

PREDICATES AND MEASURES ON BOOLEAN  $\sigma$ -ALGEBRAS

BY

J. SHREIDER (MOSCOW)

Let  $(A, \vee, \wedge, \bar{\phantom{x}}, 0, 1)$  be a Boolean  $\sigma$ -algebra (an  $\aleph_0$ -complete Boolean algebra in the terminology of [2]). The natural partial ordering of  $A$  is defined by  $x \leq y$  if and only if  $x \vee y = y$ .

For any (countable) subset  $E$  of  $A$  the notation

$$z = \dot{\bigvee}_{x \in E} x$$

will mean that

$$z = \bigvee_{x \in E} x \quad \text{and} \quad x \wedge y = 0$$

for all distinct  $x, y \in E$ . Let  $\Pi$  be a *predicate* on  $A$ , i.e. a mapping of  $A$  into the two-element Boolean algebra  $\{0, 1\}$ .  $\Pi$  is called *additive* if, for every countable subset  $E$  of  $A$  such that

$$\bigwedge_{x \in E} \Pi(x) = 1,$$

we have

$$\Pi(\dot{\bigvee}_{x \in E} x) = 1.$$

Given a predicate  $\Pi$ , we put  $(H\Pi)(x) = 1$  if  $\Pi(y) = 1$  for all  $0 \neq y \leq x$ , and  $(H\Pi)(x) = 0$  otherwise. It is evident that  $H\Pi$  is a predicate on  $A$ . It follows from the distributive law

$$\left(\bigvee_{x \in E} x\right) \wedge y = \bigvee_{x \in E} (x \wedge y)$$

that if  $\Pi$  is additive, then so is  $H\Pi$ .

A function  $\mu: A \rightarrow R$  is called a (signed) *measure* if

$$\mu(z) = \sum_{x \in E} \mu(x)$$

for every countable subset  $E$  of  $A$  such that

$$z = \dot{\bigvee}_{x \in E} x.$$

Here and in the sequel  $R$  stands for the set of all real numbers. Given a measure  $\mu$ , we denote by  $O_\mu$  the predicate  $H\Pi$ , where  $\Pi(x) = 1$  if  $\mu(x) = 0$  and  $\Pi(x) = 0$  otherwise. A predicate  $\Pi$  on  $A$  satisfying  $O_\mu(x) \leq \Pi(x)$  for all  $x \in A$  is called  $\mu$ -continuous. A predicate  $\Pi$  on  $A$  satisfying

$$\Pi(x) \wedge O_\mu(y) \leq \Pi(x \vee y) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in A$$

is called  $\mu$ -stable.

Given a predicate  $\Pi$ , we put  $(H_\mu\Pi)(x) = 1$  if  $\Pi(y) = 1$  for all  $y \leq x$  with  $O_\mu(y) = 0$  and  $(H_\mu\Pi)(x) = 0$  otherwise.

The purpose of this note is to establish a decomposition theorem for predicates which generalizes (as will be shown later) the well-known decomposition theorems of Hahn, Jordan and Lebesgue.

**THEOREM.** *If a predicate  $\Pi$  and its negation  $\neg\Pi$  are additive,  $\Pi$  is  $\mu$ -continuous and  $\neg\Pi$  is  $\mu$ -stable, then there exists a  $y \in A$  for which  $H\Pi(y) = 1$  and  $H_\mu(\neg\Pi(\bar{y})) = 1$ .*

To prove this Theorem we need some lemmas.

**LEMMA 1.** *If  $\Pi$  is additive and  $\mu$ -stable, then  $H_\mu\Pi$  is additive.*

**Proof.** Let

$$y = \dot{\bigvee}_{x \in E} x \quad \text{and} \quad \bigwedge_{x \in E} (H_\mu\Pi)(x) = 1,$$

where  $E$  is a countable subset of  $A$ . Fix a  $z \in A$  with  $z \leq y$  and  $O_\mu(z) = 0$ , and put

$$E_1 = \{x \in E: O_\mu(z \wedge x) = 0\} \quad \text{and} \quad E_2 = E - E_1.$$

Then

$$\bigwedge_{x \in E_1} \Pi(z \wedge x) = 1,$$

so that, by the additivity of  $\Pi$ ,

$$\Pi(\dot{\bigvee}_{x \in E_1} (z \wedge x)) = 1.$$

Moreover, the additivity of  $O_\mu$  yields

$$O_\mu(\dot{\bigvee}_{x \in E_2} (z \wedge x)) = 1.$$

It now follows from the  $\mu$ -stability of  $\Pi$  that  $\Pi(z) = 1$ . Therefore,  $H_\mu\Pi(y) = 1$  and the proof is complete.

**LEMMA 2.** *If  $\Pi$  is additive and  $\mu$ -continuous, then*

$$H_\mu(\neg H_\mu(\neg\Pi)) \leq H\Pi.$$

**Proof.** Let  $x \in A$ . We may assume that

$$(H_\mu(\neg H_\mu(\neg\Pi)))(x) = 1.$$

This means that, for every  $y \leq x$  with  $O_\mu(y) = 0$ , there exists a  $z \leq y$  such that  $O_\mu(z) = 0$  and  $\Pi(z) = 1$ . We must then deduce that  $\Pi(y) = 1$  for all  $y \leq x$ .

If  $O_\mu(y) = 1$ , we have  $\Pi(y) = 1$  by the  $\mu$ -continuity of  $\Pi$ . Suppose  $O_\mu(y) = 0$ . Then one can easily construct, by transfinite induction, a countable set  $\{z_\alpha\} \subset A$  such that  $z_\alpha \leq y$ ,  $O_\mu(z_\alpha) = 0$ ,  $\Pi(z_\alpha) = 1$ , and  $O_\mu(y \wedge \bar{z}) = 1$ , where  $z = \bigvee_\alpha z_\alpha$ . Since  $\Pi$  is  $\mu$ -continuous,  $\Pi(y \wedge \bar{z}) = 1$ , so that, by the additivity of  $\mu$ ,  $\Pi(y) = 1$ .

**Proof of the Theorem.** If there exists no  $x \in A$  with  $(H_\mu \neg \Pi)(x) = 1$  and  $O_\mu(x) = 0$ , then, by Lemma 2, the assertion holds for  $y = 1$ .

Suppose there exists an  $x \in A$  with  $(H_\mu(\neg \Pi))(x) = 1$  and  $O_\mu(x) = 0$ . Then one can easily construct, by transfinite induction, a countable set  $\{z_\alpha\} \subset A$  such that

$$(H_\mu(\neg \Pi))(z_\alpha) = 1, \quad O_\mu(z_\alpha) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad (H_\mu(\neg \Pi))(u) = 0$$

whenever  $u \wedge z = 0$  and  $O_\mu(u) = 0$ , where  $z = \bigvee_\alpha z_\alpha$ . Put  $y = \bar{z}$ . Clearly, we then have

$$(H_\mu(\neg H_\mu(\neg \Pi)))(y) = 1,$$

so that, according to Lemma 2,  $(H\Pi)(y) = 1$ . Moreover, by Lemma 1,  $(H_\mu(\neg \Pi))(\bar{y}) = 1$ . Thus the Theorem is proved.

**Remark.** It is easy to verify that an element  $y$  satisfying the conditions of the Theorem is defined  $\mu$ -almost uniquely. More precisely, if there exists another element  $y' \in A$  for which we also have  $(H\Pi)(y') = 1$  and  $(H_\mu(\neg \Pi))(y') = 1$ , then

$$O_\mu((y \wedge \bar{y}') \vee (\bar{y} \wedge y')) = 1.$$

Let us give some important applications of the Theorem.

First we consider the following predicate:  $\Pi(x) = 1$  iff  $\mu(x) \geq 0$ , where  $\mu$  is a measure on  $A$ . Clearly, all assumptions of the Theorem are satisfied. Hence there exists a  $y \in A$  such that  $\mu(z) \geq 0$  if  $z \leq y$ , and  $\mu(z) \leq 0$  if  $z \leq \bar{y}$ . Thus the elements  $y$  and  $\bar{y}$  form a Hahn decomposition of 1 with respect to  $\mu$  (see [1], p. 121). In view of the Remark, such a decomposition is  $\mu$ -almost unique. Put  $\mu_+(x) = \mu(x \wedge y)$  and  $\mu_-(x) = -\mu(x \wedge \bar{y})$  for  $x \in A$ . Clearly,  $\mu_+$  and  $\mu_-$  are non-negative (finite) mutually singular measures on  $A$ . Moreover, we have

$$(1) \quad \mu(x) = \mu_+(x) - \mu_-(x),$$

which is the Jordan decomposition of  $\mu$  (see [1], p. 123).

Now we can define the variation of the measure  $\mu$  on an  $x \in A$  as the sum

$$|\mu|(x) = \mu_+(x) + \mu_-(x).$$

The function  $|\mu|$  is a positive (finite) measure on  $A$  and  $|\mu|(x) = 0$  is equivalent to  $O_\mu(x) = 1$ .

Next we consider a predicate  $\Pi$  defined by  $\Pi(x) = 1$  iff  $O_\mu(x) \leq O_\nu(x)$ , where  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  are measures on  $A$ . We write

$$\pi(x) = |\mu|(x) + |\nu|(x).$$

The predicate  $\Pi$  is additive and  $\pi$ -stable. An application of the Theorem gives immediately the decomposition

$$(2) \quad \nu(x) = \nu_a(x) + \nu_s(x),$$

where  $\nu_a(x) = \nu(x \wedge y)$  and  $\nu_s(x) = \nu(x \wedge \bar{y})$ . It is easy to verify that  $\nu_a$  is absolutely continuous and  $\nu_s$  is singular with respect to  $\mu$ . Thus (2) is the Lebesgue decomposition of  $\nu$  relative to  $\mu$  (see [1], p. 134).

The author is thankful to I. M. Gelfand and H. Rasiowa for a discussion of these problems.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] P. Halmos, *Measure theory*, New York 1950.
- [2] R. Sikorski, *Boolean algebras*, Berlin - New York 1964.

*Reçu par la Rédaction le 8. 9. 1972;  
en version modifiée le 12. 1. 1973 et le 27. 7. 1973*