

APPROXIMATIVE RETRACTS AND FUNDAMENTAL RETRACTS

BY

A. GMURCZYK (WARSZAWA)

In the present note we shall establish a relation between the notion of an approximative retract introduced by H. Noguchi ([5], p. 20) and the Borsuk's notion of a fundamental retract ([1], p. 58, 59 and 65).

By a *space* we understand here always a metric space and by a *map* — a continuous function. A subset A of a space B is said to be an *approximative retract* of B if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a map $r_\varepsilon: B \rightarrow A$, called an ε -*retraction*, such that $\rho(r_\varepsilon(x), x) < \varepsilon$ for every point $x \in A$. A subset A of a space B is said to be an *approximative neighbourhood retract* of B if A is an approximative retract of some open subset of B . A compactum A is said to be an *approximative absolute retract* (briefly $A \in \text{AAR}$) provided that for every space M and for every homeomorphism $h: A \rightarrow h(A) \subset M$ the set $h(A)$ is an approximative retract of M . A compactum A is said to be an *approximative absolute neighbourhood retract* (briefly $A \in \text{AANR}$) if for every space M and for every homeomorphism $h: A \rightarrow h(A) \subset M$ the set $h(A)$ is an approximative neighbourhood retract of M .

Let A and B be two compact subsets of the Hilbert cube Q such that $A \subset B$. The set A is said to be a *fundamental retract* of B ([1], p. 58 and 59) if there exists a sequence of maps $r_n: Q \rightarrow Q$ satisfying the following conditions:

(i) $r_n(x) = x$ for every point $x \in A$ and for $n = 1, 2, \dots$

(ii) for every neighborhood V of A in Q there is a neighborhood U of B in Q such that $r_n|_U \simeq r_{n+1}|_U$ in V for almost all n .

This sequence is called a *fundamental retraction* of the set B onto A and is denoted by $\mathbf{r} = \{r_n, B, A\}$.

A compactum A is said to be a *fundamental absolute retract* (briefly $A \in \text{FAR}$) ([1], p. 65) if it is a fundamental retract of Q . A compactum A is said to be a *fundamental absolute neighborhood retract* (briefly $A \in \text{FANR}$) ([1], p. 65) if it is a fundamental retract of some closed neighborhood of A in Q .

The definitions of FAR-sets and FANR-sets differ slightly from those in [1]; however, as follows from [1], the classes of FAR's and FANR's considered here are the same as in [1].

THEOREM. *Every compact approximative retract of a compact subset X of the Hilbert cube Q is a fundamental retract of X .*

Proof. Let us suppose that a compact set A is an approximative retract of the set X . Then for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists an ε -retraction $r_\varepsilon: X \rightarrow A$.

Let $\{P_n\}$ be a sequence of neighborhoods of the set A in Q satisfying the following conditions: $\rho(x, A) < 1/n$ for every $x \in P_n$ and $n = 1, 2, \dots$ and $P_n \in \text{ANR}$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$

We can define P_n to be a prism (in the sense of [2], p. 105). The inclusion $i_n: A \rightarrow P_n$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, has a continuous extension $f_n: X \rightarrow P_n$ ([3], p. 11).

Since $P_n \in \text{ANR}$ and A is a compact set, the function space P_n^A is an ANR-set ([4], p. 260) and therefore it is locally arcwise connected. Thus there exists a positive number ε such that $i_1 r_\varepsilon / A \simeq i_1$ in P_1 . Consequently, $f_n i_1 r_\varepsilon / A \simeq f_n i_1$ in P_n . Since $f_n i_1 r_\varepsilon / A = i_n r_\varepsilon / A$ and $f_n i_1 = i_n$, $i_n r_\varepsilon / A \simeq i_n$ in P_n . The map $i_n r_\varepsilon: X \rightarrow P_n$ is a continuous extension of the map $i_n r_\varepsilon / A$. As follows by [2], p. 104, also the map i_n has a continuous extension $\hat{r}_n: X \rightarrow P_n$ such that $\hat{r}_n \simeq i_n r$ in P_n .

Let us notice that $\hat{r}_{n-k} \simeq \hat{r}_n$ in P_{n-k} for $n = 1, 2, \dots$ and $k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$.

We shall define a sequence of maps $r_n: Q \rightarrow Q$ and a sequence $\{U_n\}$ of closed neighborhoods of the set X in the cube Q such that

- (1) $r_n(x) = \hat{r}_n(x)$ for every $x \in X$ and $n = 1, 2, \dots$,
- (2) $U_{n+1} \subset U_n$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$,
- (3) $r_n / U_k \simeq r_k / U_k$ in P_k for $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and $n = 1, 2, \dots$

Since $P_1 \in \text{ANR}$, there exists a closed neighborhood U_1 of the set X in Q such that the map $\hat{r}_1: X \rightarrow P_1$ has a continuous extension $r_1^0: U_1 \rightarrow P_1$. Let $r_1: Q \rightarrow Q$ be a map such that $r_1(x) = r_1^0(x)$ for every point $x \in U_1$.

Let us suppose that the sets U_1, U_2, \dots, U_{n-1} and the maps r_1, \dots, r_{n-1} satisfying the conditions (1), (2), (3) have been defined. We shall define the set U_n and the map r_n as follows:

Since $P_n \in \text{ANR}$, there exists a closed neighborhood $\hat{U}_n \subset U_{n-1}$ of the set X in Q and a continuous extension $r_n': \hat{U}_n \rightarrow P_n$ of the map $\hat{r}_n: X \rightarrow P_n$. Let $A_n = (U_{n-1} \times (0)) \cup (\hat{U}_n \times (1)) \cup (X \times \langle 0, 1 \rangle)$ and let $g_n: A \rightarrow P_{n-1}$ be a map defined by the formula:

$$g_n(x, t) = \begin{cases} r_n'(x) & \text{for } t = 1 \text{ and } x \in \hat{U}_n, \\ \varphi_n(x, t) & \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq 1 \text{ and } x \in X, \\ r_{n-1}(x) & \text{for } t = 0 \text{ and } x \in U_{n-1}. \end{cases}$$

where φ_n is a homotopy between the maps \hat{r}_n and \hat{r}_{n-1} in P_{n-1} . The induction hypothesis (1) with respect to r_{n-1} implies that g_n is continuous. Since $P_{n-1} \in ANR$, there exists a neighborhood G_n of the set A_n in $Q \times \langle 0, 1 \rangle$ and a continuous extension $\hat{g}_n: G_n \rightarrow P_{n-1}$ of the map $g_n: A_n \rightarrow P_{n-1}$.

Let U_n be a closed neighborhood of X in Q such that $U_n \subset U_{n-1} \cap \hat{U}_n$ and $U_n \times \langle 0, 1 \rangle \subset G_n$. Set $r_n^0(x) = \hat{g}_n(x, 1)$ for every point $x \in U_n$. Since $r_{n-1}(U_{n-1}) \subset P_{n-1}$ and $r_{n-1}/U_n \simeq r_n^0$ in P_{n-1} , then applying the homotopy extension theorem ([2], p. 94), we obtain a map $r_n^1: U_{n-1} \rightarrow P_{n-1}$ such that $r_n^1(x) = r_n^0(x)$ for $x \in U_n$ and $r_n^1 \simeq r_{n-1}/U_{n-1}$ in P_{n-1} . Since $U_k \subset U_{k-1}$, $P_k \subset P_{k-1}$, and $P_k \in ANR$, then, applying again the homotopy extension theorem, we can define inductively a sequence of maps $r_n^k: U_{n-k} \rightarrow P_{n-k}$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ such that $r_n^k(x) = r_n^{k-1}(x)$ for $x \in U_{n-1+k}$ and $r_n^k \simeq r_{n-1}/U_{n-k_1}$ in P_{n-k} .

Let $r_n: Q \rightarrow Q$ be a map such that $r_n(x) = r_n^{n-1}(x)$ for $x \in U_1$. The map r_n satisfies conditions (1) and (3).

If V is a neighborhood of A in Q , then there exists a natural number n_0 such that $P_n \subset V$ for every $n \geq n_0$. Then, by condition (3), for every natural number k we have $r_{n_0+k}/U_{n_0} \simeq r_{n_0}/U_{n_0}$ in V . Hence $r = \{r_n, X, A\}$ is a fundamental sequence. If we recall that $r_n(x) = x$ for every point $x \in A$, we infer that r is a fundamental retraction of X to A .

COROLLARY. *If $A \in AAR$ and $A \subset Q$, then $A \in FAR$. If $A \in AANR$ and $A \subset Q$, then $A \in FANR$.*

Note that there exists a compact set $A \in FAR$ which is not an $AANR$. It suffices to set

$$A = \left\{ (x_1, x_2, \dots) \in Q : 0 \leq x_1 \leq 1, x_2 = \frac{1}{8} \left(\sin \frac{1}{x} + 1 \right), \right. \\ \left. x_n = 0 \text{ for } n = 3, 4, \dots \right\} \cup \\ \cup \left\{ (x_1, x_2, \dots) \in Q : 0 \leq x_2 \leq \frac{1}{2}, x_n = 0 \text{ for } n \neq 2 \right\}.$$

REFERENCES

- [1] K. Borsuk, *Fundamental retracts and extensions of fundamental sequences*, *Fundamenta Mathematicae* 64 (1969), p. 55 - 85.
- [2] K. Borsuk, *Theory of retracts*, *Monografie Matematyczne* 44, Warszawa 1967.
- [3] A. Gmurczyk, *On approximative retracts*, *Bulletin de l'Académie Polonaise des Sciences, Série des Sciences Mathématiques, Astronomiques et Physiques*, 16 (1968), p. 9 - 14.
- [4] K. Kuratowski, *Topologie II*, *Monografie Matematyczne* 21, Warszawa 1961.
- [5] H. Noguchi, *A generalization of absolute neighborhood retracts*, *Kodai Mathematical Seminar Reports*, Tokyo, 1 (1953), p. 20 - 22.

Reçu par la Rédaction le 26. 1. 1970