

*A UNIVERSAL NULL GRAPH  
WHOSE DOMAIN HAS POSITIVE MEASURE*

BY

G. V. COX (SAN BERNARDINO, CALIFORNIA)

**1. Introduction.** An uncountable set  $S \subseteq [0, 1]$  such that every subset of  $S$  of Lebesgue measure 0 is necessarily countable was first demonstrated by Sierpiński with the aid of the continuum hypothesis (CH) (cf. [6], Proposition 20.1\*). It is immediate that  $\lambda^*S > 0$ , where  $\lambda^*$  denotes outer Lebesgue measure. Such a set  $S$  is called a *Sierpiński set*.

A set  $P \subseteq X$  ( $X$  separable metric) is said to be a *universal null set* if and only if every continuous measure (finite, non-negative, countably additive, defined on Borel sets) on  $X$  assigns  $P$  outer measure 0 if and only if every measure on  $P$  is totally atomic (cf. [9] for equivalence). By using CH, Lusin demonstrated an uncountable  $P \subseteq [0, 1]$  which, in addition to other properties, is universal null (cf. [6], Proposition 20.1). In fact, it is possible to construct an uncountable universal null set without axioms outside ZFC (see [9] and cf. [4], Theorem 1.2). The main purpose of this note is to describe, using CH, a Sierpiński set  $S \subseteq [0, 1]$  and a bijection  $p: S \rightarrow S$  such that  $p = p^{-1}$  and  $\text{graph}(p)$  is universal null in  $[0, 1]^2$ . We then show that such a function  $p$  does not generally exist for a Sierpiński set  $S \subseteq [0, 1]$ . The motivation for providing these examples was to answer the questions raised in [3]. The reader should notice that the existence of a universal null graph whose domain has positive measure follows (in ZFC) from Theorem A of [3].

**2. The first example.** We say that  $H \subseteq [0, 1]^2$  is *symmetric* provided that  $(x, y) \in H$  implies  $(y, x) \in H$ . The line in  $[0, 1]^2$  containing the pairs  $(0, 1)$  and  $(1, 0)$  is denoted by  $L$  and the line containing  $(0, 0)$  and  $(1, 1)$  is denoted by  $M$ .

**LEMMA.** *If  $\mu$  is a measure on  $[0, 1]^2$ , then there exists a symmetric subset  $H$  of  $[0, 1]^2$  such that*

1.  $\mu H = 0$ ;
2. *there exists a (relative) dense  $G_\delta$ -subset  $h$  of  $L$  such that if  $p \in h$ , then the line in  $[0, 1]^2$  parallel to  $M$  and containing  $p$  lies in  $H$ .*

**Proof.** For  $\mu$  on  $[0, 1]^2$ , let  $\mu'$  be the measure on  $L$ ,  $\mu' = \mu \circ \pi^{-1}$ , where  $\pi$  is the projection of  $[0, 1]^2$  onto  $L$  parallel to  $M$ . There exists a (relative) dense  $G_\delta$ -set  $h \subseteq L$  such that  $\mu'h = 0$ . Furthermore, we can assume that  $h$  is symmetric. Let  $H = \pi^{-1}(h)$ .

**THEOREM (CH).** *There exist a Sierpiński set  $S \subseteq [0, 1]$  and a bijection  $p: S \rightarrow S$  with  $p = p^{-1}$  such that  $\text{graph}(p)$  is a universal null set in  $[0, 1]^2$ .*

**Proof.** Index the continuous measures on  $[0, 1]^2$  by the countable ordinals  $\langle \mu_\alpha \rangle$ . For each  $\mu_\alpha$ , let  $H_\alpha$  be the set  $H$  from the Lemma for  $\mu = \mu_\alpha$ . Index the  $G_\delta$ -subsets of  $[0, 1]$  with  $\lambda$ -measure 0 by the countable ordinals  $\langle G_\alpha \rangle$ .

At the ordinal 0, choose  $(x_0, y_0)$  in the set  $H_0 \setminus (\pi_x^{-1}(G_0) \cup \pi_y^{-1}(G_0))$ , where  $\pi_x$  means the projection onto the  $x$ -axis and  $\pi_y$  onto the  $y$ -axis. It is possible to choose  $(x_0, y_0)$  since  $\lambda G_0 = 0$  and  $H_0$  contains a line parallel to  $M$ . Furthermore,  $(y_0, x_0)$  is also in the set since  $H_0$  is symmetric,  $x_0 \notin G_0$  and  $y_0 \notin G_0$ .

At the ordinal  $\beta$ , choose  $(x_\beta, y_\beta)$  in the set

$$\left[ \bigcap_{\alpha \leq \beta} H_\alpha \right] \setminus \left[ \pi_x^{-1} \left( \bigcup_{\alpha \leq \beta} G_\alpha \cup \bigcup_{\alpha < \beta} \{x_\alpha, y_\alpha\} \right) \cup \pi_y^{-1} \left( \bigcup_{\alpha \leq \beta} G_\alpha \cup \bigcup_{\alpha < \beta} \{x_\alpha, y_\alpha\} \right) \right].$$

This is possible since  $\lambda \left( \bigcup_{\alpha \leq \beta} G_\alpha \cup \bigcup_{\alpha < \beta} \{x_\alpha, y_\alpha\} \right) = 0$  and  $\bigcap_{\alpha \leq \beta} H_\alpha$  contains a line parallel to  $M$ . Furthermore,  $(y_\beta, x_\beta)$  is also in the set.

Letting

$$S = \bigcup_{\beta < \omega_1} \{x_\beta, y_\beta\},$$

$S$  is clearly an uncountable subset of  $[0, 1]$ . However, if  $B \subseteq S$  and  $\lambda B = 0$ , then  $B \subseteq G_\beta$  for some  $\beta$ , so

$$B \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha < \beta} \{x_\alpha, y_\alpha\},$$

which makes  $B$  countable. Define  $p: S \rightarrow S$  by  $p(x_\beta) = y_\beta$  and  $p(y_\beta) = x_\beta$  for each  $\beta$ . Then  $p$  is 1-1, onto, and  $p = p^{-1}$ . Furthermore, for each  $\mu_\beta$  on  $[0, 1]^2$ , only countably many points of  $\text{graph}(p)$  fail to lie in  $H_\beta$ , so  $\mu_\beta^*(\text{graph}(p)) = 0$ . Therefore,  $\text{graph}(p)$  is universal null.

**3. Another property of the first example.** A set  $P \subseteq X$  ( $X$  separable metric) has *strong measure 0* means that if  $\langle d_1, d_2, \dots \rangle$  is any sequence of positive numbers, then there exists a sequence  $\langle p_1, p_2, \dots \rangle$  of points in  $P$  such that the collection of neighborhoods  $\{N_{d_1}(p_1), N_{d_2}(p_2), \dots\}$  covers  $P$ . In many parts of the literature, strong measure 0 is called *property C*, and universal null is called *property  $\beta$*  (see, e.g., [5]).

It is well known that  $C$  implies  $\beta$  (cf. [4], Theorem 1.3). It is also known that  $\beta$  does not imply  $C$ . This result is found in [5] by producing a  $\beta$ -set not having dimension 0, and showing that  $C$ -sets have dimension 0.

The result can also be deduced from [7] where a set is described having a property stronger than  $\beta$  whose square does not have property  $C$ . Since squares of  $\beta$ -sets are  $\beta$ , the conclusion follows. As mentioned in [4], p. 154-155, Sierpiński [8] constructed a  $\beta$ -set in  $[0, 1]$  and a continuous function  $f: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  (also of bounded variation [1]) such that  $f(\beta)$  is not  $\beta$ . As such a function  $f$  preserves property  $C$ , the conclusion follows. We obtain yet another example, since the graph of  $p$  is  $\beta$ , but is not  $C$ , else  $S$  would be  $C$ , and therefore  $\lambda(S) = 0$ . Each of these examples assume CH.

Laver [4] showed that it is consistent with ZFC that property  $C$  is the same as countable. When coupled with the ZFC example of an uncountable  $\beta$ -set one obtains a  $\beta$ -set with which it is consistent that it is not  $C$ .

On the other hand, it follows from [2] that  $\beta$  does not imply  $C$  (in ZFC). That is, Grzegorek proved ([2], Corollary 2) that there exist subsets  $A$  and  $B$  of  $[0, 1]$  with  $|A| = |B|$  and such that  $A$  is  $\beta$  and  $B$  is not  $\beta$ . Let  $f$  be a bijection from  $A$  onto  $B$ . The graph of  $f$  is  $\beta$  (since  $A$  is  $\beta$ ) but not  $C$  (since  $B$  is not  $C$ ). See also Corollary 3 of [3].

#### 4. The second example.

**THEOREM (CH).** *There exists a Sierpiński set  $S \subseteq [0, 1]$  such that if  $p: S \rightarrow S$  is a function, then  $\text{graph}(p)$  is not universal null.*

*Proof.* Let  $\lambda^2$  denote Lebesgue measure on  $[0, 1]^2$ . For  $H \subseteq [0, 1]^2$  and  $M \subseteq [0, 1]$ , we have

$$H^M = \{y: \text{there exists } x \in M \text{ such that } (x, y) \in H\}.$$

Index the  $G_\delta$ -subsets of  $[0, 1]$  with  $\lambda$ -measure 0, as before, by  $\langle G_\alpha \rangle$ . Index by  $\langle H_\alpha \rangle$ ,  $\alpha < \omega_1$ , the Borel sets  $H$  in  $[0, 1]^2$  with  $\lambda^2 H = 0$  and with the additional property that  $\lambda(H^{(x)}) = 0$  for all  $x \in [0, 1]$ .

Choose  $x_0 \in [0, 1]$ . At the level  $\beta$ , let

$$M = \bigcup_{\alpha < \beta} \{x_\alpha\},$$

and choose  $x_\beta$  from the set  $[0, 1] \setminus (\bigcup_{\alpha < \beta} G_\alpha \cup \bigcup_{\alpha < \beta} H^M \cup M)$ . Letting

$$S = \bigcup_{\beta < \omega_1} \{x_\beta\},$$

$S$  is clearly a Sierpiński set.

Now suppose that  $p: S \rightarrow S$  is a function and let  $p^{-1}$  denote the inverse, although it need not be a function.

We claim that one of the following conditions is satisfied:

(1)  $p$  is essentially the identity function, hence  $\text{graph}(p)$  is essentially like  $S$ , and thus not universal null;

(2) an uncountable subset  $T$  of  $S$  is mapped to the same point, thus since  $\lambda^*T > 0$ ,  $\text{graph}(p)$  is not universal null;

(3)  $\lambda^{2*}(\text{graph}(p)) > 0$ .

Supposing that none of the three conditions is met, there exists a Borel set  $B \subseteq [0, 1]^2$  such that  $B$  contains  $\text{graph}(p) \cup \text{graph}(p^{-1})$  and  $\lambda^2 B = 0$  (since (3) fails). By Fubini's theorem (cf. [6], Theorem 14.2), there exists a Borel set  $C \subseteq [0, 1]$  such that  $\lambda C = 0$  and if  $x \in [0, 1] \setminus C$ , then  $\lambda(B^{(x)}) = 0$ . Now  $B \setminus \pi_x^{-1}(C)$  is a Borel set in  $[0, 1]^2$ , and since  $C \cap S$  must be countable, this Borel set contains all but countably many points of  $\text{graph}(p)$ , and since (2) fails, it must contain all but countably many points of  $\text{graph}(p^{-1})$ . Therefore, there exists  $a$  such that  $H_a$  contains  $\text{graph}(p) \cup \text{graph}(p^{-1})$ . Since (1) and (2) fail, either  $\text{graph}(p)$  or  $\text{graph}(p^{-1})$  contains a pair  $(x_\beta, x_\gamma)$  with  $a < \beta < \gamma$ . This violates the condition that  $x_\gamma \notin H_a^M$ , where  $M$  includes  $x_\beta$ . Thus the theorem is proved.

**COROLLARY (CH).** *There exists a countably generated, point separating  $\sigma$ -field  $\mathbf{B}$  on a set  $S$  such that if  $p$  is a bijection from  $S$  onto  $S$ , then there exists a continuous probability measure on  $\sigma(\mathbf{B} \cup p(\mathbf{B}))$ .*

**Proof.** Combine the above example with the proof of Theorem A in [3].

**Acknowledgement.** The author wishes to express his appreciation to Edward Grzegorek for the interest shown in this work and for valuable suggestions for improvements.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] R. B. Darst, *A universal null set which is not concentrated*, *Fundamenta Mathematicae* 62 (1968), p. 47-48.
- [2] E. Grzegorek, *Solution of a problem of Banach on  $\sigma$ -fields without continuous measures*, *Bulletin de l'Académie Polonaise des Sciences, Série des sciences mathématiques, astronomiques et physiques* (to appear).
- [3] — and C. Ryll-Nardzewski, *On universal null sets*, submitted to *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*.
- [4] R. Laver, *On the consistency of Borel's conjecture*, *Acta Mathematica* 137 (1976), p. 151-169.
- [5] S. Mazurkiewicz and E. Szpilrajn, *Sur la dimension de certains ensembles singuliers*, *Fundamenta Mathematicae* 28 (1937), p. 305-308.
- [6] J. C. Oxtoby, *Measure and category*, New York-Heidelberg-Berlin 1971.
- [7] W. Sierpiński, *Sur le produit combinatoire de deux ensembles jouissant de la propriété C*, *Fundamenta Mathematicae* 24 (1935), p. 48-50.
- [8] — *Remarque sur le problème de l'invariance topologique de la propriété C*, *ibidem* 29 (1937), p. 91-96.
- [9] — et E. Szpilrajn, *Remarque sur le problème de la mesure*, *ibidem* 26 (1936), p. 256-261.

*Reçu par la Rédaction le 5. 5. 1979;  
en version modifiée le 2. 10. 1979*