

GROUPS ACTING ON COVERING SPACES

BY

T. JAKUBOWSKI (WROCLAW)

1. Introduction. In [1] Bredon proved that if a connected Lie group G acts effectively on a connected, locally connected and pathwise connected space X , then the unique covering group \tilde{G} of G acts effectively on some covering space \tilde{X} of X .

In this paper we consider the more general problem:

Given a G -space X and a covering group \tilde{G} of the topological group G , under what (necessary and sufficient) conditions does \tilde{G} act on some covering space \tilde{X} of X ?

The answer is provided for X connected, locally pathwise connected and locally 1-connected, and this yields a generalization of Bredon's theorem to topological groups. (Bredon's remark that his theorem remains true for a topological group having an open component of the unit is invalid: the group Z_3 acts effectively on $S^1 = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$ by the rotations as the 3-rd roots of the unit but no covering group of Z_3 acts effectively on the universal covering space R^1 of S^1).

2. Actions of pathgroup. Denote by \mathfrak{A} the class of all connected, locally pathwise connected and locally 1-connected topological spaces.

For $X \in \mathfrak{A}$, let $P(X, x_0)$ be the space of all paths starting at the point $x_0 \in X$. This space provided with compact-open topology is a total space of the fibration $(P(X, x_0), a_X, X)$, where $a_X: P(X, x_0) \rightarrow X$ is a continuous map given by $a_X(\omega) = \omega(1)$ (see [2]).

Every continuous map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ induces the unique continuous map $P(f): P(X, x_0) \rightarrow P(Y, f(x_0))$ such that the diagram

$$(1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} P(X, x_0) & \xrightarrow{P(f)} & P(Y, f(x_0)) \\ a_X \downarrow & & \downarrow a_Y \\ X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \end{array}$$

commutes.

If G is a topological group in the class \mathfrak{A} , then the group rule in G induces a continuous rule in the space $P(G)$ of all paths starting at the

unit $e \in G$. The space $P(G)$ with this rule forms a topological group and a_G is a continuous homomorphism.

Let a topological group $G \in \mathfrak{U}$ act on the space $X \in \mathfrak{U}$ (for simplicity, we consider only left actions) and let $\nu: G \times X \rightarrow X$ be this action. If ν is a free action (i.e., if $\nu(g, x) = x$, then $g = e$) and $\tau_\nu((g, x), x) = g$ is a continuous map of the space $X^* = \{(\nu(g, x), x): x \in X \text{ and } g \in G\} \subset X \times X$ onto G , then ν is a principal action.

PROPOSITION 1. *If X is a left G -space, then $P(X, x_0)$ is a left $P(G)$ -space and the diagram*

$$(2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} P(G) \times P(X, x_0) & \xrightarrow{P(\nu)} & P(X, x_0) \\ a_G \times a_X \downarrow & & \downarrow a_X \\ G \times X & \xrightarrow{\nu} & X \end{array}$$

commutes. Moreover,

- (i) if ν is a principal action, then so is $P(\nu)$,
- (ii) if ν is an effective action, then so is $P(\nu)$.

Proof. Commutativity of diagram (2) follows from that of (1), since

$$P(G \times X, (e, x_0)) = P(G) \times P(X, x_0).$$

The equations

$$P(\nu)(\gamma_1, P(\nu)(\gamma_2, \omega)) = P(\nu)(\gamma_1 \gamma_2, \omega) \quad \text{and} \quad P(\nu)(\tilde{e}, \omega) = \omega,$$

where $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in P(G)$, $\omega \in P(X, x_0)$, and \tilde{e} is a constant path at e , follow from that for ν .

Now let ν be a principal action. We show first that $P(\nu)$ is a free action. Note that if $P(\nu)(\gamma, \omega) = \omega$, then $\nu(\gamma(t), \omega(t)) = \omega(t)$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$. Since G acts freely on X by assumption, $\gamma(t) = e$ for all t . Hence $\gamma = \tilde{e}$. Since the action ν is principal, we have the continuous map

$$\tau_\nu: X^* \rightarrow G.$$

Thus $P(X^*, (x_0, x_0)) = (P(X, x_0))^*$, and so the map $\tau_{P(\nu)}$ coincides with the continuous map induced by τ_ν . Hence $P(\nu)$ is a principal action.

Finally, let ν be an effective action. Assume that $P(\nu)(\gamma, \omega) = \omega$ for all $\omega \in P(X, x_0)$. Fix the point $t_0 \in (0, 1]$. Then for every $x \in X$ there exists $\omega_x \in P(X, x_0)$ such that $\omega_x(t_0) = x$. Hence

$$x = \omega_x(t_0) = P(\nu)(\gamma, \omega_x)(t_0) = \nu(\gamma(t_0), \omega_x(t_0)) = \nu(\gamma(t_0), x) \\ \text{for all } x \in X.$$

Since ν is an effective action, we have $\gamma(t_0) = e$. Thus $\gamma(t_0) = e$ for all $t_0 \in [0, 1]$ and $P(\nu)$ is an effective action.

In the proofs of next theorems we shall use the following

LEMMA 1. Let $\varrho_1, \varrho_2 \subset X \times X$ and $\varrho'_1, \varrho'_2 \subset Y \times Y$ be equivalence relations on topological spaces X and Y , respectively. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a continuous map and let

- (i) $\varrho_1 \subset \varrho_2$ and $\varrho'_1 \subset \varrho'_2$,
- (ii) $(f \times f)(\varrho_i) \subset \varrho'_i$ for $i = 1, 2$.

Then there exist unique continuous maps f_1 and f_2 such that the diagram

$$(3) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y & & \\ & \searrow & \downarrow & \searrow & \\ & X/\varrho_1 & & Y/\varrho'_1 & \\ & \swarrow & \downarrow & \swarrow & \\ X/\varrho_2 & \xrightarrow{f_2} & Y/\varrho_2 & & \end{array}$$

(Note: The diagram above is a simplified representation of the commutative diagram in the image. The original diagram shows a square with X at the top-left, Y at the top-right, X/ϱ_1 at the middle-left, and Y/ϱ'_1 at the middle-right. Arrows: $X \xrightarrow{f} Y$, $X \rightarrow X/\varrho_1$, $X/\varrho_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} Y/\varrho'_1$, $Y \rightarrow Y/\varrho'_1$, $X \rightarrow X/\varrho_2$, $X/\varrho_2 \xrightarrow{f_2} Y/\varrho_2$, $Y \rightarrow Y/\varrho_2$, $Y/\varrho'_1 \rightarrow Y/\varrho_2$. There are also diagonal arrows from X to Y/ϱ_2 and from Y/ϱ'_1 to X/ϱ_1 .)

commutes.

The proof is obvious.

PROPOSITION 2. Let X_π be the covering space of X determined by a subgroup π of $\pi_1(X, x_0)$. If $\nu: G \times X \rightarrow X$ is a continuous action of G on X , then there exists only one continuous action $P_\pi: P(G) \times X_\pi \rightarrow X_\pi$ such that the diagram

$$(4) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} P(G) \times P(X, x_0) & \xrightarrow{P(\nu)} & P(X, x_0) & & \\ & \searrow^{a_G \times a_X} & \downarrow^{a_X^\pi} & \searrow^{a_X} & \\ & G \times X & & X & \\ & \swarrow^{a_G \times p_\pi} & \downarrow^{p_\pi} & \swarrow^{p_\pi} & \\ P(G) \times X_\pi & \xrightarrow{P_\pi} & X_\pi & & \end{array}$$

(Note: The diagram above is a simplified representation of the commutative diagram in the image. The original diagram shows a square with $P(G) \times P(X, x_0)$ at the top-left, $P(X, x_0)$ at the top-right, $G \times X$ at the middle-left, and X at the middle-right. Arrows: $P(G) \times P(X, x_0) \xrightarrow{P(\nu)} P(X, x_0)$, $P(G) \times P(X, x_0) \rightarrow G \times X$, $G \times X \xrightarrow{\nu} X$, $P(X, x_0) \rightarrow X$, $P(G) \times P(X, x_0) \rightarrow P(G) \times X_\pi$, $P(G) \times X_\pi \xrightarrow{P_\pi} X_\pi$, $P(X, x_0) \rightarrow X_\pi$, $X \rightarrow X_\pi$. There are also diagonal arrows from $P(G) \times P(X, x_0)$ to X_π and from $G \times X$ to X_π .)

commutes.

Proof. The homotopy class of a loop ω will be denoted by $[\omega]$. Define two relations, ϱ on $P(G) \times P(X, x_0)$ and ϱ' on $P(X, x_0)$, as follows:

- $(\gamma, \omega) \varrho (\gamma', \omega')$ if and only if $\omega(1) = \omega'(1)$, $[\omega \circ \omega'^{-1}] \in \pi$ and $\gamma = \gamma'$;
- $\omega \varrho' \omega'$ if and only if $\omega(1) = \omega'(1)$ and $[\omega \circ \omega'^{-1}] \in \pi$.

We show that if $(\gamma_1, \omega_1) \varrho (\gamma_2, \omega_2)$, then

$$(P(\nu)(\gamma_1, \omega_1)) \varrho' (P(\nu)(\gamma_2, \omega_2)).$$

If $(\gamma_1, \omega_1) \varrho (\gamma_2, \omega_2)$, then

$$\gamma_1 = \gamma_2, \quad \omega_1(1) = \omega_2(1) \quad \text{and} \quad [\omega_1 \circ \omega_2^{-1}] \in \pi.$$

Thus

$$P(\nu)(\gamma_2, \omega_2)(1) = \nu(\gamma_2(1), \omega_2(1)) = \nu(\gamma_1(1), \omega_1(1)) = P(\nu)(\gamma_1, \omega_1)(1).$$

To show that $[P(\nu)(\gamma_1, \omega_1) \circ (P(\nu)(\gamma_2, \omega_2))^{-1}] \in \pi$, we put

$$\omega'_i(t) = \begin{cases} \omega_i(2t) & \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2}, \\ x & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} \leq t \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

for $i = 1, 2$, where $x = \omega_1(1) = \omega_2(1)$, and

$$\gamma'(t) = \begin{cases} e & \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2}, \\ \gamma(2t-1) & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} \leq t \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

Note that ω'_i, ω_i are homotopic rel $\{0, 1\}$ for $i = 1, 2$, and γ, γ' are homotopic rel $\{0, 1\}$. Hence

$$P(\nu)(\gamma, \omega_1) \circ (P(\nu)(\gamma, \omega_2))^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad P(\nu)(\gamma', \omega'_1) \circ (P(\nu)(\gamma', \omega'_2))^{-1}$$

are homotopic as loops. For $0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2}$ we have

$$P(\nu)(\gamma', \omega'_i)(t) = \nu(\gamma'(t), \omega'_i(t)) = \nu(e, \omega'_i(t)) = \omega'_i(t) = \omega_i(2t),$$

and for $\frac{1}{2} \leq t \leq 1$ we obtain

$$P(\nu)(\gamma', \omega'_i)(t) = \nu(\gamma'(t), \omega'_i(t)) = \nu(\gamma(2t-1), x).$$

If we denote by ω the path $\nu(\gamma(t), x)$, then

$$P(\nu)(\gamma', \omega'_i) = \omega_i \circ \omega$$

and

$$P(\nu)(\gamma', \omega'_1) \circ (P(\nu)(\gamma', \omega'_2))^{-1} = (\omega_1 \circ \omega) \circ (\omega_2 \circ \omega)^{-1}.$$

The map

$$h(t, v) = (\omega_1 \circ \omega_v) \circ (\omega_2 \circ \omega_v)^{-1}(t),$$

where $\omega_v(t) = \omega((1-v)t)$, is a homotopy of the loops $(\omega_1 \circ \omega) \circ (\omega_2 \circ \omega)^{-1}$ and $\omega_1 \circ \omega_2^{-1}$. Thus

$$[P(\nu)(\gamma, \omega_1) \circ (P(\nu)(\gamma, \omega_2))^{-1}] = [(\omega_1 \circ \omega) \circ (\omega_2 \circ \omega)^{-1}] = [\omega_1 \circ \omega_2^{-1}] \in \pi.$$

Since

$$P(G) \times X_\pi = (P(G) \times P(X, x_0))/\varrho \quad \text{and} \quad X_\pi = P(X, x_0)/\varrho',$$

$P(\nu)$ induces a continuous map $P_\pi: P(G) \times X_\pi \rightarrow X$ which is an action. Commutativity of diagram (4) follows from Lemma 1.

3. Groups acting on covering spaces. Let $\nu: G \times X \rightarrow X$ be an action of G on X and let $p_\pi: X_\pi \rightarrow X$ be a covering determined by a subgroup $\pi \subset \pi_1(X, x_0)$. We will find all groups \tilde{G} covering the group G which are

acting on X_π in a way such that the diagram

$$(5) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{G} \times X_\pi & \xrightarrow{\tilde{v}} & X_\pi \\ h \times p_\pi \downarrow & & \downarrow p_\pi \\ G \times X & \xrightarrow{v} & X \end{array}$$

commutes, $h: \tilde{G} \rightarrow G$ being a covering homomorphism and $\tilde{v}: \tilde{G} \times X_\pi \rightarrow X_\pi$ an action.

Let $\tilde{\pi} = (v_{x_0})_{\#}^{-1}(\pi)$, where $(v_{x_0})_{\#}: \pi_1(G, e) \rightarrow \pi_1(X, x_0)$, be a homomorphism of fundamental groups induced by the map $v_{x_0}(g) = v(g, x_0)$. Denote by $\alpha_{\tilde{G}}^{\tilde{\pi}}: P(G) \rightarrow G_{\tilde{\pi}}$ the canonical homomorphism.

LEMMA 2. *If $P_\pi: P(G) \times X_\pi \rightarrow X_\pi$ is the action induced by v , then $P_\pi(\gamma, y) = y$ for all $y \in X$ and all $\gamma \in \text{Ker } \alpha_{\tilde{G}}^{\tilde{\pi}}$.*

Proof. Let $\omega \in P(X, x_0)$ represent $y \in X_\pi$ and let $\gamma \in \text{Ker } \alpha_{\tilde{G}}^{\tilde{\pi}}$. Put

$$\gamma'(t) = \begin{cases} \gamma(2t) & \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2}, \\ e & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} \leq t \leq 1, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\omega'(t) = \begin{cases} x_0 & \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2}, \\ \omega(2t-1) & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} \leq t \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

The paths $P(v)(\gamma, \omega)$ and $P(v)(\gamma', \omega')$ are homotopic rel $\{0, 1\}$. For $0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2}$,

$$P(v)(\gamma', \omega')(t) = v(\gamma'(t), \omega'(t)) = v(\gamma(2t), x_0) = v_{x_0}(\gamma)(2t),$$

and, for $\frac{1}{2} \leq t \leq 1$,

$$P(v)(\gamma', \omega')(t) = v(\gamma'(t), \omega'(t)) = v(e, \omega(2t-1)) = \omega(2t-1).$$

Therefore, the path $P(v)(\gamma', \omega')$ is a juxtaposition $v_{x_0}(\gamma) \circ \omega$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} [P(v)(\gamma, \omega) \circ \omega^{-1}] &= [P(v)(\gamma', \omega') \circ \omega^{-1}] = [(v_{x_0}(\gamma) \circ \omega) \circ \omega^{-1}] \\ &= [v_{x_0}(\gamma)] = (v_{x_0})_{\#}([\gamma]) \in \pi. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the path $P(v)(\gamma, \omega)$ represents the point y . By diagram (4),

$$P_\pi(\gamma, y) = P_\pi \circ (1 \times \alpha_X^\pi)(\gamma, \omega) = \alpha_X^\pi P(v)(\gamma, \omega) = y.$$

COROLLARY 1. *For every subgroup $\pi' \subset \tilde{\pi} = (v_{x_0})_{\#}^{-1}(\pi)$ the covering group $G_{\pi'}$ of G acts on X_π in a way such that diagram (5) commutes.*

Proof. Since $P_\pi(\gamma, x) = x$ for every $\gamma \in \text{Ker } \alpha_{\tilde{G}}^{\tilde{\pi}}$ and every $x \in X_\pi$, then the factor group $P(G)/\text{Ker } \alpha_{\tilde{G}}^{\tilde{\pi}} = G_{\tilde{\pi}}$ acts on X_π . Let v_π be this action. If $\pi' \subset \tilde{\pi}$, then the group $G_{\pi'}$ covers $G_{\tilde{\pi}}$, and if $h_{\pi'}^{\tilde{\pi}}: G_{\pi'} \rightarrow G_{\tilde{\pi}}$ is a covering homomorphism, then the mapping

$$v_\pi \circ (h_{\pi'}^{\tilde{\pi}} \times 1): G_{\pi'} \times X_\pi \rightarrow X_\pi$$

is an action. Commutativity of diagram (5) follows from that of diagram (4).

THEOREM 1. *The covering group \tilde{G} of G acts on X_π in a way such that diagram (5) commutes if and only if $\tilde{G} = G_\pi$ for some subgroup $\pi' \subset (\nu_{x_0})_{\#}^{-1}(\pi)$.*

Proof. Let G_π act on X_π in a way such that diagram (5) commutes. Put $\tilde{\nu}_{x_\pi}(g') = \tilde{\nu}(g', x_\pi)$. Since

$$P_\pi \circ \nu_{x_\pi} = \nu_{x_0} \circ h \quad \text{and} \quad (\tilde{\nu}_{x_\pi})_{\#}(\pi_1(G_\pi)) \subset \pi_1(X_\pi, x_\pi),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\nu_{x_0})_{\#}(\pi') &= (\nu_{x_0})_{\#}(h_{\#}(\pi_1(G_\pi))) = (p_\pi)_{\#} \circ (\tilde{\nu}_{x_\pi})_{\#}(\pi_1(G_\pi)) \\ &\subset (p_\pi)_{\#}(\pi_1(X_\pi, x_\pi)) = \pi. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the condition $\pi' \subset (\nu_{x_0})_{\#}^{-1}(\pi)$ is necessary. The sufficiency follows from Corollary 1.

Note that, on some covering spaces X_π of a G -space X , several covering groups of G may act. If G_π acts on X_π and $\pi' \subset \tilde{\pi}$, then also the covering group $G_{\pi'}$ acts on X_π . But if we consider only effective or principal actions, then exactly one covering group $G_{\tilde{\pi}}$ acts effectively or principally on a covering space X_π .

We start with the following

LEMMA 3. *Let $\nu: G \times X \rightarrow X$ be an effective action and let $\pi \subset \pi_1(X, x_0)$ be any subgroup. If $P_\pi(\gamma, y) = y$ for all $y \in X_\pi$, then $\gamma \in \text{Ker } \alpha_G^{\tilde{\pi}} \subset P(G)$.*

Proof. By diagram (4) we have

$$p_\pi(P_\pi(\gamma, y)) = \nu(\alpha_G(\gamma), p_\pi(y)) = \nu(\gamma(1), p_\pi(y)).$$

Assume that $P_\pi(\gamma, y) = y$ for all $y \in X_\pi$; then $\nu(\gamma(1), p_\pi(y)) = p_\pi(y)$. Since G acts effectively on X , $\gamma(1) = e$. Let the path $\omega \in P(X, x_0)$ represent y . Since

$$y = P_\pi(\gamma, y) = P_\pi \circ (1 \times \alpha_X^{\tilde{\pi}})(\gamma, \omega) = \alpha_X^{\tilde{\pi}}(P(\nu)(\gamma, \omega)),$$

we have $[P(\nu)(\gamma, \omega) \circ \omega^{-1}] \in \pi$. Let γ' and ω' be defined as in the proof of Lemma 2. The paths $P(\nu)(\gamma, \omega)$ and $P(\nu)(\gamma', \omega')$ are homotopic rel $\{0, 1\}$. On the other hand,

$$P(\nu)(\gamma', \omega')(t) = \begin{cases} \nu_{x_0}(\gamma)(2t) & \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2}, \\ \omega(2t-1) & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} \leq t \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

Thus

$$P(\nu)(\gamma', \omega') \circ \omega^{-1} = (\nu_{x_0}(\gamma) \circ \omega) \circ \omega^{-1}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (\nu_{x_0})_{\#}([\gamma]) &= [\nu_{x_0}(\gamma)] = [(\nu_{x_0}(\gamma) \circ \omega) \circ \omega^{-1}] = [P(\nu)(\gamma', \omega') \circ \omega^{-1}] \\ &= [P(\nu)(\gamma, \omega) \circ \omega^{-1}] \in \pi. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $[\gamma] \in \pi$. Since $G_{\tilde{\pi}} = P(G)/\text{Ker } \alpha_G^{\tilde{\pi}}$, we have $\gamma \in \text{Ker } \alpha_G^{\tilde{\pi}}$.

The following Corollary is a generalization of Bredon's result from [1].

COROLLARY 2. *If $\nu: G \times X \rightarrow X$ is an effective action and $\pi \subset \pi_1(X, x_0)$ is any subgroup, then only the group $G_{\tilde{\pi}}$, where $\tilde{\pi} = (\nu_{x_0})_{\#}^{-1}(\pi)$, acts effectively on X_{π} in a way such that diagram (5) commutes.*

Proof. By Lemma 2 the kernel of ineffectiveness of the action $P_{\pi}: P(G) \times X_{\pi} \rightarrow X_{\pi}$ is $\text{Ker } a_{\tilde{G}}^{\tilde{\pi}}$. Hence the group $G_{\tilde{\pi}} = P(G)/\text{Ker } a_{\tilde{G}}^{\tilde{\pi}}$ acts effectively on X_{π} and the corollary follows from Theorem 1.

THEOREM 2. *Let $\nu: G \times X \rightarrow X$ be a principal action and let $\tilde{\pi} = (\nu_{x_0})_{\#}^{-1}(\pi)$, where $\pi \subset \pi_1(X, x_0)$ is any subgroup. Then only the group $G_{\tilde{\pi}}$ acts principally on X_{π} in a way such that diagram (5) commutes.*

Proof. By Theorem 1, $G_{\tilde{\pi}}$ acts on X_{π} and diagram (5) commutes. We have to show that this action ν_{π} is principal. We show first that ν_{π} is a free action. Assume that $\nu_{\pi}(g, y_0) = y_0$ for some $y_0 \in X$ and $g \in G_{\tilde{\pi}}$. Then

$$p_{\pi}(y_0) = p_{\pi}(\nu_{\pi}(g, y_0)) = \nu(h_{\tilde{\pi}}(g), p_{\pi}(y_0)),$$

where $h_{\tilde{\pi}}: G_{\tilde{\pi}} \rightarrow G$ is a covering homomorphism. Since ν is a free action, $h_{\tilde{\pi}}(g) = e$.

Let V be an open neighbourhood of y_0 such that $p_{\pi|V}: V \rightarrow p_{\pi}(V)$ is a homeomorphism and let $U \subset V$ be an open neighbourhood of y_0 such that $\nu_{\pi}(g, U) \subset V$ (such a U exists since ν_{π} is a continuous action). For $y' \in U$ we have

$$p_{\pi|V}(\nu_{\pi}(g, y')) = \nu(h_{\tilde{\pi}}(g), p_{\pi|V}(y')) = p_{\pi|V}(y')$$

because $h_{\tilde{\pi}}(g) = e$. Since $p_{\pi|V}$ is a homeomorphism and $\nu_{\pi}(g, y') \in V$, we have $\nu_{\pi}(g, y') = y'$ for all $y' \in U$. If ω is a path starting at y_0 , then, by the standard argument, we have $\nu_{\pi}(g, \omega(t)) = \omega(t)$ for all t . Hence $\nu_{\pi}(g, y) = y$ for all $y \in X_{\pi}$. Since ν_{π} is an effective action by Corollary 2, $g = e$. Thus ν_{π} is a free action.

Let

$$(P(X, x_0))^* = \{(P(\nu)(\gamma, \omega), \omega) : \gamma \in P(G), \omega \in P(X, x_0)\}$$

and

$$X^* = \{(\nu(g, x), x) : g \in G, x \in X\}.$$

Define the following four relations:

$(P(\nu)(\gamma, \omega), \omega) \rho_1 (P(\nu)(\gamma', \omega'), \omega')$ if and only if $\omega(1) = \omega'(1)$, $\gamma(1) = \gamma'(1)$, $[\omega \circ \omega'^{-1}]$, $[P(\nu)(\gamma, \omega) \circ (P(\nu)(\gamma', \omega'))^{-1}] \in \pi$ and $[\gamma \circ \gamma'^{-1}] \in \tilde{\pi}$;

$(P(\nu)(\gamma, \omega), \omega) \rho_2 (P(\nu)(\gamma', \omega'), \omega')$ if and only if $\omega(1) = \omega'(1)$ and $\gamma(1) = \gamma'(1)$;

$\gamma \rho'_1 \gamma'$ if and only if $\gamma(1) = \gamma'(1)$ and $[\gamma \circ \gamma'^{-1}] \in \tilde{\pi}$;

$\gamma \rho'_2 \gamma'$ if and only if $\gamma(1) = \gamma'(1)$.

Then $\varrho_1 \subset \varrho_2$ and $\varrho'_1 \subset \varrho'_2$. Let $P(\tau): (P(X, x_0))^* \rightarrow P(G)$ be a continuous map induced by $\tau: X^* \rightarrow G$. Since $P(\tau)(P(\tau)(\gamma, \omega), \omega) = \gamma$, we have $P(\tau) \times P(\tau)(\varrho_i) \subset \varrho'_i$ for $i = 1, 2$. And since coset-spaces of the relations $\varrho_1, \varrho_2, \varrho'_1, \varrho'_2$ are X_π^*, X^*, G_π, G , respectively, there exists a unique continuous map τ_π such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 (P(X, x_0))^* & \xrightarrow{P(\tau)} & P(G) \\
 \begin{array}{c} \swarrow a_{X_\pi^*} \\ \downarrow a_{X^*} \\ \searrow p_\pi^* \end{array} & & \begin{array}{c} \swarrow a_{G_\pi} \\ \downarrow a_G \\ \searrow h_\pi \end{array} \\
 X_\pi^* & \xrightarrow{\tau_\pi} & G_\pi \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 X^* & \xrightarrow{\tau} & G
 \end{array}$$

commutes. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tau_\pi((\tilde{g}, y), y) &= \tau_\pi \circ a_{X_\pi^*}(P(\nu)(\gamma, \omega), \omega) \\
 &= a_{G_\pi} P(\tau)(P(\nu)(\gamma, \omega), \omega) = a_{G_\pi}(\gamma) = \tilde{g},
 \end{aligned}$$

where γ and ω represent \tilde{g} and y , respectively. Thus ν_π is a principal action. The proof is complete.

Every principal action $\nu: G \times X \rightarrow X$ determines the principal G -bundle

$$\xi_\nu = (X, q_\nu, X_\nu),$$

where X_ν is a coset-space of orbits and q_ν is a canonical map. The map q_ν is open, since $(q_\nu)^{-1}(q_\nu(U)) = p_X(\nu^{-1}(U))$, where p_X is a projection of $G \times X$ onto X .

Let X_π be a covering space of X and let $\nu_\pi: G_\pi \times X_\pi \rightarrow X_\pi$ be the induced principal action. Consider the principal G_π -bundle

$$\xi_\pi = (X_\pi, q_\pi, X_{\nu_\pi})$$

determined by ν_π . The subgroup $\text{Ker } h_\pi \subset G_\pi$, where $h_\pi: G_\pi \rightarrow G$ is a covering homomorphism, acts principally on the space X_π . Denote by X_π^\sim the orbit-space of this action, and by $q_\pi^\sim: X_\pi \rightarrow X_\pi^\sim$ the canonical map. The factor-group $G_\pi/\text{Ker } h_\pi = G$ acts freely on the orbit-space X_π^\sim . It is easy to see that this action is principal. Denote it by $\tilde{\nu}_\pi$. The orbit-space of the action G on X_π^\sim is the space X_{ν_π} . Thus we have the new principal G -bundle

$$\eta_\pi = (X_\pi^\sim, p_\pi^\sim, X_{\nu_\pi}),$$

where $p_\pi^\sim: X_\pi^\sim \rightarrow X_{\nu_\pi}$ is a canonical map. Since $\text{Ker } h_\pi$ is a discrete subgroup of G_π and it is a totally discontinuous subgroup of the group $G(X_\pi|X)$ of covering transformations, there exists a covering map $p_\pi^\sim: X_\pi^\sim \rightarrow X_{\nu_\pi}$ such that $p_\pi = p_\pi^\sim q_\pi$ (see [4], Part 2, § 6). Commutativity of the diagram

$$(6) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} G \times X_{\pi}^{\tilde{\pi}} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\nu}_{\pi}} & X_{\pi}^{\tilde{\pi}} \\ 1_G \times p_{\tilde{\nu}}^{\tilde{\pi}} \downarrow & & \downarrow p_{\tilde{\nu}}^{\tilde{\pi}} \\ G \times X & \xrightarrow{\nu} & X \end{array}$$

implies that there exists a unique continuous map $p^{\tilde{\pi}, \pi}: X_{\nu, \pi} \rightarrow X$, such that

$$p^{\tilde{\pi}, \pi} \circ p_{\tilde{\nu}}^{\tilde{\pi}} = p_{\tilde{\nu}}^{\tilde{\pi}} \circ q_{\nu}.$$

The pair $(p_{\tilde{\nu}}^{\tilde{\pi}}, p^{\tilde{\pi}, \pi})$ forms a G -bundle mapping.

THEOREM 3. $p^{\tilde{\pi}, \pi}$ is a covering map.

Proof. Let G_x denote the orbit of the point $x \in X$. If $y \in X$, then $q_{\nu}^{-1}(y) = G_x$ for some $x \in X$. Since $p_{\tilde{\nu}}^{\tilde{\pi}}$ is a covering map, there exists a neighbourhood $U \subset X$ of x such that $(p_{\tilde{\nu}}^{\tilde{\pi}})^{-1}(U)$ is a union of disjoint open sets $\{U_t\}$, and $p_{\tilde{\nu}}^{\tilde{\pi}}|_{U_t}: U_t \rightarrow U$ is a homeomorphism for each t . For $x' \in U$ the set $(p_{\tilde{\nu}}^{\tilde{\pi}})^{-1}(G_{x'})$ is a union of disjoint orbits G_{x_i} , where $x_i \in U_t$ by diagram (6). Thus $p_{\tilde{\nu}}^{\tilde{\pi}}(\bigcup_t U_t)$ is a union of disjoint open sets $p_{\tilde{\nu}}^{\tilde{\pi}}(U_t)$. Hence $p^{\tilde{\pi}, \pi}: p_{\tilde{\nu}}^{\tilde{\pi}}(U_t) \rightarrow q_{\nu}(U)$ is a homeomorphism. Since $q_{\nu}(U)$ is an open neighbourhood of y , $p^{\tilde{\pi}, \pi}$ is a covering map.

THEOREM 4. The covering $p^{\tilde{\pi}, \pi}$ is proper (i.e., not a homeomorphism) if and only if there exists a proper subgroup $\pi_1 \subset \pi_1(X, x_0)$ such that $(\nu_{x_0})_{\#}^{-1}(\pi_1) = \pi_1(G)$ and $\pi \subset \pi_1$.

Proof. Necessity. If $p^{\tilde{\pi}, \pi}$ is a proper covering, then so is $p_{\tilde{\nu}}^{\tilde{\pi}}$. Hence $\pi_1 = (p_{\tilde{\nu}}^{\tilde{\pi}})_{\#}(\pi_1(X_{\pi}^{\tilde{\pi}}, x_{\pi}^{\tilde{\pi}}))$ is a proper subgroup of $\pi_1(X, x_0)$ and $\pi \subset \pi_1$. Since G acts principally on $X_{\pi}^{\tilde{\pi}}$, we have $(\nu_{x_0})_{\#}^{-1}(\pi_1) = \pi_1(G)$ by Theorem 2.

Sufficiency. $(p_{\tilde{\nu}}^{\tilde{\pi}})_{\#}(\pi_1(X_{\pi}^{\tilde{\pi}}, x_{\pi}^{\tilde{\pi}}))$ is an intersection of all subgroups $\pi_1 \subset \pi_1(X, x_0)$ for which $\pi \subset \pi_1$ and $(\nu_{x_0})_{\#}^{-1}(\pi_1) = \pi_1(G)$.

REFERENCES

- [1] G. E. Bredon, *Introduction to compact transformation group*, New York 1972.
- [2] Sze-Tsen Hu, *Homotopy theory*, New York 1959.
- [3] D. Husemoler, *Fibre bundles*, New York 1966.
- [4] E. Spanier, *Algebraic topology*, New York 1966.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS
WROCLAW UNIVERSITY

Reçu par la Rédaction le 20. 12. 1977