

ON CHARACTERISTIC SETS  
OF A SYSTEM OF EQUIVALENCE RELATIONS

BY

MIROSLAV KRATKO (KIEV)

The *characteristic set* of an  $n$ -tuple of equivalence relations  $R_1, \dots, R_n$  in a set  $A$  is defined as a set of zero-one  $n$ -sequences (sequences of length  $n$  consisting of zeroes and ones) in the following way:

$$h(R_1, \dots, R_n) = \{(\chi_{R_1}(a, b), \dots, \chi_{R_n}(a, b)) \mid (a, b) \in A^2\} \subset \{0, 1\}^n,$$

where  $\chi_{R_i}$  denotes the characteristic function of  $R_i$  in  $A^2$ . We call such sets of  $n$ -sequences *E-sets* and denote  $n$ -sequences as follows:  $1 = (1, \dots, 1)$  – the sequence of 1's only,  $0 = (0, \dots, 0)$  – the sequence of 0's only,  $p = (p_1, \dots, p_n) \in \{0, 1\}^n$ . A set  $A$  together with an  $n$ -tuple of equivalence relations  $R_1, \dots, R_n$  is a *relation system*

$$\mathfrak{A} = \langle A, R_1, \dots, R_n \rangle.$$

A relation system  $\mathfrak{A}$  is *normal* iff it does not have two elements  $a_1, a_2 \in A$ ,  $a_1 \neq a_2$ , such that

$$(\chi_{R_1}(a_1, a_2), \dots, \chi_{R_n}(a_1, a_2)) = 1.$$

It is easy to see that if  $C \subset \{0, 1\}^n$  is an *E-set*, then it is the characteristic set of some normal relation system. All relation systems considered below are normal.

Obviously, not every set  $C \subset \{0, 1\}^n$  is an *E-set*. J. Łoś<sup>(1)</sup> investigates which sets of zero-one  $n$ -sequences are *E-sets*. He formulated the following necessary condition:

(\*) for every  $p, q \in C$  there exist  $r, s, t, u \in C$  such that for every  $i, j$  ( $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ ) if  $p_i = 1 = q_j$  and  $p_j = 0 = q_i$ , then

$$r_i = r_j = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad s_i = s_j = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad t_i = t_j = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad u_i = u_j = 0$$

<sup>(1)</sup> J. Łoś, *Characteristic sets of a system of equivalence relations*, Colloq. Math. 42 (1979), pp. 291–293.

and asked if (\*) is a sufficient condition for  $C \subset \{0, 1\}^n$ , to which  $1$  belongs, to be an  $E$ -set.

First of all, we note that if  $C \subset \{0, 1\}^n$  is an  $E$ -set and consists of  $k$  elements, then it is a characteristic set of a relation system  $\mathfrak{A} = \langle A, R_1, \dots, R_n \rangle$  such that  $A$  does not have more than  $2k$  elements. Now the following example shows that the answer to the Łoś problem (P 1163) is negative:

$$T = \{(1, 1, 1, 1), (1, 1, 0, 0), (1, 0, 0, 0), (0, 1, 1, 0), \\ (0, 0, 1, 1), (0, 0, 0, 1)\}.$$

In fact, if  $T$  is an  $E$ -set, then one of the following cases occurs:

(1) there exist  $a, b, c$  such that

$$(\chi_{R_1}(a, b), \chi_{R_2}(a, b), \chi_{R_3}(a, b), \chi_{R_4}(a, b)) = (1, 1, 0, 0),$$

$$(\chi_{R_1}(a, c), \chi_{R_2}(a, c), \chi_{R_3}(a, c), \chi_{R_4}(a, c)) = (0, 0, 1, 1);$$

(2) there exist  $a, b, c, d$  such that

$$(\chi_{R_1}(a, b), \chi_{R_2}(a, b), \chi_{R_3}(a, b), \chi_{R_4}(a, b)) = (1, 1, 0, 0),$$

$$(\chi_{R_1}(c, d), \chi_{R_2}(c, d), \chi_{R_3}(c, d), \chi_{R_4}(c, d)) = (0, 0, 1, 1).$$

In both cases, for arbitrary  $R_1, R_2, R_3, R_4$ : if (1) holds, then

$$(\chi_{R_1}(b, c), \chi_{R_2}(b, c), \chi_{R_3}(b, c), \chi_{R_4}(b, c)) = 0 \notin T;$$

if (2) holds, then

$$\{(\chi_{R_1}(a, c), \chi_{R_2}(a, c), \chi_{R_3}(a, c), \chi_{R_4}(a, c)), \\ (\chi_{R_1}(a, d), \chi_{R_2}(a, d), \chi_{R_3}(a, d), \chi_{R_4}(a, d)), \\ (\chi_{R_1}(b, c), \chi_{R_2}(b, c), \chi_{R_3}(b, c), \chi_{R_4}(b, c)), \\ (\chi_{R_1}(b, d), \chi_{R_2}(b, d), \chi_{R_3}(b, d), \chi_{R_4}(b, d))\}$$

is not a subset of  $T$ .

We say that  $C' \subset C \subset \{0, 1\}^n$  can be *enlarged* to an  $E$ -set if there exists  $C'' \subset C$  such that  $C' \subset C''$  and  $C''$  is an  $E$ -set. Obviously, if  $C$  is an  $E$ -set, then each of its subsets can be enlarged to an  $E$ -set. The next problem will be called the *generalized Łoś problem*:

Is  $C$  an  $E$ -set if every two-element subset of  $C$  can be enlarged to an  $E$ -set?

The answer to this problem is given by the following

**THEOREM.** *For an arbitrary integer  $k$  there exists  $C \subset \{0, 1\}^n$  such that  $C$  is not an  $E$ -set, and every subset of  $C$  consisting of at most  $k$  elements can be enlarged to an  $E$ -set.*

**Proof.** The product of  $n$ -sequences  $p$  and  $q$  is an  $n$ -sequence

$$pq = (p_1 q_1, \dots, p_n q_n).$$

A set  $C \subset \{0, 1\}^n$  is orthogonal iff  $pq = 0$  for arbitrary  $p, q \in C$ ,  $p \neq q$ .

**LEMMA.** *The set  $C \cup \{1\}$  is an  $E$ -set for an arbitrary orthogonal  $C \subset \{0, 1\}^n$  consisting of more than 2 elements. If  $C$  consists of  $k$  elements, then cardinality of the normal relation system such that  $C$  is its characteristic set is at most  $k^{k^2}$ .*

**Proof of the Lemma.** Let an orthogonal set  $C$  consist of  $k > 2$  elements  $C = \{p^0, \dots, p^{k-1}\}$  and  $A = \{a_0, \dots, a_{k-1}\}$ . Define  $R_1, \dots, R_n$  in  $A$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} (\chi_{R_1}(a_i, a_j), \dots, \chi_{R_n}(a_i, a_j)) &= p^0 \quad \text{for all } i \neq 0, j \neq 0, \\ (\chi_{R_1}(a_0, a_i), \dots, \chi_{R_n}(a_0, a_i)) &= p^i \quad \text{for all } i = 1, \dots, k-1. \end{aligned}$$

The relation system  $\mathfrak{A} = \langle A, R_1, \dots, R_n \rangle$  has the characteristic set equal to  $C$ , so  $C$  is an  $E$ -set.

Assume that a relation system  $\mathfrak{A}_1 = \langle A_1, R_1, \dots, R_n \rangle$  has its characteristic set equal to  $C$  and that  $A_1$  has more than  $k^{k^2}$  elements. According to the Ramsey theorem there is a maximal  $A' \subset A_1$ ,  $|A'| \geq k$ , such that for all  $a, b \in A'$  the  $n$ -sequences  $(\chi_{R_1}(a, b), \dots, \chi_{R_n}(a, b))$  are equal to each other and equal, say to  $p^0$ . Choose  $\alpha \in A_1/A'$  and consider  $n$ -sequences  $(\chi_{R_1}(\alpha, a), \dots, \chi_{R_n}(\alpha, a))$  for all  $a \in A'$ . None of these sequences equals  $p^0$ , because  $A'$  is maximal. Therefore, for arbitrary  $a_1, a_2 \in A$  we have

$$(\chi_{R_1}(\alpha, a_1), \dots, \chi_{R_n}(\alpha, a_1)) \neq (\chi_{R_1}(\alpha, a_2), \dots, \chi_{R_n}(\alpha, a_2)).$$

On the other hand, this is impossible because  $C$  has only  $k$  elements. The Lemma is thus proved.

Two  $n$ -sequences  $p, q \in C \subset \{0, 1\}^n$  are parallel in  $C$  if there is no  $r \in C$  such that  $pq = pr = qr$ . If  $p$  and  $q$  are parallel in  $C$ , then in any relation system  $\mathfrak{A} = \langle A, R_1, \dots, R_n \rangle$  with characteristic set  $C$  there are no  $a, b, c \in A$  such that

$$(\chi_{R_1}(a, b), \dots, \chi_{R_n}(a, b)) = p, \quad (\chi_{R_1}(a, c), \dots, \chi_{R_n}(a, c)) = q.$$

Thus, if  $C$  has  $k$  parallel elements  $q^1, \dots, q^k$ , then the set  $A$  has at least  $2k$  elements. We denote by  $(\alpha_i, \beta_i)$  a pair of elements of  $A$  such that

$$(\chi_{R_1}(\alpha_i, \beta_i), \dots, \chi_{R_n}(\alpha_i, \beta_i)) = q^i \quad \text{for all } i = 1, \dots, k.$$

The set  $C$  in the assertion of the Theorem can now be constructed as the union of two disjoint sets  $C_1$  and  $C_2$ , where  $C_1$  is defined as the set of  $k$  orthogonal  $n$ -sequences  $C = \{p^1, \dots, p^k\}$ ;  $C$  is a set of  $k^{k^2}$   $n$ -sequences parallel in  $C_1 \cup C_2$  and such that  $pq = 0$  for all  $p \in C_1, q \in C_2$ . This can be

easily done by choosing  $n$  sufficiently large, e.g.,  $n = k^{2k^2}$ . The set  $C_1 \cup C_2$  is not an  $E$ -set. Really, if  $C = C_1 \cup C_2$  is an  $E$ -set and  $\mathfrak{A} = \langle A, R_1, \dots, R_n \rangle$  is a relation system with characteristic set  $C$ , consider a relation system

$$\mathfrak{A}' = \langle A', R'_1, \dots, R'_n \rangle,$$

where  $A' = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{k^2}\} \subset A$  and  $R'_i$  is the relation  $R_i$  restricted to  $A'$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . The characteristic set of  $\mathfrak{A}'$  is a subset of  $C_1$ . According to the Lemma, there are  $A'' \subset A'$  and  $p \in C_1$  such that  $|A''| \geq k$  and, for arbitrary  $x, y \in A''$ ,

$$(\chi_{R'_1}(x, y), \dots, \chi_{R'_n}(x, y)) = p.$$

Let  $\alpha_i \in A''$ . Consider a set of pairs  $\{(\beta_i, z) \mid z \in A''\}$ . For arbitrary  $(\beta_i, z)$  we have

$$(\chi_{R'_1}(\beta_i, z), \dots, \chi_{R'_n}(\beta_i, z)) \in C_1,$$

and if  $z_1 \neq z_2$ , then

$$(\chi_{R'_1}(\beta_i, z_1), \dots, \chi_{R'_n}(\beta_i, z_1)) \neq (\chi_{R'_1}(\beta_i, z_2), \dots, \chi_{R'_n}(\beta_i, z_2)),$$

which is impossible, because  $C_1$  has only  $k$  elements.

If  $H \subset C_2$  has  $k$  elements,  $H = \{h^1, \dots, h^k\}$ , then  $C_1 \cup H$  is an  $E$ -set. A relation system with characteristic set  $C_1 \cup H$  can be constructed in the following way:

$$X = \{x_1, \dots, x_k\}, \quad Y = \{y_1, \dots, y_k\}, \quad A = X \cup Y,$$

the relations  $R_1, \dots, R_n$  in  $A$  are defined by

- (a)  $(\chi_{R_1}(x_i, y_i), \dots, \chi_{R_n}(x_i, y_i)) = h^i$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, k$ ,
- (b)  $(\chi_{R_1}(x_i, x_j), \dots, \chi_{R_n}(x_i, x_j)) = p^1$  for all  $i \neq j$ ,
- (c)  $(\chi_{R_1}(y_i, y_j), \dots, \chi_{R_n}(y_i, y_j)) = p^1$  for all  $i \neq j$ ,
- (d)  $\{(\chi_{R_1}(x_i, y_j), \dots, \chi_{R_n}(x_i, y_j)) \mid y_j = Y, i \neq j\} = \{p^2, \dots, p^k\}$  for each  $x_i \in X$ ,
- (e)  $\{(\chi_{R_1}(x_j, y_i), \dots, \chi_{R_n}(x_j, y_i)) \mid x_j \in X, i \neq j\} = \{p^2, \dots, p^k\}$  for each  $y_i \in Y$ ,

and for all triples of elements  $y_i, y_j, y_k \in A$  or  $x_i, x_j, x_k \in A$

- (f)  $(\chi_{R_1}(x_i, y_j), \dots, \chi_{R_n}(x_i, y_j)) \cdot (\chi_{R_1}(x_i, y_k), \dots, \chi_{R_n}(x_i, y_k)) = 0$ ,  
 $(\chi_{R_1}(x_i, y_k), \dots, \chi_{R_n}(x_i, y_k)) \cdot (\chi_{R_1}(x_j, y_k), \dots, \chi_{R_n}(x_j, y_k)) = 0$ .

Conditions (d), (e) and (f) are fulfilled according to the König–Hall theorem. Therefore  $H \cup C_1$  is an  $E$ -set. Another subset of  $C$  which has less than  $k$  parallel elements from  $C_2$  can be enlarged to an  $E$ -set. The Theorem is proved.

*Reçu par la Rédaction le 15.4.1983*

---