

ON MIKUSIŃSKI-PEXIDER FUNCTIONAL EQUATION

BY

KAROL BARON AND ROMAN GER (KATOWICE)

1. The authors of [3] deal with Mikusiński's functional equation

$$(1) \quad f(x+y)(f(x+y) - f(x) - f(y)) = 0$$

and they find its general solution. In connexion therewith the following question presents itself in a natural way: find the general solution of the functional equation

$$(2) \quad f(x+y)(g(x+y) - h(x) - k(y)) = 0.$$

Similarly as in [3], we observe that it is worth-while to consider (2) in an equivalent conditional form

$$(3) \quad f(x+y) \neq 0 \text{ implies } g(x+y) = h(x) + k(y)$$

which allows us to eliminate the sign of multiplication. Thus we may consider our equation for structures endowed with one operation “+” only.

Evidently, the character of equation (3) is slightly pathological. As a matter of fact, since f does not occur on the right-hand side of (3), the left-hand side expresses simply the fact that $x+y$ is not a member of an arbitrarily given set Z which, of course, may always be treated as a counter-image of $\{0\}$ for a certain function f . Thus it seems more natural to consider the functional equation (3) in the form

$$(4) \quad x+y \notin Z \text{ implies } g(x+y) = h(x) + k(y),$$

as well as,

$$(5) \quad f(x+y) \neq 0 \text{ implies } f(x+y) = h(x) + k(y).$$

Equations (4) and (5) may be referred to as *Mikusiński-Pexider equations* in view of their connexion with (1) and with the Pexider functional equation (see [1])

$$g(x+y) = h(x) + k(y).$$

In the present paper we are going to investigate (4) and (5) in a slightly more general form suggested by the results obtained in [2].

2. Suppose that we are given two abelian groups \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{H} (both written additively) and functions

$$\Phi: X \xrightarrow{\text{onto}} \mathcal{G}, \quad \Psi: Y \xrightarrow{\text{onto}} \mathcal{G},$$

where X and Y are non-empty sets of an arbitrary nature. We are going to study the conditional equation

$$(6) \quad \Phi(x) + \Psi(y) \notin Z \text{ implies } g(\Phi(x) + \Psi(y)) = h(x) + k(y)$$

with unknown functions

$$(7) \quad g: \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}, \quad h: X \rightarrow \mathcal{H}, \quad k: Y \rightarrow \mathcal{H}.$$

Z denotes here an arbitrarily fixed subset of \mathcal{G} .

In order to simplify the statements, in the sequel we exclude the trivial case $Z = \mathcal{G}$ (then, of course, every triple (7) yields a solution of (6)). Moreover, we shall use the following notation:

$$\begin{aligned} Z(\varphi) &= \{s \in \mathcal{G} : \varphi(s) = 0\}, \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{H}^{\mathcal{G}}; \\ A - a_0 &= \{a - a_0 : a \in A\}, \quad A \subset \mathcal{G}, \quad a_0 \in \mathcal{G}; \\ A' &= \mathcal{G} \setminus A, \quad A \subset \mathcal{G}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we shall permanently assume that one of the given functions, say Φ , is invertible.

LEMMA 1. *Equation (6) is equivalent to the following system:*

$$(8) \quad \Phi(x) + \Psi(y) \notin Z \text{ implies } g(\Phi(x) + \Psi(y)) = h(x) - h(\Phi^{-1}(s_0 - \Psi(y))) + g(s_0),$$

$$(9) \quad k(y) = -h(\Phi^{-1}(s_0 - \Psi(y))) + g(s_0), \quad y \in Y;$$

s_0 being an arbitrarily fixed point of Z' .

Proof. Indeed, for fixed $y \in Y$ it suffices to put $x = \Phi^{-1}(s_0 - \Psi(y))$ in (6). For $s_0 \in Z'$, henceforth fixed, we put

$$(10) \quad G(s) = g(s + s_0) - g(s_0), \quad H(s) = h(\Phi^{-1}(s + s_0)) - g(s_0), \quad s \in \mathcal{G},$$

and

$$(11) \quad T = Z' - s_0.$$

LEMMA 2. *Equation (8) is equivalent to the following one:*

$$(12) \quad t \in T \text{ implies } G(t) = H(t + s) - H(s), \quad s \in \mathcal{G};$$

G , H and T are defined by (10) and (11), respectively.

Proof. Applying (8), (10) and (11) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi(x) + \Psi(y) - s_0 \in T \text{ implies } G(\Phi(x) + \Psi(y) - s_0) + g(s_0) \\ = H(\Phi(x) - s_0) + g(s_0) - H(-\Psi(y)),\end{aligned}$$

whence (12) results by setting

$$t = \Phi(x) + \Psi(y) - s_0, \quad s = -\Psi(y).$$

Conversely, it follows from (12), (10) and (11) that

$$t \in T \text{ implies } g(t + s_0) - g(s_0) = h(\Phi^{-1}(t + s + s_0)) - h(\Phi^{-1}(s + s_0)).$$

Making the same substitutions as above we obtain:

$$\Phi(x) + \Psi(y) \notin Z \text{ implies } g(\Phi(x) + \Psi(y)) = h(x) - h(\Phi^{-1}(s_0 - \Psi(y))) + g(s_0).$$

Thus the problem of finding the general solution of (6) may be reduced to that for equation (12).

LEMMA 3. *The general solution of equation (12) is given by*

$$G(s) = \begin{cases} \varphi(s) & \text{for } s \in T, \\ \text{arbitrary} & \text{for } s \notin T, \end{cases}$$

$$H(s) = \varphi(s) + c_0, \quad s \in \mathcal{G};$$

φ is an arbitrary element of $\mathcal{H}^{\mathcal{G}}$ which satisfies the condition

$$(13) \quad \varphi(s+t) = \varphi(s) + \varphi(t), \quad (s, t) \in \mathcal{G} \times T,$$

whereas c_0 is an arbitrary constant from \mathcal{H} and T is defined by (11).

Proof. Putting in (12) $s = 0$ and $c_0 = H(0)$, we get $G(t) = H(t) - c_0$ for $t \in T$. Thus

$$H(t) - c_0 = H(t+s) - c_0 - (H(s) - c_0), \quad (s, t) \in \mathcal{G} \times T,$$

whence (13) is obtained by setting

$$\varphi(s) = H(s) - c_0, \quad s \in \mathcal{G}.$$

Conversely, every pair of functions G and H , defined in the statement of this lemma, satisfies (12).

Lemmas 1,2 and 3 imply the following

THEOREM 1. *The general solution of equation (6) is given by*

$$g(s) = \begin{cases} \varphi(s - s_0) + c & \text{for } s \notin Z, \\ \text{arbitrary} & \text{for } s \in Z, \end{cases}$$

$$h(x) = \varphi(\Phi(x) - s_0) + c - c_0, \quad x \in X,$$

$$k(y) = -\varphi(-\Psi(y)) + c_0, \quad y \in Y,$$

where φ is an arbitrary element of $\mathcal{H}^{\mathcal{G}}$ fulfilling (13) with T defined by (11), whereas s_0 is an arbitrarily fixed point of Z' , and c_0, c are arbitrary constants from \mathcal{H} .

Remark. A slight modification allows us to get a similar result for the functional equation

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \Phi_i(x_i) \notin Z \text{ implies } g\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \Phi_i(x_i)\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n h_i(x_i)$$

with unknown functions

$$g: \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}, \quad h_i: X_i \rightarrow \mathcal{H}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

where the functions

$$\Phi_i: X_i \xrightarrow{\text{onto}} \mathcal{G}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

are given, Φ_1 is invertible, whereas $X_i, i = 1, \dots, n$, are any non-empty sets and Z denotes an arbitrarily fixed subset of \mathcal{G} .

The question about the general solution of (13) becomes now obtruding itself. In general, one cannot expect φ to be additive, since the behaviour of solutions depends obviously on Z . Take, for instance, $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{H} = R$, the additive group of all real numbers, and $Z = R \setminus \{a\}, a \in R$. Thus an arbitrary member φ of R^R , fulfilling $\varphi(0) = 0$, satisfies (13).

However, φ must be additive whenever the set Z' is not too small. Namely, we have the following

THEOREM 2. *If $T \cap (T - q) \neq \emptyset$ for every $q \in \mathcal{G}$, then every $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}^{\mathcal{G}}$ which satisfies (13) must be an additive function.*

Proof. According to (13), one can write

$$\varphi(s_1 + t) - \varphi(s_1) = \varphi(s_2 + t) - \varphi(s_2), \quad (s_1, s_2, t) \in \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G} \times T.$$

Taking p, q arbitrary from \mathcal{G} and putting $s_1 = p + q, s_2 = q$, we have

$$(14) \quad \varphi(p + q + t) - \varphi(p + q) = \varphi(q + t) - \varphi(q), \quad (p, q, t) \in \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G} \times T.$$

On the other hand (cf. also (13)),

$$(15) \quad \varphi(p + q + t) = \varphi(p) + \varphi(q + t), \quad (p, q, t) \in \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G} \times (T - q).$$

Choosing a $t \in T \cap (T - q)$, we infer from (14) and (15) that

$$\varphi(p + q) = \varphi(p) + \varphi(q), \quad (p, q) \in \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G},$$

which was to be proved.

3. Now we apply these results to the functional equation

$$(16) \quad f(\Phi(x) + \Psi(y)) \neq 0 \text{ implies } f(\Phi(x) + \Psi(y)) = h(x) + k(y),$$

where the functions occurring are subjected to the same general conditions as in the preceding section.

THEOREM 3. *The general solution of equation (16) is given by*

$$f(s) = \begin{cases} \varphi(s - s_0) + c & \text{for } s \notin Z, \\ 0 & \text{for } s \in Z, \end{cases}$$

$$h(x) = \varphi(\Phi(x) - s_0) + c - c_0, \quad x \in X,$$

$$k(y) = -\varphi(-\Psi(y)) + c_0, \quad y \in Y,$$

where Z is an arbitrarily fixed subset of \mathcal{G} , whereas φ, s_0, c, c_0 have the same meaning as in theorem 1.

In particular, φ must be additive whenever there does not exist a $q \in \mathcal{G}$ such that $Z \cup (Z - q) = \mathcal{G}$.

Proof. Fix arbitrarily a set Z contained in \mathcal{G} and consider equation (6) with the symbol f instead of g . It suffices to choose from the family of all solutions of this equation those for which the condition $Z \subset Z(f)$ is satisfied.

In the case where $X = Y = \mathcal{G}$, $\Phi = \Psi$ are identities on \mathcal{G} , and $f = h = k$, (16) assumes the form

$$(17) \quad f(s+t) \neq 0 \text{ implies } f(s+t) = f(s) + f(t),$$

which was investigated in [3]. The main result obtained there reads as follows: f must be additive if \mathcal{G} has no subgroups of index 2; if \mathcal{G} has a subgroup K of index 2, then either f is additive or $f = \text{const} (\neq 0)$ on K' and $f = 0$ on K .

This result can easily be deduced from our theorem 3 ⁽¹⁾.

In fact, the condition $f = h = k$ implies

$$f(s) = \begin{cases} \varphi(s - s_0) + c, & s \notin Z, \\ \varphi(s - s_0) + c - c_0, & s \in \mathcal{G}, \\ -\varphi(-s) + c_0, & s \in \mathcal{G}, \end{cases}$$

whence

$$f(s) = -\varphi(-s), \quad s \in \mathcal{G}.$$

Now, if \mathcal{G} has no subgroup of index 2, then $Z(f) \cup (Z(f) - q) = \mathcal{G}$ is not possible for any $q \in \mathcal{G}$ ⁽²⁾ and, consequently, f must be additive. If \mathcal{G} has a subgroup K of index 2, then, besides the additive solutions, equation (17) may also have non-additive solutions f such that $Z(f) = K$. For

⁽¹⁾ However, only in the case of commutative \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{H} . In [3] \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{H} are not assumed commutative.

⁽²⁾ (17) implies that $Z = Z(f)$ is a group (cf. [3]).

every $s_0 \notin K$, we have $K' - s_0 = K$ and thus f satisfies

$$(18) \quad f(s+t) = f(s), \quad (s, t) \in \mathcal{G} \times K.$$

Fix an $s_0 \notin K$ and take an arbitrary $s \in K$. Then $t = s_0 - s \in K$, whence by (18) we have $f(s) = f(s_0)$, i.e. $f = \text{const} (\neq 0)$ on K' , whereas $f = 0$ on K by the condition $Z(f) = K$.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Aczél, *Lectures on functional equations and their applications*, New York and London 1966.
- [2] K. Baron, *On some generalizations of the Pexider equations*, Publikacije Elektrotehničkog Fakulteta Univerziteta u Beogradu, Serija Matematika i Fizika, 333 (1970), p. 35-38.
- [3] L. Dubikajtis, C. Ferens, R. Ger and M. Kuczma, *On Mikusiński's functional equation*, Annales Polonici Mathematici 28 (1973), p. 39-47.

Reçu par la Rédaction le 21. 4. 1972
