

A PROOF OF J. MAŘÍK'S LEMMA

BY

H. W. PU AND J. P. SPENCER (COLLEGE STATION, TEXAS)

In Mařík's paper [1], the proof of Lemma 1 is incorrect as Hewitt pointed out in the Mathematical Reviews [MR 19, p. 535-536]. However, it was not mentioned whether this lemma is true or not. Since the proof of Theorem 2 depends on this lemma and Theorem 3 is a consequence of Theorem 2 in his paper, it is worth showing where the proof of this lemma is not correct and proving its validity.

We begin with the statement of his Lemma 1:

If \mathcal{Q} is a σ -algebra of subsets of A , ν is a finite measure defined on \mathcal{Q} , and $A = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$, then there is a sequence of positive numbers a_1, a_2, \dots with $a_n \rightarrow \infty$ such that $|\int_A f d\nu| < 1$ whenever f is an \mathcal{Q} -measurable function satisfying conditions: $x \in A \Rightarrow |f(x)| < a_n, n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

The assertion in his proof that there is a sequence of numbers $0 = a_0 < a_1 < a_2 < \dots$ with $a_n \rightarrow \infty$ and

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n (\nu(A) - a_{n-1}) < 1 \quad (a_n = \inf \{ \nu(B) : B \in \mathcal{Q}, B \supset \bigcup_{j=1}^n A_j \})$$

is not true as the following example shows. Let $A = (0, 1]$, $\nu =$ Lebesgue measure and $A_n = [1/n, 1]$. Thus we have

$$A = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n,$$

$$a_n = \nu(A_n) = 1 - \frac{1}{n}.$$

Obviously, $a_n \rightarrow \nu(A) = 1$, $\nu(A) - a_0 = 1$, and $\nu(A) - a_{n-1} = 1/(n-1)$, $n = 2, 3, \dots$. But for any sequence of numbers $0 = a_0 < a_1 < a_2 < \dots$ with $a_n \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n (\nu(A) - a_{n-1}) &= a_1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k (\nu(A) - a_{k-1}) \\
&\geq a_1 + a_1 \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (\nu(A) - a_{k-1}) \\
&= a_1 + a_1 \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k-1} = \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

We now divide the proof into four steps.

Step 1. If $a_n = \inf\{\nu(B) : B \in \mathcal{Q}, B \supset \bigcup_{j=1}^n A_j\}$, then $a_n \rightarrow 1$ for some real number 1.

This follows easily from the hypothesis.

Step 2. There is a sequence of sets $\{H_n\} \subset \mathcal{Q}$ such that $H_n \subset H_{n+1}$, $H_n \supset \bigcup_{j=1}^n A_j$, and $\nu(H_n) = a_n$, $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

Proof. By definition of a_n , for each n there is a set $H_n \in \mathcal{Q}$ such that $H_n \supset \bigcup_{j=1}^n A_j$ and $\nu(H_n) = a_n$. Moreover, we may assume $H_{n+1} \supset H_n$, $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. For if $H_n \not\subset H_{n+1}$, we can use $H_{n+1} \cup H_n$ instead of H_{n+1} since

$$a_n = \nu(H_n) \geq \nu(H_n \cap H_{n+1}) \geq a_n$$

implies

$$\begin{aligned}
\nu(H_{n+1} \cup H_n) &= \nu(H_{n+1}) + \nu(H_n - H_{n+1}) = \nu(H_{n+1}) + \nu(H_n) - \nu(H_n \cap H_{n+1}) \\
&= \nu(H_{n+1}) = a_{n+1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Step 3. There is a sequence of numbers $0 = b_0 < b_1 < b_2 < \dots$ with $b_n \rightarrow \infty$ such that

$$\int_A |f| d\nu < q \quad (q = \frac{1}{2} a_{n_1} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^2} \text{ for some positive integer } n_1)$$

if f is an \mathcal{Q} -measurable function with conditions: $x \in A_n \Rightarrow |f(x)| < b_n$, $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

Proof. By Step 1, for each positive integer k there exists n_k such that $m > n \geq n_k$ implies $0 \leq a_m - a_n < 1/k^2$. Furthermore, we may choose $\{n_k\}$ such that $1 + n_k < n_{k+1}$, $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

Define $n_0 = 0$, $b_{n_0} = b_0 = 0$, $b_{n_1} = \frac{1}{2}$, and $b_{n_k} = k - 1$, $k = 2, 3, \dots$. Further for $n_k < i < n_{k+1}$, define b_i such that $b_{n_k} \leq b_n < b_m \leq b_{n_{k+1}}$ if $n_k \leq n < m \leq n_{k+1}$. (Equality holds only if $n_k = n$, $m = n_{k+1}$ respectively.) Clearly, $0 = b_0 < b_1 < b_2 < \dots$ and $b_n \rightarrow \infty$. Let f be a function satisfying the conditions stated in Step 3. We show $\int_A |f| d\nu < q$.

Define $B_n = \{x \in H_n, |f(x)| < b_n\}$, $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. Trivially, $B_{n+1} \supset B_n$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} B_n = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n = A$. Also $\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i \subset B_n \subset H_n$ implies $\nu(B_n) = a_n$. From this we have $\nu(B_n - B_{n-1}) = \nu(B_n) - \nu(B_{n-1}) = a_n - a_{n-1}$. By a well-known theorem ([2], p. 28),

$$\begin{aligned} \int_A |f| d\nu &= \int_{\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n} |f| d\nu = \int_{\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (B_n - B_{n-1})} |f| d\nu \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{B_n - B_{n-1}} |f| d\nu \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n (a_n - a_{n-1}) \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{n_1} b_n (a_n - a_{n-1}) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=n_k+1}^{n_{k+1}} b_n (a_n - a_{n-1}) \\ &< b_{n_1} \sum_{n=1}^{n_1} (a_n - a_{n-1}) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_{n_{k+1}} \left[\sum_{n=n_k+1}^{n_{k+1}} (a_n - a_{n-1}) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} a_{n_1} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k (a_{n_{k+1}} - a_{n_k}) < \frac{1}{2} a_{n_1} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k \cdot \frac{1}{k^3} = \frac{1}{2} a_{n_1} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^2} = q. \end{aligned}$$

Step 4. *There is a sequence of numbers $0 = a_0 < a_1 < a_2 < \dots$ with $a_n \rightarrow \infty$ such that $\int_A |f| d\nu < 1$ whenever f is an \mathcal{Q} -measurable function satisfying conditions: $x \in A_n \Rightarrow |f(x)| < a_n$, $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$*

Proof. Define $a_n = b_n / (q + 1)$, where b_n , $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, and q are found in Step 3. If f is an \mathcal{Q} -measurable function with conditions: $x \in A_n \Rightarrow |f(x)| < a_n$, $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, then by repeating the above proof when b_n is replaced by a_n , we obtain

$$\int_{|A|} |f| d\nu < \frac{q}{q+1} < 1.$$

The lemma is proved.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Mařík, *Les fonctionnelles sur l'ensemble des fonctions continues bornées, définies dans un espace topologique*, *Studia Mathematica* 16 (1957), p. 86-94.
- [2] S. Saks, *Theory of the integral*, Warszawa - Lwów 1937.

TEXAS A & M UNIVERSITY, COLLEGE STATION, TEXAS

Reçu par la Rédaction le 18. 3. 1970
