

A REMARK ON CONTINUITY
OF ONE-PARAMETER SEMI-GROUPS OF OPERATORS

BY

J. KISYŃSKI (WARSZAWA)

1. Let X be a Banach space and \mathcal{M} — the linear space of all X -valued Bochner measurable functions defined everywhere on $(0, \infty)$. Denote by $\mathcal{L}(X; X)$ the family of all linear continuous operators of X into X and by $\mathcal{L}(X; \mathcal{M})$ the family of all operators of X into \mathcal{M} which become linear after identification of almost everywhere equal functions in \mathcal{M} . If $S \in \mathcal{L}(X; \mathcal{M})$ and $x \in X$, then Sx is a function belonging to \mathcal{M} , defined everywhere on $(0, \infty)$. For any $t \in (0, \infty)$ we denote by $S_t x$ the value of Sx at t .

Let $S \in \mathcal{L}(X; \mathcal{M})$ be such that

(i) for every $x \in X$ the equality $S_t(S_s x) = S_{t+s} x$ holds for almost every pair $(t, s) \in (0, \infty)^2$;

(ii) there is a function h defined on $(0, \infty)$, with values in $[0, \infty)$, such that for every $x \in X$ the inequality $\|S_t x\| \leq h(t) \|x\|$ holds for almost every $t \in (0, \infty)$.

If for every $x \in X$, the equality in (i) and the inequality in (ii) hold for every $(t, s) \in (0, \infty)^2$ and every $t \in (0, \infty)$, respectively, and if S is a linear operator of X into \mathcal{M} , then S is simply a strongly measurable semi-group defined on $(0, \infty)$ of bounded linear operators of X into X , and by a theorem of Phillips [3], this semi-group is strongly continuous. But, as pointed out by Feller [1], it is more natural to assume that (i) and (ii) hold only almost everywhere. The following theorem goes in this direction:

THEOREM 1. *If $S \in \mathcal{L}(X; \mathcal{M})$ satisfies (i) and (ii), then there exists a strongly continuous semi-group $\{T_t: t > 0\} \subset \mathcal{L}(X; X)$ such that for every $x \in X$ the equality $S_t x = T_t x$ holds for almost every $t \in (0, \infty)$.*

In this paper m will denote the Lebesgue measure, m_* — the inner Lebesgue measure and m^* — the outer one.

2. The result of Phillips may be deduced from Theorem 1 as follows. If $\{S_t: t > 0\} \subset \mathcal{L}(X; X)$ is a strongly measurable semigroup, then by

Theorem 1 there is a strongly continuous semigroup $\{T_t: t > 0\} \subset \mathcal{L}(X; X)$ such that, for every $x \in X$,

$$(*) \quad m((0, \infty) \setminus E_x) = 0,$$

where $E_x = \{t: t \in (0, \infty), S_t x = T_t x\}$.

For every $x \in X$ and $s > 0$ we have

$$\bigcap_{r>0} E_{T_r x} \subset E_{T_s x},$$

where r is rational.

Indeed, let $r_n, n = 1, 2, \dots$, be a sequence of positive rationals converging to $s > 0$ and let

$$t \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} E_{T_{r_n} x};$$

then $T_{r_n} x$ converges to $T_s x$ and $S_t T_{r_n} x = T_t T_{r_n} x$ for every $n = 1, 2, \dots$ so that

$$S_t T_s x = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_t T_{r_n} x = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_t T_{r_n} x = T_t T_s x \quad \text{and} \quad t \in E_{T_s x}.$$

Hence, if for any $x \in X$ we put

$$F_x = \bigcap_{s>0} E_{T_s x},$$

then $F_x = \bigcap_{r>0} E_{T_r x}$ (r rational), so that, by (*),

$$(**) \quad m((0, \infty) \setminus F_x) = 0.$$

It follows from (*) and (**) that, for any $x \in X$, every $t > 0$ may be written as a sum $t = \sigma + \tau$, where $\sigma \in E_x$ and $\tau \in F_x$. For, if this is not true for some $t > 0$, then $(0, t) \subset (0, t) \setminus E_x \cup (0, t) \setminus (t - F_x)$, so that

$$t \leq m((0, t) \setminus E_x) + m((0, t) \setminus (t - F_x)) = m((0, t) \setminus E_x) + m((0, t) \setminus F_x) = 0.$$

But, if $\sigma \in E_x$ and $\tau \in F_x$, then

$$S_{\sigma+\tau} x = S_{\tau} S_{\sigma} x = S_{\tau} T_{\sigma} x = T_{\tau} T_{\sigma} x = T_{\sigma+\tau} x,$$

so that $\sigma + \tau \in E_x$. Hence $E_x = (0, \infty)$ for every $x \in E$. The semigroups $\{S_t\}$ and $\{T_t\}$ are therefore identical and the theorem of Phillips is proved.

3. For the proof of Theorem 1 we need some lemmas. Recall that a point $p \in R^n$ is called a *density point* of a set $E \subset R^n$ if

$$\lim_{I \rightarrow 0} (mI)^{-1} m_*(E \cap I) = 1,$$

where I runs over n -cubes containing p .

An extended real-valued function f defined on a set $E \subset R^n$ is called *approximately lower semi-continuous at point* $p \in E$ if, for every $a \in [-\infty, \infty]$, the inequality $a < f(p)$ implies that p is a density point of the set $\{q: q \in E, f(q) > a\}$. We say that f is *approximately upper semi-continuous at* p , if $(-f)$ is approximately lower semi-continuous at p . It is known that a set $E \subset R^n$ is measurable if and only if almost every its point is its density point (see [2]). This implies that an extended real-valued function defined on a measurable set $E \subset R^n$ is measurable if and only if it is approximately lower semi-continuous at almost every $p \in E$.

LEMMA 1. *Let F be a family of extended real-valued measurable functions defined on a measurable set $E \subset R^n$. Assuming that $\sup \emptyset = -\infty$, for any $p \in E$ put*

$$M_F(p) = \sup \{f(p): f \in F, f \text{ is approximately lower semi-continuous at } p\}.$$

Then

- (a) the function M_F is measurable,
- (b) for every $f \in F$ the inequality $f(p) \leq M_F(p)$ holds almost everywhere on E ,
- (c) if h is an extended real-valued function defined on E such that for every $f \in F$ we have $f(p) \leq h(p)$ almost everywhere on E , then $M_F(p) \leq h(p)$ almost everywhere on E .

Proof. As it is easy to see, M_F is approximately lower semi-continuous at every density point of E , and hence it is measurable. Property (b) follows from the fact that any $f \in F$ is approximately lower semi-continuous at almost every $p \in E$. To prove (c), suppose that $M_F(p) \leq h(p)$ does not hold almost everywhere on E . Then there is an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that the set

$$A = \left\{ p: p \in E, \min \left(M_F(p), \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \right) - \varepsilon > h(p) \right\}$$

has positive outer measure. Put

$$C = \{p: p \in E, M_F \text{ is approximately upper semi-continuous at } p\}.$$

$$D = \{p: p \in A, \lim_{I \rightarrow 0} (mI)^{-1} m^*(A \cap I) = 1\},$$

where, in the definition of D , I runs over n -cubes containing p .

Then $m(E \setminus C) = 0$ by measurability of M_F and $m(A \setminus D) = 0$ by the Lebesgue density theorem. Since $A \subset (C \cap D) \cup (A \setminus D) \cup (E \setminus C)$, this implies that $m^*(C \cap D) = m^*A > 0$ and so $C \cap D \neq \emptyset$.

Let $p_0 \in C \cap D$. Then $p_0 \in A$, so that $M_F(p_0) > -\infty$ and, therefore, there exists a function $f \in F$, which is approximatively lower semi-continuous at p_0 and satisfies the inequality $f(p_0) > \min(M_F(p_0), 1/\varepsilon) - \varepsilon$.

Then p_0 is a density point of the set

$$B = \left\{ p : p \in E, f(p) > \min\left(M_F(p), \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right) - \varepsilon \right\}.$$

Since B is measurable, we have $m^*(A \cap B \cap I) = m^*(A \cap I) - m^*((A \cap I) \setminus B) \geq m^*(A \cap I) - m(I \setminus B)$ for any n -cube I containing p_0 . Because $p_0 \in D$ and it is a density point of B , we infer from this, by taking I sufficiently small, that $m^*(A \cap B) > 0$. But $f(p) > h(p)$ for $p \in A \cap B$, so that $f(p) \leq h(p)$ does not hold almost everywhere on E . Hence (c) is proved.

LEMMA 2 (1). Let ω be an extended real-valued function measurable on $(0, \infty)$ such that $\omega(t) < \infty$ for almost every $t \in (0, \infty)$ and

$$\omega(t+s) \leq \omega(t) + \omega(s)$$

for almost every pair $(t, s) \in (0, \infty)^2$. Then ω is essentially bounded from above on every interval $[a, b]$ such that $0 < a < b < \infty$.

Proof. Put $\Delta = \{(u, v) : 0 < v < u < \infty\}$. Using the mapping $(t, s) \rightarrow (u, v) = (t+s, s)$, we see that $\omega(u) \leq \omega(u-v) + \omega(v)$ for almost every pair $(u, v) \in \Delta$. Hence, if we put

$$E_u = \{v : 0 < v < u, \omega(u) > \omega(u-v) + \omega(v)\}$$

for any $u \in (0, \infty)$ and

$$Z = \{u : u \in (0, \infty), mE_u > 0\},$$

then $mZ = 0$. Let $0 < a < b < \infty$. We say that

$$(*) \quad \sup\{\omega(u) : u \in [a, b] \setminus Z\} < \infty.$$

Indeed, if no, then there is a sequence $u_n, n = 1, 2, \dots$, such that $u_n \in [a, b] \setminus Z$ and $\omega(u_n) \geq 2n$. For any $n = 1, 2, \dots$ put

$$F_n = \{v : v \in (0, b), \omega(v) \geq n\}.$$

If $0 < v < u_n$ and $v \notin E_{u_n}$, then $\omega(v) + \omega(u_n - v) \geq \omega(u_n) \geq 2n$, so that $v \in F_n$ or $u_n - v \in F_n$. Hence $(0, u_n) \setminus E_{u_n} \subset F_n \cup (u_n - F_n)$, which, because of $u_n \notin Z$ and in consequence, of $mE_{u_n} = 0$ implies that

$$a \leq u_n = m((0, u_n) \setminus E_{u_n}) \leq mF_n + m(u_n - F_n) = 2mF_n.$$

(1) This lemma is an adaptation for our purposes of a known lemma used also by Phillips [3].

Since $mF_n \leq b$, $F_1 \supset F_2 \supset \dots$ and $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n = F_{\infty}$, it follows that

$$mF_{\infty} = \inf_{n=1,2,\dots} mF_n \geq \frac{1}{2}a > 0.$$

But this is impossible. Hence (*) must hold and the Lemma is proved.

4. Proof of Theorem 1. Let $S \in \mathcal{L}(X; \mathcal{M})$ satisfy (i) and (ii). Assuming that $\sup \mathcal{O} = -\infty$, put, for any $t > 0$,

$$k(t) = \sup \{ \|S_t x\| : x \in X, \|x\| \leq 1, \text{ the function } \tau \rightarrow \|S_{\tau} x\| \text{ is approximatively lower semi-continuous at } \tau = t \}.$$

Then, as it follows from Lemma 1, k is a measurable function on $(0, \infty)$, $k(t) \leq h(t) < \infty$ for almost every $t \in (0, \infty)$, and

(ii)' for every $x \in X$ the inequality $\|S_t x\| \leq k(t)\|x\|$ holds for almost every $t \in (0, \infty)$.

If $x \in X$ is arbitrarily fixed, then, by (i) and (ii)', for almost every fixed $t \in (0, \infty)$ we have

$$\|S_t x\| \leq k(t)\|x\|$$

and

$$\|S_{t+s} x\| = \|S_s S_t x\| \leq k(s)\|S_t x\| \leq k(s)k(t)\|x\|$$

for almost every $s \in (0, \infty)$. Because the first and the last members of the former inequality are two-dimensionally measurable, hence, for every $x \in X$, we have

$$\|S_{t+s} x\| \leq k(t)k(s)\|x\|$$

for almost every pair $(t, s) \in (0, \infty)^2$.

For any pair $(t, s) \in (0, \infty)^2$ put

$$n(t, s) = \sup \{ \|S_{t+s} x\| : x \in X, \|x\| \leq 1, \text{ the function } (\tau, \sigma) \rightarrow \|S_{\tau+\sigma} x\| \text{ is approximatively lower semicontinuous at } (\tau, \sigma) = (t, s) \}.$$

Then, by the preceding inequality and by (c) of Lemma 1, we have

$$n(t, s) \leq k(t)k(s)$$

for almost every pair $(t, s) \in (0, \infty)^2$. On the other hand, for any $x \in X$, the function $(\tau, \sigma) \rightarrow \|S_{\tau+\sigma} x\|$ is two-dimensionally approximatively lower semi-continuous at $(\sigma, \tau) = (t, s)$, iff the function $\tau \rightarrow \|S_{\tau} x\|$ is one-dimensionally approximatively lower semi-continuous at $\tau = t+s$, so that

$$n(t, s) = k(t+s)$$

for every $(t, s) \in (0, \infty)^2$. Hence $k(t+s) \leq k(t)k(s)$ for almost every pair $(t, s) \in (0, \infty)^2$, which, by applying Lemma 2 to $\omega = \log k$, implies that

$$\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{[a,b]} k(t) < \infty$$

for every a and b satisfying $0 < a < b < \infty$.

Let $x \in X$ be arbitrarily fixed. Then for almost every $u \in (0, \infty)$ fixed and almost every $v \in (0, \infty)$ fixed we have

$$\|S_{\tau+u}x - S_{\tau+v}x\| = \|S_{\tau}(S_u x - S_v x)\| \leq k(\tau) \|S_u x - S_v x\|$$

for almost every $\tau \in (0, \infty)$. So, by the three-dimensional measurability of the first and the last member, we have

$$\|S_{\tau+u}x - S_{\tau+v}x\| \leq k(\tau) \|S_u x - S_v x\|$$

for almost every triple $(\tau, u, v) \in (0, \infty)^3$. From this, using the mapping $(\tau, u, v) \rightarrow (\tau, t, s) = (\tau, u + \tau, v + \tau)$, we obtain that the inequality

$$(4.1) \quad \|S_t x - S_s x\| \leq k(\tau) \|S_{t-\tau} x - S_{s-\tau} x\|$$

holds three-dimensionally almost everywhere on the set

$$\{(\tau, t, s) : (t, s) \in (0, \infty)^2, 0 < \tau < \min(t, s)\}.$$

Hence, if for any $x \in X$ and $t > 0$ we put

$$E_{x,t} = \{s : s \in (0, \infty), (4.1) \text{ holds for almost every } \tau \in (0, \min(t, s))\}$$

and

$$E_x = \{t : t \in (0, \infty), m((0, \infty) \setminus E_{x,t}) = 0\},$$

then

$$(4.2) \quad m((0, \infty) \setminus E_x) = 0 \quad \text{for every } x \in X.$$

For any $x \in X$, $0 < a < b < \infty$ and $\delta > 0$ put

$$\omega_{x;a,b}(\delta) = \frac{3}{a} \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{[a/3, 2a/3]} k(t) \sup_{a/3}^{2a/3} \int_{a/3}^{2a/3} \|S_{t-\tau} x - S_{s-\tau} x\| d\tau,$$

where sup is taken over $s, t \in [a, b]$ such that $|s - t| \leq \delta$. Then, since

$$\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{[a/3, b-a/3]} k(t) < \infty,$$

the function $\sigma \rightarrow S_{\sigma} x$ is Bochner integrable on $[\frac{1}{3}a, b - \frac{1}{3}a]$ and so $t \rightarrow \{\tau \rightarrow S_{t-\tau} x\}$ is a continuous mapping of $[a, b]$ into the space of X -valued Bochner integrable functions on $[\frac{1}{3}a, \frac{2}{3}a]$, normed by

$$\|x(\cdot)\| = \int_{a/3}^{2a/3} \|x(\tau)\|_X d\tau.$$

Hence

$$(4.3) \quad \lim_{\delta \rightarrow +0} \omega_{x;a,b}(\delta) = 0$$

for every $x \in X$ and $0 < a < b < \infty$.

If $x \in X$, $0 < a < b < \infty$ and $t_1, t_2 \in [a, b] \cap E_x$, then

$$m([a, b] \cap E_{x,t_1} \cap E_{x,t_2}) = b - a$$

and so, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there is an $s \in [a, b] \cap E_{x,t_1} \cap E_{x,t_2}$ such that $\omega_{x;a,b}(|t_1 - s|) < \varepsilon$ and $|s - t_2| \leq |t_1 - t_2|$. By the definition of $E_{x,t}$, for $i = 1, 2$, we have

$$\|S_{t_i}x - S_sx\| \leq k(\tau) \|S_{t_i - \tau}x - S_{s - \tau}x\|$$

for almost every $\tau \in [\frac{1}{3}a, \frac{2}{3}a]$, so that, integrating both parts with respect to τ on $[\frac{1}{3}a, \frac{2}{3}a]$, we obtain

$$\|S_{t_i}x - S_sx\| \leq \omega_{x;a,b}(|t_i - s|)$$

for $i = 1, 2$. So

$$\|S_{t_1}x - S_{t_2}x\| \leq \omega_{x;a,b}(|t_2 - s|) + \varepsilon \leq \omega_{x;a,b}(|t_1 - t_2|) + \varepsilon$$

and, $\varepsilon > 0$ being arbitrary, we see that

$$(4.4) \quad \|S_{t_1}x - S_{t_2}x\| \leq \omega_{x;a,b}(|t_1 - t_2|)$$

for any $x \in X$, $0 < a < b < \infty$ and $t_1, t_2 \in [a, b] \cap E_x$.

Now we can easily define the semi-group $\{T_t: t > 0\} \subset \mathcal{L}(X, X)$ satisfying the statement of Theorem 1. Indeed, for any $x \in X$ there is a unique X -valued function Tx strongly continuous on $(0, \infty)$ such that $Tx(t) = S_t x$ for every $t \in E_x$. Consequently, for any $x, y \in X$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}^1$, we have

$$T(\alpha x + \beta y)(t) = S_t(\alpha x + \beta y) = \alpha S_t x + \beta S_t y = \alpha Tx(t) + \beta Ty(t)$$

for almost every $t \in (0, \infty)$ and so, by continuity,

$$T(\alpha x + \beta y)(t) = \alpha Tx(t) + \beta Ty(t)$$

for every $t \in (0, \infty)$. Hence the equality

$$T_t x = Tx(t)$$

for $x \in X$ and $t \in (0, \infty)$ defines a family $\{T_t: t > 0\}$ of linear operators of X into X . By (ii)', for any $x \in X$ such that $\|x\| \leq 1$ and any $0 < a < b < \infty$ we have

$$\|T_t x\| \leq \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{[a,b]} k(t) < \infty$$

for almost every $t \in [a, b]$ and so, by continuity, this inequality holds for every $t \in [a, b]$. This implies that $\{T_t: t > 0\} \subset \mathcal{L}(X; X)$. At last, if $x \in X$ is arbitrarily fixed, then for almost every fixed $t \in (0, \infty)$ we have $T_t x = S_t x$, $T_s S_t x = S_s S_t x = S_{s+t} x$ for almost every $s \in (0, \infty)$, and $S_{s+t} x = T_{s+t} x$ for almost every $s \in (0, \infty)$, so that $T_s T_t x = T_{t+s} x$ for almost every $s \in (0, \infty)$. It follows by continuity that, for every $x \in X$, $T_s T_t x = T_{s+t} x$ for every pair $(t, s) \in (0, \infty)^2$. Hence $\{T_t: t > 0\}$ is a semi-group and the proof is completed.

REFERENCES

- [1] W. Feller, *Semi-groups of transformations in general weak topologies*, Annals of Mathematics 57 (1953), p. 287-308.
- [2] E. Kamke, *Zur Definition der approximativ stetigen Funktionen*, Fundamenta Mathematicae 10 (1927), p. 431-433.
- [3] R. S. Phillips, *On one-parameter semi-groups of linear transformations*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society 2 (1951), p. 234-237.

Reçu par la Rédaction le 24. 2. 1967