), \mathcal{U})$ -multiplier) and, moreover, $(A f)^\wedge = \varphi \hat{f}$ for all $f \in D(A)$.

Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 are generalizations to compact Abelian groups of results by Hille and Phillips, proved for the circle group ([3], Theorems 20.3.1 and 20.3.2). As in the circle group situation, our results for $\mathcal{U} = L_2(G)$ provide much more information than those stated in 1.1 and 1.2. Here, for semigroups $\mathcal{G} = \{T(\xi): \xi > 0\}$ commuting with translations, the weak continuity of $T(\xi)$ implies the strong convergence at the origin and, therefore, the strong continuity for all $\xi > 0$. Furthermore, as in the circle group case, given an arbitrary closed subset F in the left half-plane of K , we are able to construct a semigroup \mathcal{G} of class (C_0) on $L_2(G)$ such that the spectrum of the infinitesimal generator of \mathcal{G} is precisely F .

Our proofs are an adaptation of Hille's proofs in the circle group situation.

2. Proofs of main results. For the sake of clarity, we shall throughout this paper write χ_σ for a continuous character of G when it is considered as a function on G , and σ for the same character when it is considered as an element of the character group X .

2.1. Proof of Theorem 1.1. Since the bounded linear operator $T(\xi)$, $\xi > 0$, on \mathcal{U} commutes with translations, there exists a $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{U})$ -

multiplier E_ξ such that

$$(T(\xi)f)^\wedge = E_\xi \hat{f} \quad \text{for all } f \in \mathcal{U}$$

(cf. [4], p. 3-5).

Moreover, a routine argument shows that E_ξ is unique. This proves the first part of the theorem and the second part follows immediately from the semigroup property of $\{T(\xi): \xi > 0\}$.

Suppose now that $T(\xi)$ is weakly measurable. Then for each $\psi \in \mathcal{U}^*$, where \mathcal{U}^* denotes the space of all continuous linear functionals on \mathcal{U} , and for each $f \in \mathcal{U}$, $\xi \rightarrow \psi(T(\xi)f)$ is Lebesgue measurable. In particular, if for each σ we define $\psi_\sigma \in \mathcal{U}^*$ by

$$\psi_\sigma(f) = (f)^\wedge(\sigma), \quad f \in \mathcal{U},$$

then $\xi \rightarrow \psi_\sigma(T(\xi)\chi_\sigma) = E_\xi(\sigma)\hat{\chi}_\sigma(\sigma)$ is measurable. It follows that, for each σ , $E_\xi(\sigma)$ is measurable. Since also, $E_{\xi_1+\xi_2}(\sigma) = E_{\xi_1}(\sigma)E_{\xi_2}(\sigma)$, it follows from corollary to Theorem 4.17.3 of [3] that, for each σ , either $E_\xi(\sigma)$ is identically zero or $E_\xi(\sigma) = e^{\varphi_\sigma \xi}$ for some complex number φ_σ . Now set $X_0 = \{\sigma \in X: E_\xi(\sigma) \neq 0\}$ and the proof is complete.

2.2. Proof of Theorem 1.2. (i) That $T(\xi)$ is a bounded linear operator for each $\xi > 0$ follows from (35.2) of [2]. The semigroup property and the fact that the operators commute with translations are immediate from the definition of $T(\xi)$.

We shall prove that $T(\xi)$ is continuous in the strong operator topology for $\xi > 0$. First, suppose that $t \in I(G)$, the set of all finite complex linear combinations of continuous characters of G . Thus t is of the form

$$t = a_1 \chi_{\sigma_1} + a_2 \chi_{\sigma_2} + \dots + a_n \chi_{\sigma_n}.$$

The orthogonality of $I(G)$ implies that $T(\xi)t$ is defined by

$$(T(\xi)t)(x) = a_1 e^{\varphi_{\sigma_1} \xi} \chi_{\sigma_1}(x) + \dots + a_n e^{\varphi_{\sigma_n} \xi} \chi_{\sigma_n}(x), \quad x \in G.$$

We then have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|T(\xi)t - T(\xi_0)t\| \\ &= \|(a_1 e^{\varphi_{\sigma_1} \xi} \chi_{\sigma_1} - a_1 e^{\varphi_{\sigma_1} \xi_0} \chi_{\sigma_1}) + \dots + (a_n e^{\varphi_{\sigma_n} \xi} \chi_{\sigma_n} - a_n e^{\varphi_{\sigma_n} \xi_0} \chi_{\sigma_n})\| \\ &\leq |a_1| |e^{\varphi_{\sigma_1} \xi} - e^{\varphi_{\sigma_1} \xi_0}| + \dots + |a_n| |e^{\varphi_{\sigma_n} \xi} - e^{\varphi_{\sigma_n} \xi_0}| \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

as $\xi \rightarrow \xi_0$. Suppose now that f is arbitrary in \mathcal{U} and let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Then there exists $t \in I(G)$ such that $\|f - t\| < \varepsilon$. Since

$$\|T(\xi)f - T(\xi)t\| \leq \|T(\xi)\| \cdot \|f - t\| \quad \text{for each } \xi > 0,$$

$T(\xi)f$ is strongly measurable by [3], Theorem 3.5.4. Hence, by [3], Theorem 10.2.3, $T(\xi)$ is continuous in the strong operator topology for $\xi > 0$. This completes the proof of (i).

(ii) Let $f \in D(A_0)$. Then there exists $g = A_0 f \in \mathcal{U}$ such that

$$\lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{\eta} [T(\eta)f - f] = g$$

in the norm topology. For each σ ,

$$\frac{1}{\eta} [(T(\eta)f)^\wedge(\sigma) - \hat{f}(\sigma)] \rightarrow \hat{g}(\sigma), \quad \text{i.e.} \quad \frac{1}{\eta} [E_\eta(\sigma) - 1]\hat{f}(\sigma) \rightarrow \hat{g}(\sigma) \quad \text{as } \eta \rightarrow 0^+.$$

As a consequence of the definition of E_η , it follows that $\hat{f}(\sigma) = 0$ for $\sigma \notin X_0$ and

$$(A_0 f)^\wedge(\sigma) = \varphi_\sigma \hat{f}(\sigma) \quad \text{for all } \sigma \in X_0,$$

which proves (ii).

(iii) Suppose that $\{T(\xi): \xi > 0\}$ is of class (A) with the infinitesimal generator $A = \bar{A}_0$, the smallest closed extension of its infinitesimal operator A_0 . Then $\mathcal{U}_0 = \{T(\xi)f: f \in \mathcal{U}, \xi > 0\}$ and $D(A_0)$ are dense in \mathcal{U} . Suppose there exists $\sigma_0 \in X$ such that $\sigma_0 \notin X_0$; choose $f \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $\hat{f}(\sigma_0) \neq 0$. Then given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists an $f' \in D(A_0)$ such that $\|f' - f\| < \varepsilon$. Then

$$|\hat{f}'(\sigma_0) - \hat{f}(\sigma_0)| \leq \|f' - f\| < \varepsilon$$

which implies that $\hat{f}(\sigma_0) = 0$, a contradiction. Hence X_0 is the whole of X .

Finally, let w_0 be the type of the semigroup $\{T(\xi): \xi > 0\}$. For λ with $\text{Re}(\lambda) > w_0$, let $R(\lambda; A)$ denote the resolvent of the infinitesimal generator A of $\{T(\xi): \xi > 0\}$. Then there exists a $w_1 > w_0$ such that

$$R(\lambda; A)f = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda\xi} T(\xi)f d\xi, \quad f \in \mathcal{U}_0, \text{Re}(\lambda) > w_1.$$

Since, for each $\sigma \in X$, the mapping $f \rightarrow \hat{f}(\sigma)$ is a bounded linear functional on \mathcal{U} , we have, for all $f \in \mathcal{U}_0$,

$$\begin{aligned} (R(\lambda; A)f)^\wedge(\sigma) &= \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda\xi} (T(\xi)f)^\wedge(\sigma) d\xi \\ &= \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda\xi} e^{\varphi_\sigma \xi} \hat{f}(\sigma) d\xi = (\lambda - \varphi_\sigma)^{-1} \hat{f}(\sigma) \quad \text{for each } \sigma \in X. \end{aligned}$$

Since \mathcal{U}_0 is dense in \mathcal{U} , we have

$$(1) \quad (R(\lambda; A)f)^\wedge(\sigma) = (\lambda - \varphi_\sigma)^{-1} \hat{f}(\sigma) \quad \text{for all } f \in \mathcal{U}, \text{Re}(\lambda) > w_1.$$

Let $\lambda > w_1$ be fixed and suppose that $f \in D(A)$. Then there exists a $g \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $f = R(\lambda; A)g$, and we have, for each $\sigma \in X$,

$$\begin{aligned} (Af)^\wedge(\sigma) &= [\lambda R(\lambda; A)g - g]^\wedge(\sigma) \\ &= \lambda(\lambda - \varphi_\sigma)^{-1} \hat{g}(\sigma) - \hat{g}(\sigma) \\ &= \varphi_\sigma (\lambda - \varphi_\sigma)^{-1} \hat{g}(\sigma) = \varphi_\sigma \hat{f}(\sigma). \end{aligned}$$

Thus if $f \in D(A)$, then $\varphi \hat{f} \in \hat{\mathcal{U}}$. Conversely, suppose that f is an element of \mathcal{U} such that $\varphi \hat{f} \in \hat{\mathcal{U}}$. This means that there exists an $h \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $\varphi_\sigma \hat{f}(\sigma) = \hat{h}(\sigma)$ for all $\sigma \in X$. Then $g = \lambda f - h \in \mathcal{U}$ and, for all $\sigma \in X$,

$$\begin{aligned} [R(\lambda; A)g]^\wedge(\sigma) &= (\lambda - \varphi_\sigma)^{-1} \hat{g}(\sigma) \\ &= (\lambda - \varphi_\sigma)^{-1} (\lambda \hat{f}(\sigma) - \varphi_\sigma \hat{f}(\sigma)) = \hat{f}(\sigma). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $R(\lambda; A)g = f$ and $f \in D(A)$. This completes the proof of the theorem.

3. The $L_2(G)$ case.

3.1. THEOREM. *Let $\mathcal{G} = \{T(\xi): \xi > 0\}$ be a semigroup of bounded linear operators on $L_2(G)$. Suppose that the operator $T(\xi)$ commutes with translations and is weakly measurable for $\xi > 0$. Then*

(i) $(T(\xi)f)^\wedge = E_\xi \hat{f}$ for all $f \in L_2(G)$, $\xi > 0$, and

$$E_\xi(\sigma) = \begin{cases} e^{\nu \sigma^\xi} & \text{if } \sigma \in X_0, \\ 0 & \text{if } \sigma \notin X_0; \end{cases}$$

(ii) $\|T(\xi)\|_2 = e^{\nu \xi}$, where $\nu = \sup_{\sigma \in X_0} \operatorname{Re}(\varphi_\sigma)$;

(iii) if $T(0)$ is given by

$$(T(0)f)^\wedge(\sigma) = \begin{cases} \hat{f}(\sigma) & \text{if } \sigma \in X_0, \\ 0 & \text{if } \sigma \notin X_0, \end{cases}$$

then $T(\xi)$ is strongly continuous for $\xi \geq 0$ and if $X_0 = X$, then \mathcal{G} is of class (C_0) , i. e.

$$\lim_{\xi \rightarrow 0^+} T(\xi)f = f \quad \text{for all } f \in L_2(G).$$

Proof. (i) has been established in Theorem 1.1.

(ii) By (i),

$$(T(\xi)f)^\wedge = E_\xi \hat{f} \quad \text{for all } f \in L_2(G).$$

Obviously, E_ξ is bounded on X for each $\xi > 0$ and $f \rightarrow \hat{f}$ is a norm preserving isomorphism of $L_2(G)$ onto $l_2(X)$. It follows that

$$\|T(\xi)\|_2 = \sup_{\sigma \in X} |E_\xi(\sigma)| = \sup_{\sigma \in X_0} |e^{\nu \sigma^\xi}| = e^{\nu \xi}, \quad \text{where } \nu = \sup_{\sigma \in X_0} \operatorname{Re}(\varphi_\sigma).$$

(iii) We already know from Theorem 1.1 that $T(\xi)$ is strongly continuous for $\xi > 0$. Now, for each $f \in L_2(G)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|(T(\xi) - T(0))f\|_2^2 &= \|([T(\xi) - T(0)]f)^\wedge\|_2^2 \\ &= \sum_{\sigma \in X_0} |e^{\nu \sigma^\xi} - 1|^2 |\hat{f}(\sigma)|^2 \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \xi \rightarrow 0^+. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $T(\xi)$ is strongly continuous at $\xi = 0$. Now if $X_0 = X$, then

$$(T(0)f)^\wedge(\sigma) = \hat{f}(\sigma) \quad \text{for all } f \in L_2(G) \text{ and } \sigma \in X.$$

Therefore $T(0)$ is the identity operator; so

$$\lim_{\xi \rightarrow 0^+} T(\xi)f = f \quad \text{for all } f \in L_2(G),$$

and the theorem is proved completely.

Finally we prove the following

3.2. THEOREM. *Let $F = \{\lambda\} \subset K$ be any closed set such that $\operatorname{Re}(\lambda) \leq \alpha < \infty$. Then there exists a semigroup of class (C_0) on $L_2(G)$ whose elements commute with translations and such that the spectrum $\sigma(A)$ of its infinitesimal generator A is F .*

Proof. Set $X_0 = X$. Choose φ on X into K such that F is the closure of $\{\varphi_\sigma: \sigma \in X\}$ and define $T(\xi)$, $\xi > 0$, by 3.1(i). Then, by Theorems 1.2 and 3.1, $\{T(\xi): \xi > 0\}$ is of class (C_0) . Moreover, by 2.2(1), the resolvent $R(\lambda; A)$ of its infinitesimal generator A is given by

$$(R(\lambda; A)f)^\wedge(\sigma) = (\lambda - \varphi_\sigma)^{-1} \hat{f}(\sigma), \quad \sigma \in X.$$

Consequently, $\lambda \notin \sigma(A)$ if and only if $\inf_{\sigma \in X} |\lambda - \varphi_\sigma| > 0$. Hence $\sigma(A) = F$, which proves the theorem.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. E. Edwards, *Fourier series. A modern introduction*, vol. II, New York 1967.
- [2] E. Hewitt and K. A. Ross, *Abstract harmonic analysis*, vol. II, Berlin 1970.
- [3] E. Hille and R. S. Phillips, *Functional analysis and semi-groups*, American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications 31 (1957).
- [4] R. Larsen, *The multiplier problem*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics 105, Berlin - Heidelberg - New York 1969.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
UNIVERSITY OF IBADAN
IBADAN, NIGERIA

Reçu par la Rédaction le 11. 10. 1972