

ON PROBLEMS OF B. CHOCZEWSKI AND M. KUCZMA
CONCERNING AN INTEGRAL EQUATION

BY

A. GULGOWSKA AND L. GULGOWSKI (GDAŃSK)

Lipiński [3] and subsequently Choczewski and Kuczma [1] considered the integral

$$(1) \quad F(t) = \int_a^b f[t\varphi(u)] du \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq 1,$$

where $\varphi(u)$ is an increasing function on $\langle a, b \rangle$ such that $\varphi(a) = 0$, $\varphi(b) = 1$, and $f(x)$ is a real-valued continuous function on $\langle 0, 1 \rangle$. Lipiński, answering the problem of Gołąb [2], showed that there exists a continuous increasing function φ such that the equality

$$(2) \quad F(t) = 0 \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq 1$$

does not imply the equality

$$(3) \quad f(x) = 0 \quad \text{for } 0 \leq x \leq 1.$$

Choczewski and Kuczma generalized the result of Lipiński and proved that for every positive integer r there exist a continuous strictly increasing function φ from $\langle a, b \rangle$ onto $\langle 0, 1 \rangle$ and a non-trivial function f of class C^r on $\langle 0, 1 \rangle$ such that for function (1) equality (2) holds. They also asked two new questions:

(P 791) Do there exist a continuous strictly increasing function φ from $\langle a, b \rangle$ onto $\langle 0, 1 \rangle$ and a non-trivial function f of class C^∞ on $\langle 0, 1 \rangle$ such that equality (2) holds?

(P 792) Do there exist a continuous strictly increasing function φ from $\langle a, b \rangle$ onto $\langle 0, 1 \rangle$ and a non-trivial function on $\langle 0, 1 \rangle$ having, for every positive integer r , the asymptotic property

$$!f(x)_- = o(x^r) \quad \text{for } x \rightarrow 0+0$$

and such that for function (1) equality (2) holds?

Generalizing the original construction given by Lipiński and modified by Choczewski and Kuczma we give a positive answer for these problems.

Put

$$(4) \quad x_n = 2^{-n}, \quad y_n = \frac{2n+3}{n+2} x_{n+1} \quad \text{for } n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Define a function $\varphi(u)$ on $\langle 0, 1 \rangle$ by the conditions

$$(5) \quad \varphi(x_n) = x_{2n}, \quad \varphi(y_n) = x_{2n+1} \quad \text{for } n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

and by the requirement that φ is linear in any intervals $\langle x_{n+1}, y_n \rangle$ and $\langle y_n, x_n \rangle$; moreover, $\varphi(0) = 0$. Thus

$$(6) \quad \varphi(u) = \begin{cases} x_{2n+2} + a_n(u - x_{n+1}) & \text{for } u \in \langle x_{n+1}, y_n \rangle, \\ x_{2n+1} + b_n(u - y_n) & \text{for } u \in \langle y_n, x_n \rangle, \end{cases}$$

where $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ and

$$(7) \quad a_n = \left(1 + \frac{1}{n+1}\right) x_{n+1}, \quad b_n = (n+2)x_n.$$

It is obvious from the construction that φ is a continuous and strictly increasing map of $\langle 0, 1 \rangle$ into itself, and that $\varphi(0) = 0, \varphi(1) = 1$.

LEMMA 1. *If $g(x)$ is a continuous function defined in the interval $\langle \frac{1}{2}, 1 \rangle$ and satisfying the condition $g(\frac{1}{2}) = g(1) = 0$, then the function $f(x)$ defined by the recurrent formula*

$$(8) \quad f(x) = \begin{cases} g(x) & \text{for } x \in \langle x_1, x_0 \rangle, \\ -\frac{1}{n+1} f(2x) & \text{for } x \in \langle x_{n+1}, x_n \rangle \text{ and } f(0) = 0, \end{cases}$$

where $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, is a continuous extension of the function $g(x)$ onto the interval $\langle 0, 1 \rangle$.

Proof. Since for $x \in \langle x_{n+1}, x_n \rangle$ we have

$$(9) \quad f(x) = (-1)^n \frac{1}{(n+1)!} g(2^n x),$$

and the continuity of the function $f(x)$ is obvious.

LEMMA 2. *If $f(x)$ and $\varphi(u)$ are defined as previously, then*

$$(10) \quad \int_0^1 f[t\varphi(u)] du = 0 \quad \text{for } t \in \langle 0, 1 \rangle.$$

Proof. By (8) we have $f(0) = 0$, i.e. (10) holds for $t = 0$. For $t \in (0, 1)$ we first evaluate the integral

$$\int_{x_{n+1}}^{x_n} f[t\varphi(u)] du = \int_{x_{n+1}}^{y_n} f[t\varphi(u)] du + \int_{y_n}^{x_n} f[t\varphi(u)] du.$$

By (6) and (5),

$$\int_{x_{n+1}}^{x_n} f[t\varphi(u)] du = \int_{tx_{2n+2}}^{tx_{2n+1}} (ta_n)^{-1} f(x) dx$$

and, by (6), (5), and (8),

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{x_{n+1}}^{x_n} f[t\varphi(u)] du &= \int_{tx_{2n+1}}^{tx_{2n}} (tb_n)^{-1} f(s) ds = \int_{tx_{2n+2}}^{tx_{2n+1}} 2(tb_n)^{-1} f(2x) dx \\ &= - \int_{tx_{2n+2}}^{tx_{2n+1}} 2(n+1)(tb_n)^{-1} f(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\int_{x_{n+1}}^{x_n} f[t\varphi(u)] du = t^{-1} [a_n^{-1} - 2(n+1)b_n^{-1}] \int_{tx_{2n+2}}^{tx_{2n+1}} f(x) dx = 0,$$

since in view of (7) and (4) the equality $[a_n^{-1} - 2(n+1)b_n^{-1}] = 0$ holds. Thus also

$$\int_0^1 f[t\varphi(u)] du = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_{x_{n+1}}^{x_n} f[t\varphi(u)] du = 0 \quad \text{for } t \in (0, 1),$$

i.e. relation (10) holds true.

THEOREM 1. *There are a continuous strictly increasing function φ from $\langle 0, 1 \rangle$ onto $\langle 0, 1 \rangle$ and a non-trivial function on $\langle 0, 1 \rangle$ having, for every positive integer r , the asymptotic property*

$$f(x) = o(x^r) \quad \text{for } x \rightarrow 0^+ + 0$$

and such that (10) holds.

Proof. If $x \in \langle x_{n+1}, x_n \rangle$, we have the following inequality:

$$\frac{-|f(x)|}{(x_{n+1})^r} \leq \frac{f(x)}{x^r} \leq \frac{|f(x)|}{(x_{n+1})^r}.$$

For every function $f(x)$ satisfying (8) equality (10) holds and by (9) we have

$$\frac{|f(x)|}{(x_{n+1})^r} = \frac{(2^r)^{n+1}}{(n+1)!} |g(2^n x)|.$$

Hence

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+ + 0} \frac{f(x)}{x^r} = 0$$

for every positive integer r .

Theorem 1 yields a positive answer to P 792.

LEMMA 3. If $g(x)$ is a function of class C^∞ , defined in the interval $\langle \frac{1}{2}, 1 \rangle$ and satisfying the conditions

$$(11) \quad g^{(n)}(\frac{1}{2}) = g^{(n)}(1) = 0 \quad \text{for } n = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

then the extension $f(x)$ defined by (8) is a function of class C^∞ on $\langle 0, 1 \rangle$.

Assumptions (11) are satisfied, e.g., by the function

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} \exp\left(\frac{-1}{(x-\frac{1}{2})(1-x)}\right) & \text{for } x \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1), \\ 0 & \text{for } x = \frac{1}{2} \text{ and } x = 1. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let $f_k(x)$ be an extension of the function $g^{(k)}(x)$ onto $\langle 0, 1 \rangle$ for $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ defined by the recurrent formula

$$f_k(x) = \frac{-2^k}{n+1} f_k(2x) \quad \text{for } x \in \langle x_{n+1}, x_n \rangle,$$

$$f_k(0) = 0.$$

Then, for $x \in \langle x_{n+1}, x_n \rangle$,

$$f_k(x) = (-1)^n \frac{2^{kn}}{(n+1)!} g^{(k)}(2^n x).$$

By (9) we have $f^{(k)}(x) = f_k(x)$ for $x \in (0, 1)$. If $x = 0$, then

$$f^{(k)}(0) = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0+0} \frac{f^{(k-1)}(x)}{x} = 0 \quad \text{for } k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

Hence functions $f_k(x)$ for $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ are derivatives of the function $f(x)$.

From Lemmas 2 and 3 we obtain the following result which yields a positive answer to P 791.

THEOREM 2. There is a continuous strictly increasing function φ from $\langle 0, 1 \rangle$ onto itself and there are infinitely many non-trivial functions f of class C^∞ on $\langle 0, 1 \rangle$ such that equality (10) holds.

REFERENCES

- [1] B. Choczewski and M. Kuczma, *On a problem of Lipiński concerning an integral equation*, Colloquium Mathematicum 25 (1972), p. 113-115.
- [2] S. Gołąb, *Problème 40*, ibidem 1 (1948), p. 240-241.
- [3] J. S. Lipiński, *Sur une intégrale*, ibidem 7 (1959), p. 67-74.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS
GDAŃSK UNIVERSITY

Reçu par la Rédaction le 29. 12. 1975;
en version modifiée le 17. 2. 1976