

A PROPERTY OF THE LATTICE OF SUBSEMILATTICES

BY

S. FAJTLOWICZ (HOUSTON, TEXAS)

We prove here that every infinite semilattice can be represented as a union of a strictly increasing sequence of subsemilattices. Thus, in the terminology of [2], we prove that infinite semilattices have the cofinality ω . Cofinality of groups was studied in [1], [3] and [4], and cofinality of Boolean algebras in [2]. Related theorems can be found in papers on Jónsson algebras.

It seems natural also to consider the dual problem, i.e., given an algebra A , is there a strictly decreasing sequence of congruences of A such that the intersection of this sequence is the smallest congruence of A ? (P 1084)

THEOREM. *Infinite semilattices have the cofinality ω .*

Proof. Suppose first that $S = (S; \vee)$ contains an infinite decreasing sequence $s_0 > s_1 > \dots$. Let

$$S_n = \{x \in S : x < s_n \rightarrow \bigvee_{k>0} x \leq s_{n+k}\}, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots$$

Let x and y be elements of S_n and suppose that $x \vee y < s_n$. Then $x < s_n, y < s_n$, and thus for every $k > 0$ we have $x \leq s_{n+k}$ and $y \leq s_{n+k}$. Thus $x \vee y \leq s_{n+k}$ and, accordingly, S_n is a subsemilattice. Suppose that $x \in S_n$ and $x < s_{n+1}$. Then $x < s_n$ and, consequently, $x \leq s_{n+k}$ for every $k > 0$, and so $S_n \subseteq S_{n+1}$. The sequence S_n is strictly increasing since $s_{n+1} \in S_{n+1} \setminus S_n$.

Finally, if $x \notin S_0$, then there is a k such that $x \not\leq s_k$, but this implies that $x \in S_k$, and thus S is the union of the sequence S_n .

Thus we can assume that every decreasing sequence of elements of S is finite. Let $r(x)$ be the supremum of lengths of sequences of the form $x = x_0 > x_1 > \dots > x_n$. Let F be the set of x such that $r(x)$ is finite. Clearly, F is infinite. Let \sim be the equivalence relation such that $x \sim y$ if and only if $x = y$ or $r(x) = r(y) = \omega$. Obviously, \sim is a congruence relation, and thus S has a homomorphism onto S/\sim . Since the inverse image of a subalgebra is a subalgebra and S/\sim is infinite, it is enough to

show that S/\sim is a union of an increasing sequence of subsemilattices. Thus without loss of generality we can assume that for every element x of S , save possibly the largest element, $r(x)$ is finite.

Let $|X|$ denote the cardinality of the set X and let $|S| = m$. Let $R_n = \{x \in S: r(x) = n\}$, $n = 0, 1, \dots$. Since the semilattice generated by an infinite set of cardinality \aleph_1 has the cardinality \aleph_1 , we can assume that there is an n such that $|R_n| = m$, otherwise S is, trivially, a union of an increasing sequence of subsemilattices.

Let p be the smallest integer such that $|R_p| = m$. Notice that each of the sets R_n is an antichain, and thus we can find a maximal antichain M containing R_p . Clearly, if $x \in M$, then $r(x) \leq p$.

For $X \subseteq S$ we put

$$X^* = \{x \in S: \exists_{y \in X} x \geq y\}.$$

Since p is the smallest integer such that $|R_p| = m$, we have $|S \setminus M^*| < m$. Let L be the subsemilattice generated by $S \setminus M^*$. Then $|L| < m$. Let M_n be a strictly increasing sequence of subsets of M such that

$$M = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} M_n \quad \text{and} \quad M_0 = M \cap L.$$

Let T_n be the subsemilattice generated by $M_n^* \cup L$. Since M is an antichain and, for every $x \in M$, $r(x) = p$ if and only if $p \in R_p$, we infer that the sequence T_n is strictly increasing. Since M is maximal, we have

$$\bigcup_{n \in \omega} T_n = S.$$

Thus the Theorem is proved.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. T. Arnold, R. Gilmer and W. Heinzer, *Some countability conditions in a commutative ring* (to appear).
- [2] S. Koppelberg, *Boolean algebras as unions of chains of subalgebras*, Algebra Universalis 7 (1977), p. 195-203.
- [3] — and J. Tits, *Une propriété des produits directs de groupes finis isomorphes*, Comptes Rendus Hebdomadaires des Séances de l'Académie des Sciences, Paris, Série A, 279 (1974), p. 583-585.
- [4] G. Sabbagh, *Sur les groupes qui ne sont pas réunion d'une suite croissante de sous-groupes propres*, ibidem 280 (1974), p. 763-766.

UNIVERSITY OF HOUSTON
HOUSTON, TEXAS

Reçu par la Rédaction le 15. 5. 1978