

*A SOLUTION TO THE PROBLEM OF B. V. RAO
ON BOREL STRUCTURES*

BY

JAKUB JASIŃSKI (GDAŃSK)

1. Introduction. Let X be a non-empty set and let \mathcal{A} be a family of subsets of X . By $\sigma(\mathcal{A})$ we denote the least σ -algebra containing \mathcal{A} . A σ -algebra \mathcal{C} is called *countably generated* (c.g.) if there is a countable family \mathcal{A} such that $\mathcal{C} = \sigma(\mathcal{A})$. A countably generated σ -algebra of subsets of X is called *separable* if it contains all singletons $\{x\}$ for $x \in X$. If \mathcal{C} is a σ -algebra on X and $Y \subset X$, then $\mathcal{C}(Y) = \{A \cap Y : A \in \mathcal{C}\}$.

B. V. Rao in [5] and K. P. S. Bhaskara Rao and B. V. Rao in [1] present several examples of separable σ -algebras whose intersection is not c.g. As they point out all of these examples are such that the intersection does not contain a separable σ -algebra. In [5] B. V. Rao raised the question (P 687) whether there are separable σ -algebras such that their intersection is not c.g. but contains a separable σ -algebra. In this paper we give a positive answer to this question.

It is worth mentioning that recently Grzegorek ([3], Theorem 0) proved under the Continuum Hypothesis the following strengthening of results of B. V. Rao [5]: If X is a set of cardinality 2^ω , then there are separable σ -algebras \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} on X such that for every uncountable $Y \subset X$ and every injection $f: X \xrightarrow{\text{onto}} X$ the σ -algebra $\mathcal{C}(Y) \cap (f(\mathcal{D}) \cap Y)$ does not contain a separable σ -algebra.

We precede our construction by two easy lemmas.

LEMMA 1. *If \mathcal{C} is a σ -algebra of subsets of X and $Y \subset X$, then*

$$\sigma(\mathcal{C} \cup \{Y\}) = \{(C_1 \cap Y) \cup (C_2 \cap Y^c) : C_1, C_2 \in \mathcal{C}\}.$$

For a cardinal number κ we denote by $\text{cf}(\kappa)$ the cofinality of κ .

LEMMA 2. *Let $\mathcal{C} = \bigcup_{\alpha < \kappa} \mathcal{C}_\alpha$, where \mathcal{C}_α are σ -algebras such that $\alpha < \beta < \kappa$ implies $\mathcal{C}_\alpha \subset \mathcal{C}_\beta$. If $\text{cf}(\kappa) > \omega$ and for every $\alpha < \kappa$ there exists $C \in \mathcal{C} \setminus \mathcal{C}_\alpha$, then \mathcal{C} is a σ -algebra which is not c.g.*

Remark. If \mathcal{C} is as in Lemma 2 and $\text{cf}(\kappa) = \omega$, then it follows by the Theorem of Broughton and Huff [2] that \mathcal{C} is not a σ -algebra.

2. Example. By I we denote the interval $[0, 1]$. Let \mathcal{B} be a σ -algebra of all Borel subsets of a square I^2 . A standard transfinite induction establishes the existence of totally imperfect sets $E, F \subset I$ such that $I = E \cup F$ and $E \cap F = \emptyset$. We define sets

$$P = E \times E \cup F \times F \quad \text{and} \quad R = I \times E$$

and σ -algebras

$$\mathcal{A}_1 = \sigma(\mathcal{B} \cup \{P\}) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{A}_2 = \sigma(\mathcal{B} \cup \{R\}).$$

We shall show that \mathcal{A}_1 and \mathcal{A}_2 have the desired properties.

PROPOSITION 1. *The σ -algebras $\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2$ and \mathcal{B} are separable and*

$$\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{A}_1 \cap \mathcal{A}_2.$$

The proof is clear.

PROPOSITION 2. *The σ -algebra $\mathcal{A}_1 \cap \mathcal{A}_2$ is not countably generated.*

If $A \subset I^2$ and $x \in I$, then $A_x = \{y: (x, y) \in A\}$. Put

$$\mathcal{J} = \{A \subset I^2: |\{x \in I: |A_x| > \omega\}| \leq \omega\}.$$

Notice that \mathcal{J} is a σ -ideal.

LEMMA 3. *If $D \in \mathcal{A}_1 \cap \mathcal{A}_2$, then there exists $B \in \mathcal{B}$ such that the symmetric difference $D \Delta B$ is in \mathcal{J} .*

Proof. Let $D \in \mathcal{A}_1 \cap \mathcal{A}_2$. By Lemma 1 there are $B_1, B_2, C_1, C_2 \in \mathcal{B}$ such that

$$(1) \quad D = (B_1 \cap R) \cup (B_2 \cap R^c) = (C_1 \cap P) \cup (C_2 \cap P^c).$$

CLAIM. $B_i \Delta C_j \in \mathcal{J}$ for $i, j = 1, 2$.

Consider the case $i = j = 1$. For $x \in E$, $(B_1)_x \cap E = (C_1)_x \cap E$ since by (1) we have

$$B_1 \cap E \times E = C_1 \cap E \times E.$$

Hence

$$(2) \quad (B_1 \Delta C_1)_x \cap E = (B_1)_x \Delta (C_1)_x \cap E = \emptyset.$$

By the Mazurkiewicz–Sierpiński Theorem [4] the set

$$\{x \in I: |(B_1 \Delta C_1)_x| > \omega\}$$

is analytic and, by (2), disjoint with E , so it must be countable. The proof of the Claim in other cases is similar.

We shall check now that it suffices to put $B = C_1 \cup C_2$. We complete the proof by showing that, for each $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, $A_i \cap (D \Delta B) \in \mathcal{J}$, where $A_1 = E \times E$, $A_2 = E \times F$, $A_3 = F \times E$, and $A_4 = F \times F$.

For $i = 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 \cap (D \Delta B) &= (A_1 \cap D) \Delta (A_1 \cap B) = (A_1 \cap D) \Delta [(A_1 \cap C_1) \cup (A_1 \cap C_2)] \\ &\subset [(A_1 \cap D) \Delta (A_1 \cap C_1)] \cup [(A_1 \cap D) \Delta (A_1 \cap C_2)] \\ &= [(A_1 \cap B_1) \Delta (A_1 \cap C_1)] \cup [(A_1 \cap B_1) \Delta (A_1 \cap C_2)] \\ &= [A_1 \cap (B_1 \Delta C_1)] \cup [A_1 \cap (B_1 \Delta C_2)]. \end{aligned}$$

Since $B_1 \Delta C_1 \in \mathcal{J}$ and $B_1 \Delta C_2 \in \mathcal{J}$, we have $A_1 \cap (D \Delta B) \in \mathcal{J}$.

For $i = 2, 3, 4$ the proof is similar.

Proof of Proposition 2. Let us well order the set

$$\mathcal{A}_1 \cap \mathcal{A}_2 \cap \mathcal{J} = \{N_\alpha : \alpha < 2^\omega\}.$$

Observe that

$$\mathcal{A}_1 \cap \mathcal{A}_2 = \bigcup_{\alpha < 2^\omega} \mathcal{C}_\alpha, \quad \text{where } \mathcal{C}_\alpha = \sigma(\mathcal{B} \cup \{N_\beta : \beta < \alpha\}).$$

Clearly, $\mathcal{C}_\alpha \subset \mathcal{A}_1 \cap \mathcal{A}_2$: Let now $D \in \mathcal{A}_1 \cap \mathcal{A}_2$. By Lemma 3 there are $B \in \mathcal{B}$ and $\alpha < 2^\omega$ such that

$$D \Delta B = N_\alpha, \quad D = (D \Delta B) \Delta B = N_\alpha \Delta B \in \mathcal{C}_{\alpha+1}.$$

We complete the proof by showing that $\bigcup_{\alpha < 2^\omega} \mathcal{C}_\alpha$ is not c.g. First notice that, for all $x \in I$, $\{x\} \times E \in \mathcal{A}_1 \cap \mathcal{A}_2$ since

$$\{x\} \times E = \{x\} \times I \cap R$$

and

$$\{x\} \times E = \begin{cases} \{x\} \times I \cap P & \text{for } x \in E, \\ \{x\} \times I \cap P^c & \text{for } x \in F. \end{cases}$$

On the other hand, for every $\alpha < 2^\omega$ there is $x \in I$ such that, for every $\beta < \alpha$, $(N_\beta)_x$ is countable, so $\mathcal{C}_\alpha(\{x\} \times I) = \mathcal{B}(\{x\} \times I)$. Since E is not a Borel set, $\{x\} \times E \notin \mathcal{C}_\alpha$. Thus Lemma 2 completes the proof.

REFERENCES

- [1] K. P. S. Bhaskara Rao and B. V. Rao, *Borel spaces*, *Dissertationes Math.* 190 (1981), pp. 1-63.
- [2] A. Broughton and B. W. Huff, *A comment on unions of sigma-fields*, *Amer. Math. Monthly* 84 (1977), pp. 553-554.

- [3] E. Grzegorek, *Remarks on some Borel structures*, preprint, 1983.
[4] S. Mazurkiewicz and W. Sierpiński, *Sur un problème des fonctions continues*, *Fund. Math.* 6 (1924), pp. 161–169.
[5] B. V. Rao, *On Borel structures*, *Colloq. Math.* 21 (1970), pp. 199–204.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS
UNIVERSITY OF GDAŃSK
WITA STWOSZA 57
80-952 GDAŃSK, POLAND

Reçu par la Rédaction le 20. 5. 1984
