

## A PROOF OF THE MOORE METRIZATION THEOREM

BY

EUGENE P. ROZYCKI (BINGHAMTON, N. Y.)

AND DIANE SCHMIDT (ITHACA, N. Y.)

1. Several references to the little-known Moore metrization theorem have appeared recently in [2], [3], and [4], the most recent being in the important paper of Roy [6]. It appears that under certain general circumstances, this theorem is much more natural to apply than either the Nagata-Smirnov or Bing metrization theorems. Since a direct proof of this result is not explicitly available in the literature, we would like to present one based on an early theorem of Alexandroff and Urysohn [1] and a recent alternative proof of this theorem by Rolfsen [5].

2. Let  $S$  be a topological space and  $H$  a collection of subsets of  $S$ . If  $A \subseteq S$ , then  $\text{St}(A, H)$  means the union of all those members of  $H$  which meet  $A$ . If  $x \in S$ , then  $\text{St}(x, H)$  means  $\text{St}(\{x\}, H)$ . A *development* for  $S$  is a countable family  $G_1, G_2, \dots$  of collections of open sets such that, for each  $i$ ,  $G_i$  covers  $S$ , and if  $x \in U$ , where  $U$  is open, there is an  $i$  such that  $x \in \text{St}(x, G_i) \subseteq U$ .

A development  $G_1, G_2, \dots$  for  $S$  is termed *regular* if, for each  $i$ , whenever two members of  $G_{i+1}$  intersect, their union is a subset of some member of  $G_i$ . A development  $G_1, G_2, \dots$  for  $S$  is termed *neighborhood-star* if for each open set  $U$  and  $x \in U$ , there exists an  $i$  and a neighborhood  $N$  of  $x$  such that  $\text{St}(N, G_i) \subseteq U$ .

**THEOREM 1** (Alexandroff-Urysohn). *A topological space is metrizable if and only if it is Hausdorff and admits a regular development.*

Rolfsen [5] was able to show that a topological space admits a regular development if and only if it admits a neighborhood-star development. Consequently, Theorem 1 above yields:

**THEOREM 2.** *A topological space is metrizable if and only if it is Hausdorff and admits a neighborhood-star development.*

**3. THEOREM 3** (Moore metrization theorem). *A topological space  $S$  is metrizable if and only if there exists a countable collection  $G_1, G_2, \dots$  such that*

(1) Each  $G_i$  is an open cover of  $S$ .

(2) Given distinct points,  $x$  and  $y$ , in  $S$ , and any open set  $U$ , containing  $x$ , there exists an  $i$  such that if  $V$  and  $W$  are elements of  $G_i$ ,  $x \in V$ , and  $V \cap W \neq \emptyset$ , then  $W \subseteq U$  and  $y \notin W$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $S$  is metrizable, with metric  $d$ . For each positive integer  $i$ , let  $G_i = \{S(x, 2^{-(i+2)}) \mid x \in S\}$ , where  $S(x, r)$  means  $\{y \in S \mid d(x, y) < r\}$ . Now let  $x$  and  $y$  be distinct points of  $S$ , and let  $U$  be an open set containing  $x$ . Let  $i_1$  be such that  $d(x, y) > 2^{-i_1}$ . Let  $i_2$  be such that  $S(x, 2^{-i_2}) \subseteq U$ . Let  $i' = \max\{i_1, i_2\}$ . Consider the collection  $G_{i'}$ . If  $V$  and  $W$  belong to  $G_{i'}$ ,  $x \in V$ , and  $V \cap W \neq \emptyset$ , then  $\sup\{d(a, b) \mid a, b \in V \cup W\} < 2^{-i'}$ , so that, for every  $a \in W$ ,  $d(a, x) < 2^{-i'} \leq 2^{-i_2}$ . This means that  $W \subseteq U$ . Moreover, since  $d(x, y) > 2^{-i_1} \geq 2^{-i'}$ ,  $y \notin W$ .

Suppose now there exists a countable collection  $G_1, G_2, \dots$  satisfying conditions (1) and (2) of the theorem. We will show that  $S$  is Hausdorff and that the collection  $G_1, G_2, \dots$  is a neighborhood-star development for  $S$ . By Theorem 2,  $S$  will then be metrizable.

Let  $U$  be an open set and  $x \in U$ . Then there is an  $i$  such that if  $V$  and  $W$  belong to  $G_i$ ,  $x \in V$ , and  $V \cap W \neq \emptyset$ , then  $W \subseteq U$ . Since  $G_i$  covers  $S$ ,  $x \in V_1$  for some  $V_1 \in G_i$ , and, by the above,  $\text{St}(V_1, G_i) \subseteq U$ . Thus,  $G_1, G_2, \dots$  is a neighborhood-star development.

Now let  $x$  and  $y$  be distinct elements of  $S$ . Then, for some  $i$ , condition (2) is satisfied. Let  $V$  and  $W$  be elements of  $G_i$  such that  $x \in V$  and  $y \in W$ . Then  $V \cap W = \emptyset$  and so  $S$  is Hausdorff.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] P. Alexandroff and P. Urysohn, *Une condition nécessaire et suffisante pour qu'une classe (L) soit une classe (B)*, Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences 177 (1923), p. 1274-1276.
- [2] R. W. Heath, *Arcwise connectedness in semi-metric spaces*, Pacific Journal of Mathematics 12 (1962), p. 1301-1319.
- [3] F. B. Jones, *Metrization*, American Mathematical Monthly 73 (1966), p. 571-575.
- [4] L. F. McAuley, *A relation between perfect separability, completeness, and normality in semi-metric spaces*, Pacific Journal of Mathematics 6 (1956), p. 315-326.
- [5] D. Rolfsen, *Alternative metrization proofs*, Canadian Journal of Mathematics 18 (1966), p. 750-757.
- [6] P. Roy, *Nonequality of dimensions for metric spaces*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society 134 (1968), p. 117-132.

*Reçu par la Rédaction le 30. 7. 1969*