

REMARKS ON VECTOR SUMS OF BOREL SETS

BY

B. V. RAO* (BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA)

THEOREM. *There is no countably generated (c.g. for brevity) σ -algebra C on the real line which is contained in the Lebesgue measurable sets and has the following property: If B_1 and B_2 are Borel, then their vector sum $B_1 + B_2$ is in C .*

Proof. Let, if possible, C be such a one. Since Borel sets belong to C and every set in C is Lebesgue measurable and C is c.g., it follows that there is a Borel set Z , the complement of which is of measure zero and such that C_Z — which is C restricted to Z — coincides with the collection of Borel sets of Z . No loss in assuming Z contains rationals. Now take an independent perfect set $P \subset Z$ such that the subgroup generated by P is also contained in Z [2]. By repeating the arguments of [1] note that there are two Borel sets B_1, B_2 such that $B_1 + B_2 \subset Z$ and $B_1 + B_2 \notin C_Z$ and hence $\notin C$.

Remarks. 1. Our theorem — of course motivated by [1] and [3] — complements the theorem obtained in [1] and [3], and which is also due independently to C. A. Rogers.

2. By replacing Lebesgue sets with sets having Baire property we can get a similar theorem. We can also replace the vector sum by vector product.

3. As a particular case of the theorem we have: there is no c.g. σ -algebra C such that $B \subset C \subset L$ and which is closed under vector sums. Here B is the class of Borel sets and L that of measurable sets.

4. Does there exist a c.g. σ -algebra $C \supset B$ which is closed under vector sums? (**P 789**) Perhaps not, in view of the fact that, under the Axiom of Determinateness, the above theorem is still true and, moreover, L is then the class of all subsets of the real line. However, if the word c.g. is omitted, there are many: one can take the class of all subsets of

* Supported by a Miller Fellowship in the Department of Statistics of the University of California at Berkeley.

reals, but one can get also non-trivial examples of such σ -algebras generated by c -many elements. One has only to start with B and repeat the processes of forming σ -algebras and vector sums till one reaches the first uncountable ordinal.

5. Let X be a complete separable metric space without isolated points. Let $f: X \times X \rightarrow X$ be a Borel map such that for each fixed x , $f(x, \cdot)$ is a Borel isomorphism of X and so is $f(\cdot, y)$ for each fixed y . One may assume even that they are homeomorphisms. Then does there exist a Borel set $A \subset X$ such that $f(A \times A)$ is not Borel? (P 790) If this were true, this would be another generalization of [1], free of group theoretic concepts, besides being a complement to a result of Roger Purves which ensures a Borel set $B \subset X \times X$ such that $f(B)$ is non-Borel.

REFERENCES

- [1] P. Erdős and A. H. Stone, *On the sum of two Borel sets*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society 25 (1970), p. 304-306.
- [2] Jan Mycielski, *Algebraic independence and measure*, Fundamenta Mathematicae 61 (1968), p. 165-169.
- [3] Б. С. Содномов, *Об арифметической сумме множеств*, Доклады Академии Наук СССР 80 (1951), p. 173-175.

Reçu par la Rédaction le 11. 1. 1971
