

ON SOME FUNCTIONAL EQUATION GENERALIZING CAUCHY'S AND D'ALEMBERT'S FUNCTIONAL EQUATIONS

BY

WOJCIECH CHOJNACKI (WARSZAWA)

*DEDICATED TO PROFESSOR STANISLAW HARTMAN
ON THE OCCASION OF HIS SEVENTIETH BIRTHDAY*

0. Introduction. Let G be a compact group and Γ a locally compact Abelian group. Suppose Γ is a G -space in the sense that there is a map

$$G \times \Gamma \ni (g, x) \rightarrow gx \in \Gamma$$

such that $g(hx) = (gh)x$, $ex = x$, and $g(x+y) = gx+gy$ for all $g, h \in G$ and all $x, y \in \Gamma$, e being the neutral element of G . Suppose, moreover, that the action of G is measurable in the sense that the function $G \times \Gamma \ni (g, x) \rightarrow gx \in \Gamma$ and all the functions $G \times \Gamma \ni (g, y) \rightarrow gx+y \in \Gamma$ ($x \in \Gamma$) are $(m \times \mu, \mu)$ -measurable, where m and μ are Haar measures on G and Γ , respectively.

The aim of this paper is to study μ -measurable, in various senses essentially bounded solutions to the functional equation

$$(0.1) \quad \int_G f(x+gy) dm(g) = f(x)f(y) \quad (x, y \in \Gamma).$$

Two particular cases of this equation have already been the object of investigations:

1° Cauchy's functional equation

$$f(x+y) = f(x)f(y) \quad (x, y \in \Gamma)$$

resulting from (0.1) upon taking $G = \mathbf{Z}_1$, with normalized Haar measure, to act trivially on Γ ;

2° d'Alembert's functional equation

$$f(x+y) + f(x-y) = 2f(x)f(y) \quad (x, y \in \Gamma)$$

resulting from (0.1) if $G = \mathbf{Z}_2$, with normalized Haar measure, is taken to act on Γ by the rule $0 \cdot x = x$, $1 \cdot x = -x$ ($x \in \Gamma$).

In either of these cases an arbitrary group Γ is a G -space for a group G of a particularly simple form. Specific groups Γ can apparently be realized as G -spaces for more complicated groups G . A simple example is furnished by the additive group of complex numbers C which is a Z_k -space under the action

$$(g, x) \rightarrow \left[\exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{k}g\right) \right] x \quad (k \in N),$$

and is also a T -space if the circle group T is taken to act on C by multiplication.

Our subsequent considerations will proceed in the following order. First, we find all μ -measurable μ -essentially bounded and μ -essentially non-zero solutions of the equation in question. Next, we discuss generalized complex-valued solutions in the case where G is finite and Γ is discrete; the identity (0.1) in this case is only assumed to hold almost everywhere with respect to certain translation-invariant ideals of subsets of Γ . Finally, we study some generalized solutions whose values lie in commutative semi-simple Banach algebras. As a result in this last case we obtain a generalization of a theorem of de Bruijn [2] on almost additive functions.

It should be pointed out that none of our results will essentially depend on a particular choice of the norming constant $m(G)$. This is due to the fact that a function f satisfies (0.1) if and only if the function cf ($c > 0$) satisfies the same identity with m replaced by cm .

1. Solutions in $\mathcal{L}^\infty(\Gamma)$. Let $\mathcal{L}^\infty(\Gamma)$ be the space of all complex μ -measurable μ -essentially bounded functions on Γ , $\hat{\Gamma}$ the dual group of Γ .

Given $\chi \in \hat{\Gamma}$, put

$$(1.1) \quad f(x) = \int_G (gx, \chi) dm(g) \quad (x \in \Gamma).$$

Clearly, f is in $\mathcal{L}^\infty(\Gamma)$. We claim that f satisfies (0.1). In fact, if $x, y \in \Gamma$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_G f(x+gy) dm(g) &= \int_G \left[\int_G (hx + hgy, \chi) dm(h) \right] dm(g) \\ &= \int_G (hx, \chi) \left[\int_G (hgy, \chi) dm(g) \right] dm(h) \\ &= \int_G (hx, \chi) \left[\int_G (gy, \chi) dm(g) \right] dm(h) \\ &= f(x)f(y). \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, we have the following

THEOREM 1.1. *Any μ -essentially non-zero function in $\mathcal{L}^\infty(\Gamma)$ satisfying (0.1) can be represented in the form (1.1) for some $\chi \in \hat{\Gamma}$.*

This theorem is a particular case of the following more general result:

THEOREM 1.2. *Let w be a μ -essentially non-zero function in $\mathcal{L}^\infty(\Gamma)$, Ω a subset of Γ , and f a complex function on Ω . Suppose that for each $y \in \Omega$ the identity*

$$\int_G w(x+gy) dm(g) = f(y)w(x)$$

holds for μ -almost all x in Γ . Then there exists $\chi \in \hat{\Gamma}$ such that for every $y \in \Omega$

$$f(y) = \int_G (gy, \chi) dm(g).$$

Proof. Let $A(\hat{\Gamma})$ be the space of Fourier transforms of functions in $L^1(\Gamma)$ with the norm $\|\varphi\|_{A(\hat{\Gamma})} = \|u\|_1$, where $\varphi = \hat{u}$; we adopt the following convention as regards the Fourier transform:

$$\hat{u}(\chi) = \int_\Gamma u(x) \overline{(x, \chi)} d\mu(x) \quad (\chi \in \hat{\Gamma}).$$

Given $y \in \Omega$, define the function ε_y on $\hat{\Gamma}$ to be

$$\varepsilon_y(\chi) = \int_G (gy, \chi) dm(g) \quad (\chi \in \hat{\Gamma}).$$

Note that if $\varphi \in A(\hat{\Gamma})$, then $\varepsilon_y \varphi \in A(\hat{\Gamma})$. In fact, if $\varphi = \hat{u}$, then $\varepsilon_y \varphi$ is the Fourier transform of the function

$$x \rightarrow \int_G u(x+gy) dm(g) \quad (x \in \Gamma),$$

an element of $L^1(\Gamma)$.

Let \hat{w} be the Fourier transform of w regarded as a pseudomeasure on $\hat{\Gamma}$ (cf. [1]), i.e., \hat{w} is the linear continuous functional on $A(\hat{\Gamma})$ defined by

$$\langle \hat{w}, \varphi \rangle = \int_\Gamma w(-x) u(x) d\mu(x) \quad (\varphi \in A(\hat{\Gamma}), \varphi = \hat{u}).$$

We claim that for each $y \in \Omega$ and each $\varphi \in A(\hat{\Gamma})$

$$(1.2) \quad [(\varepsilon_y - f(y)) \varphi] \hat{w} = 0.$$

In fact, for each $\psi \in A(\hat{\Gamma})$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle [(\varepsilon_y - f(y)) \varphi] \hat{w}, \psi \rangle &= \langle \hat{w}, \varepsilon_y \varphi \psi \rangle - f(y) \langle \hat{w}, \varphi \psi \rangle \\ &= \int_\Gamma w(-x) \left[\int_G (u * v)(x+gy) dm(g) \right] d\mu(x) \\ &\quad - f(y) \int_\Gamma w(-x) (u * v)(x) d\mu(x) \\ &= \int_\Gamma (u * v)(-x) \left[\int_G w(x+gy) dm(g) \right] d\mu(x) \\ &\quad - f(y) \int_\Gamma w(x) (u * v)(-x) d\mu(x) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $\varphi = \hat{u}$, $\psi = \hat{v}$, and $*$ stands for convolution.

Since w is μ -essentially non-zero, the support of \hat{w} is non-void. Let χ be a point in the support of \hat{w} . From (1.2) we infer that for every $y \in \Omega$ and every $\varphi \in A(\hat{\Gamma})$ the function $(\varepsilon_y - f(y))\varphi$ vanishes at χ (cf. [1], Theorem 1.3.1). Consequently, for all $y \in \Omega$ we have $\varepsilon_y(\chi) = f(y)$, which is the desired representation.

2. Generalized complex-valued solutions. We begin by recalling certain concepts and introducing some notation.

An *ideal* of subsets of a set X is a family \mathfrak{J} of subsets of X such that

- (i) $\emptyset \in \mathfrak{J}$ and $X \notin \mathfrak{J}$;
- (ii) if $A \in \mathfrak{J}$ and $B \subset A$, then $B \in \mathfrak{J}$;
- (iii) if $A, B \in \mathfrak{J}$, then $A \cup B \in \mathfrak{J}$.

Here the condition that $X \notin \mathfrak{J}$ is not standard.

Let X be a set and \mathfrak{J} an ideal of subsets of X . If f is a real function on X , the \mathfrak{J} -essential supremum of f is defined as

$$\sup_{\mathfrak{J}} f = \inf \{c \in \mathbf{R}: f^{-1}((c, +\infty)) \in \mathfrak{J}\}.$$

Two complex functions f and g on X are *equal \mathfrak{J} -almost everywhere* (in symbol, $f =_{\mathfrak{J}} g$) if and only if $\sup_{\mathfrak{J}} |f - g| = 0$.

Let $l_{\mathfrak{J}}^{\infty}(X)$ be the algebra of all complex functions f on X such that

$$\sup_{\mathfrak{J}} |f| < +\infty.$$

$\|f\|_{\mathfrak{J}} = \sup_{\mathfrak{J}} |f|$ is a pseudonorm on $l_{\mathfrak{J}}^{\infty}(X)$, $N_{\mathfrak{J}}(X) = \{f \in l_{\mathfrak{J}}^{\infty}(X): \|f\|_{\mathfrak{J}} = 0\}$ is an ideal of $l_{\mathfrak{J}}^{\infty}(X)$, and the quotient algebra $l_{\mathfrak{J}}^{\infty}(X) = l_{\mathfrak{J}}^{\infty}(X)/N_{\mathfrak{J}}(X)$ with the induced norm is, as one easily verifies, a Banach algebra with unit. The canonical image in $l_{\mathfrak{J}}^{\infty}(X)$ of a function $f \in l_{\mathfrak{J}}^{\infty}(X)$ will be denoted by $[f]_{\mathfrak{J}}$.

If A is a subset of X and f a function on X , then $f|_A$ stands for the restriction of f to A .

Let H be a discrete Abelian group. Given a function f on H and $x \in H$, $T_x f$ denotes the translate of f by x .

An ideal \mathfrak{J} of subsets of H is *translation-invariant* if $A \in \mathfrak{J}$ implies $A + x \in \mathfrak{J}$ for all $x \in H$, where

$$A + x = \{y \in H: y = a + x, a \in A\}.$$

If \mathfrak{J} is a translation-invariant ideal of subsets of H , $f \in l_{\mathfrak{J}}^{\infty}(H)$, and $x \in H$, then the element $T_x([f]_{\mathfrak{J}})$ in $l_{\mathfrak{J}}^{\infty}(H)$ is well-defined as the class $[T_x f]_{\mathfrak{J}}$, and we have

$$\|[f]_{\mathfrak{J}}\|_{\mathfrak{J}} = \|T_x([f]_{\mathfrak{J}})\|_{\mathfrak{J}}.$$

Given a linear continuous functional ξ on $l_{\mathfrak{J}}^{\infty}(H)$ and $x \in H$, $T_x \xi$ stands for the linear continuous functional on $l_{\mathfrak{J}}^{\infty}(H)$ defined by

$$T_x \xi([f]_{\mathfrak{J}}) = \xi(T_x([f]_{\mathfrak{J}})) \quad (f \in l_{\mathfrak{J}}^{\infty}(H));$$

we have, of course, $\|T_x \xi\| = \|\xi\|$.

For the remainder of the paper, we will assume that the group G is finite and the group Γ is discrete. The conditions for the action of G to be measurable will be now vacuous.

THEOREM 2.1. *Let \mathfrak{J} be a translation-invariant ideal of subsets of Γ , Ω a subset of Γ , f a function in $l^{\infty}_{\mathfrak{J}}(\Gamma)$ such that $\|f\|_{\mathfrak{J}} \neq 0$ and for all $y \in \Omega$*

$$(2.1) \quad \sum_{g \in G} f(\cdot + gy) = {}_{\mathfrak{J}}f(\cdot) f(y).$$

Then there exists a complex bounded function F on Γ such that

$$(2.2) \quad \sum_{g \in G} F(x + gy) = F(x) F(y)$$

for all $x, y \in \Gamma$ and $F|_{\Omega} = f|_{\Omega}$.

Proof. Let ξ be a linear continuous functional on $l^{\infty}_{\mathfrak{J}}(\Gamma)$ such that $\xi([f]_{\mathfrak{J}}) \neq 0$. In view of (2.1), we have for all $y \in \Omega$ and all $z \in \Gamma$

$$\sum_{g \in G} T_z \xi([T_{gy} f]_{\mathfrak{J}}) = f(y) T_z \xi([f]_{\mathfrak{J}}),$$

whence

$$\sum_{g \in G} T_{z+gy} \xi([f]_{\mathfrak{J}}) = f(y) T_z \xi([f]_{\mathfrak{J}}).$$

Letting w denote the function $x \rightarrow T_x \xi([f]_{\mathfrak{J}})$, we have for all $y \in \Omega$

$$\sum_{g \in G} T_{gy} w = f(y) w.$$

By Theorem 1.2, there exists χ in $\hat{\Gamma}$ such that

$$f(y) = \sum_{g \in G} (gy, \chi)$$

for all $y \in \Omega$. To complete the proof, it suffices to take F to be the function

$$x \rightarrow \sum_{g \in G} (gx, \chi).$$

The function F in the statement of Theorem 2.1 is in general not unique. Below we present a theorem assuring the uniqueness of F in the case where $\Gamma \setminus \Omega$ is an element of a translation-invariant ideal of subsets of Γ .

THEOREM 2.2. *Let \mathfrak{J} be a translation-invariant ideal of subsets of Γ , and F a complex bounded function on Γ satisfying (2.2) for all $x, y \in \Gamma$. Then F is the only complex bounded function in $[F]_{\mathfrak{J}}$ satisfying (2.2) for all $x, y \in \Gamma$. Moreover, $\|F\|_{\infty} = \|F\|_{\mathfrak{J}}$.*

Proof. Let $b\Gamma$ be the Bohr compactification of Γ , α the canonical homomorphism from Γ into $b\Gamma$, $AP(\Gamma)$ the algebra of all complex almost

periodic functions on Γ , and $C(b\Gamma)$ the algebra of all complex continuous functions on $b\Gamma$. As is known, the mapping

$$C(b\Gamma) \ni h \rightarrow h \circ \alpha \in AP(\Gamma)$$

is a Banach algebra isomorphism.

Let ξ be a linear multiplicative functional on $l_{\mathfrak{I}}^{\infty}(\Gamma)$. The mapping $h \rightarrow \xi([h \circ \alpha]_{\mathfrak{I}})$ is a linear multiplicative functional on $C(b\Gamma)$ and as such it can be represented in the form $h \rightarrow h(\omega_{\xi})$ for some $\omega_{\xi} \in b\Gamma$. If $\omega \in b\Gamma$ and $h \in C(b\Gamma)$, then

$$(2.3) \quad h(\omega) = \lim_{\alpha(x) \rightarrow \omega - \omega_{\xi}} T_x \xi([h \circ \alpha]_{\mathfrak{I}}),$$

passage to the limit being possible due to the fact that $\alpha(\Gamma)$ is dense in $b\Gamma$. It follows from the latter formula that every almost periodic function f on Γ is uniquely determined by its restriction to a subset of Γ whose complement belongs to \mathfrak{I} and, moreover, $\|f\|_{\infty} = \|f\|_{\mathfrak{I}}$. The uniqueness of F and the identity $\|F\|_{\infty} = \|F\|_{\mathfrak{I}}$ result now from the fact that, by virtue of Theorem 1.1, any complex bounded function satisfying (2.2) for all $x, y \in \Gamma$ is a trigonometric polynomial on Γ .

3. Generalized Banach algebra valued solutions. Let A be a commutative semi-simple Banach algebra. Suppose Φ is a subset of the Gelfand space of A such that the only element of A whose Gelfand transform vanishes at all points of Φ is the zero element. Let $s(\Phi)$ be the algebra of all complex functions on Φ , equipped with the topology of pointwise convergence. When $\phi \in \Phi$ and $f \in s(\Phi)$, we write $\langle \phi, f \rangle$ for the value of f at ϕ . Let σ_{ϕ} be the weak topology on A induced by Φ : σ_{ϕ} has for a basis of neighbourhoods of the origin the sets

$$\{x \in A: \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |\langle \phi_i, x \rangle| < \varepsilon\}$$

with $\{\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n\}$ running over all finite subsets of Φ and ε running over all positive numbers. We identify A under σ_{ϕ} with the topological subalgebra of $s(\Phi)$ consisting of all restrictions to Φ of the Gelfand transforms of elements of A .

An immediate consequence of Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 is the following

THEOREM 3.1. *For each $\phi \in \Phi$, let \mathfrak{I}_{ϕ} and \mathfrak{J}_{ϕ} be translation-invariant ideals of subsets of Γ , and Ω_{ϕ} a subset of Γ such that $\Gamma \setminus \Omega_{\phi} \in \mathfrak{I}_{\phi}$. Let f be a function from Γ into A such that, given $\phi \in \Phi$,*

- (i) *the function $x \rightarrow \langle \phi, f(x) \rangle$ is in $l_{\mathfrak{I}_{\phi}}^{\infty}(\Gamma)$;*
- (ii) *$\|\langle \phi, f(\cdot) \rangle\|_{\mathfrak{I}_{\phi}} = 0$ implies $\|\langle \phi, f(\cdot) \rangle\|_{\mathfrak{J}_{\phi}} = 0$;*
- (iii) *for every $y \in \Omega_{\phi}$*

$$(3.1) \quad \sum_{g \in G} \langle \phi, f(\cdot + gy) \rangle =_{\mathfrak{J}_{\phi}} \langle \phi, f(\cdot) \rangle \langle \phi, f(y) \rangle.$$

Then there exists a unique bounded function F from Γ into $s(\Phi)$ satisfying (2.2) for all $x, y \in \Gamma$, such that, for each $\phi \in \Phi$,

$$\langle \phi, F(\cdot) \rangle = \mathfrak{I}_\phi \langle \phi, f(\cdot) \rangle.$$

Moreover, for every $\phi \in \Phi$,

$$\|\langle \phi, F(\cdot) \rangle\|_\infty = \|\langle \phi, f(\cdot) \rangle\|_{\mathfrak{I}_\phi}$$

and if $\|\langle \phi, f(\cdot) \rangle\|_{\mathfrak{I}_\phi} \neq 0$, then

$$\langle \phi, F(x) \rangle = \langle \phi, f(x) \rangle \quad \text{for all } x \in \Omega_\phi.$$

Specializing the hypotheses of this theorem, we shall now derive a few results relating to the situation in which exact solutions of the equation under study take values in the same Banach algebra as initial generalized solutions.

THEOREM 3.2. *Suppose the unit ball of A is σ_ϕ -compact. Let \mathfrak{I} be a translation-invariant ideal of subsets of Γ . For each $\phi \in \Phi$, let Ω_ϕ be a subset of Γ with $\Gamma \setminus \Omega_\phi \in \mathfrak{I}$, and \mathfrak{I}_ϕ a translation-invariant ideal of subsets of Γ . Let f be a norm-bounded function from Γ into A such that, given $\phi \in \Phi$,*

(i) $\|\langle \phi, f(\cdot) \rangle\|_{\mathfrak{I}_\phi} = 0$ implies $\|\langle \phi, f(\cdot) \rangle\|_{\mathfrak{I}} = 0$;

(ii) (3.1) holds for every $y \in \Omega_\phi$.

Then there exists a unique bounded function F from Γ into (A, σ_ϕ) satisfying (2.2) for all $x, y \in \Gamma$, such that, for each $\phi \in \Phi$,

$$\langle \phi, F(\cdot) \rangle = \mathfrak{I} \langle \phi, f(\cdot) \rangle.$$

F is bounded in norm and, moreover, for every $\phi \in \Phi$,

$$\|\langle \phi, F(\cdot) \rangle\|_\infty = \|\langle \phi, f(\cdot) \rangle\|_{\mathfrak{I}}$$

and if $\|\langle \phi, f(\cdot) \rangle\|_{\mathfrak{I}_\phi} \neq 0$, then

$$\langle \phi, F(x) \rangle = \langle \phi, f(x) \rangle \quad \text{for all } x \in \Omega_\phi.$$

Proof. In view of the preceding theorem, we need only to prove the existence part.

Let ξ be a linear multiplicative functional on $l^\infty(\Gamma)$ and ω_ξ the corresponding point in $b\Gamma$ such that (2.3) holds. Since, clearly, for each $\phi \in \Phi$ the function $x \rightarrow \langle \phi, f(x) \rangle$ belongs to $l^\infty_\mathfrak{I}(\Gamma)$ and is a trigonometric polynomial modulo $N_\mathfrak{I}(\Gamma)$, the right-hand side of the formula

$$(3.2) \quad \langle \phi, F(x) \rangle = \lim_{\alpha(y) \rightarrow \alpha(x) - \omega_\xi} T_y \xi([\langle \phi, f(\cdot) \rangle]_\mathfrak{I}) \quad (x \in \Gamma)$$

makes sense. Reading the proofs to Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 we see that the assertion will follow once we prove that the function F defined by (3.2) is A -valued and is bounded in norm. By the compactness hypothesis, all reduces

to proving that, given $x \in \Gamma$, $\phi \rightarrow \langle \phi, F(x) \rangle$, an element of $s(\Phi)$, lies in the closure of $f(\Gamma)$.

Suppose $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n \in \Phi$ satisfy

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \langle \phi_i, f(y) \rangle \right| \leq 1$$

for all $y \in \Gamma$. Then, in view of (3.2),

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \langle \phi_i, F(x) \rangle \right| \leq 1$$

whatever $x \in \Gamma$. Every linear continuous functional on $s(\Phi)$ being of the form

$$h \rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \langle \phi_i, h \rangle$$

for some $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{C}$ and some $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n \in \Phi$, we reach the conclusion by utilizing the bipolar theorem.

In the sequel, if $g \in G$ and Σ is a subset of Γ , we write Σ_g for the set $\{x \in \Gamma: x - gx \in \Sigma\}$; for $x \in \Gamma$, we let

$$x - \Sigma = \{y \in \Gamma: y = x - s, s \in \Sigma\}.$$

THEOREM 3.3. *Let \mathfrak{I} be a translation-invariant ideal of subsets of Γ , Ω a subset of Γ with $\Gamma \setminus \Omega \in \mathfrak{I}$, such that for each $x \in \Gamma$ the set*

$$\Omega(x) = (x - \Omega) \cap \Omega \cap \bigcap_{g \in G \setminus \{e\}} (\Omega - gx)_g$$

is non-void. For every $\phi \in \Phi$, let \mathfrak{I}_ϕ be a translation-invariant ideal of subsets of Γ . Let f be a function from Γ into A such that, given $\phi \in \Phi$,

- (i) *the function $x \rightarrow \langle \phi, f(x) \rangle$ is in $l_{\mathfrak{I}_\phi}^\infty(\Gamma)$;*
- (ii) *$\|\langle \phi, f(\cdot) \rangle\|_{\mathfrak{I}_\phi} = 0$ implies $\langle \phi, f(x) \rangle = 0$ for all $x \in \Omega$;*
- (iii) *(3.1) holds for every $y \in \Omega$.*

Then there exists a unique bounded function F from Γ into (A, σ_ϕ) such that (2.2) holds for all $x, y \in \Gamma$ and $F|_\Omega = f|_\Omega$.

Proof. Let F be the function from Γ into $s(\Phi)$ associated with f by the application of Theorem 3.1. In view of (ii), F coincides with f on Ω . Thus all that we need to prove is that F is A -valued.

Given $x \in \Gamma$, choose s in $\Omega(x)$, and let $t = x - s$. We have $s \in \Omega$, $t \in \Omega$, $s + gt \in \Omega$ for all $g \in G \setminus \{e\}$, whence $F(s) = f(s)$, $F(t) = f(t)$, and $F(s + gt) = f(s + gt)$ for all $g \in G \setminus \{e\}$. Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} F(x) &= F(s + t) = F(s)F(t) - \sum_{g \in G \setminus \{e\}} F(s + gt) \\ &= f(s)f(t) - \sum_{g \in G \setminus \{e\}} f(s + gt), \end{aligned}$$

which yields the desired conclusion.

THEOREM 3.4. *Let \mathfrak{I} be a translation-invariant ideal of subsets of Γ , Ω a subset of Γ such that $\Gamma \setminus \Omega \in \mathfrak{I}$. For each $\phi \in \Phi$, let \mathfrak{I}_ϕ be a translation-invariant ideal of subsets of Γ . Let f be a function from Γ into a subgroup B of the multiplicative group of all invertible elements of A such that, given $\phi \in \Phi$,*

- (i) *the function $x \rightarrow \langle \phi, f(x) \rangle$ is in $l_{\mathfrak{I}_\phi}^\infty(\Gamma)$;*
- (ii) *$\|\langle \phi, f(\cdot) \rangle\|_{\mathfrak{I}_\phi} \neq 0$;*
- (iii) *for every $y \in \Omega$*

$$\langle \phi, f(\cdot + y) \rangle =_{\mathfrak{I}_\phi} \langle \phi, f(\cdot) \rangle \langle \phi, f(y) \rangle.$$

Then there exists a unique bounded function F from Γ into (A, σ_ϕ) such that for all $x, y \in \Gamma$

$$F(x + y) = F(x)F(y)$$

and $F|_\Omega = f|_\Omega$. The range of F is contained in B .

Proof. Let F be the function from Γ into $s(\Phi)$ associated with f by the application of Theorem 3.1. Clearly, F coincides with f on Ω . We shall show that F is B -valued.

Given $x \in \Gamma$, let y be an element of $\Omega \cap (\Omega - x)$. Then $x + y \in \Omega$, and so

$$f(x + y) = F(x + y) = F(x)F(y) = F(x)f(y),$$

whence

$$F(x) = f(x + y)f(y)^{-1}.$$

The proof is complete.

The following is a generalization of de Bruijn's theorem mentioned in the Introduction.

THEOREM 3.5. *Let \mathfrak{I} be a translation-invariant ideal of subsets of Γ , Ω a subset of Γ such that $\Gamma \setminus \Omega \in \mathfrak{I}$, and H a locally compact Abelian group. For each $\chi \in \hat{H}$ let \mathfrak{I}_χ be a translation-invariant ideal of subsets of Γ . Let f be a function from Γ into H such that for every $\chi \in \hat{H}$ and every $y \in \Omega$*

$$(f(\cdot + y), \chi) =_{\mathfrak{I}_\chi} (f(\cdot), \chi)(f(y), \chi).$$

Then there exists a unique function F from Γ into H such that for all $x, y \in \Gamma$

$$F(x + y) = F(x) + F(y)$$

and $F|_\Omega = f|_\Omega$.

Proof. The theorem follows immediately from the foregoing one upon identifying H with the group of all continuous characters of \hat{H} , which is a subgroup of the group of all invertible elements of the Banach algebra $l^\infty(\hat{H})$, and taking Φ to be the collection of all evaluation functionals on $l^\infty(\hat{H})$ corresponding to points in \hat{H} .

REFERENCES

- [1] J. J. Benedetto, *Spectral Synthesis*, Teubner, Stuttgart 1975.
[2] N. G. de Bruijn, *On almost additive functions*, Colloq. Math. 15 (1966), pp. 59–63.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS
WARSAW UNIVERSITY
WARSZAWA

*Reçu par la Rédaction le 15.2.1985;
en version modifiée le 10.5.1985*
