

PRIME NUMBERS SUCH THAT
THE SUMS OF THE DIVISORS OF THEIR POWERS
ARE PERFECT POWER NUMBERS

BY

AKIRA TAKAKU (NAKAGUSUKU, OKINAWA)

Introduction. Let n be a positive integer and denote by $\sigma(n)$ the sum of the divisors of n . Let a and b be integers and $a \geq 1, b \geq 3$. We consider the primes p such that

$$(1) \quad \sigma(p^a) = \text{perfect } b\text{-th power number.}$$

Gerono (see [2]), Moreau (see also [2]), Schinzel [3], Thébault [6], and Takaku [5] treat equation (1) for $b = 2$. By the celebrated general theorems of Siegel [4] and Baker [1] it is known that only a finite number of primes p satisfy (1) and

$$p < \exp \exp \{(5b)^{10} a^{10a^3}\}.$$

We prove the following

THEOREM. *Suppose that a is a positive integer, b is an odd prime, $b \nmid l, l \geq 1, b^l \mid a+1$, and p is a prime. If equation (1) holds, then*

$$p < ab^2 (2b)^{(a-1)b^a}.$$

1. Let a and b be integers and $a \geq 1, b \geq 3$, let X be a positive integer, and p be a prime. Suppose that

$$\sigma(p^a) = 1 + p + p^2 + \dots + p^a = X^b.$$

We have $p \mid X-1$ or $p \mid X^{b-1} + X^{b-2} + \dots + X + 1$. If $p \mid X-1$, then the following lemmas hold.

LEMMA 1. *If $X \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$, $a \geq 2$ and $2 \leq k \leq a$, then*

$$b^{\beta(k)} (1 + p + \dots + p^{a-k}) = p^{(b-1)k} x_k^b + \sum_{i=0}^{(b-1)k-b} p^i \sum_{j=0}^{b-1} \gamma_{i,j}^{(k)} (px_k)^j + b^{\mu(k)} x_k,$$

where $\beta(k), \mu(k)$, and $\gamma_{i,j}^{(k)}$ are the integers that depend on b, k and are

independent of a , p , X and

$$\mu(k) = b^{k-1}, \quad \beta(k) = b(1+b+\dots+b^{k-2}) < b^k,$$

$$|\gamma_{i,j}^{(k)}| < (2b)^{(k-1)b^k} \quad \text{for any } i, j,$$

and x_k is an integer.

Proof. If we write $X-1 = px_1$, then $x_1 \geq 1$ and

$$X^{b-1} + X^{b-2} + \dots + X + 1 = \sum_{i=0}^{b-1} \binom{b}{i} (px_1)^{b-i-1}.$$

Since

$$1 + p + \dots + p^{a-1} = x_1 \sum_{i=0}^{b-1} \binom{b}{i} (px_1)^{b-1-i},$$

we have $p|bx_1 - 1$. If we write $bx_1 - 1 = px_2$, then $x_2 \geq 1$ and

$$b^b(1+p+\dots+p^{a-2}) = \sum_{i=0}^{b-2} \binom{b}{i+2} b^{b-2-i} p^i (px_2+1)^{i+2} + b^b x_2.$$

Hence $\beta(2) = \mu(2) = b$ and

$$\gamma_{i,j}^{(2)} = \varepsilon_{i,j} \binom{b}{i+2} b^{b-2-i} \binom{i+2}{j}, \quad \varepsilon_{i,j} = 0 \text{ or } 1.$$

Since

$$\binom{l}{k} \leq \binom{l+1}{k+1} \quad \text{for } k \leq l,$$

we obtain

$$0 < \binom{b}{j+1} = \binom{b-1}{j} + \binom{b-2}{j} + \dots + \binom{j}{j} \leq 2^{b-1}$$

and

$$0 \leq \gamma_{i,j}^{(2)} < 2^{b-1} b^{b-2-i} \cdot 2^{i+2} < (2b)^b.$$

Let $2 \leq k < a$ and we assume that Lemma 1 holds for k . Since

$$b^{\beta(k)} p(1+p+\dots+p^{a-k-1}) = p^{(b-1)k} x_k^b + \sum_{i=1}^{(b-1)k-b} p^i \sum_{j=0}^{b-1} \gamma_{i,j}^{(k)} (px_k)^j +$$

$$+ \gamma_{0,b-1}^{(k)} (px_k)^{b-1} + \dots + \gamma_{0,1}^{(k)} (px_k) + \gamma_{0,0}^{(k)} + b^{\mu(k)} x_k - b^{\beta(k)},$$

we have $p|b^{\mu(k)} x_k - b^{\beta(k)} + \gamma_{0,0}^{(k)}$. If we write

$$b^{\mu(k)} x_k - b^{\beta(k)} + \gamma_{0,0}^{(k)} = px_{k+1},$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}
 & b^{\beta(k)+b\mu(k)}(1+p+\dots+p^{a-(k+1)}) \\
 &= p^{(b-1)k-1} \left\{ (px_{k+1})^b + \sum_{j=0}^{b-1} \binom{b}{b-j} (b^{\beta(k)} - \gamma_{0,0}^{(k)})^{b-j} (px_{k+1})^j \right\} + \\
 &+ \sum_{l=0}^{(b-1)k-2} p^l \sum_{j=0}^{b-1} \gamma_{l-j+1,j}^{(k)} b^{(b-j)\mu(k)} \sum_{m=0}^j \binom{j}{m} (b^{\beta(k)} - \gamma_{0,0}^{(k)})^{j-m} (px_{k+1})^m + \\
 &+ p^{b-2} \gamma_{0,b-1}^{(k)} b^{\mu(k)} \sum_{j=0}^{b-1} \binom{b-1}{j} (b^{\beta(k)} - \gamma_{0,0}^{(k)})^{b-1-j} (px_{k+1})^j + \dots + \\
 &+ \gamma_{0,1}^{(k)} b^{(b-1)\mu(k)} px_{k+1} + \gamma_{0,1}^{(k)} b^{(b-1)\mu(k)} (b^{\beta(k)} - \gamma_{0,0}^{(k)}) + b^{b\mu(k)} x_{k+1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, for $m = 0, 1, 2, \dots, b-1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2) \quad & \gamma_{(b-1)k-1,m}^{(k+1)} = \binom{b}{b-m} (b^{\beta(k)} - \gamma_{0,0}^{(k)})^{b-m}, \\
 & \gamma_{l,m}^{(k+1)} = \sum_{j=m}^{b-1} \gamma_{l-j+1,j}^{(k)} b^{(b-j)\mu(k)} \binom{j}{m} (b^{\beta(k)} - \gamma_{0,0}^{(k)})^{j-m} + \\
 & + \varepsilon'_{l,j} \gamma_{0,l+1}^{(k)} b^{(b-1-l)\mu(k)} \binom{b-1}{b-1-m} (b^{\beta(k)} - \gamma_{0,0}^{(k)})^{l-m+1},
 \end{aligned}$$

where $l < (b-1)k-1$, $\varepsilon'_{i,j} = 0$ if $l \geq b-1$ and $\varepsilon'_{i,j} = 1$ if $l \leq b-2$; moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mu(k+1) = b\mu(k) = b^k, \\
 & \beta(k+1) = \beta(k) + b\mu(k) = b(1+b+\dots+b^{k-1}) < b^{k+1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 & |\gamma_{(b-1)k-1,m}^{(k+1)}| < 2^b (2(2b)^{(k-1)b^k})^b < (2b)^{kb^{k+1}}, \\
 & |\gamma_{l,m}^{(k+1)}| < 2b(2b)^{(k-1)b^k} b^{b\mu(k)} \cdot 2^{b-1} (2(2b)^{(k-1)b^k})^{b-1} \\
 & = (2b)^{kb^{k+1}} (b \cdot 2^{2b-1-b^{k+1}}) < (2b)^{kb^{k+1}} \quad (l < (b-1)k-1).
 \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 2. Let $2 \leq a$ and $3 \leq b$. If $b \nmid a$ and

$$p > 2(2b)^{(a-1)b^a},$$

then $x_k \neq 0$ ($2 \leq k \leq a$).

Proof. Let k be the smallest r such that $x_r = 0$. By the proof of Lemma 1, we have $k > 2$ and

$$(3) \quad b^{\beta(k)}(1+p+\dots+p^{a-k}) = \sum p^j \gamma_{j,0}^{(k)}.$$

Since $b \nmid a$, we have $a-k \neq (b-1)k-b$.

1. If $a-k > (b-1)k-b$, then, by the p -adic expressions of the both sides

of equation (3), the leading coefficient $b^{\beta(k)}$ of the left-hand side is zero; a contradiction.

2. If $a - k < (b - 1)k - b$, then $\gamma_{(b-1)k-b,0}^{(k)} = 0$. Hence, by (2), we have $b^{\beta(k-1)} = \gamma_{0,0}^{(k-1)}$. Since

$$(4) \quad b^{\beta(k-1)}(1 + p + \dots + p^{a-(k-1)}) \\ = p^{(b-1)(k-1)} x_{k-1}^b + \sum_{\substack{i=0 \\ i+j>0}}^{(b-1)(k-1)-b} \sum_{\substack{j=0 \\ i+j>0}}^{b-1} p^j \gamma_{i,j}^{(k-1)} (px_{k-1})^j + \\ + \gamma_{0,0}^{(k-1)} + b^{\mu(k-1)} x_{k-1},$$

we have $p|b$ or $p|x_{k-1}$. Since $p > b$, we obtain $p|x_{k-1}$. If $x_{k-1} \neq 0$, then, by the p -adic expressions $\sum a_i p^i$ ($|a_i| < p/2$) of the both sides of equation (4), the exponent of the maximal power of p in the left-hand side equals $a - (k - 1) < (b - 1)k - b + 1$ and the exponent of the maximal power of p in the right-hand side is greater than $(b - 1)(k - 1) + b - 1 = (b - 1)k$; a contradiction. Hence $x_{k-1} = 0$. This contradicts the choice of k .

LEMMA 3. Suppose that $a \geq 1$, $b \geq 3$, $b \nmid a$, p is a prime, and X is a positive integer. If $\sigma(p^a) = X^b$ and $p|X - 1$, then

$$p < ab^2(2b)^{(a-1)b^a}.$$

Proof. We put $\lambda = ab^2(2b)^{(a-1)b^a}$. If $p > \lambda$, then $0 < \lambda/p < 1$. Define

$$f(y) = p^{(b-1)a} y^b + \sum_{i=0}^{(b-1)a-b} p^i \sum_{j=0}^{b-1} \gamma_{i,j}^{(a)} (py)^j + b^{\mu(a)} y - b^{\beta(a)}.$$

If $\max(1, \lambda/p) < |y|$, then

$$|f(y)| > p^{(b-1)a-1} |y|^{b-1} |p|y| - \sum_{i=0}^{(b-1)a-b} \sum_{j=0}^{b-1} |\gamma_{i,j}^{(a)}| - b^{\mu(a)} - b^{\beta(a)} \\ > p^{(b-1)a-1} |y|^{b-1} (p|y| - ab^2(2b)^{(a-1)b^a}) > 0,$$

and so $f(y) \neq 0$. Hence $|x_a| < 1$. By Lemma 1, we have $x_a = 0$. This contradicts Lemma 2. Therefore $p < \lambda$.

2. Let b be an odd prime, a be an integer not less than 1, and p be a prime. Suppose that $\sigma(p^a) = X^b$, where X is an integer not less than 1. Then we have the following lemmas.

LEMMA 4. If $\sigma(p^a) = X^b$ and p divides $X^{b-1} + \dots + X + 1$, then $p \equiv 1 \pmod{b}$, provided both p and b are primes.

Proof. Since $X^b \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$, the order of $X \pmod{p}$ divides b . If it would equal unity, then $X \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$, which in view of

$$p|1 + X + \dots + X^{b-1}$$

implies $p^2|X^b - 1$; however $X^b - 1 \equiv p \pmod{p^2}$. Thus this order equals b , and $b|p - 1$.

LEMMA 5. Suppose that b is an odd prime, and a is an integer not less than 1. If $b \nmid l$, $l \geq 1$, and $b^l \parallel a+1$, then the primes p such that

$$\sigma(p^a) = X^b \quad \text{and} \quad p \mid X^{b-1} + X^{b-2} + \dots + X + 1$$

do not exist.

Proof. Assume $\sigma(p^a) = X^b$ and $p \mid X^{b-1} + X^{b-2} + \dots + X + 1$. By Lemma 4, we have $p \equiv 1 \pmod{b}$. Hence

$$0 \equiv a+1 \equiv 1+p+\dots+p^a = X^b \equiv X \pmod{b}.$$

If we put $p = by+1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} X^b &= 1+p+\dots+p^a \\ &= (by)^a + \binom{a+1}{a}(by)^{a-1} + \dots + \binom{a+1}{2}by + \binom{a+1}{1}. \end{aligned}$$

In the right-hand side, the last term $\binom{a+1}{1}$ is divisible by b^l and is not divisible by b^{l+1} . Other terms are divisible by b^{l+1} . On the other hand, the exponent of b in the left-hand side is divisible by b , so b divides $\min(l+1, l) = l$; a contradiction.

Now we see that the Theorem follows from Lemmas 3 and 5.

The author thanks the referee who improved Lemma 4 and simplified the proof and gave him helpful advices.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Baker, *Bounds for the solutions of the hyperelliptic equation*, Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society 65 (1949), p. 439–444.
- [2] L. E. Dickson, *History of the theory of numbers*, Vol. I, Chapter II, p. 54–57, Chelsea 1919.
- [3] A. Schinzel, *On prime numbers such that the sums of the divisors of their cubes are perfect squares* (in Polish), Wiadomości Matematyczne (2) 1 (1955–1956), p. 203–204.
- [4] C. L. Siegel, *The integer solutions of the equation $y^2 = ax^n + bx^{n-1} + \dots + k$* , Journal of the London Mathematical Society 1 (1926), p. 66–68.
- [5] A. Takaku, *Prime numbers such that the sums of the divisors of their powers are perfect squares*, Colloquium Mathematicum 49 (1984), p. 117–121.
- [6] V. Thébault, *Curiosités arithmétiques*, Mathesis 62 (1953), p. 120–129.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
COLLEGE OF LIBERAL ARTS AND SCIENCES
RYUKYU UNIVERSITY
NAKAGUSUKU, OKINAWA

Reçu par la Rédaction le 8.1.1982;
en version modifiée le 29.6.1983