

**LIPSCHITZ EXTENSIONS AND LIPSCHITZ RETRACTIONS  
IN METRIC SPACES**

BY

NGUYEN VAN KHUE AND NGUYEN TO NHU (WARSAWA)

The problem of extensions of  $R^1$ -valued Lipschitz functions was investigated, e.g., by McShane [6], Banach [2], Mickle [7], Valetine [10]-[12]. Cipszer and Geher [4] and Aronszajn and Panitchpakdi [1] considered the extensions of Lipschitz maps with the values in hyperconvex metric spaces. In this note we study the extensions of Lipschitz maps between metric spaces.

In Section 1 we give some examples of  $L^\lambda$ -spaces (in the sense of [8]). It is shown that there exist  $L^1$ -spaces which are not topologically complete. Section 2 is devoted to a proof of a gluing theorem for  $L$ -spaces corresponding to Borsuk's theorem on the union of two ANR( $\mathfrak{M}$ )-spaces.

**1.  $L^\lambda$ -spaces.** We recall first the following definition [8]:

**1.1. Definition.** Let  $\lambda \in [1, \infty)$ . A metric space  $Y$  is called an  $L^\lambda$ -space if, whenever  $X$  is a metric space and  $A$  is a closed subset of  $X$ , every Lipschitz map  $f: A \rightarrow Y$  can be extended to a Lipschitz map  $\tilde{f}: X \rightarrow Y$  such that  $\|\tilde{f}\| \leq \lambda \|f\|$ . Here  $\|\cdot\|$  denotes the Lipschitz norm, i.e.

$$\|g\| = \inf \{K: d_Y(g(x), g(y)) \leq Kd_X(x, y) \text{ for all } x, y \in X\}$$

for any Lipschitz map  $g: (X, d_X) \rightarrow (Y, d_Y)$ .

We say that  $Y$  is an  $L$ -space if it is an  $L^\lambda$ -space for some  $\lambda \geq 1$ .

Given any set  $D$ , let  $l^\infty(D)$  denote the Banach space of all bounded real functions on  $D$  with the supremum norm. It is well known that  $l^\infty(D)$  is an  $L^1$ -space (see [1] and [4]). In this section we give some examples of  $L^\lambda$ -spaces. We prove first the following

**1.2. LEMMA.** *A metric space  $Y$  is an  $L^\lambda$ -space if and only if for every metric space  $Z$  containing  $Y$  isometrically as a closed subset there exists a Lipschitz retraction from  $Z$  onto  $Y$  of the norm less than or equal to  $\lambda$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $f: A \rightarrow Y$  be a Lipschitz map from a closed subset  $A$  of a metric space  $X$  into  $Y$ . Consider  $Y$  as a subset of the space  $E = l^\infty(Y)$ .

Since  $l^\infty(Y)$  is an  $L^1$ -space, there exists a Lipschitz map  $g: X \rightarrow E$  such that  $g|_A = f$  and  $\|g\| = \|f\|$ .

Equip  $F = E \times \mathbb{R}^1$  with the max-norm and write

$$h(x) = (g(x), \varrho(x, A)) \in F \quad \text{for every } x \in X.$$

Finally, put  $Z = h(X) \cup Y \times \{0\}$  and identify  $Y$  with  $Y \times \{0\}$ . Clearly,  $Y$  is closed in  $Z$ . Let  $r: Z \rightarrow Y$  be a Lipschitz retraction of the norm less than or equal to  $\lambda$ . Then  $\tilde{f} = rh$  is the required Lipschitz extension of  $f$ .

Metric spaces  $X$  and  $Y$  are called *Lipschitz equivalent* if there exists a one-to-one map  $f$  from  $X$  onto  $Y$  such that both  $f$  and  $f^{-1}$  satisfy the Lipschitz condition. It is clear that

**1.3. PROPOSITION.** *If  $X$  and  $Y$  are Lipschitz equivalent metric spaces and  $X$  is an  $L$ -space, then  $Y$  is an  $L$ -space.*

Let us prove the following

**1.4. PROPOSITION.** *Every closed convex set lying in a Minkowski space  $E^n$  is an  $L$ -space.*

*Proof.* It is clear that  $E^n$  is Lipschitz equivalent to  $l^2(n)$  and to  $l^\infty(n)$ , the latter being an  $L^1$ -space. Therefore, we infer the result from the following

**1.5. LEMMA.** *If  $X$  is a closed convex set lying in a Hilbert space  $H$ , then the nearest-point retraction satisfies the Lipschitz condition with constant 1.*

*Proof.* For every point  $x \in H$ , let  $p(x)$  be the nearest point of  $X$ . Since  $X$  is convex,  $p(x)$  is uniquely defined. Given  $x_1, x_2 \in H$  with  $p(x_1) \neq p(x_2)$ , consider points  $y_1, y_2$  of the intersection of the line passing through  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  with the hyperplane passing through  $p(x_1)$  (respectively,  $p(x_2)$ ) and perpendicular to the segment  $[p(x_1), p(x_2)]$ . Since

$$\|z - x_1\| \geq \|p(x_1) - x_1\| \quad \text{for } z \in [p(x_1), p(x_2)],$$

we have  $\sphericalangle(p(x_2), p(x_1), x_1) \geq 90^\circ$ , and hence  $y_1$  (similarly,  $y_2$ ) lies between  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ . Thus

$$\|x_1 - x_2\| \geq \|y_1 - y_2\| \geq \|p(x_1) - p(x_2)\|$$

as required.

**1.6. PROPOSITION.** *The open interval  $(0, 1)$  is an  $L^1$ -space.*

*Proof.* Let  $X$  be a metric space containing  $(0, 1)$  isometrically as a closed subset. For every  $n = 0, 1, \dots$  put

$$A_n = \{x \in X: \varrho(x, (0, 1)) \geq 2^{-n-1}\}.$$

We shall construct inductively a sequence of maps  $\{f_n\}$  from  $A_n$  into  $I_n = [2^{-n-1}, 1-2^{-n-1}]$  with the following properties:

- (a<sub>n</sub>)  $f_n = f_{n+1}|_{A_n}$  for every  $n = 0, 1, \dots$ ,
- (b<sub>n</sub>)  $|z - f_n(x)| \leq \rho(z, x)$  for every point  $x \in A_n$  and  $z \in (0, 1)$ ,
- (c<sub>n</sub>)  $|f_n(x) - f_n(y)| \leq \rho(x, y)$  for every  $x, y \in A_n$ .

Let  $f_0(x) = 2^{-1}$  for every  $x \in A_0$  and assume that  $f_n: A_n \rightarrow I_n$  satisfying (a<sub>n</sub>), (b<sub>n</sub>), and (c<sub>n</sub>) has been constructed for some  $n \geq 0$ .

Consider the set  $\mathcal{B}$  of all pairs  $(B, f_B)$  with  $A_n \subset B \subset A_{n+1}$  and  $f_B: B \rightarrow I_{n+1}$  satisfying the following conditions:

- (d<sub>n</sub>)  $f_B|_{A_n} = f_n$ ,
- (e<sub>n</sub>)  $|z - f_B(x)| \leq \rho(z, x)$  for every  $x \in B$  and  $z \in (0, 1)$ ,
- (f<sub>n</sub>)  $|f_B(x) - f_B(y)| \leq \rho(x, y)$  for every  $x, y \in B$ .

Under the natural partial ordering, by the Kuratowski-Zorn lemma there is a maximal element  $(\bar{B}, f_{\bar{B}})$  of  $\mathcal{B}$ . Let us show that  $\bar{B} = A_{n+1}$ .

Suppose not and take  $x_0 \in A_{n+1} \setminus \bar{B}$ . Put

$$m = \max \left\{ \sup \{f_{\bar{B}}(x) - \rho(x, x_0) : x \in \bar{B}\}, \sup \{z - \rho(z, x_0) : z \in (0, 1)\} \right\},$$

$$M = \min \left\{ \inf \{f_{\bar{B}}(x) + \rho(x, x_0) : x \in \bar{B}\}, \inf \{z + \rho(z, x_0) : z \in (0, 1)\} \right\}.$$

It is clear that  $m \leq M$ . Since  $x_0 \in A_{n+1}$ , we have  $m \leq 1 - 2^{-n-2}$  and  $M \geq 2^{-n-2}$ . Therefore, there exists a point  $y_0 \in I_{n+1} \cap [m, M]$ . Let us define  $f: \bar{B} \cup \{x_0\} \rightarrow I_{n+1}$  by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} f_{\bar{B}}(x) & \text{if } x \in \bar{B}, \\ y_0 & \text{if } x = x_0. \end{cases}$$

It is easy to check that  $(\bar{B} \cup \{x_0\}, f) \in \mathcal{B}$ , contrary to the maximality of  $(\bar{B}, f_{\bar{B}})$ . Thus  $\bar{B} = A_{n+1}$  and  $f_{n+1} = f_{\bar{B}}$  satisfies (a<sub>n+1</sub>), (b<sub>n+1</sub>), and (c<sub>n+1</sub>).

We define a retraction  $r: X \rightarrow (0, 1)$  by

$$r(x) = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x \in (0, 1), \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) & \text{if } x \in X \setminus (0, 1). \end{cases}$$

It is easy to see that  $|r(x) - r(y)| \leq \rho(x, y)$  for every  $x, y \in X$ . Thus the proposition is proved.

**Remark.** By a theorem of Luukkainen [5] it follows that  $(0, 1)$  is an  $L^\lambda$ -space. Proposition 1.6 shows that one can take  $\lambda = 1$ .

**1.7. COROLLARY.**  $S = \{x \in l^\infty(D) : \|x\| < 1\}$  is an  $L^1$ -space.

**1.8. PROPOSITION.** *If  $Y$  is an  $L^\lambda$ -space, then every metric space  $Z$  containing  $Y$  isometrically as a dense set is an  $L^\lambda$ -space.*

**Proof.** Let  $X$  be a metric space containing  $Z$  isometrically as a dense set. Let  $X' = X \setminus (Z \setminus Y)$ . Then  $Y$  is a closed subset of  $X'$ , and so there exists a Lipschitz retraction  $r': X' \rightarrow Y$  of the norm less than or equal

to  $\lambda$ . Extending  $r'$  by identity over  $Z \setminus Y$  we get a retraction  $r: X \rightarrow Z$  of the Lipschitz norm less than or equal to  $\lambda$ . Corollary 1.7 and Proposition 1.8 imply the existence of incomplete  $L^1$ -spaces.

**1.9. Example.** In the plane  $R^2$  consider the set

$$Y = \{(x, y) \in R^2: \max\{|x|, |y|\} < 1\} \cup \\ \cup \{(x, y) \in R^2: \max\{|x|, |y|\} = 1 \text{ and } x, y \text{ are rational}\}.$$

Then, by Corollary 1.7 and Proposition 1.8, the set  $Y$  is an  $L^1$ -space; however,  $Y$  is not of type  $G_\delta$  in  $R^2$  and, therefore,  $Y$  is not complete-metrizable.

## 2. The union of two $L$ -sets.

**2.1. THEOREM.** *Let  $X_0, X_1, X_2$  be subsets of a metric space  $(X, \rho)$  such that  $X = X_1 \cup X_2$  and  $X_0 = X_1 \cap X_2$ . Suppose that  $X_0, X_1$ , and  $X_2$  are  $L$ -spaces. Then  $X$  is an  $L$ -space if and only if there exists a constant  $K > 0$  such that the metric  $d$  on  $X$  defined by*

(2.1)

$$d(x, y) = \begin{cases} \rho(x, y) & \text{if } x, y \in X_i \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \\ \inf\{\rho(x, z) + \rho(y, z): z \in X_0\} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

satisfies the condition

$$(2.2) \quad d(x, y) \leq K\rho(x, y) \quad \text{for every } x, y \in X.$$

*Proof.* Assume that the metric  $d$  defined by (2.1) satisfies (2.2). It can easily be seen that  $\bar{X}_0 = \bar{X}_1 \cap \bar{X}_2$ . Therefore, by Proposition 1.8, we may assume that  $X_0, X_1$ , and  $X_2$  are closed in  $X$ . Since the identity map  $\text{id}_X: (X, \rho) \rightarrow (X, d)$  is a Lipschitz equivalence by Proposition 1.3, it suffices to prove that, for every metric space  $(Z, d)$  containing  $(X, d)$  isometrically as a closed subset,  $Z$  Lipschitz retracts onto  $X$ .

By a theorem of Kuratowski and Wojdysławski [3] we assume without loss of generality that  $Z$  is a convex set lying in a normed space. Put

$$Z_1 = \{z \in Z: d(z, X_1) \geq d(z, X_2)\}, \quad Z_2 = \{z \in Z: d(z, X_1) \leq d(z, X_2)\}, \\ Z_0 = Z_1 \cap Z_2.$$

Then  $Z = Z_1 \cup Z_2$  and  $X_0$  is closed in  $Z_0$ . Since  $X_0$  is an  $L$ -space, there exists a retraction  $r_0: Z_0 \rightarrow X_0$  such that

$$d(r_0(x), r_0(y)) \leq \lambda d(x, y) \quad \text{for } x, y \in Z_0,$$

where  $\lambda < \infty$ . For  $i = 1, 2$  define a map  $f_i$  from  $Z_0 \cup X_i$  onto  $X_i$  by

$$f_i(z) = \begin{cases} r_0(z) & \text{if } z \in Z_0, \\ z & \text{if } z \in X_i. \end{cases}$$

Let us check that  $f_i$  is a Lipschitz map. Let  $z \in Z_0$  and  $x \in X_1$ . By the definition of  $Z_0$  there exists a point  $y \in X_2$  such that  $d(z, y) \leq 2d(z, x)$ . Then, necessarily,  $d(x, y) \leq 3d(x, z)$ . By the definition of  $d$  there is a point  $p \in X_0$  such that

$$d(x, p) + d(p, y) \leq 2d(x, y).$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(f_1(x), f_1(z)) &= d(x, r_0(z)) \leq d(x, p) + d(p, r_0(z)) \\ &\leq d(x, p) + \lambda d(p, z) \leq d(x, p) + \lambda d(p, y) + \lambda d(y, z) \\ &\leq \lambda(2d(x, y) + 2d(y, z)) \leq 8\lambda d(x, z). \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $f_1$  and, similarly,  $f_2$  are Lipschitz maps.

Since  $X_i \in L$  and  $X_i \cup Z_0$  is closed in  $Z_i$ , there are Lipschitz maps  $\tilde{f}_i: Z_i \rightarrow X_i$  such that  $\tilde{f}_i|_{X_i \cup Z_0} = f_i$  and

$$d(\tilde{f}_i(x), \tilde{f}_i(y)) \leq \lambda_i d(x, y) \quad \text{for } x, y \in Z_0 \text{ and } i = 1, 2.$$

We now define a retraction  $r: Z \rightarrow X$  by setting  $r(z) = f_i(z)$  for  $z \in X_i$ .

Given  $x \in Z_1$  and  $y \in Z_2$ , by the definition of  $Z_i$ 's there is a  $t \in [0, 1]$  such that  $z = tx + (1-t)y \in Z_0$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} d(r(x), r(y)) &\leq d(r(x), r(z)) + d(r(z), r(y)) = d(f_1(x), f_1(z)) + d(f_2(z), f_2(y)) \\ &\leq \lambda_1 d(x, z) + \lambda_2 d(z, y) \leq \max\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2\} d(x, y). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $r$  is the desired Lipschitz retraction of  $Z$  onto  $X$ .

Conversely, assume that  $(X, \rho) \in L$ . We will show that there is a constant  $K > 0$  satisfying condition (2.2).

Consider again  $(X, \rho)$  as a closed subset of a convex set lying in a normed space. Let  $r: Z \rightarrow X$  be a Lipschitz retraction such that  $\rho(r(x), r(y)) \leq K\rho(x, y)$  for all  $x, y \in Z$ . Let  $x \in X_1$  and  $y \in X_2$ . It is easy to see that there is a  $z \in [x, y]$  such that  $r(z) \in X_0$ . Then we have

$$d(x, y) \leq \rho(x, r(z)) + \rho(r(z), y) \leq K\rho(x, z) + K\rho(z, y) = K\rho(x, y).$$

Thus the theorem is proved.

**2.2. COROLLARY.** *In the notation of Theorem 2.1, if  $X$  and  $X_0$  are  $L$ -spaces, then so are  $X_1$  and  $X_2$ .*

*Proof.* Let us note that if  $(X, \rho) \in L$ , then it follows from the proof of Theorem 2.1 that the metric  $d$  defined by (2.1) satisfies (2.2), and hence is Lipschitz equivalent to  $\rho$ .

Now let  $Z$  be a metric space containing  $(X_1, \rho)$  isometrically as a closed subset. Since  $X$  is an  $L$ -space, there exists a Lipschitz map  $f: Z \rightarrow X$  such that  $f|_{X_1} = \text{id}_{X_1}$ . Let  $r': X_2 \rightarrow X_0$  be a Lipschitz retraction and define  $r: Z \rightarrow X_1$  by

$$r(x) = \begin{cases} f(x) & \text{if } f(x) \in X_1, \\ r'f(x) & \text{if } f(x) \in Z \setminus X_1. \end{cases}$$

Since  $\rho$  and  $d$  are Lipschitz equivalent, an easy computation shows that  $r$  is a Lipschitz map. Thus  $X_1$  (and similarly  $X_2$ ) is an  $L$ -space.

**Remark.** The proofs of Theorem 2.1 and Corollary 2.2 are similar to those in [9].

**Acknowledgments.** We wish to express our deep gratitude to Professors P. Mankiewicz and H. Toruńczyk for their kind interest during the preparation of this note.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] N. Aronszajn and P. Panitchpakdi, *Extension of uniformly continuous transformations and hyperconvex metric spaces*, Pacific Journal of Mathematics 6 (1956), p. 405-439.
- [2] S. Banach, *Theory of functions of real variables*, Warszawa 1951 (in Polish).
- [3] K. Borsuk, *Theory of retracts*, Monografie Matematyczne 44, Warszawa 1967.
- [4] J. Cipszer and L. Geher, *Extension of functions satisfying a Lipschitz condition*, Acta Mathematica Academiae Scientiarum Hungaricae 6 (1955), p. 213-220.
- [5] J. Luukkainen, *Extension of spaces, maps, and metrics in Lipschitz topology*, Annales Academiae Scientiarum Fennicae, Series A, I. Mathematica Dissertationes, 17 (1978), p. 1-62.
- [6] E. J. McShane, *Extension of range of functions*, Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society 40 (1934), p. 837-842.
- [7] E. J. Mickle, *On the extension of a transformation*, ibidem 55 (1949), p. 160-164.
- [8] Nguyen To Nhu,  *$P^\lambda$ -spaces and  $L^\lambda$ -spaces*, Colloquium Mathematicum 41 (1979), p. 67-71.
- [9] — *The gluing theorem for uniform neighbourhood retracts*, Bulletin de l'Académie Polonaise des Sciences, Série des sciences mathématiques, astronomiques et physiques, 27 (1979), p. 189-194.
- [10] F. A. Valetine, *On the extension of a vector function so as to preserve a Lipschitz condition*, Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society 49 (1943), p. 100-108.
- [11] — *Contractions in non-Euclidean spaces*, ibidem 50 (1941), p. 710-713.
- [12] — *A Lipschitz condition preserving extension for a vector function*, American Journal of Mathematics 67 (1945), p. 83-93.

*Reçu par la Rédaction le 4. 12. 1978 ;  
en version modifiée le 20. 4. 1979*

---