

## UNICOHERENCE IN MEANS

BY

PHILIP BACON (GAINESVILLE, FLORIDA)

1. Suppose that  $X$  is a set and  $m$  is a function from  $X \times X$  into  $X$ . We say that  $m$  is *commutative* if  $m(x, y) = m(y, x)$  whenever each of  $x$  and  $y$  is in  $X$ ; we say that  $m$  is *idempotent* if  $m(x, x) = x$  whenever  $x$  is in  $X$ . A topological space  $X$  is said to admit a *mean* if there is a continuous idempotent commutative function  $m$  from  $X \times X$  into  $X$ . Kermit Sigmon has recently shown (in [4]) that a Peano space that admits a mean is necessarily unicoherent. The algebraic and topological hypotheses of Sigmon's theorem can be weakened, as is shown by the following theorem:

(1.1) THEOREM. *Suppose  $X$  is a compact connected Hausdorff space and  $m: X \times X \rightarrow X$  is continuous and idempotent. If  $X$  contains a point  $p$  such that  $m(p, x) = m(x, p)$  for all  $x$  in  $X$ , then  $X$  is unicoherent.*

The present note proves this and other generalizations of Sigmon's result and concludes with an example of a contractible Peano space that admits no mean.

2. All our results derive from the following lemma:

(2.1) *Suppose  $X$  is a Hausdorff space,  $E = \{E_1, E_2, E_3, E_4\}$  is a collection of open sets such that  $E_1 \cap E_3 = \emptyset = E_2 \cap E_4$ , and  $H_1$  and  $K_1$  are compact connected sets such that  $H_1 \subset E_1 \cup E_2 \cup E_3$ ,  $K_1 \subset E_3 \cup E_4 \cup E_1$ , and  $H_1 \cap K_1 \cap [E_1 - (E_2 \cup E_4)] \neq \emptyset \neq H_1 \cap K_1 \cap [E_3 - (E_2 \cup E_4)]$ . Let  $p$  be a point of  $K_1$  and let  $Y$  denote  $H_1 \cup K_1$ . Then there cannot exist a continuous function*

$$m: Y \times Y \rightarrow E_1 \cup E_3 \cup E_2 \cup E_4$$

*such that, for each  $y$  in  $Y$ ,  $m(y, y) = y$  and  $m(y, p) = m(p, y)$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose the contrary. Let  $a$  be a point of  $H_1 \cap K_1 \cap [E_1 - (E_2 \cup E_4)]$  and let  $b$  be a point of  $H_1 \cap K_1 \cap [E_3 - (E_2 \cup E_4)]$ . Since  $Y$  is compact, there is a finite collection  $C$  of sets relatively open in  $Y$  that covers  $Y$  and is such that  $m(U \times V)$  is entirely a subset of some member of  $E$ , whenever  $U, V \in C$ .

For each  $x$  in  $E_1 \cup E_2 \cup E_3 \cup E_4$  let  $T_x$  be a member of  $E$  that contains  $x$ . For each  $y$  in  $Y$  let  $P_y$  and  $V_y$  be point sets open in  $Y$  containing  $p$  and  $y$ , respectively, such that

$$m(P_y \times V_y) \cup m(V_y \times P_y) \subset T_{m(p,y)}.$$

Since  $Y$  is compact, there is a finite subset  $F$  of  $Y$  that contains  $p$  and is such that  $\{V_y: y \in F\}$  covers  $Y$ . Let  $H$  be a finite collection of sets relatively open in  $Y$  that covers  $H_1$ , that refines each of  $\{V_y: y \in F\}$  and  $C$ , and that has the property that, if  $U \in H$ ,  $m(U \times U)$  is a subset of one of  $E_1, E_2$  and  $E_3$ . Let  $K$  be a finite collection of sets open in  $Y$  that covers  $K_1$ , that refines each of  $\{V_y: y \in F\}$  and  $C$ , that has the property that, if  $U \in K$ ,  $m(U \times U)$  is a subset of one of  $E_3, E_4$  and  $E_1$ , and that has as one of its members an open neighborhood  $Q$  of  $p$  that is a subset of  $V_p \cap \bigcap \{P_y: y \in F\}$ .

Since  $K_1$  is connected and contains both  $p$  and  $a$ , there is an integer  $r > 1$  and a finite sequence  $S(1), \dots, S(r)$ , each term of which is in  $K$ , such that  $p \in S(1) = Q$ ,  $a \in S(r)$  and  $S(j-1) \cap S(j) \cap K_1 \neq \emptyset$  whenever  $1 < j \leq r$ . Similarly, there is an integer  $t > r$  and a finite sequence  $S(r+1), \dots, S(t)$ , each term of which is in  $H$ , such that  $b \in S(t)$  and  $S(j-1) \cap S(j) \cap H_1 \neq \emptyset$  whenever  $r < j \leq t$ . Finally, there is an integer  $n > t$  and a finite sequence  $S(t+1), \dots, S(n)$ , each term of which is in  $K$ , such that  $S(n) = S(1)$  and  $S(j-1) \cap S(j) \cap K_1 \neq \emptyset$  whenever  $t < j \leq n$ . Define

$$M = \{(i, j): 1 \leq j \leq i \leq n\}.$$

$M$  is the vertex set of an abstract complex  $N(M)$  to which an abstract simplex  $\{(x_0, y_0), \dots, (x_d, y_d)\}$  belongs if and only if

$$\bigcap_{k=0}^d [S(x_k) \times S(y_k)] \neq \emptyset.$$

Let  $N(E)$  be the nerve of  $E$ . We may define a function  $f: M \rightarrow E$  by letting  $f(i, j)$  be some element of  $E$  that contains  $m[S(i) \times S(j)]$ , thereby insuring that  $f$  is simplicial. Moreover, our constructions are such that we may require

$$(1) \quad f(1, 1) = f(n, n) = f(n, 1) \in \{E_3, E_4, E_1\};$$

$$(2) \quad f(j, 1) = f(n, j) \quad \text{for all } j \text{ in } \{1, \dots, n\};$$

$$(3) \quad f(j, j) \in \{E_1, E_2, E_3\} \quad \text{for all } j \text{ in } \{r, \dots, t\};$$

and

$$(4) \quad f(j, j) \in \{E_3, E_4, E_1\} \quad \text{for all } j \text{ in } \{1, \dots, r, t, \dots, n\}.$$

Define a 2-chain  $w$  of  $N(M)$  with coefficients in  $Z_2$ , the cyclic group of order two, as follows:

$$w = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^i (i, j)(i+1, j)(i+1, j+1) + \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} (i, j)(i+1, j+1)(i, j+1).$$

Computation shows that

$$\partial w = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} [(n, i)(n, i+1) + (i, i)(i+1, i+1) + (i, 1)(i+1, 1)].$$

Let  $f_{\#}$  denote the chain map induced by the simplicial map  $f$ . Condition (2) and the choice of  $Z_2$  as coefficient group insure that

$$f_{\#} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} [(n, i)(n, i+1) + (i, 1)(i+1, 1)] = 0.$$

Hence

$$f_{\#} \partial w = f_{\#} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (i, i)(i+1, i+1).$$

Let  $u$  be the chain  $\sum_{i=r}^{t-1} (i, i)(i+1, i+1)$ .  $\partial u = (t, t) + (r, r)$ . Since  $a$  is not in  $E_3$ , conditions (3) and (4) imply that  $f_{\#}(r, r) = E_1$ . Similarly,  $f_{\#}(t, t) = E_3$ . So  $\partial f_{\#}u = E_1 + E_3$ . Condition (3) insures that  $f_{\#}u$  is a linear combination of  $E_1E_2$  and  $E_2E_3$ . The only linear combination of  $E_1E_2$  and  $E_2E_3$  with boundary  $E_1 + E_3$  is  $E_1E_2 + E_2E_3$ . Thus

$$f_{\#} \sum_{i=r}^{t-1} (i, i)(i+1, i+1) = E_1E_2 + E_2E_3.$$

A similar argument shows that

$$f_{\#} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} (i, i)(i+1, i+1) + \sum_{i=t}^{n-1} (i, i)(i+1, i+1) \right] = E_3E_4 + E_4E_1.$$

Then

$$\partial f_{\#}w = f_{\#} \partial w = f_{\#} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (i, i)(i+1, i+1) = E_1E_2 + E_2E_3 + E_3E_4 + E_4E_1 \neq 0$$

from which it follows that  $f_{\#}w \neq 0$ . This contradicts the fact that  $N(E)$  contains no 2-simplex.

**Proof of (1.1).** Suppose there is an  $X$  that satisfies the hypotheses of the theorem but is not unicoherent. There exist closed connected sets  $H_1$  and  $K_1$  such that  $p \in K_1$ ,  $H_1 \cup K_1 = X$ , and  $H_1 \cap K_1$  is the union of disjoint non-empty closed sets  $A$  and  $B$ . Since  $X$  is normal, there exist disjoint open sets  $E_1$  and  $E_3$ ,  $E_1$  containing  $A$  and  $E_3$  containing  $B$ . Define  $E_2 = X - K_1$ ,  $E_4 = X - H_1$ . We have a contradiction of (2.1).

A point set  $M$  is said to be *compactly connected* if each two points of  $M$  lie in a closed, compact and connected subset of  $M$  ([3], p. 76). Let us say, for the moment, that a space  $X$  has property  $H$  if every connected open subset of  $X$  is compactly connected. The next theorem applies to spaces having property  $H$ . It is not hard to show that every locally connected, locally compact Hausdorff has property  $H$ . A locally connected complete Moore space (that is, a locally connected space satisfying Axiom 1 of [3]) has property  $H$  ([3], p. 84, Theorem 1). There is a connected locally connected subspace of Euclidean 3-space that does not have property  $H$  ([2], p. 362, 5.3).

(2.2) THEOREM. *Suppose that  $X$  is a connected, locally connected normal Hausdorff space such that every connected open subset of  $X$  is compactly connected. If  $m: X \times X \rightarrow X$  is an idempotent continuous function for which there is a point  $p$  in  $X$  such that  $m(p, x) = m(x, p)$  for all  $x$  in  $X$ , then  $X$  is unicoherent.*

Proof. Suppose on the contrary that  $X$  is the union of closed connected sets  $H$  and  $K$  such that  $p \in K$  and  $H \cap K$  is the union of disjoint closed sets  $A$  and  $B$ ,  $A$  containing a point  $a$  and  $B$  containing a point  $b$ . Since  $X$  is normal there exist disjoint open sets  $E_1$  and  $E_3$ ,  $E_1$  containing  $A$  and  $E_3$  containing  $B$ . Define  $E_2 = X - K$ ,  $E_4 = X - H$ . The component of  $E_1 \cup E_2 \cup E_3$  that contains  $H$  is open and so contains a compact connected set  $H_1$  that contains both  $a$  and  $b$ . Similarly, there is a compact connected subset  $K_1$  of  $E_4 \cup E_3 \cup E_1$  that contains  $a$ ,  $b$  and  $p$ . This contradicts (2.1).

(2.3) Definition. A space  $X$  is said to be *locally quasi-unicoherent* at a point  $p$  of  $X$  if for every closed neighborhood  $U$  of  $p$  there is a neighborhood  $V$  of  $p$  contained in  $U$  such that if  $C$  is a closed connected subset of  $V$  containing  $p$ , if  $H$  and  $K$  are closed sets whose union is  $U$  and if  $H \cap C$  and  $K \cap C$  are connected, then  $H \cap K \cap C$  is a subset of some component of  $H \cap K$ .

For a global concept similar to that given above see [5].

(2.4) THEOREM. *If  $X$  is a locally compact Hausdorff space, if  $m: X \times X \rightarrow X$  is continuous and idempotent, and if  $p$  is a point of  $X$  such that  $m(p, x) = m(x, p)$  for all  $x$  in  $X$ , then  $X$  is locally quasi-unicoherent at  $p$ .*

Proof. Let  $U$  be a closed neighborhood of  $p$ . Since  $X$  is locally compact, there is a compact neighborhood  $N$  of  $p$  that is contained in the interior of  $U$ . There is an open neighborhood  $V$  of  $p$  such that  $m(V \times V) \subset N$ . Suppose that  $C$  is a closed subset of  $V$  that contains  $p$ , that  $H$  and  $K$  are closed sets ( $K$  containing  $p$ ) whose union is  $U$ , that  $H \cap C$  and  $K \cap C$  are connected, but that  $H \cap K \cap C$  is not a subset of any component of  $H \cap K$ . Then  $H \cap K \cap N$  is the union of disjoint closed sets  $A$  and  $B$ , each intersecting  $C$ . Since  $A$  and  $B$  are compact, there exist disjoint open sets  $E_1$  and  $E_3$ ,  $E_1$  containing  $A$  and  $E_3$  containing  $B$ . Define

$E_2 = \text{Int } U - K$ ,  $E_4 = \text{Int } U - H$ ,  $H_1 = H \cap C$ ,  $K_1 = K \cap C$ . This contradicts (2.1).

Example. For each positive integer  $n$  let  $C_n$  be the circle in  $R^2$  with center at  $(1/n, 0)$  and radius  $1/n$ . Let  $A = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n$  and let  $X$  be the cone over  $A$ , that is,  $X$  is  $A \times [0, 1]$  with the points in  $A \times \{1\}$  identified. Then  $X$  is a contractible Peano space that admits no mean, for it is not locally quasi-unicoherent at  $((0, 0), 0)$ . This is a counterexample to an assertion in [1] (p. 331).

I am indebted to my wife Phyrne and to Kermit Sigmon for helpful comments.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] B. Eckmann, *Räume mit Mittelbildungen*, Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici 28 (1954), p. 329-340.
- [2] J. de Groot and R. H. McDowell, *Locally connected spaces and their compactifications*, Illinois Journal of Mathematics 11 (1967), p. 353-363.
- [3] R. L. Moore, *Foundations of point set theory*, Revised edition, American Mathematical Society, Providence, Rhode Island 1962.
- [4] K. N. Sigmon, *A note on means in Peano continua*, Aequationes Mathematicae 1 (1968), p. 85-86.
- [5] W. A. Wilson, *On uncoherency about a simple closed curve*, American Journal of Mathematics 55 (1933), p. 135-145.

*Reçu par la Rédaction le 1. 7. 1968*

---