

Fix $r \geq 2$ and $n \geq 1$, and write for $p = 2, \dots, r$

$$H_p = \{(\delta_{\beta_1}^\alpha \mathbf{0}, \dots, \mathbf{0}, A_{a_1 \dots a_p}^\alpha, \dots, A_{a_1 \dots a_r}^\alpha)\},$$

$$G_p = \{(A_\beta^\alpha, A_{a_1 a_2}^\alpha, \dots, A_{a_1 \dots a_{p-1}}^\alpha, \mathbf{0}, \dots, \mathbf{0})\}.$$

The following facts are stated in [2]:

- (i) Every H_p is an invariant subgroup of L_n^r .
- (ii) If a group G is a subgroup of L_n^r and contains a set G_p , then $G = L_n^r$. Moreover, it is easy to show that
- (iii) For every $p > 1$, the elements of L_n^r admit the factorization

$$(3) \quad L = (A_\beta^\alpha, \dots, A_{a_1 \dots a_{p-1}}^\alpha, \mathbf{0}, \dots, \mathbf{0})(\delta_\beta^\alpha, \mathbf{0}, \dots, \mathbf{0}, A_\beta^{-1\alpha} A_{a_1 \dots a_p}^\beta \dots).$$

- (iv) If $r > 2$, then H_r is the centre of H_2 .

Notice also that subgroup H_r is additive and its essential parameters $A_{a_1 \dots a_r}^\alpha$ are subject to the following composition law:

$$(4) \quad C_{a_1 \dots a_r}^\alpha = A_{a_1 \dots a_r}^\alpha + B_{a_1 \dots a_r}^\alpha.$$

Finally, note that if $L_0 = (A_\beta^\alpha, \mathbf{0}, \dots)$ and $L = (B_\beta^\alpha, B_{a_1 a_2}^\alpha, \dots)$, then we get for $C = L_0^{-1} L L_0$

$$(5) \quad C_{a_1 \dots a_p}^\alpha = A_\beta^{-1\alpha} B_{\beta_1 \dots \beta_p}^\beta A_{a_1}^{\beta_1} \dots A_{a_p}^{\beta_p} \quad \text{for all } p = 2, \dots, r.$$

We use short notation

$$A_s = (A_{a_1 \dots a_s}^\alpha), \quad A = A_1, \quad L = (A, A_2, \dots, A_r);$$

$$h(L) = (h_1(L), \dots, h_r(L)) \quad \text{for any automorphism } h.$$

Formula (5) can be abbreviated to

$$(5') \quad C_p = A^{-1} B_p A \dots A \quad (A \text{ repeated } p \text{ times}).$$

Parameters $A_{a_1 \dots a_s}^\alpha$ will be said to be of order s .

Recall also that the *characteristic subgroup* of a group G is defined as a subgroup being mapped onto itself by every automorphism of G .

The following theorem is the main result of this paper:

THEOREM. *For $r = 2$ any automorphism of the differential group L_n^r is the composite of an inner automorphism and of the automorphism*

$$(6) \quad h: \begin{cases} A_\beta^\alpha \rightarrow A_\beta^\alpha, \\ A_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha \rightarrow A_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha - \frac{2}{n+1} A_{(\beta A_\gamma)^\sigma}^\alpha A_\sigma^{-1\sigma} + c \frac{2}{n+1} A_{(\beta A_\gamma)^\sigma}^\alpha A_\sigma^{-1\sigma}, \end{cases}$$

where c is a non-zero constant. If $r > 2$, the only automorphisms of the group are the inner ones.

LEMMA 1. *If a subgroup H_p is characteristic in L_n^r and $h = (h_1, \dots, h_r)$ is an automorphism, then its part $h' = (h_1, \dots, h_{p-1})$ does not depend on parameters of order $\geq p$ and, as a function of variables A_1, \dots, A_{p-1} , it defines an automorphism of the group L_n^{p-1} .*

Proof. By the assumption and statement (iii), h' depends only on parameters up to order $p-1$. On the other hand, we have $h' = \pi \circ h$, where π is the natural projection

$$L_n^r \rightarrow L_n^{p-1}; (A_1, \dots, A_r) \rightarrow (A_1, \dots, A_{p-1}), \quad p \leq r.$$

Also, h' is a homomorphism, because both factors are. H_p being characteristic, automorphisms h and its inverse h^{-1} leave it invariant. This implies that h' restricted to G_p is a bijection onto L_n^{p-1} .

LEMMA 2. *Let*

$$(7) \quad h(A, 0, \dots) = (G(A), H_2(A), \dots, H_r(A)), \quad A \in GL(n).$$

Then the matrix function G is one of the forms

$$(8) \quad G(A) = \varphi(\det A)C^{-1}AC,$$

or

$$(9) \quad G(A) = \varphi(\det A)C^{-1}(A^T)^{-1}C,$$

where φ is a scalar multiplicative function, and C is a constant non-singular matrix.

Proof. Since $(A, 0, \dots)(B, 0, \dots) = (AB, 0, \dots)$, the function G satisfies the equation $G(AB) = G(A)G(B)$, and so G is an endomorphism of $GL(n)$. Consequently, it must be of form (8) or (9), or $G(A) = \varphi(\det A)$ (cf. [1]). We have to eliminate the latter case.

In the latter case $G(A) = E$ for every unimodular matrix $A \in SL(n)$. Let H_k be the first function of (7) non-vanishing on $SL(n)$. It satisfies the functional equation

$$(10) \quad H_k(AB) = G(A)H_k(B) + H_k(A)G(B) \dots G(B),$$

the brief notation (5') being used. In the considered case (10) takes the form

$$(11) \quad H_k(AB) = H_k(A) + H_k(B).$$

This means that H_k is commutative on $SL(n)$, so it must vanish on its commutator subgroup. But it is the group $SL(n)$ itself. We conclude that if G is constant on $SL(n)$, then all functions H_2, \dots, H_r of (7) must vanish. Hence h is constant on $SL(n)$ which is impossible. This completes the proof.

LEMMA 3. *Subgroup H_2 is characteristic.*

Proof. Let h be an automorphism. For any element $(E, 0, \dots, 0, X)$, $X = (X_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_r}^{\alpha})$, belonging to H_r , put

$$(12) \quad h(E, 0, \dots, 0, X) = (K(X), \dots).$$

From (4) and (5) it follows easily that K must fulfil equations

$$(13) \quad K(X+Y) = K(X)K(Y) \quad (\text{matrix product}),$$

$$(14) \quad K(A^{-1}XA \dots A) = G^{-1}(A)K(X)G(A)$$

for any X and every matrix $A \in GL(n)$, $G(A)$ being defined by (7).

Equation (13) says that the matrix family $\{K(X)\}$ is commutative. Since for two commutative matrices the eigenvalues of their product are the products of their eigenvalues, we have, for any $k(X)$ belonging to the spectrum of $K(X)$ ⁽¹⁾,

$$(15) \quad k(X+Y) = k(X)k(Y)$$

and, by (14),

$$(16) \quad k(A^{-1}XA \dots A) = k(X).$$

Setting $A = aE$, $a^{r-1} = 2$, into (16), we get $k(2X) = k(X)$. But by (15) there is $k(2X) = k^2(X)$, hence $k(X) \equiv 1$, because K is non-singular. Hence we proved that all eigenvalues of any matrix $K(X)$ are unities.

The commutative family $\{K(X)\}$ has a non-null invariant subspace V spanned by all its common eigenvectors. For every $v \in V$ and X we have $K(X)v = v$. From (14),

$$(17) \quad G(A)K(A^{-1}XA \dots A) = K(X)G(A).$$

By multiplying (17) with a v from V we get $G(A)v \in V$. It means that V is also an invariant subspace of the family $\{G(A)\}$, $A \in GL(n)$. But this family with $G(A)$ being of form (8) or (9) is irreducible and, consequently, V must be the full space of dimension n . But $K(X)v = v$ for any vector v means that K is the unit matrix.

Accordingly, taking into account (12), we can state that the parameters of order r do not influence the matrix parameters of the image, i.e., $h_1(A, A_2, \dots, A_r) = \tilde{h}_1(A, A_2, \dots, A_{r-1})$. Similarly, we can show that \tilde{h}_1 does not depend on A_{r-1} , and so on. Finally, we come to the conclusion that h_1 depends only on A . Therefore, $h(E, A_2, \dots) = (E, \dots)$, and this was to be shown.

LEMMA 4. *Subgroups H_p , $p = 2, \dots, r$, are all characteristic.*

⁽¹⁾ $k(X)$ can be established as an element $k_{ii}(X)$, if a basis is chosen in a way such that all matrices $K(X)$ are triangular.

Proof. Immediate calculation shows that subgroup H_r is the centre of H_2 . Therefore, it is a characteristic subgroup of H_2 , which, by lemma 3, is characteristic in L_n^r . Hence H_r is characteristic in L_n^r . Combining this fact with lemma 1, we infer easily that also H_{r-1} is a characteristic subgroup of L_n^r , and so on.

LEMMA 5. *To any automorphism h there is an inner automorphism g such that the composite $g \circ h$ is the identity on the set G_2 , i.e.,*

$$(18) \quad g \circ h(A, 0, \dots) = (A, 0, \dots).$$

Proof. We start with (7). Let H_k be the first function which does not vanish on $GL(n)$. It satisfies equation (10) for any $A, B \in GL(n)$.

Set $B = tE, t > 0$. Then, according to (8) or (9), $G(B) = a(t)E$. We have $a(t) = a^2(\sqrt{t}) > 0$. The case $a(t) \equiv 1$ is impossible, because then it would be $h(tE, 0, \dots) = (E, H_2(tE), \dots)$ with $H_i(tE)$ non-vanishing simultaneously for $t \neq 1$, and with the inverse automorphism h^{-1} send a non-identity element from H_2 outside it, which contradicts lemma 3.

For the matrix B as defined above we have $AB = BA$ for any A . Making use of commutativity, we get from (10)

$$(19) \quad H_k(A)(a^k(t) - a(t)) = H_k(tE) \underbrace{G(A) \dots G(A)}_{k \text{ times}} - G(A)H_k(tE).$$

Choose t_0 such that $b = a^k(t_0) - a(t_0) \neq 0$. Then, by (19),

$$(20) \quad H_k(A) = UG(A) \dots G(A) - G(A)U,$$

where $U = 1/bH_k(tE)$. Denote by g_k the inner automorphism generated by the element $L = (E, 0 \dots 0, U, 0 \dots 0)$, U standing in place k . We have

$$\begin{aligned} (g_k \circ h)(A, 0, \dots) &= L^{-1}(G(A), 0 \dots 0, H_k(A), \dots)L \\ &= (G(A), 0 \dots 0, \tilde{H}_{k+1}(A), \dots), \end{aligned}$$

i.e., the first non-vanishing function H , if exists, has now an index $\tilde{k} > k$. In this way, by imposing succesively new inner automorphism $g_{\tilde{k}}$, etc., if needed, we obtain an automorphism which maps $(A, 0, \dots)$ into $(G(A), 0, \dots)$.

Note also that, up to the inner automorphism generated by element $(C^{-1}, 0, \dots)$, we can take $C = E$ in formulas (8) and (9).

Now we prove that $G(A) \equiv A$, provided $C = E$. By lemma 1, the couple $h' = (h_1, h_2)$ defines an automorphism of L_n^2 . According to what is already proved, we may assume $h'(A, 0) = (G(A), 0)$. By lemma 3, $h'(E, X) = (E, F(X))$, where $X = (X_{\beta\gamma}^a)$ and $F = (F_{\beta\gamma}^a)$. By imposing h' upon the equality

$$(A^{-1}, 0)(E, X)(A, 0) = (E, A^{-1}XAA) \quad \text{and} \quad (E, X)(E, Y) = (E, X + Y)$$

we obtain

$$(21) \quad F(A^{-1}XAA) = G^{-1}(A)F(X)G(A)G(A),$$

$$(22) \quad F(X+Y) = F(X)+F(Y).$$

Set $A = tE$. Then $G(A) = a(t)E$, where $a(t) = \varphi(t^n)t^\varepsilon$ ($\varepsilon^2 = 1$). From (21) we infer that $F(tX) = a(t)F(X)$.

Substituting it to (22), we easily get $a(t+s) = a(t) + a(s)$. Thus the function $a(t)$ is additive and multiplicative. Consequently, $a(t) \equiv t$. This implies that $\varphi(s) = s^{(1-\varepsilon)/n}$, and (21) takes the form

$$(23) \quad F(A^{-1}XAA) = A^{-1}F(X)AA$$

in the case of (8), and

$$(24) \quad F(A^{-1}XAA) = (\det A)^{2/n}B^{-1}F(X)BB, \quad B = (A^T)^{-1},$$

in the case of (9).

In the former case $G(A) \doteq A$, and in the latter case $G(A) = (\det A)^{2/n}(A^T)^{-1}$. In order to prove the lemma, we have to eliminate the latter case. Note that if $n = 2$, then both cases are equivalent, for there is a constant matrix C such that

$$(25) \quad (\det A)(A^T)^{-1} \equiv C^{-1}AC; \quad \text{for instance } C = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

In terms of tensors, (24) means that F is a mapping of a symmetric tensor space $T^{(1,2)}$ of valence (1,2) into a space $T^{(2,1)}$ of symmetric tensor densities of valence (2,1) and weight $2/n$. Moreover, F is linear. It is easy to show that such a linear mapping, being compatible with the action of the linear group on the spaces in question, can exist non-trivially only if $n = 2$. This completes the proof of the lemma.

LEMMA 6. *Any automorphism of L_n^2 , up to an inner automorphism, is of the form (6).*

Proof. Put $(A, X) = (A_\beta^\alpha, X_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha)$, and let $h(E, X) = (E, F(X))$. As it was shown in the proof of the preceding lemma, function F must satisfy equation (23) and be linear. Thus F is a linear mapping of the space $T^{(1,2)}$ into itself, compatible with the tensor representation

$$(26) \quad X \rightarrow A^{-1}XAA \quad (= A^{-1\alpha} X_{\tau\sigma}^\tau A_\beta^\tau A_\gamma^\sigma).$$

But the tensor representation theory says (e.g., Weyl [3]) that the representation (26) is the direct sum of two irreducible representations whose invariant subspaces are

$$(27) \quad V_1 : X_{\alpha e}^e = 0, \quad V_2 : X_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha - \frac{2}{n+1} \delta_{(\beta}^\alpha X_{\gamma)e}^e = 0.$$

Since F is a linear operator in $T^{(1,2)}$ commuting with the representation (26), it must break up into the direct sum of two linear operators acting in subspaces V_1 and V_2 , respectively. Each of them must be a multiple of identity. This means that for every $X \in T^{(1,2)}$ with decomposition $X = Y + Z$ there is $F(X) = aY + bZ$, $Y \in V_1$ and $Z \in V_2$.

In terms of components

$$(28) \quad X_{\beta\gamma}^a = a \left(X_{\beta\gamma}^a - \frac{2}{n+1} \delta_{(\beta}^a X_{\gamma)e}^e \right) + b \left(X_{\beta\gamma}^a + \frac{2}{n+1} \delta_{(\beta} X_{\gamma)e}^e \right)$$

F being injective, constants a and b are different from 0. By an inner automorphism we may obtain $F(X) = Y + cZ$, $c \neq 0$. To get (25) notice that $(A, X) = (A, 0)(E, A^{-1}X)$, whence $h(A, X) = (A, 0)(E, F(A^{-1}X)) = (A, AF(A^{-1}X))$.

LEMMA 7. *If $r > 2$, then the automorphism (6) can be extended to that of L_n^r if and only if it is the identity (i.e., $c = 1$).*

Proof. Let $r = 3$. According to (1), we have $(E, X, 0)(E, Y, 0) = (E, X + Y, XY)$, where by XY we mean the set of arguments

$$(29) \quad (XY)_{a_1 a_2 a_3}^a = 3 X_{\beta(a_1}^a Y_{a_2 a_3)}^\beta.$$

Let $L \in H_2$. Then $h(L) = (E, h_2(L), h_3(L))$. By (1), h_3 fulfils the equation

$$(30) \quad h_3(L_1 L_2) = h_3(L_1) + h_3(L_2) + h_2(L_1) h_2(L_2)$$

(multiplication as above).

Let $L_1 = (E, X, 0)$ and $L_2 = (E, Y, 0)$. If $XY = YX$, then $L_1 L_2 = L_2 L_1$, and from (30) we get

$$(31) \quad h_2(L_1) h_2(L_2) = h_2(L_2) h_2(L_1).$$

In notation of the preceding proof we have $h_2(E, X, 0) = F(X) = Y + cZ$; Y, Z defined by (28).

Choose $X_{\beta\gamma}^a = \Theta^a v_\beta v_\gamma$ and $Y_{\beta\gamma}^a = \tau^a u_\beta u_\gamma$ such that $\Theta^e u_e = \tau^e v_e = 0$ and $\tau^e u_e = \Theta^e v_e = 1$.

A straightforward calculation shows that in this case $XY = YX$, but (31) can hold if and only if $c = 1$. It completes the proof for $r = 3$. If $r > 3$, then, by lemma 1, the triple (h_1, h_2, h_3) is an automorphism of L_n^3 , and so, up to an inner automorphism, the couple (h_1, h_2) must be the identity.

LEMMA 8. *Let $r > 2$. Up to an inner automorphism, any automorphism $h = (h_1, \dots, h_r)$ is uniquely determined by its part (h_1, h_2) .*

Proof. It is sufficient to show h_r is uniquely determined if $h' = (h_1, \dots, h_{r-1})$ is given. If we have it, we can apply lemma 1 and repeat it to h' , and so on.

According to the multiplication law (1), h_r must be a solution of the functional equation

$$(32) \quad h_r(LL') = h_r(L)B \dots B + Ah_r(L') + W(h'(L), h'(L')),$$

where $L = (A, \dots)$ and $L' = (B, \dots)$. Let g be the difference of any two solutions of equation (32). By subtracting we obtain from (32)

$$(33) \quad g(LL') = g(L)B \dots B + Ag(L').$$

For L, L' from H_2 and $A = B = E$ we have $g(LL') = g(L) + g(L')$.

Since g is an abelian function on H_2 , it must vanish on its commutator subgroup. But this is H_3 , because H_3 is the largest subgroup of H_2 such that the factor group H_2/H_3 is abelian, and which does not contain elements with $A_2 \neq 0$. Consequently, g vanishes on H_3 .

Up to an inner automorphism, we can assume that g vanishes also on the set G_2 , i.e., $g(A, 0, \dots) = 0$. What remains to show is that $g(X) = g(E, X, 0, \dots) = 0$. From (33) we obtain easily

$$(34) \quad g(X + Y) = g(X) + g(Y),$$

$$(35) \quad g(A^{-1}XAA) = A^{-1}g(X)A \dots A \quad (A \text{ repeated } r \text{ times}).$$

Set $A = 2E$ into (35). Then $g(2X) = 2^{r-1}g(X)$, where $r - 1 > 1$. On the other hand, from (34), $g(2X) = 2g(X)$. It gives $g(X) = 0$. Taking all these altogether, we have $g(L) = 0$ on the whole group L'_n .

Proof of the theorem. If $r = 2$, the theorem follows from lemma 6. Let $r = 3$. By lemmas 1 and 4 the couple (h_1, h_2) is an automorphism of L'_n . Up to an inner automorphism of L'_n , it has form (6), provided (18) holds. By lemma 7 it is identity, which, by lemma 8, can be extended to an automorphism of the whole group in a unique way, i.e., to the identity automorphism.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Kucharzewski und A. Zajtz, *Über die linearen homogenen geometrischen Objekte des Typus $(m, n, 1)$, wo $m \leq n$ ist*, *Annales Polonici Mathematici* 17 (1966), p. 205-225.
- [2] A. Nijenhuis, *Theory of the geometric object*, Doctoral dissertation, Amsterdam 1952.
- [3] H. Weyl, *The classical groups, their invariants and representations*, Princeton 1946.

Reçu par la Rédaction le 10. 12. 1971