

ON THE LATTICE PROPERTIES OF INVARIANT FUNCTIONS  
FOR MARKOV OPERATORS ON  $C(X)$

BY

RYSZARD RĘBOWSKI (WROCLAW)

**0. Introduction.** Let  $C(X)$  denote the Banach lattice of all real-valued continuous functions on a compact Hausdorff space  $X$ . By a *Markov operator*  $T$  we mean a linear and positive operator on  $C(X)$ , i.e.,  $Tf \geq 0$  for  $f \geq 0$ , with  $T1 = 1$ . A Markov operator  $T$  is called *strongly mean ergodic* (s.m.e.) if the Cesàro means

$$A_n f = n^{-1}(f + Tf + \dots + T^{n-1}f)$$

converge in  $C(X)$ .

There are many examples of Markov operators for which  $C_T$ , the space of all  $T$ -invariant continuous functions, is not a sublattice of  $C(X)$  (see, e.g., [3], [6]). Furthermore, as pointed out in [3], there exists a Markov operator for which  $C_T$  is not even a lattice with the canonical ordering inherited from  $C(X)$ . The lattice properties of the space  $C_T$  have been studied in [1], [3], and [4]. In [3] we have defined the *lattice boundary*  $\partial_T(X)$  of the Markov operator  $T$  in the case where  $C_T$  forms a lattice as the set

$$\partial_T(X) = \bigcap \{x \in X : \text{mod } f(x) = |f(x)|\},$$

where  $\bigcap$  is the intersection over all  $f$  from  $C_T$ , and  $\text{mod } f$  denotes the lattice modulus of  $f$  in  $C_T$ . From the Proposition and Corollary 2 in [3] it follows that  $\partial_T(X)$  is a closed  $T$ -invariant set and is equal to the conservative set provided  $T$  is s.m.e.

In the sequel let  $\kappa$  and  $Q$  be as in [1]. In [1] it is proved that

$$\partial T := \text{cl}(\{x \in X : \kappa(\delta_x) \in \text{ex } Q\})$$

is a nonempty closed  $T$ -invariant subset of  $X$  and if, in addition,  $C_T$  is a lattice, we have the equality  $\partial T = \partial_T(X)$ , and therefore the lattice boundary is always nonempty (see Theorems 1 and 2 in [1]).

As in [4] let  $\mathcal{D}$  denote the partition of  $X$  generated by the level sets of  $C_T$ . In  $\mathcal{D}$  we distinguish those elements, called *ergodic sets*, which support at least one  $T$ -invariant measure. We denote the collection of all ergodic sets by  $\mathcal{E}$ .

Corollary 3 in [1] says that  $C_T$  is a lattice iff every  $f$  from  $C(\partial T)$  which is constant on the cells of the restricted partition  $\mathcal{D}|_{\partial T}$  extends uniquely to some  $\tilde{f} \in C_T$ . Note that this result does not say what the lattice modulus is.

In this note we continue investigations concerning the lattice properties of  $C_T$ . The main purpose is to establish conditions under which the lattice modulus of every  $f \in C_T$  is equal to the pointwise limit  $\lim A_n |f|(x)$  (Theorem 1). As we shall see, this property means that the lattice boundary has invariant measure one (see (2) in Theorem 1). In Section 2 we explain, in terms of the Sine ergodic decomposition of the Markov operator  $T$ , when  $C_T$  forms a sublattice of  $C(X)$  (Theorem 2). This theorem generalizes a previous result on operators having topological ergodic decomposition, and contains the case of s.m.e. operators (see Theorem 2 and, respectively, Theorem 3 in [3]).

**1. When does the lattice boundary have invariant measure one?** Recall that by the *center*  $M$  of a Markov operator  $T$  we mean the closure of the union of the supports of all  $T$ -invariant probabilities. Equivalently, we can write

$$M = \bigcap \{x \in X : f(x) = 0\},$$

where the intersection is taken over all continuous functions  $f$  for which the Cesàro means  $A_n |f|$  converge pointwise to zero (see [5]). It is well known that then  $M$  is always a subset of the *conservative set*  $W := \bigcup \mathcal{E}$  (see [4]).

Further, we need the following proposition which supplements Theorem 1 in [1].

**PROPOSITION.**  $\partial T \subset W$ . If, in addition,  $C_T$  is a lattice, then  $\partial T$  is a union of certain invariant cells in  $\mathcal{D}$ .

**Proof.** To prove the first part it suffices to show that

$$\{x \in X : \kappa(\delta_x) \in \text{ex} Q\} \subset W.$$

First recall that  $\kappa(\delta_x) = \kappa(\delta_y)$  iff  $f(x) = f(y)$  for every  $f \in C_T$ , so  $\mathcal{D}$  is the partition into the level sets of the mapping  $x \rightarrow \kappa(\delta_x)$ . Now notice that if for certain  $D \in \mathcal{D}$

$$D \cap \{x \in X : \kappa(\delta_x) \in \text{ex} Q\} \neq \emptyset,$$

then, by the definition of  $\mathcal{D}$ ,  $D$  must be a subset of  $\{x \in X : \kappa(\delta_x) \in \text{ex} Q\}$ . Therefore, if we show that those  $D$  are invariant, we will get the inclusion  $\partial T \subset W$ , since every closed invariant set  $D$  carries an invariant measure and  $W$  is closed. Let  $x \in D$  and  $\kappa(\delta_x) \in \text{ex} Q$ . By the argument used in the proof of Theorem 1 in [1] there exists a closed subset  $X_x \subset \partial T$  such that  $\kappa^{-1}(\kappa(\delta_x))$  is the set of all probability measures on  $X_x$ . For any  $y$  in  $X$  we have  $y \in X_x$  iff  $\kappa(\delta_y) = \kappa(\delta_x)$ , so in fact  $X_x = D$ . Since

$$\kappa(T^* \delta_x) = \kappa(\delta_x),$$

we have  $\text{supp } T^* \delta_x \subset D$ , whence  $D$  is invariant. If, in addition,  $C_T$  is a lattice, then by Remark 1 in [1] the closure in the definition of  $\partial T$  can be dropped.

Therefore, the last statement of our Proposition follows immediately from the above proof.

Using the positivity of  $T$  it is easy to check that the existence of the continuous pointwise limit  $\lim A_n |f|(x)$  for every  $f \in C_T$  implies that  $C_T$  forms a lattice with the modulus

$$(*) \quad \text{mod } f(x) = \lim A_n |f|(x), \quad x \in X.$$

Clearly, on  $\partial_T(X)$  we have  $\text{mod } f = |f|$ . On the other hand, if  $C_T$  is a lattice, then by Theorem 2 in [1] we have

$$\partial_T(X) \neq \emptyset \quad \text{and} \quad \text{mod } f = |f| \quad \text{on} \quad \partial_T(X).$$

The following theorem explains when equation (\*) for the lattice modulus holds.

**THEOREM 1.** *For the Markov operator  $T$  the following conditions are equivalent:*

(1) *For every  $f \in C_T$  there exists  $\tilde{f} \in C_T$  such that  $|f| = \tilde{f}$  a.e. for every invariant probability measure.*

(2)  *$C_T$  is a lattice and  $\partial_T(X)$  has invariant measure one.*

(3)  *$C_T$  is a lattice and  $\partial_T(X) = W$ .*

(4) *For every continuous function  $f$  which is constant on each ergodic set there exists  $\tilde{f} \in C_T$  such that  $f = \tilde{f}$  a.e. for every invariant probability measure.*

(5) *For every  $f \in C_T$  there exists a continuous pointwise limit  $\lim A_n |f|(x)$ , which defines the lattice modulus in  $C_T$ .*

**Proof.** To prove (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) it suffices to show that for  $f \in C_T$  the lattice modulus is equal to  $\tilde{f}$ . First, notice that  $\tilde{f} \geq |f|$ . Indeed, for a fixed point  $x \in X$  let  $(n')$  denote a subnet along which  $A_{n'}^* \delta_x$  converges weak\* to some  $T$ -invariant measure  $\mu_x$ . Then, by the positivity of  $T$ , we have by assumption

$$\tilde{f}(x) = \int \tilde{f} d\mu_x = \int |f| d\mu_x \geq |f(x)|.$$

Now, let  $g \in C_T$  with  $g \geq |f|$ . If we take

$$h(x) = \min \{g(x), \tilde{f}(x)\},$$

then  $Th \leq h$  and

$$h(x) \geq \int h d\mu_x = \int \tilde{f} d\mu_x = \tilde{f}(x).$$

This proves that  $\tilde{f}$  is the least continuous invariant function majorizing  $|f|$ , which means that  $\text{mod } f = \tilde{f}$ .

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3). Since  $C_T$  is a lattice, we have  $\partial T = \partial_T(X)$  (see Theorem 2 in [1]) and, by the Proposition,  $\partial_T(X) \subset W$ . If  $E$  is now an ergodic set, then by assumption we have

$$E \cap \partial_T(X) \neq \emptyset,$$

and therefore  $E \subset \partial_T(X)$  (see the Proposition).

Implication (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (4) is a special case of Corollary 3 in [1].

(4)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) follows from the inclusion  $M \subset W$  (see [4]).

(5)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). Since  $\text{mod } f \geq |f|$  and  $\lim A_n(\text{mod } f - |f|)(x) = 0$  for every  $x \in X$ , we have  $\text{mod } f = |f|$  a.e., i.e.,  $\mu(\partial_T(X)) = 1$  for every invariant probability measure  $\mu$ .

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (5). For a fixed  $x$  let  $(n')$  denote a subnet along which  $A_{n'}^* \delta_x$  converges to some invariant measure  $\mu_x$  in the weak\* topology. Since, for every  $f \in C_T$  and  $x \in X$ ,  $\lim T^n |f|(x)$  exists and is equal to  $\lim A_n |f|(x)$ , we have

$$\lim A_n |f|(x) = \lim A_{n'} |f|(x) = \int |f| d\mu_x = \int \text{mod } f d\mu_x = \text{mod } f(x).$$

This concludes the proof of Theorem 1.

We observe that, for  $T$ s.m.e., condition (5) is fulfilled trivially, and therefore in this case  $\partial_T(X) = W$  (for another proof of this fact see Corollary 2 in [3]).

**Remark.** The Example in [3] shows that  $\partial T$  need not have invariant measure one even if  $C_T$  is a lattice. Consequently, the lattice modulus of  $f \in C_T$  need not be the limit of the Cesàro means  $A_n |f|$ .

**2.  $C_T$  as a sublattice of  $C(X)$ .** We have noticed that for the Markov operator  $T$  the boundary  $\partial T$  is the closure of the union of the collection  $\mathcal{E}_1$ , consisting of all ergodic sets contained in  $\{x \in X: \kappa(\delta_x) \in \text{ex } Q\}$ . In [3] we have considered an example of a Markov operator for which the class of invariant elements of  $\mathcal{E}$  is larger than  $\mathcal{E}_1$ . Indeed, in that example  $C_T$  consists of affine functions on  $X \subset [-1, 1]$ ,  $\mathcal{E}$  consists of the invariant sets  $\{0\}$ ,  $\{-1\}$ ,  $\{1\}$ , but  $\partial T = \partial_T(X) = \{-1, 1\}$ . On the other hand, if  $T$ s.m.e., then every ergodic set is invariant (see [6]), and as we have already observed in [3] we have  $\mathcal{E}_1 = \mathcal{E}$ .

Now we prove that if, in addition, every level set  $D \in \mathcal{D}$  is invariant, then  $C_T$  is a sublattice of  $C(X)$  and vice versa. In particular, we see in virtue of Theorem 1 in [3] that, for  $T$ s.m.e.,  $C_T$  is a sublattice of  $C(X)$  iff the conservative set is the whole space  $X$  (for another proof see Theorem 1 and Corollary 2 in [3] and Theorem 9 in [2]). Furthermore, it is worth noting that also Theorem 2 in [3] is a simple consequence of the following

**THEOREM 2.** *If  $T$  is a Markov operator, then  $C_T$  is a sublattice of  $C(X)$  iff each cell of  $\mathcal{D}$  is  $T$ -invariant.*

**Proof.** If  $C_T$  is a sublattice, then  $\partial_T(X) = X$  and by the Proposition each  $D \in \mathcal{D}$  is  $T$ -invariant. To see the converse, for every  $D \in \mathcal{D}$ ,  $f \in C_T$  and  $x \in D$  we have

$$T|f|(x) = \int |f| dT^* \delta_x = \int_D |f| dT^* \delta_x = |f(x)|,$$

since  $f = \text{const}$  on  $D$ .

**Remark.** Example 6 in [6] shows that the equality  $W = X$  does not imply that each  $D$  from  $\mathcal{D}$  is invariant.

## REFERENCES

- [1] W. Bartoszek and R. Rębowski, *On the lattice boundary of Markov operators*, Colloq. Math. 55 (1988), pp. 305–309.
- [2] E. B. Davies, *The harmonic functions of mean ergodic Markov semigroups*, Math. Z. 181 (1982), pp. 543–552.
- [3] R. Rębowski, *On invariant functions and ergodic measures of Markov operators on  $C(X)$* , Colloq. Math. 54 (1987), pp. 77–81.
- [4] R. Sine, *Geometric theory of a single Markov operator*, Pacific J. Math. 27 (1968), pp. 155–166.
- [5] – *On local uniform mean convergence for Markov operators*, ibidem 60 (1975), pp. 247–252.
- [6] – *Sample path convergence of stable Markov processes. II*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 25 (1976), pp. 23–43.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS  
TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY OF WROCLAW  
WYBRZEŻE WYSPIAŃSKIEGO 27  
50-370 WROCLAW, POLAND

*Reçu par la Rédaction le 21.11.1988*

---