

*INDEPENDENT COMPLETE SUBALGEBRAS
OF COLLAPSING ALGEBRAS*

BY

MARTIN GA VALEC (KOŠICE)

The present paper deals with complete Boolean product of complete Boolean algebras which is a natural extrapolation of the $(m, 0)$ -product considered in [3]. We show that, for each cardinal α greater than the cardinality of the continuum, the collapse algebra $\text{Col } \alpha$ is a complete product of two Cohen algebras or two random algebras. Thus, for Cohen algebras or random algebras, respectively, complete Boolean products form a proper class and the greatest complete product does not exist.

Another result answers a question which was arisen by the previous problem. The theorem asserts that in the collapse algebra there is an infinite decomposition which is independent of a countable independent set of complete generators.

The complete Boolean product has been studied also in [1].

Before stating our results more precisely, let us introduce some notions and notation. In general, we shall follow the terminology used in [3] (however, the notation used here is not always strictly the same as in [3], e.g. we shall use $\wedge, \vee, 0, 1$ for Boolean operations and bound elements; for logical conjunction and disjunction we use \wedge and \vee).

An ordinal ξ will be considered as the set of all ordinals less than ξ , a cardinal will be an initial ordinal. Thus, a natural number n is the set $\{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$. For $\varepsilon \in 2$ we get $\varepsilon = 0$ or $\varepsilon = 1$, and so for an element A in a Boolean algebra we have $(-1)^\varepsilon \cdot A = 1 \cdot A = A$ or $(-1)^\varepsilon \cdot A = (-1) \cdot A = -A$, respectively. If f is a function from A to B , we write $D(f) = A$ and $W(f) = B$; the set of all such functions is denoted by ${}^A B$. Following this definition, ${}^\omega 2$ is the set of all infinite sequences of 0, 1. The set of all finite sequences of 0, 1 will be denoted by $<{}^\omega 2$.

The *collapse algebra* $\text{Col } \alpha$ is the complete Boolean algebra $\text{RO}({}^\omega a)$ of all regular open subsets of the topological space ${}^\omega a$ which is the usual product of ω copies of a with the discrete topology. (Thus, the base of the topology in ${}^\omega a$ is formed by the sets $u_\varphi = \{f \in {}^\omega a; f \supseteq \varphi\}$ for all functions φ such that $D(\varphi)$ is a finite subset of ω and $W(\varphi) \subseteq a$.)

The *Cohen algebra* is the complete Boolean algebra of all Borel sets of reals modulo the ideal of meager sets. The *random algebra* is the complete Boolean algebra of all Borel sets of reals modulo the ideal of sets of measure zero.

Definition. A Boolean algebra \mathcal{C} is a *complete Boolean product* of Boolean algebras \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} if

- (i) \mathcal{C} is a complete Boolean algebra,
- (ii) \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} are regular subalgebras of \mathcal{C} ,
- (iii) \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} are independent in \mathcal{C} ,
- (iv) $\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}$ completely generates \mathcal{C} .

The main results of the paper can now be formulated as follows:

THEOREM A (Boolean two-Cohen theorem). *Let α be a cardinal, $\alpha \geq 2^\omega$. Then, in the collapse algebra $\mathcal{C} = \text{Col}_\alpha$, there are regular subalgebras \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} isomorphic to the Cohen algebra and such that \mathcal{C} is a complete Boolean product of \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} .*

THEOREM B (Boolean two-random theorem). *Let α be a cardinal, $\alpha \geq 2^\omega$. Then, in the collapse algebra $\mathcal{C} = \text{Col}_\alpha$, there are regular subalgebras \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} isomorphic to the random algebra and such that \mathcal{C} is a complete Boolean product of \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} .*

The proofs of the theorems are given in Sections 2 and 3, they use the Boolean-valued models of set theory. In Section 1, a theorem on independent generators and decompositions (Theorem 1.4) and its application to the collapse algebra (Theorem 1.5) are proved.

Remark. The unpublished result called *two-Cohen theorem* was proved by R. Solovay:

Assume that ω_1^L is countable and x is a real. Then there are Cohen reals a, b such that $x = a + b$, i.e. $x \in L(a, b)$.

The analogous result is known for random reals.

The Boolean versions presented in this paper give information on subalgebras generated by reals a, b .

1. Independent generators. The main results of this section are Theorems 1.4 and 1.5. We start with reminding some definitions.

1.0. Definition. A subset D of a Boolean algebra is called a *decomposition* (of unit element) if

- (i) $0 \neq A$ for any $A \in D$,
- (ii) $A \wedge B = 0$ for any $A \neq B, A, B \in D$,
- (iii) $\bigvee D = 1$.

1.1. Definition. A family $(D_l; l \in T)$ of decompositions in a Boolean algebra is called *independent* if $\bigwedge \{A_k; k \in n\} \neq 0$ holds true for any finite subset $\{l_0, l_1, \dots, l_{n-1}\} \subseteq T$ and for any elements $A_k \in D_{l_k}, k \in n$.

1.2. Definition. We say that a subset S of a Boolean algebra is *independent* if the set of decompositions $\{\{A, -A\}; A \in S\}$ is independent.

To get an equivalent formulation, let us introduce the notation

$$f_\varepsilon = \bigwedge \{(-1)^{\varepsilon(k)} f(k); k \in n\} \quad \text{for any } n \in \omega, \varepsilon \in {}^n 2, f \in {}^n S.$$

Such an f_ε will be called a *constituent* over S if f is injective. Now, S is *independent* if any constituent over S is non-zero.

1.3. Definition. We say that a decomposition D is *independent* of a subset S of a Boolean algebra if, for any constituent f_ε over S and for any $A \in D$, $f_\varepsilon \neq 0$ implies $A \wedge f_\varepsilon \neq 0$.

Remark. The implication in the defining condition is necessary, as S itself need not be independent. For an independent set S it is equivalent to demand the set of decompositions $\{D, \{A, -A\}; A \in S\}$ to be independent.

1.4. THEOREM. *Let \mathcal{B} be a non-atomic Boolean algebra with countably many generators and let \mathcal{Y} be a countable set generating \mathcal{B} . Then there is a countable independent set \mathcal{X} , generating \mathcal{B} , such that any constituent over \mathcal{X} majorizes some non-zero constituent over \mathcal{Y} .*

COROLLARY. *Let m be an infinite cardinal, \mathcal{B} a non-atomic algebra, and \mathcal{Y} a countable set m -generating (completely generating) \mathcal{B} . Then there is a countable independent set \mathcal{X} , m -generating (completely generating) \mathcal{B} , such that any decomposition independent of \mathcal{Y} is also independent of \mathcal{X} .*

Proof. Let the assumptions of the corollary be fulfilled. We denote by \mathcal{B}_0 the subalgebra of \mathcal{B} generated by \mathcal{Y} . The algebra \mathcal{B}_0 is non-atomic, for if $A \in \mathcal{B}_0$ were an atom in \mathcal{B}_0 , then, for any $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$, $A \leq Y$ or $A \leq -Y$ would be true, which implies that A would be an atom in \mathcal{B} as well. Thus, using the theorem we get an independent set \mathcal{X} , generating \mathcal{B}_0 , which m -generates (completely generates) the algebra \mathcal{B} .

The condition concerning constituents enables us to prove independence of decompositions. Namely, let D be a decomposition independent of \mathcal{Y} , let $A \in D$ and let f_ε be a constituent over \mathcal{X} . Then there is a constituent g_φ over \mathcal{Y} such that $0 \neq g_\varphi \leq f_\varepsilon$, and therefore also $0 \neq g_\varphi \wedge A \leq f_\varepsilon \wedge A$ holds true.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Let $\mathcal{Y} = \{Y_n; n \in \omega\}$ be a set of generators in a non-atomic Boolean algebra \mathcal{B} . For $n \in \omega$ we put

$$y_n = \left\{ \bigwedge \{(-1)^{\varepsilon(k)} Y_k; k \in n\}; \varepsilon \in {}^n 2 \right\} - \{0\},$$

$$y = \bigcup \{y_n; n \in \omega\}.$$

Any y_n is a decomposition in \mathcal{B} . As \mathcal{B} is non-atomic, we get

$$(*) \quad (\forall Y \in y_n)(\exists m \geq n)[(Y \wedge Y_m) \neq 0 \wedge (Y \wedge -Y_m) \neq 0].$$

(Otherwise, Y would be an atom in \mathcal{B} .)

The set of independent generators $\mathcal{X} = \{X_n; n \in \omega\}$ will be defined by induction on n .

Induction assumption. To define generators $\{X_k; k \in n\}$ we put

$$x_n = \{ \bigwedge \{ (-1)^{\varepsilon(k)} X_k; k \in n \}; \varepsilon \in {}^n 2 \}.$$

Then we have

- (i) x_n is a decomposition in \mathcal{B} , i.e. $X \neq 0$ for any $X \in x_n$,
- (ii) $x_n \subseteq y$,
- (iii) x_n is a refinement of y_n , i.e.

$$(\forall X \in x_n)(\forall k \in n)[(X \wedge Y_k) = 0 \vee (X \wedge -Y_k) = 0].$$

For any $X \in x_n$, let us denote by $m(X)$ the least natural number m the existence of which follows from (*). The generator X_n is defined as follows:

$$X_n = \bigvee \{ X \wedge Y_{m(X)}; X \in x_n \}.$$

It is easy to verify that

$$-X_n = \bigvee \{ X \wedge -Y_{m(X)}; X \in x_n \}.$$

Evidently, for any $X \in x_n$ we obtain

$$X \wedge X_n = X \wedge Y_{m(X)} \quad \text{and} \quad X \wedge -X_n = X \wedge -Y_{m(X)}.$$

Thus, we have

$$x_{n+1} = \{ X \wedge Y_{m(X)}, X \wedge -Y_{m(X)}; X \in x_n \},$$

which implies that the generators X_0, X_1, \dots, X_n fulfill (i)-(iii) of the induction assumption ((ii) and (iii) follow from the minimality of $m(X)$). The definition of \mathcal{X} by induction is therefore complete.

From (i) it follows that \mathcal{X} is an independent set in \mathcal{B} , (ii) gives the condition on majorizing constituents, and (iii) implies that \mathcal{X} generates a subalgebra containing all Y_n ($n \in \omega$), thus \mathcal{X} generates \mathcal{B} .

Remark. Without the condition on majorizing constituents, the theorem can be proved in a much simpler way. We can use the fact that the only (up to isomorphism) non-atomic Boolean algebra with countably many generators contains an independent set of generators.

1.5. THEOREM. *Let $\alpha > \beta$ be cardinals, α being infinite. Then in the collapse algebra $\mathcal{C} = \text{Cola}$ there are a countable independent set \mathcal{X} of complete generators and a decomposition \mathcal{D} , of cardinality β , independent of \mathcal{X} .*

Proof. It is proved in [4] that the algebra \mathcal{C} is completely generated by a countable set of generators $\mathcal{Y} = \{Y_{nm}; n, m \in \omega\}$, where $Y_{nm} = \{f \in {}^\omega \alpha; f(n) \leq f(m)\}$. It is easy to show that the set $\mathcal{D} = \{D_\xi; \xi \in \beta\}$, where $D_\xi = \{f \in {}^\omega \alpha; f(0) \equiv \xi \pmod{\beta}\}$, is a decomposition in \mathcal{C} independent of \mathcal{Y} . The rest follows from Theorem 1.4 and its corollary.

2. Reducibility to Cohen algebras. In this section we give a proof of Theorem A. First we present some notation and facts we shall need.

2.0. The functions from ${}^\omega 2$ will be considered as real numbers. The base for a topology in ${}^\omega 2$ will be formed by the sets $u_\varphi = \{f \in {}^\omega 2; f \supseteq \varphi\}$ for all functions φ such that $D(\varphi)$ is a finite subset of ω and $W(\varphi) \subseteq 2$. The topological space defined in this way will be denoted by R .

We define a binary operation $+$ on R as follows: for $a, b, c \in {}^\omega 2$ we set $a + b = c$ if and only if $a(n) + b(n) = c(n) \pmod{2}$ for any $n \in \omega$. The operation $+$ is continuous in both variables.

Further, we define a σ -additive measure μ on R by setting $\mu(u_\varphi) = 2^{-n}$ if $\text{card} D(\varphi) = n$. Thus we get $\mu({}^\omega 2) = \mu(u_\emptyset) = 1$. Every Borel set in R is μ -measurable.

2.1. Steinhaus proved in [6] the following theorem:

Let A and B be subsets of R , $\mu(A) > 0$ and $\mu(B) > 0$. Then the set $H = \{a + b; a \in A \wedge b \in B\}$ contains an interval u_ϑ , $\vartheta \in {}^{<\omega} 2$.

We shall use the following modification of the Steinhaus theorem:

2.2. THEOREM. *Let A and B be G_δ -sets in R , dense in intervals u_φ and u_ψ , $\varphi, \psi \in {}^{<\omega} 2$. Then the set $H = \{a + b; a \in A \wedge b \in B\}$ contains an interval u_ϑ , $\vartheta \in {}^{<\omega} 2$.*

Proof. Let C be a dense subset of R . Then there is a $c \in C$ such that u_φ and $u_\psi + c = \{f + c; f \in u_\psi\}$ have a non-empty intersection. That intersection is an interval u_χ , $\chi \in {}^{<\omega} 2$. The sets $A \cap u_\chi$ and $(B + c) \cap u_\chi$ are G_δ and dense in u_χ . The Baire theorem implies that their intersection is G_δ and dense in u_χ as well. Therefore, there exists an $a \in (A \cap u_\chi) \cap ((B + c) \cap u_\chi)$. Here we have $a = b + c$ and $a + b = c$ for some $b \in B$. It means that $H \cap C \neq \emptyset$ for any set C , dense in R . Therefore, the complement of H cannot be dense in R , and so H contains an interval u_ϑ , $\vartheta \in {}^{<\omega} 2$.

2.3. In the proofs of Theorems A and B we shall use the method of Boolean-valued models, which is presented in details, e.g., in [2]. The terminology and notation introduced there will be used in Sections 2 and 3.

Thus, V denotes the universal class of all sets and for any complete Boolean algebra \mathcal{C} we have a Boolean-valued model $V^\mathcal{C}$. If $a \in V^\mathcal{C}$ is a real number in the sense of the model, then a determines in \mathcal{C} the elements $A_n = \|a(n) = 0\|$, $n \in \omega$, and a complete subalgebra \mathcal{A} , completely generated by $\{A_n; n \in \omega\}$.

Solovay proved in [5] that \mathcal{A} is isomorphic to the Cohen algebra if and only if, in the sense of the model $V^\mathcal{C}$, a belongs to any dense G_δ -subset of R which belongs to V (then a is called the *Cohen number* over V). An analogous result is valid for the random algebra, subsets of measure 1 and random number over V .

In case of the collapse algebra $\mathcal{C} = \text{Col } a$, there is a function $f \in V^{\mathcal{C}}$, collapsing a to ω , i.e. such that, in the sense of $V^{\mathcal{C}}$, f is a surjection of ω onto a .

2.4. Proof of Theorem A. Let us fix a sequence $(h_\xi; \xi \in a)$ containing all open dense subsets of R . The existence of such a sequence follows from the assumption $a \geq 2^\omega$ (the sequence need not be injective). The collapsing function in $V^{\mathcal{C}}$ will be denoted by f . Let $\mathcal{X} = \{X_n; n \in \omega\}$ be an independent set of complete generators in \mathcal{C} , let $\mathcal{D} = \{D_n; n \in \omega\}$ be a decomposition in \mathcal{C} independent of \mathcal{X} . We denote by x a real number in $V^{\mathcal{C}}$ such that $\|x(n) = i\| = (-1)^i X_n = X_n^i$ for $i \in 2, n \in \omega$.

CLAIM. For any $\varphi \in {}^{<\omega}2$ there exists a real number $a_\varphi \in V^{\mathcal{C}}$, Cohen over V , such that $a_\varphi \supseteq \varphi$ and the number $b_\varphi = a_\varphi + x$ is Cohen over V .

We prove the Claim in the model $V^{\mathcal{C}}$. The intersection of open dense sets $h_{f(n)}, n \in \omega$, is a dense G_δ -subset in R . Denoting by $A = B$ its intersection with $u_\varphi = u_\varphi$ and using Theorem 2.2, we get an interval $u_\vartheta, \vartheta \in {}^{<\omega}2$, such that $u_\vartheta \subseteq \{a + b; a \in A \wedge b \in B\}$. There exists a rational number r such that $x + r \in u_\vartheta$, so we have $a \in A$ and $b \in B$ such that $x + r = a + b, x = a + (b + r)$. Evidently, $a = a_\varphi$ and $b + r = b_\varphi$ are Cohen over V . The condition $a_\varphi \supseteq \varphi$ is also fulfilled. The Claim is proved.

Now, let $\sigma = (\sigma(n); n \in \omega)$ be a sequence of all finite sequences belonging to ${}^{<\omega}2$. Using the notation introduced in the Claim, we define the real numbers $a, b \in V^{\mathcal{C}}$ as follows:

$$\|a = a_{\sigma(n)}\| = D_n, \quad \|b = b_{\sigma(n)}\| = D_n.$$

For $i \in 2$ and $n \in \omega$ we set

$$A_n^i = \|a(n) = i\|, \quad B_n^i = \|b(n) = i\|.$$

The subalgebras completely generated by $\{A_n^0; n \in \omega\}$ and $\{B_n^0; n \in \omega\}$ or, which is the same, by $\{A_n^1; n \in \omega\}$ and $\{B_n^1; n \in \omega\}$ will be denoted by \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} , respectively.

We complete the proof of the theorem in the following steps:

(i) For any $i \in 2$ and $n \in \omega$,

$$A_n^i = \bigvee \{D_p \wedge \|a_{\sigma(p)}(n) = i\|; p \in \omega\},$$

$$B_n^i = \bigvee \{D_p \wedge \|b_{\sigma(p)}(n) = i\|; p \in \omega\}.$$

(ii) The equalities

$$A_n^i = -A_n^{i+1}, \quad B_n^i = -B_n^{i+1}, \quad X_n^i = -X_n^{i+1},$$

$$X_n^{i+j} = (A_n^i \wedge B_n^j) \vee (A_n^{i+1} \wedge B_n^{j+1}), \quad X_n^i = (A_n^j \wedge B_n^{i+j}) \vee (A_n^{j+1} \wedge B_n^{i+j+1})$$

hold for any $i, j \in 2, n \in \omega$ and for any permutation of A, B and X (the addition of i and j is made modulo 2).

- (iii) $\{A_n^i; n \in \omega\} \cup \{X_n^i; n \in \omega\}$ for $i \in 2$ is an independent subset of \mathcal{C} .
- (iv) $\{A_n^i; n \in \omega\} \cup \{B_n^i; n \in \omega\}$ for $i \in 2$ is an independent subset of \mathcal{C} .
- (v) \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are isomorphic to the Cohen algebra.
- (vi) $\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}$ completely generates \mathcal{C} .
- (vii) \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are independent subalgebras of \mathcal{C} .

Assertion (i) follows directly from the definitions of a, b, A_n^i, B_n^i .

Equalities (ii) follow from (i) and from the fact that $a_\varphi(n) + b_\varphi(n) = x(n)$ (mod 2), and thus

$$\begin{aligned} (\|a_\varphi(n) = i\| \wedge \|b_\varphi(n) = j\|) \vee (\|a_\varphi(n) = i+1\| \wedge \|b_\varphi(n) = j+1\|) \\ = \|x(n) = i+j\| \end{aligned}$$

for any $i, j \in 2, n \in \omega, \varphi \in {}^{<\omega}2$ and for any permutation of a_φ, b_φ, x .

To prove (iii), it suffices to show that, for any $n \in \omega, \varphi, \varepsilon \in {}^n2$,

$$\bigwedge \{A_k^{\varphi(k)} \wedge X_k^{\varepsilon(k)}; k \in n\} \neq 0.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \bigwedge \{ \bigvee \{D_p \wedge \|a_{\sigma(p)}(k) = \varphi(k)\|; p \in \omega\} \wedge X_k^{\varepsilon(k)}; k \in n\} \\ \geq \bigwedge \{D_{\bar{p}} \wedge \|a_\varphi(k) = \varphi(k)\| \wedge X_k^{\varepsilon(k)}; k \in n\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\bar{p} \in \omega$ is such that $\sigma(\bar{p}) = \varphi$. Then $\|a_\varphi(k) = \varphi(k)\| = 1$ for any $k \in n$ and, since \mathcal{D} is independent of \mathcal{X} , we get

$$\bigwedge \{D_{\bar{p}} \wedge X_k^{\varepsilon(k)}; k \in n\} = D_{\bar{p}} \wedge \bigwedge \{X_k^{\varepsilon(k)}; k \in n\} \neq 0.$$

For the proof of (iv), let us choose $n \in \omega, \varphi, \psi \in {}^n2$. Using (ii) and (iii) by direct computation we get

$$\bigwedge \{A_k^{\varphi(k)} \wedge B_k^{\psi(k)}; k \in n\} = \bigwedge \{A_k^{\varphi(k)} \wedge X_k^{\varepsilon(k)}; k \in n\} \neq 0$$

if we set $\varepsilon = \varphi + \psi, \varepsilon \in {}^n2$.

Assertion (v) follows from Solovay's result mentioned in 2.3 and from the fact that, in $V^\mathcal{C}$, a and b are Cohen over V .

(vi) is an immediate consequence of (ii) and of the fact that \mathcal{X} completely generates \mathcal{C} .

Finally, to prove (vii) we use (iv) and observe that, the algebras \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} being isomorphic to the Cohen algebra, they contain dense subsets of elements of the form $\bigwedge \{A_k^{\varphi(k)}; k \in n\}$ and $\bigwedge \{B_k^{\psi(k)}; k \in n\}$, respectively, for $\varphi, \psi \in {}^n2, n \in \omega$. Thus Theorem A is proved.

3. Reducibility to random algebras. This section is devoted to the proof of Theorem B. As a consequence of the duality between measure and category, the proofs of Theorems A and B are rather analogous. However, a new approach is used for the independence of the subalgebras.

3.0. Let $\mathcal{X} = (X_n; n \in \omega)$ be a family of complete generators in a complete Boolean algebra \mathcal{C} and let $x \in V^\mathcal{C}$ be a real number in the sense of the model $V^\mathcal{C}$, such that $\|x(n) = i\| = (-1)^i X_n$ for any $i \in 2, n \in \omega$.

Then, for any rational $r \in V^{\mathcal{C}}$, the number $x' = x + r$ defines a family $\mathcal{X}' = (X'_n; n \in \omega)$ (by setting $\|x'(n) = 0\| = X'_n$) completely generating \mathcal{C} . It is a consequence of the equality $V(x) = V(x')$.

3.1. Proof of Theorem B. Similarly as in 2.4, let us fix a sequence $(h_\xi; \xi \in \alpha)$ containing all subsets of R which are of measure 1. Further we take a sequence $\mathcal{X} = (X_n; n \in \omega)$ independently generating \mathcal{C} and a decomposition $\mathcal{D} = (D_\xi; \xi \in \alpha)$ in \mathcal{C} independent of \mathcal{X} . Assume that elements $f, x \in V^{\mathcal{C}}$ have the same meaning as in 2.4.

CLAIM. *For any $A, B \subseteq R$, $\mu(A), \mu(B) > 0$ there are real numbers $a_{AB}, b_{AB} \in V^{\mathcal{C}}$, random over V , and a rational number $r_{AB} \in V^{\mathcal{C}}$ such that $a_{AB} \in A, b_{AB} \in B$ and $a_{AB} + b_{AB} = x + r_{AB}$.*

We prove the Claim in $V^{\mathcal{C}}$. The intersection of all subsets $h_{f(n)}, n \in \omega$, of measure 1 in R is a subset of measure 1. Its intersection with sets A and B will be denoted by \bar{A} and \bar{B} , respectively. Using the Steinhaus theorem, we get an interval $u_\vartheta, \vartheta \in {}^{<\omega}2$, such that $u_\vartheta \subseteq \{a + b; a \in \bar{A} \wedge b \in \bar{B}\}$. There exists a rational number r_{AB} such that $x + r_{AB} \in u_\vartheta$, so we have $a_{AB} \in \bar{A} \subseteq A, b_{AB} \in \bar{B} \subseteq B, a_{AB} + b_{AB} = x + r_{AB}$. It follows from 2.3 that a_{AB} and b_{AB} are random over V , which completes the proof of the Claim.

Now, let $\tau = (\tau(\xi); \xi \in \alpha)$ be a sequence containing all pairs $\tau(\xi) = (A, B)$ such that $A, B \subseteq R, \mu(A) > 0, \mu(B) > 0$. The existence of such a sequence follows again from the assumption $\alpha \geq 2^\omega$. The real numbers $a, b \in V^{\mathcal{C}}$ are defined as follows: $\|a = a_{\tau(\xi)}\| = D_\xi, \|b = b_{\tau(\xi)}\| = D_\xi$ for any $\xi \in \alpha$. For $i \in 2, n \in \omega$ we set

$$A_n^i = \|a(n) = i\|, \quad B_n^i = \|b(n) = i\|.$$

Since the reals a and b are, in $V^{\mathcal{C}}$, random over V , we infer, using again Solovay's result mentioned in 2.3, that the subalgebras \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} , completely generated in \mathcal{C} by $\{A_n^0; n \in \omega\}$ and $\{B_n^0; n \in \omega\}$, respectively, are isomorphic to the random algebra.

If we define $r \in V^{\mathcal{C}}$ by setting $\|r = r_{\tau(\xi)}\| = D_\xi$ for any $\xi \in \alpha$, we get in $V^{\mathcal{C}}$ the equality $a + b = x + r$. Here r is rational in $V^{\mathcal{C}}$, and by 3.0 we infer that the subalgebras \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} completely generate \mathcal{C} .

We complete the proof of Theorem B by showing the independence of \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{C} . Let $0 \neq \bar{A} \in \mathcal{A}$ and $0 \neq \bar{B} \in \mathcal{B}$. Since \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are isomorphic to the random algebra, i.e. to the algebra of all Borel subsets in R modulo the sets of measure 0, there exist subsets A and B of R such that $\mu(A) > 0, \mu(B) > 0$ and such that $\bar{A} = \|a \in A\|, \bar{B} = \|b \in B\|$. We have

$$\|a \in A\| \geq \|a = a_{AB}\| = D_\xi \quad \text{and} \quad \|b \in B\| \geq \|b = b_{AB}\| = D_\xi$$

for ξ such that $\tau(\xi) = (A, B)$. Consequently, we have $\bar{A} \wedge \bar{B} \geq D_\xi \neq 0$. Thus Theorem B is proved.

REFERENCES

- [1] L. Bukovský, *Random forcing, set theory and hierarchy theory, V*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 619 (1977), p. 101-117.
- [2] T. Jech, *Lectures in set theory with particular emphasis on the method of forcing*, Springer Verlag, 1971.
- [3] R. Sikorski, *Boolean algebras*, 2nd edition, Springer Verlag, 1964.
- [4] R. Solovay, *New proof of a theorem of Gaifman and Hales*, Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society 72 (1966), p. 282-284.
- [5] — *A model of set-theory in which every set of reals is Lebesgue measurable*, Annals of Mathematics 92 (1970), p. 1-56.
- [6] H. Steinhaus, *Sur les distances des points des ensembles de mesure positive*, Fundamenta Mathematicae 1 (1920), p. 93-104.

DEPARTMENT OF GEOMETRY AND ALGEBRA
P. J. ŠAFÁRIK UNIVERSITY
KOŠICE

Reçu par la Rédaction le 10. 7. 1978
