

## A REMARK ON EXPANDING MAPPINGS

BY

K. KRZYŻEWSKI (WARSZAWA)

It is known that for any expanding mappings of class  $C^r$  ( $r \geq 2$ ) of a compact, connected differentiable manifold there exists an invariant normalized measure of class  $C^{r-1}$  [2]. In this note we shall prove that the assumption on  $r$  is essential.

In the sequel the following notation and terminology will be used:

$M$  — a compact, connected differentiable manifold of class  $C^\infty$ ;

$\|\cdot\|$  — a Riemannian metric of class  $C^\infty$  on  $M$ ;

$\rho$  — the natural distance induced by  $\|\cdot\|$ ;

$\mathfrak{B}$  — the family of all Borel subsets of  $M$ ;

$\mathfrak{M}^0$  — the set of all normalized measures of class  $C^0$  on  $M$ , i.e., of all normalized Borel measures  $\nu$  on  $M$  such that for each chart  $(U, \alpha)$  in  $M$  there exists a positive continuous function  $g_{\nu, \alpha}$  on  $\alpha(U)$  such that

$$\nu(A) = \int_{\alpha(A)} g_{\nu, \alpha}(x) dx \quad \text{for } A \subset U, A \in \mathfrak{B};$$

$\mu$  — an element of  $\mathfrak{M}^0$ ;

$E^1$  — the set of all expanding mappings of class  $C^1$  of  $M$  with the topology induced by the  $C^1$ -topology on  $C^1(M, M)$ , i.e., of all mappings  $\varphi: M \rightarrow M$  of class  $C^1$  for which there exist  $a > 0$  and  $b > 1$  such that

$$\|(\mathcal{D}\varphi^n)(\alpha)\| \geq ab^n \|\alpha\| \quad \text{for } \alpha \in T(M) \text{ and } n \in \mathbb{N};$$

$\text{deg } \varphi$  — the degree of  $\varphi \in E^1$ , i.e.,  $\text{card } \varphi^{-1}(x)$  independent of choice of  $x \in M$ , since  $\varphi$  is a covering;

$D\varphi$  — the positive continuous function on  $M$  such that if  $\varphi \in E^1$  is injective on  $A \in \mathfrak{B}$ , then

$$(1) \quad \mu(\varphi(A)) = \int_A D\varphi d\mu;$$

$U_\varphi$  — the mapping of  $C^0(M, R)$  into itself defined in the following way for  $\varphi \in E^1$ :

$$U_\varphi(f)(x) = \sum_{\bar{x} \in \varphi^{-1}(x)} f(\bar{x})(D\varphi(\bar{x}))^{-1} \quad \text{for } x \in M, f \in C^0(M, R);$$

$|\cdot|$  — the natural norm on  $R^m$ .

LEMMA. Let  $\varphi, \psi \in E^1$ . Then:

(a)  $D(\psi \circ \varphi) = D\psi \circ \varphi D\varphi$ .

(b) If  $(U_1, \alpha_1)$  and  $(U_2, \alpha_2)$  are two charts in  $M$  such that  $\varphi(U_1) \subset U_2$ , then

$$D\varphi \circ \alpha_1^{-1} = \frac{g_{\mu, \alpha_2} \circ \alpha_2 \circ \varphi \circ \alpha_1^{-1} |\det(d(\alpha_2 \circ \varphi \circ \alpha_1^{-1}))|}{g_{\mu, \alpha_1}}.$$

(c)  $E^1 \ni \varphi \rightarrow D\varphi \in C^0(M, R)$  is continuous.

(d)  $\nu \in \mathfrak{M}^0$  is  $\varphi$ -invariant iff  $U_\varphi(g) = g$ , where  $g$  is the continuous density of  $\nu$  with respect to  $\mu$ .

(e)  $E^1$  is open in the  $C^1$ -topology and  $E^1 \ni \varphi \rightarrow \deg \varphi \in N$  is locally constant.

(f)  $E^1 \ni \varphi \rightarrow U_\varphi(1) \in C^0(M, R)$  is continuous.

Proof. (a) follows easily from the definition of  $D\varphi$ .

For the proof of (b) we represent both sides of (1) by means of the integrals with respect to Lebesgue measure and use the theorem on integration by substitution.

(c) follows from (b).

In order to prove (d), it suffices to show that

$$(2) \quad \int_{\varphi^{-1}(A)} g d\mu = \int_A U_\varphi(g) d\mu \quad \text{for } A \in \mathfrak{B}.$$

For this purpose we may assume that  $\text{diam } A$  is sufficiently small. Then (2) follows from the fact that  $\varphi$  is a covering and from (1).

(e) is well known (see [4]).

For the proof of (f), in view of (c) it is sufficient to show that, given  $\varphi_0 \in E^1$ , there is a  $\delta_0 > 0$  such that for each  $\delta \in ]0, \delta_0]$  there exists a neighbourhood  $\mathfrak{U}_\delta$  of  $\varphi_0$  in  $E^1$  such that if  $\varphi \in \mathfrak{U}_\delta$ ,  $x \in M$  and  $\varphi_0^{-1}(x) = \{x_1^0, \dots, x_{\deg \varphi_0}^0\}$ , then  $\deg \varphi = \deg \varphi_0$  and  $\varrho(x_i, x_i^0) < \delta$  for  $i = 1, \dots, \deg \varphi_0$ , where  $\varphi^{-1}(x) = \{x_1, \dots, x_{\deg \varphi}\}$ . For this purpose let us remark that since  $\varphi_0$  is a covering and since  $M$  is compact, there exists a  $\delta_0 > 0$  such that if  $x \in M$  and  $\varphi_0^{-1}(x) = \{x_1^0, \dots, x_{\deg \varphi_0}^0\}$ , then

$$(3) \quad K(x_1^0, \delta_0), \dots, K(x_{\deg \varphi_0}^0, \delta_0) \text{ are pairwise disjoint.}$$

Moreover, it is easy to see that there exist a neighbourhood  $\mathfrak{U}_0$  of  $\varphi_0$  in  $E^1$  and  $c > 0$  such that

$$(4) \quad \|(d\varphi)(\alpha)\| \geq c \|\alpha\| \quad \text{for } \varphi \in \mathfrak{U}_0, \alpha \in T(M).$$

Since any expanding mapping has the property of lifting the curves, (4) implies

$$(5) \quad \varphi(K(x, \delta)) \supset K(\varphi(x), c\delta) \quad \text{for } \varphi \in \mathfrak{U}_0, x \in M, \delta > 0.$$

By (e) we may assume that  $\deg \varphi = \deg \varphi_0$  for  $\varphi \in \mathcal{U}_0$ . Now, let  $\delta \in ]0, \delta_0]$ . Then there exists a neighbourhood  $\mathcal{U}_\delta$  of  $\varphi_0$  in  $E^1$  contained in  $\mathcal{U}_0$  such that

$$(6) \quad \varrho(\varphi(x), \varphi_0(x)) < c\delta \quad \text{for } \varphi \in \mathcal{U}_\delta, x \in M.$$

It follows from (3), (5) and (6) that  $\mathcal{U}_\delta$  has the required property. This completes the proof of the lemma.

The main result of the paper is the following

**THEOREM.** *The set  $A$  of all  $\varphi \in E^1$  for which there exists a  $\varphi$ -invariant  $\nu \in \mathfrak{M}^0$  is of the first category in  $E^1$ .*

**Proof.** First we prove that

$$(7) \quad A \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k,$$

where  $A_k$  ( $k \in N$ ) is the set of all  $\varphi \in E^1$  such that

$$(8) \quad k^{-1} \leq U_{\varphi^n}(1) \leq k \quad \text{for } n \in N.$$

For this purpose let  $\varphi \in A$ . Then, by Lemma (d) we obtain

$$(9) \quad \inf g(\sup g)^{-1} \leq U_{\varphi^n}(1) \leq \sup g(\inf g)^{-1} \quad \text{for } n \in N,$$

where  $g$  is the continuous density of a  $\varphi$ -invariant  $\nu \in \mathfrak{M}^0$  with respect to  $\mu$ . Formula (9) implies (8) for a certain  $k \in N$ . Therefore, it suffices to prove that, for each  $k \in N$ ,

$$(10) \quad A_k \text{ is a closed and boundary set in } E^1.$$

The first part of (10) follows from the continuity of superposition in  $C^1(M, M)$  and from Lemma (f). For the proof of the second part,  $k \in N$ ,  $\varphi \in A_k$  and  $x_0 \in M$  will be fixed from now on. Then, by Lemma (e), it suffices to show that there exists a sequence  $(\varphi_n)$  in  $C^1(M, M)$  such that

$$(11) \quad \varphi_n \rightarrow \varphi \text{ in the } C^1\text{-topology};$$

$$(12) \quad U_{\varphi_n}(1)(x_0) \rightarrow +\infty.$$

To do this, for  $i = 1, \dots, s$  let  $(U_i, \alpha_i)$  and  $(V_i, \beta_i)$  be charts in  $M$ , let  $Z_i \subset U_i$  be a compact set such that  $\alpha_i(Z_i)$  is a ball in  $R^m$  ( $m = \dim M$ ), and let

$$\varphi(Z_i) \subset V_i \quad \text{and} \quad \bigcup_{i=1}^s \text{int} Z_i = M.$$

Then there exists an  $L$  such that, for  $i = 1, \dots, s$ ,

$$(13) \quad \beta_i \circ \varphi \circ \alpha_i^{-1}|_{\alpha_i(Z_i)} \text{ satisfies the Lipschitz condition with the constant } L.$$

Further, let  $f: ]-1, 1[ \rightarrow ]0, 1[$  be a function of class  $C^1$  such that

$$(14) \quad f(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } 2^{-1} \leq |x| < 1, \\ 1 & \text{for } |x| \leq 3^{-1}, \end{cases}$$

and let  $(\varepsilon_n)$  be a sequence of real numbers such that

$$(15) \quad 0 < \varepsilon_n < 1, \quad \varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0, \quad (1 - \varepsilon_n)^{-n} \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Now, for each sufficiently large  $n \in N$  we define  $\varphi_n \in C^1(M, M)$  as follows:

Let  $n \in N$  be fixed and let

$$\bigcup_{k=1}^n \varphi^{-k}(x_0) = \bigcup_{i=1}^s W_{n,i},$$

where  $W_{n,i} \subset \text{int} Z_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, s$ , and  $W_{n,1}, \dots, W_{n,s}$  are pairwise disjoint. From now on, for  $\bar{x} \in W_{n,i}$ ,  $\bar{y}$  will denote  $\alpha_i(\bar{x})$  ( $i = 1, \dots, s$ ). Then there exists a  $\delta_n > 0$  such that

$$(16) \quad K(\bar{y}, \delta_n) \subset \alpha_i(Z_i) \text{ for } \bar{x} \in W_{n,i} \text{ and } i = 1, \dots, s;$$

$$(17) \quad \text{the sets } \alpha_i^{-1}(K(\bar{y}, \delta_n)) \text{ are pairwise disjoint, where } \bar{x} \in W_{n,i} \text{ and } i = 1, \dots, s.$$

Now we define  $\varphi_n$  modifying  $\varphi$  only at the points of the sets from (17). For this purpose let us remark that, in view of (15), if  $n \in N$  is sufficiently large and  $\bar{x} \in W_{n,i}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, s$ , then for each  $y \in K(\bar{y}, \delta_n)$

$$(18) \quad (\beta_i \circ \varphi \circ \alpha_i^{-1})(y) - \varepsilon_n f(\delta_n^{-2} |y - \bar{y}|^2) ((\beta_i \circ \varphi \circ \alpha_i^{-1})(y) - (\beta_i \circ \varphi \circ \alpha_i^{-1})(\bar{y})) \in \beta_i(V_i).$$

Therefore, in view of (17), for such an  $n$  there exists a  $\varphi_n: M \rightarrow M$  such that  $(\beta_i \circ \varphi_n \circ \alpha_i^{-1})(y)$  is equal to the left-hand side of (18) for  $y \in K(\bar{y}, \delta_n)$ , where  $\bar{x} \in W_{n,i}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, s$ , and such that at each point  $x$ ,

$$(19) \quad x \notin \bigcup_{i=1}^s \bigcup_{\bar{x} \in W_{n,i}} \alpha_i^{-1}(K(\bar{y}, \delta_n)),$$

$\varphi_n$  and  $\varphi$  attain the same values. Then, by formula (14) and Lemma (b),  $\varphi_n \in C^1(M, M)$  and

$$(20) \quad \varphi_n(\bar{x}) = \varphi(\bar{x}), \quad D\varphi_n(\bar{x}) = (1 - \varepsilon_n)^m D\varphi(\bar{x}) \quad \text{for } \bar{x} \in \bigcup_{k=1}^n \varphi^{-k}(x_0).$$

For the proof of (11) let us remark that, from the definition of  $\varphi_n$  and (13), for  $y \in K(\bar{y}, \delta_n)$ ,  $\bar{x} \in W_{n,i}$  and  $i = 1, \dots, s$  we obtain

$$(21) \quad |(\beta_i \circ \varphi \circ \alpha_i^{-1})(y) - (\beta_i \circ \varphi_n \circ \alpha_i^{-1})(y)| \leq 2\varepsilon_n d_i,$$

$$(22) \quad |d(\beta_i \circ \varphi \circ \alpha_i^{-1})(y) - d(\beta_i \circ \varphi_n \circ \alpha_i^{-1})(y)| \leq \varepsilon_n e_i + 2\varepsilon_n L d_i,$$

where

$$d_i = \sup_{y \in \alpha_i(Z_i)} |(\beta_i \circ \varphi \circ \alpha_i^{-1})(y)|, \quad e_i = \sup_{y \in \alpha_i(Z_i)} |\bar{d}(\beta_i \circ \varphi \circ \alpha_i^{-1})(y)|$$

and

$$\bar{d} = \sup_{|x| < 1} |f'(x)|.$$

Moreover, if (19) holds, then there exists a neighbourhood of  $x$  in  $M$  such that  $\varphi_n$  and  $\varphi$  attain the same values at each point belonging to it. Hence, by (15), (21) and (22) we obtain (11).

For the proof of (12) let us observe that (11), Lemma (a), (e) and (20) imply that, for sufficiently large  $n \in N$ ,

$$U_{\varphi_n}(1)(x_0) = (1 - \varepsilon_n)^{-mn} U_{\varphi^n}(1)(x_0).$$

Hence, by (15) and the definition of  $A_k$ , we get (12).

Thus the proof of the theorem is completed.

Since  $C^1(M, M)$  is a Baire space, by Lemma (e) and the Theorem we have  $A \neq \emptyset$  provided that  $E^1 \neq \emptyset$ .

Now we state without proof a theorem which completes the results of [2] and [3]:

*Let  $\varphi$  be an expanding mapping of class  $C^2$  of a compact, connected differentiable manifold and let  $\nu$  be a normalized  $\varphi$ -invariant measure of class  $C^1$ . Then the natural extension of the dynamical system  $(\nu, \varphi)$  to an automorphism is a Bernoulli shift.*

The proof is based on [1]. Moreover, it turns out that in such a way one can obtain all Bernoulli shifts with positive finite entropy.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] N. A. Friedman and D. S. Ornstein, *On isomorphisms of weak Bernoulli transformations*, *Advances in Mathematics* 5 (1971), p. 365-394.
- [2] K. Krzyżewski, *On expanding mappings*, *Bulletin de l'Académie Polonaise des Sciences, Série des sciences mathématiques, astronomiques et physiques*, 19 (1971), p. 23-24.
- [3] — *On a problem of Rohlin*, *ibidem* 20 (1972), p. 207-209.
- [4] M. Shub, *Endomorphisms of compact differentiable manifolds*, *American Journal of Mathematics* 91 (1969), p. 175-199.

*Reçu par la Rédaction le 9. 1. 1975*