

*ON TESTING THE EQUALITY OF PARAMETERS IN
RECTANGULAR POPULATIONS FOR UNEQUAL SAMPLE SIZES*

BY

W. KLONECKI (POZNAŃ)

Khatri⁽¹⁾ has given a statistic for testing the equality of ranges in k rectangular populations when the lower limit of each population is known to be zero. He has also found the distribution of that statistic for equal sample sizes and tabulated the 5% points. In this paper the distribution of the Khatri's statistic for unequal sample sizes is given. The $\alpha\%$ points can be then computed when sample sizes are known.

For $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ let X_{ij} , where $j = 1, 2, \dots, n_i$, be independent observations from rectangular populations with range Θ_i and lower limit equal to zero. Let D_i be the sample maximum value. The density function of D_i is given by

$$(1) \quad \frac{n_i}{\Theta_i} \left(\frac{d_i}{\Theta_i} \right)^{n_i-1} \quad \text{for} \quad 0 \leq d_i \leq \Theta_i.$$

Let D_{\max} be the maximum value and D_{\min} be the minimum value of the random variables D_1, D_2, \dots, D_k .

The hypothesis $H_0: \Theta_1 = \Theta_2 = \dots = \Theta_k$ can be tested by Khatri's statistic D_{\min}/D_{\max} and is accepted if

$$(2) \quad \frac{D_{\min}}{D_{\max}} > u.$$

An $\alpha\%$ test of H_0 is then obtained by taking u as the lower $\alpha\%$ point of D_{\min}/D_{\max} .

The distribution of D_{\min}/D_{\max} under the null hypothesis is obtained as follows.

Let $\Theta_1 = \Theta_2 = \dots = \Theta_k = \Theta$. Without any restriction we can assume that $\Theta = 1$. Let (t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k) be a permutation of the indices

(1) C. G. Khatri, *On testing the equality of parameters in k rectangular populations*, Journal of the American Statistical Association 55 (1960), p. 144-147.

(1, 2, ..., k) and

$$(3) \quad X_{(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k)}$$

be the region in the sample space leading to $0 < d_{t_1} < d_{t_2} < \dots < d_{t_k} < 1$. Using the above given nomenclature, we have

$$(4) \quad P\left\{\frac{D_{\min}}{D_{\max}} > u\right\} = \sum_{(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k)} P\left\{\frac{D_{\min}}{D_{\max}} > u \mid X_{(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k)}\right\} P\{X_{(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k)}\},$$

where the summation is over the $k!$ permutations corresponding to the disjoint $k!$ sets (3). Note that

$$(5) \quad P\left\{\frac{D_{\min}}{D_{\max}} > u \mid X_{(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k)}\right\} = P\left\{\frac{D_{t_1}}{D_{t_k}} > u \mid X_{(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k)}\right\}.$$

First take $(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k) = (1, 2, \dots, k)$. According to (5) we have

$$(6) \quad P\left\{\frac{D_{\min}}{D_{\max}} > u \mid X_{(1, 2, \dots, k)}\right\} = P\left\{\frac{D_1}{D_k} > u \mid X_{(1, 2, \dots, k)}\right\}.$$

To evaluate the conditional probability (6) write

$$(7) \quad f(d_1, d_2, \dots, d_k) = \begin{cases} \prod_{i=1}^k n_i d_i^{n_i-1} & \text{for } 0 < d_1 < d_2 < \dots < d_k < 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

When divided by $p_{(1, 2, \dots, k)} = P\{X_{(1, 2, \dots, k)}\}$ function (7) represents the density of a random variable, say $(D^{(1)}, D^{(2)}, \dots, D^{(k)})$. Thus

$$(8) \quad P\left\{\frac{D_{\min}}{D_{\max}} > u \mid X_{(1, 2, \dots, k)}\right\} = P\left\{\frac{D^{(1)}}{D^{(k)}} > u\right\}.$$

Now, putting $U_1 = D^{(1)}/D^{(k)}$, and $U_i = D^{(i)}$ for $i = 2, 3, \dots, k$, we obtain the joint distribution of (U_1, U_2, \dots, U_k) whence, after integration, the distribution of U_1 can be found.

The density of (U_1, U_2, \dots, U_k) is given by

$$(9) \quad h(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{p_{(1, 2, \dots, k)}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^k n_i\right) \left(\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} u_i^{n_i-1}\right) u_k^{n_1+n_k-1} & \text{for } (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k) \in A, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and the region A by the inequalities $0 < u_k < 1$, $0 < u_r < u_{r+1}$, when $r = 2, 3, \dots, k-1$, and $0 < u_1 u_k < u_2$. We obtain by integrating the

following expression for the density of U_1 :

$$(10) \quad g(u_1) = \frac{1}{p_{(1,2,\dots,k)}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^k n_i \right) u_1^{n_1-1} \int_0^1 du_k u_k^{n_1+n_k-1} \times \\ \times \int_{u_1 u_k}^{u_k} du_{k-1} u_{k-1}^{n_{k-1}-1} \dots \int_{u_1 u_k}^{u_4} du_3 u_3^{n_3-1} \int_{u_1 u_k}^{u_3} u_2^{n_2-1} du_2$$

for $0 < u_1 < 1$ and $g(u_1) = 0$ for $u_1 \leq 0$ or $u_1 \geq 1$. To find a convenient formula for the integral (10) first note that

$$(11) \quad \int_{u_1 u_k}^{u_s} du_{s-1} u_{s-1}^{n_{s-1}-1} \dots \int_{u_1 u_k}^{u_3} u_2^{n_2-1} du_2 \\ = \frac{1}{n_2(n_2+n_3)\dots(n_2+n_3+\dots+n_{s-1})} u_s^{n_2+n_3+\dots+n_{s-1}} + \\ + \sum_{i=3}^{s-1} (-1)^i \frac{1}{n_i(n_i+n_{i+1})\dots(n_i+n_{i+1}+\dots+n_{s-1})} \times \\ \times \frac{1}{n_{i-1}(n_{i-1}+n_{i-2})\dots(n_{i-1}+n_{i-2}+\dots+n_2)} u_s^{n_i+\dots+n_{s-1}} (u_1 u_k)^{n_{i-1}+\dots+n_2} + \\ + (-1)^s \frac{1}{n_{s-1}(n_{s-1}+n_{s-2})\dots(n_{s-1}+n_{s-2}+\dots+n_2)} (u_1 u_k)^{n_2+\dots+n_{s-1}}.$$

Formula (11) is obtained by induction. In the proof of (11) the formula

$$(12) \quad \frac{(-1)^{r+1}}{n_r(n_r+n_{r-1})\dots(n_r+n_{r-1}+\dots+n_1)} \\ = \frac{1}{n_1(n_1+n_2)\dots(n_1+n_2+\dots+n_r)} + \\ + \sum_{i=2}^r (-1)^{i-1} \frac{1}{n_i(n_i+n_{i+1})\dots(n_i+n_{i+1}+\dots+n_r)} \times \\ \times \frac{1}{n_{i-1}(n_{i-1}+n_{i-2})\dots(n_{i-1}+n_{i-2}+\dots+n_1)}$$

is used, which holds whenever $n_\beta + \dots + n_\gamma \neq 0$ for $1 \leq \beta \leq \gamma \leq r$.

To prove (12) multiply both sides by $n_r(n_r+n_{r-1})\dots(n_r+n_{r-1}+\dots+\dots+n_1)$. Consider the left side as a function of n_r , say $h(n_r)$. This is a polynomial. Moreover, the degree of this polynomial is lower than r .

On the other hand, there are r points such that

$$\begin{aligned} h(0) &= h(-n_r) = h(-n_r - n_{r-1}) = h(-n_r - n_{r-1} - n_{r-2}) = \dots \\ &= h(-n_{r-1} - \dots - n_1) = (-1)^{r+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $h(n_r) \equiv (-1)^{r+1}$, and (12) follows.

Formulas (10) and (11) yield

$$\begin{aligned} (13) \quad g(u_1) &= \frac{1}{p_{(1,2,\dots,k)}} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^k n_i}{\sum_{i=1}^k n_i} u_1^{n_1-1} \left[\frac{1}{n_2(n_2+n_3)\dots(n_2+n_3+\dots+n_{k-1})} + \right. \\ &+ \sum_{i=3}^{k-1} (-1)^i \frac{1}{n_i(n_i+n_{i+1})\dots(n_i+n_{i+1}+\dots+n_{k-1})} \times \\ &\times \frac{1}{n_{i-1}(n_{i-1}+n_{i-2})\dots(n_{i-1}+n_{i-2}+\dots+n_2)} u_1^{n_{i-1}+\dots+n_2} + \\ &\left. + (-1)^k \frac{1}{n_{k-1}(n_{k-1}+n_{k-2})\dots(n_{k-1}+n_{k-2}+\dots+n_2)} u_1^{n_{k-1}+\dots+n_2} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, by integrating and using (12) we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} (14) \quad P \left\{ \frac{D_{\min}}{D_{\max}} > u \mid X_{(1,2,\dots,k)} \right\} &= \int_u^1 g(t) dt \\ &= \frac{1}{p_{(1,2,\dots,k)}} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^k n_i}{\sum_{i=1}^k n_i} \left[\frac{1}{n_1(n_1+n_2)\dots(n_1+n_2+\dots+n_{k-1})} + \right. \\ &+ \sum_{i=2}^{k-1} (-1)^{i-1} \frac{1}{n_i(n_i+n_{i+1})\dots(n_i+n_{i+1}+\dots+n_{k-1})} \times \\ &\times \frac{1}{n_{i-1}(n_{i-1}+n_{i-2})\dots(n_{i-1}+n_{i-2}+\dots+n_1)} u^{n_{i-1}+\dots+n_1} + \\ &\left. + (-1)^{k-1} \frac{1}{n_{k-1}(n_{k-1}+n_{k-2})\dots(n_{k-1}+n_{k-2}+\dots+n_1)} u^{n_{k-1}+\dots+n_1} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Now, using the fact that by appropriate permutations of indices $(1, 2, \dots, k)$ in formula (14) the expressions for the particular terms in the sum (4) are obtained, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (15) \quad & P \left\{ \frac{D_{\min}}{D_{\max}} > u \right\} \\
 &= \frac{\prod_{i=1}^k n_i}{\sum_{i=1}^k n_i} \sum_{(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k)} \left[\frac{1}{n_{t_1}(n_{t_1} + n_{t_2}) \dots (n_{t_1} + n_{t_2} + \dots + n_{t_{k-1}})} + \right. \\
 &+ \sum_{i=2}^{k-1} (-1)^{i-1} \frac{1}{n_{t_i}(n_{t_i} + n_{t_{i+1}}) \dots (n_{t_i} + n_{t_{i+1}} + \dots + n_{t_{k-1}})} \times \\
 &\times \frac{1}{n_{t_{i-1}}(n_{t_{i-1}} + n_{t_{i-2}}) \dots (n_{t_{i-1}} + n_{t_{i-2}} + \dots + n_{t_1})} u^{n_{t_{i-1}} + \dots + n_{t_2}} + \\
 &\left. + (-1)^{k-1} \frac{1}{n_{t_{k-1}}(n_{t_{k-1}} + n_{t_{k-2}}) \dots (n_{t_{k-1}} + n_{t_{k-2}} + \dots + n_{t_1})} u^{n_{t_{k-1}} + \dots + n_{t_1}} \right],
 \end{aligned}$$

where the summation is over the $k!$ permutations of the indices.

It is also easy to show that formula (15) can be simplified and presented in the form

$$\begin{aligned}
 (16) \quad & P \left\{ \frac{D_{\min}}{D_{\max}} > u \right\} \\
 &= 1 - \frac{1}{n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_k} \left[\sum_{i=1}^k (n_1 + \dots + n_{i-1} + n_{i+1} + \dots + n_k) u^{n_i} - \right. \\
 &- \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} (n_1 + \dots + n_{i-1} + n_{i+1} + \dots + n_{j-1} + n_{j+1} + \dots + n_k) u^{n_i + n_j} + \dots \pm \\
 &\quad \left. \pm \sum_{i=1}^k n_i u^{n_1 + \dots + n_{i-1} + n_{i+1} + \dots + n_k} \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

It may be remarked that (16) agrees for $n_1 = n_2 = \dots = n_k$ with the formula given by Khatri.

When the lower limits are not known to be zero, the distribution of the Khatri's statistic for unequal sample sizes may be obtained in the same manner. Unfortunately, the formula is too complicated to be presented here.

Reçu par la Rédaction le 9. 10. 1964