

**SOME REMARKS ON THE CONVERGENCE IN MEASURE  
AND ON A DOMINATED SEQUENCE OF OPERATORS MEASURABLE  
WITH RESPECT TO A SEMIFINITE VON NEUMANN ALGEBRA**

BY

LESZEK JAN CIACH (KIELCE)

**0.** Stinespring in [10] proved several dominated convergence theorems for operators measurable with respect to a semifinite von Neumann algebra. Padmanabhan [6], [7] gave some generalizations of these theorems. In this paper, we give a generalization of Theorems 5.3 and 5.4 of [7] (among other things, we do not assume the trace to be finite). Theorems 1 and 2 of [6] are obtained under weaker assumptions (Theorems 3.6 and 3.7). For we assume either  $m$ -local or weak  $m$ -local convergences in place of gross convergence. In the case where  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  is the von Neumann algebra of all bounded operators acting in a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ , while  $m(p) = \dim p(\mathcal{H})$  is the ordinary (von Neumann) trace on  $\mathcal{A}$ , this means that either strong or weak convergence is assumed instead of convergence in operator norm. In Section 2 we investigate convergences in the  $*$ -algebra of measurable operators.

**1. Basic definitions and notation.** Throughout,  $\mathcal{A}$  stands for a semifinite von Neumann algebra acting in a complex Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ , and  $m$  is a faithful semifinite normal trace on  $\mathcal{A}$ . The centre of the algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  is denoted by  $\mathcal{Z}$ . As is well known [3], there exist a locally compact Hausdorff space  $\Omega$ , a Radon measure  $\mu$  (unique up to equivalence of measures), a  $*$ -isomorphism  $\Phi: \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^\infty(\Omega, \mu)$ , and a dimension function  $d(\cdot)$  (unique up to multiplication by a positive real measurable function) mapping the projections in  $\mathcal{A}$  to  $\mu$ -measurable non-negative extended real-valued functions defined on  $\Omega$  and satisfying conditions 1–9 of [9], Definition 1.4. In the sequel, we shall assume that the following equality holds (see [3]):

$$m(p) = \int_{\Omega} d(p) d\mu, \quad p \in \text{Proj}(\mathcal{A}),$$

where the set of all orthogonal projections in  $\mathcal{A}$  is denoted by  $\text{Proj}(\mathcal{A})$ . Let

$$|a| = (a^* a)^{1/2} = \int_{\Omega} \lambda d e_{\lambda}$$

be the spectral resolution.  $\mathcal{L}_m(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{L}_m = \mathcal{L}$  stands for the  $*$ -algebra of operators measurable ( $m$ -measurable) in the sense of [4] (cf. [2]). For any  $a \in \mathcal{L}$ , let us put

$$\eta_a(\lambda) = m(e_\lambda^\perp), \quad \lambda > 0 \quad (m\text{-distribution of } a)$$

and

$$a(\alpha) = \inf \{0 \leq \lambda < \infty : m(e_\lambda^\perp) \leq \alpha\} \quad (\text{the rearrangement of } a).$$

We refer to [12] for the properties of the function  $a(\alpha)$ ,  $\alpha > 0$ . Note that

$$\eta_a(\lambda) = \inf \{m(p^\perp) : \|ap\| \leq \lambda\}$$

and, in consequence,

$$\eta_{(a+b)}(\lambda + \delta) \leq \eta_a(\lambda) + \eta_b(\delta).$$

The subalgebra  $\mathcal{S}_m(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{S}_m = \mathcal{S}$  of  $\mathcal{L}$  is defined by

$$\mathcal{S} = \{a \in \mathcal{L} : a(\alpha) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \alpha \rightarrow \infty\} = \{a \in \mathcal{L} : m(e_\lambda^\perp) < \infty, \lambda > 0\}.$$

For  $0 < \delta < \infty$ , we define ([9], [5], [12])

$$\mathcal{L}_m^\sigma(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{L}_m^\sigma = \mathcal{L} = \{a \in \mathcal{L} : \|a\|_\sigma < \infty\},$$

where

$$\|a\|_\sigma = m(|a|^\sigma)^{1/\sigma} = \left\{ \int_0^\infty a^\sigma(\alpha) d\alpha \right\}^{1/\sigma}.$$

For  $\sigma \geq 1$ ,  $\mathcal{L}^\sigma$  is a Banach space with norm  $\|\cdot\|_\sigma$  ([9], [5], [12]). For  $0 < \sigma < 1$ ,  $\mathcal{L}^\sigma$  is a complete quasi-normed space (cf. [1] and [2]) with quasi-norm  $\|\cdot\|_\sigma$ .

$\bar{m}$  is a subadditive measure defined as follows (see [1] and [2]):

$$\bar{m}(p) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } m(p) \geq 1, \\ m(p) & \text{if } m(p) < 1 \end{cases}$$

for  $p \in \text{Proj}(\mathcal{A})$ .

## 2. Convergences in $\mathcal{L}_m(\mathcal{A})$ .

DEFINITION 2.1 ([4], [10]). A sequence of  $m$ -measurable operators  $\{a_n\}$  is said to be  $m$ -convergent (convergent in measure) to a measurable operator  $a$  ( $a_n \xrightarrow{m} a$ ) if one of the equivalent conditions (i)–(iii) is satisfied:

(i)  $(a - a_n)(\alpha) \xrightarrow{n} 0$  for any  $\alpha > 0$ ;

(ii) for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$m(e_\varepsilon^{(n)\perp}) \xrightarrow{n} 0,$$

where  $|a - a_n| = \int_0^\infty \lambda d e_\lambda^{(n)}$  is the spectral resolution of  $|a - a_n|$ ;

(iii) for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a sequence of projections from  $\mathcal{A}$  such that

$$\|(a - a_n) p_n\| \leq \varepsilon, \quad m(p_n^\perp) \leq \varepsilon \quad \text{for } n \geq n_\varepsilon.$$

We refer to [10], [4] and [2] for the properties of  $m$ -convergence.

PROPOSITION 2.1. *If  $a_n - b_n \xrightarrow{m} 0$  ( $a_n, b_n \in \mathcal{L}$ ) and*

$$\eta_{a_n}(\lambda) \xrightarrow{n} \eta_a(\lambda) \quad (a_n(\alpha) \xrightarrow{n} a(\alpha))$$

*at each point of continuity of the function  $\eta_a(\lambda)$  ( $a(\alpha)$ ), then*

$$\eta_{b_n}(\lambda) \xrightarrow{n} \eta_a(\lambda) \quad (b_n(\alpha) \xrightarrow{n} a(\alpha))$$

*at each point of continuity of the function  $\eta_a(\lambda)$  ( $a(\alpha)$ ).*

Proof. Fix  $\varepsilon > 0$ . We have

$$a_n(\alpha + \varepsilon) \leq b_n(\alpha) + (a_n - b_n)(\varepsilon)$$

and

$$b_n(\alpha) \leq (b_n - a_n)(\varepsilon) + a_n(\alpha - \varepsilon)$$

for any  $\alpha > \varepsilon$  and  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . Assume that for  $n \geq n_\varepsilon$  we have  $(a_n - b_n)(\varepsilon) < \varepsilon$ . Then, for  $n \geq n_\varepsilon$ , the inequality

$$a_n(\alpha + \varepsilon) - \varepsilon \leq b_n(\alpha) \leq a_n(\alpha - \varepsilon) + \varepsilon$$

is true. If  $\alpha$  is a point of continuity of the function  $a(\alpha)$ , then from the arbitrariness of  $\varepsilon > 0$  the proposition follows.

For  $\eta_a(\lambda)$  the proof is almost the same.

COROLLARY 2.1. *If  $a_n \xrightarrow{m} a$ , then  $a_n(\alpha) \xrightarrow{n} a(\alpha)$  at each point of continuity of the function  $a(\alpha)$  ( $\eta_{a_n}(\lambda) \xrightarrow{n} \eta_a(\lambda)$ ).*

Using Corollary 2.1 we can give the following version of Fatou's lemma:

LEMMA 2.1. *If  $a_n \xrightarrow{m} a$ ,  $a, a_n \in \mathcal{L}_m(\mathcal{A})$ , then*

$$\|a\|_\sigma \leq \liminf_n \|a_n\|_\sigma, \quad 0 < \sigma < \infty.$$

Proof. We have

$$\|a\|_\sigma^\sigma = \int_0^\infty a^\sigma(\alpha) d\alpha \leq \liminf_n \int_0^\infty a_n^\sigma(\alpha) d\alpha = \liminf_n \|a_n\|_\sigma^\sigma.$$

We next investigate how convergence in measure is related to convergence in measure of the spectral projections (cf. [7] and [8]).

**THEOREM 2.1.** Let  $a_n \xrightarrow{m} a$ ,  $a, a_n \in \mathcal{L}$ ,  $\eta_a(\lambda_0) < \infty$ , where  $\lambda_0$  is the continuity point of  $\eta_a(\lambda)$ . Then

$$e_{\lambda_0}^{(n)\perp} \xrightarrow{m} e_{\lambda_0}^\perp, \quad \text{where } |a| = \int_0^\infty \lambda de_\lambda, \quad |a_n| = \int_0^\infty \lambda de_\lambda^{(n)}.$$

**Proof.** We may suppose that  $\lambda_k \downarrow \lambda_0$  and  $\lambda_0, \lambda_k$  are continuity points of  $\eta_a(\lambda)$ . By Corollary 2.1, for any  $k$ :  $\eta_{a_n}(\lambda_0) \xrightarrow{n} \eta_a(\lambda_0)$  we have

$$\eta_{a_n}(\lambda_k) \xrightarrow{n} \eta_a(\lambda_k).$$

Hence

$$\eta_{a_n}(\lambda_0) - \eta_{a_n}(\lambda_k) < 3\varepsilon < 1 \quad \text{for } n \geq N_k$$

if  $\eta_a(\lambda_0) - \eta_a(\lambda_k) < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{3}$  for  $k \geq N_\varepsilon$ . Now let  $\psi(\lambda) = \chi_{(\lambda_0, \infty)}$  and

$$\varphi_k(\lambda) = \begin{cases} 1, & \lambda \geq \lambda_k, \\ (\lambda - \lambda_0)/(\lambda_k - \lambda_0), & \lambda_0 < \lambda < \lambda_k, \\ 0, & 0 \leq \lambda \leq \lambda_0. \end{cases}$$

Then  $e_{\lambda_0}^\perp = \psi(|a|)$  and  $e_{\lambda_0}^{(n)\perp} = \psi(|a_n|)$ . Clearly, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $k \geq N_\varepsilon$ , and  $n \geq N_k$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\psi(|a|) - \psi(|a_n|)\|_{1, \bar{m}} &\leq \|\psi(|a|) - \varphi_k(|a|)\|_{1, \bar{m}} \\ &\quad + \|\varphi_k(|a|) - \varphi_k(|a_n|)\|_{1, \bar{m}} + \|\varphi_k(|a_n|) - \psi(|a_n|)\|_{1, \bar{m}} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|\psi(|a|) - \varphi_k(|a|)\|_{1, \bar{m}} &\leq \|\psi(|a|) - \varphi_k(|a|)\| \bar{m} (e_{\lambda_0}^\perp - e_{\lambda_k}^\perp) \\ &\leq m (e_{\lambda_0}^\perp - e_{\lambda_k}^\perp) = \eta_a(\lambda_0) - \eta_a(\lambda_k). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\|\psi(|a_n|) - \varphi_k(|a_n|)\|_{1, \bar{m}} \leq \eta_{a_n}(\lambda_0) - \eta_{a_n}(\lambda_k) < 3\varepsilon.$$

Moreover,  $\varphi_k(|a_n|) \xrightarrow{n} \varphi_k(|a|)$  in  $\mathcal{L}_{\bar{m}}^1(\mathcal{A})$ ,  $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$  (see [2], Theorems 5.1, 4.1 and Corollary 5.1). As a consequence of the above chain of inequalities we obtain

$$\limsup_n \|\psi(|a|) - \psi(|a_n|)\|_{1, \bar{m}} \leq 2(\eta_a(\lambda_0) - \eta_a(\lambda_k)) < 2\varepsilon.$$

From the arbitrariness of  $\varepsilon$  and  $k \geq N_\varepsilon$  it follows that

$$\psi(|a_n|) \xrightarrow{n} \psi(|a|) \text{ in } \mathcal{L}_{\bar{m}}^1, \quad \text{i.e.,} \quad e_{\lambda_0}^{(n)\perp} \xrightarrow{m} e_{\lambda_0}^\perp.$$

**COROLLARY 2.2.** Let  $a_n \xrightarrow{m} a$ ,  $a, a_n \in \mathcal{L}_m^1(\mathcal{A})$ . Then  $e_\lambda^{(n)\perp} \xrightarrow{m} e_\lambda^\perp$  at each point of continuity of the function  $\eta_a(\lambda)$ .

**THEOREM 2.2.** *Let  $a, a_n \in \mathcal{L}$  and*

$$|a| = \int_0^\infty \lambda de_\lambda, \quad |a_n| = \int_0^\infty \lambda de_\lambda^{(n)}.$$

*Assume that  $e_\lambda^{(n)\perp} \xrightarrow{m} e_\lambda^\perp$ ,  $\lambda > 0$ , at each point of continuity of the function  $\eta_a(\lambda)$ . Then  $|a_n| \xrightarrow{m} |a|$ .*

The theorem follows from Theorem 5.2 of [2] and the proof of Theorem 4.4 (“Converse”) in [7].

Note that  $e_\lambda^{(n)\perp} \xrightarrow{m} e_\lambda^\perp$  implies  $e_\lambda^{(n)\perp} \xrightarrow{s} e_\lambda^\perp$  (see Proposition 2.3 and [10], Theorem 3.1).

Suppose that  $h$  is a positive normal functional on  $\mathcal{A}$ , i.e.,  $h(\cdot) = m(t\cdot)$ , where  $t \geq 0$ ,  $\|t\|_1 = m(t) < \infty$ . Let

$$\eta_a^h(\lambda) = h(e_\lambda^\perp), \quad \text{where } |a| = \int_0^\infty \lambda de_\lambda.$$

**PROPOSITION 2.2.** (i) *Let  $a_n \xrightarrow{m} a$ ,  $a, a_n \in \mathcal{L}$ . Then  $\eta_{a_n}^h(\lambda) \rightarrow_n \eta_a^h(\lambda)$  at each point of continuity of  $\eta_a^h(\lambda)$ .*

(ii) *If  $\eta_{a_n}^h(\lambda) \rightarrow \eta_a^h(\lambda)$  for any  $h$ , and  $\eta_{a_n}(\lambda) \rightarrow \eta_a(\lambda)$  at each point of continuity of the functions  $\eta_a^h(\lambda)$  and  $\eta_a(\lambda)$ , then  $|a_n| \xrightarrow{m} |a|$ .*

**Proof.** (i) By Theorem 2.1 we have  $e_\lambda^{(n)\perp} \xrightarrow{m} e_\lambda^\perp$  at each point of continuity of  $\eta_a(\lambda)$  and, in consequence,

$$t^{1/2} e_\lambda^{(n)\perp} t^{1/2} \xrightarrow{m} t^{1/2} e_\lambda^\perp t^{1/2}, \quad t^{1/2} e_\lambda^{(n)\perp} t^{1/2} \leq t,$$

and (see Theorem 3.1)

$$\eta_{a_n}^h(\lambda) = m(t^{1/2} e_\lambda^{(n)\perp} t^{1/2}) \rightarrow_n m(t^{1/2} e_\lambda^\perp t^{1/2}) = \eta_a^h(\lambda)$$

at each point of continuity of  $\eta_a^h(\lambda)$ .

(ii) The proof of (ii) is a slight modification of the proof of Lemma 2.2 (“Conversely”) in [8].

**DEFINITION 2.2** ([9], [5]). A sequence of measurable operators  $\{a_n\}$  is said to *converge nearly everywhere* to a measurable operator  $a$  ( $a_n \xrightarrow{n.e.} a$ ) if for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a sequence of projections  $\{p_n\}$  from  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $\|(a - a_n)p_n\| \leq \varepsilon$ ,  $p_n^\perp \downarrow 0$  and  $p_n^\perp$  are finite for  $n \geq n_\varepsilon$ .

**PROPOSITION 2.3** ([5], remark on p. 317). *Let  $a_n \xrightarrow{n.e.} a$  and  $\|a_n\| \leq \varepsilon$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . Then  $\|a\| \leq \varepsilon$  and  $a_n \xi \rightarrow a\xi$  for any  $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$ .*

**DEFINITION 2.3** ([10], [11]). We say that a sequence of measurable operators  $\{a_n\}$  *converges grossly* to a measurable operator  $a$  (in the terminology of [11] – *converges locally in measure*) if for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  the sequence  $d(e_\varepsilon^{(n)\perp})$  converges to zero in measure  $\mu$  on each set  $\mathcal{X} \subset \Omega$ ,  $\mu(\mathcal{X}) < \infty$ ,

where  $|a - a_n| = \int_0^\infty \lambda de_\lambda^{(n)}$  is the spectral resolution of  $|a - a_n|$ .

**DEFINITION 2.4.** A sequence of measurable operators  $\{a_n\}$  is said to *converge  $m$ -locally* to a measurable operator  $a$  ( $a_n \xrightarrow{m-l} a$ ) if, for any projection  $p \in \text{Proj}(\mathcal{A})$ ,  $m(p) < \infty$ ,  $a_n p \xrightarrow{m} ap$ .

**DEFINITION 2.5.** A sequence of measurable operators  $\{a_n\}$  is said to *converge weak  $m$ -locally* to a measurable operator  $a$  ( $a_n \xrightarrow{w.m-l} a$ ) if, for any projection  $p \in \text{Proj}(\mathcal{A})$ ,  $m(p) < \infty$ ,  $pa_n p \xrightarrow{m} pap$ .

It is clear that  $a_n \xrightarrow{m-l} a$  implies  $a_n \xrightarrow{w.m-l} a$ .

**Remark 2.1.** Let  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  and  $m(p) = \dim p(\mathcal{H})$ ; then  $m$ -local (weak  $m$ -local) convergence coincides with strong (weak) convergence.

It is easy to check the following properties of  $m$ -local and weak  $m$ -local convergences to be used later.

**PROPOSITION 2.4.**  $a_n \xrightarrow{w.m-l} a$  if and only if  $qa_n p \xrightarrow{m} qap$  for any  $p, q \in \text{Proj}(\mathcal{A})$ ,  $m(p), m(q) < \infty$ .

**PROPOSITION 2.5.** If  $\{a_n\}$  is a sequence of self-adjoint measurable operators which converges weak  $m$ -locally to a measurable operator  $a$ , then  $a$  is also self-adjoint.

**PROPOSITION 2.6.** If  $a_n \xrightarrow{w.m-l} a$ ,  $a_n \geq 0$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , then  $a$  is also non-negative.

**PROPOSITION 2.7.** Assume that  $a_n \xrightarrow{m-l} a$  ( $a, a_n \in \mathcal{L}$ ) and  $\|a_n p\| \leq \varepsilon$  for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  and some  $p \in \text{Proj}(\mathcal{A})$ . Then  $\|ap\| \leq \varepsilon$ .

**PROPOSITION 2.8.** Assume that  $a_n \xrightarrow{w.m-l} a$  and  $\|pa_n p\| \leq \varepsilon$  for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  and some  $p \in \text{Proj}(\mathcal{A})$ . Then  $\|pap\| \leq \varepsilon$ .

**PROPOSITION 2.9.** Each of the convergences determined by Definitions 2.1–2.3 implies  $m$ -local convergence.

**Proof.** Since  $a_n \xrightarrow{m} a$  ( $a_n \xrightarrow{nc} a$ ) implies gross convergence  $a_n \rightarrow a$  ([10], Lemma 4.2), it suffices to prove that gross convergence implies  $m$ -local convergence. Let  $a_n \rightarrow a$  grossly and

$$|a - a_n| = \int_0^\infty \lambda de_\lambda^{(n)}.$$

Besides, assume that  $p \in \text{Proj}(\mathcal{A})$ ,  $m(p) < \infty$ . In virtue of Definition 2.1 (ii) it is enough to show that, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$m(f_\varepsilon^{(n)\perp}) \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{where } |(a - a_n)p| = \int_0^\infty \lambda df_\lambda^{(n)}.$$

It follows immediately from the equality

$$|(a - a_n)p| = (p|a - a_n|^2 p)^{1/2}$$

that  $f_\varepsilon^{(n)\perp} \leq p$ , which implies  $d(f_\varepsilon^{(n)\perp}) \leq d(p)$ . By the hypothesis,

$$m(p) = \int_0^\infty d(p) d\mu < \infty$$

and  $d(f_\varepsilon^{(n)\perp})$  tends to zero  $\mu$ -locally. To complete the proof it is sufficient to make use of the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem and of the relation

$$m(f_\varepsilon^{(n)\perp}) = \int_{\Omega} d(f_\varepsilon^{(n)\perp}) d\mu.$$

In order to close this section, we give one more version of Fatou's lemma (cf. [10], Theorem 4.10, and [12], Theorem 2.9).

**THEOREM 2.3.** *Assume that  $a_n \xrightarrow{m-l} a$  ( $a, a_n \in \mathcal{L}_m(\mathcal{A})$ ). Then*

$$\|a\|_\sigma \leq \liminf_n \|a_n\|_\sigma, \quad 0 < \sigma < \infty.$$

**Proof.** For any  $p \in \text{Proj}(\mathcal{A})$ ,  $m(p) < \infty$ , by Lemma 2.1 we have

$$\|ap\|_\sigma \leq \liminf_n \|a_n p\|_\sigma \leq \liminf_n \|a_n\|_\sigma, \quad 0 < \sigma < \infty.$$

It is therefore sufficient to prove that

$$\|a\|_\sigma = \sup \{ \|ap\|_\sigma : p \in \text{Proj}(\mathcal{A}), m(p) < \infty \}.$$

By [12], Proposition 2.4 (iii),

$$\|a\|_\sigma \geq \sup \{ \|ap\|_\sigma : p \in \text{Proj}(\mathcal{A}), m(p) < \infty \}.$$

Suppose that  $a \in \mathcal{L}_m(\mathcal{A})$ . Then for any  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  we have

$$m(e_n - e_{1/n}) < \infty,$$

where

$$|a| = \int_0^\infty \lambda de_\lambda$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sup \{ \|ap\|_\sigma : m(p) < \infty \} &\geq \sup_n \|a(e_n - e_{1/n})\|_\sigma = \sup_n \| |a|(e_n - e_{1/n}) \|_\sigma \\ &= \| |a| \|_\sigma = \|a\|_\sigma. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose next that  $a \in \mathcal{L} \setminus \mathcal{L}_m$ . It is sufficient to prove that

$$\sup \{ \|ap\|_\sigma : p \in \text{Proj}(\mathcal{A}), m(p) < \infty \} = \infty.$$

Let

$$|a| = \int_0^\infty \lambda de_\lambda.$$

Suppose that  $\lambda_0 > 0$ ,  $m(e_{\lambda_0}^\perp) = \infty$  and choose a projection  $q_n$ ,  $n^\sigma/\lambda_0^\sigma \leq m(q_n) < \infty$ ,  $q_n \leq e_{\lambda_0}^\perp$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . Then

$$q_n |a| q_n \geq \lambda_0 q_n.$$

Hence

$$\|q_n |a| q_n\|_\sigma \geq \lambda_0 m(q_n)^{1/\sigma} \geq n$$

and

$$n \leq \|q_n |a| q_n\|_\sigma \leq \| |a| q_n \|_\sigma = \|a q_n\|_\sigma.$$

In other words,

$$\sup_n \|a q_n\|_\sigma = \infty,$$

which completes the proof of Theorem 2.3.

**COROLLARY 2.3.** *If  $a_n \xrightarrow{w.m.l.} a$ , then*

$$\|a\|_\sigma \leq \liminf_n \|a_n\|_\sigma.$$

**Proof.** Fix  $p \in \text{Proj}(\mathcal{A})$ ,  $m(p) < \infty$ . Then  $pa_n \xrightarrow{m.l.} pa$ . By Theorem 2.3,

$$\|pa\|_\sigma \leq \liminf_n \|pa_n\|_\sigma \leq \liminf_n \|a_n\|_\sigma.$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \|a\|_\sigma &= \|a^*\|_\sigma = \sup \{ \|a^* p\|_\sigma : m(p) < \infty \} \\ &= \sup \{ \|pa\|_\sigma : m(p) < \infty \} \leq \liminf_n \|a_n\|_\sigma. \end{aligned}$$

**3. Several theorems on the convergence of a dominated sequence of measurable operators.** We shall now give the following generalization of Theorems 5.3 and 5.4 in [7], ignoring, among other things, the finiteness of the trace. Let  $b_n$  be a sequence of non-negative operators belonging to  $\mathcal{L}_m^1(\mathcal{A})$  and let

$$b_n \xrightarrow{m} b \in \mathcal{L}_m^1(\mathcal{A}), \quad \|b_n\|_1 \rightarrow \|b\|_1.$$

**THEOREM 3.1.** *Let  $a_n \xrightarrow{m} a$  ( $a, a_n \in \mathcal{L}_m(\mathcal{A})$ ) and let one of the conditions (i), (ii) be satisfied:*

- (i)  $|a_n|^\sigma \leq b_n$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ,  $0 < \sigma < \infty$ ,
- (ii)  $-b_n \leq (\text{Re } a_n)^\sigma \leq b_n$ ,  $-b_n \leq (\text{Im } a_n)^\sigma \leq b_n$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ,

*under the assumption that the function  $R^1 \ni \lambda \rightarrow \lambda^\sigma \in R^1$  is defined. Then  $a \in \mathcal{L}^\sigma$  and  $\|a - a_n\|_\sigma \rightarrow 0$ .*

**Proof.** (i) By Fatou's lemma,

$$\|a\|_\sigma \leq \liminf_n \|a_n\|_\sigma \leq \liminf_n \|b_n\|_1^{1/\sigma} = \|b\|_1^{1/\sigma} < \infty.$$

In other words,  $a \in \mathcal{L}^\sigma$  and  $\|a\|_\sigma \leq \|b\|_1^{1/\sigma}$ . We shall now show that  $a_n \rightarrow a$  in  $\mathcal{L}^\sigma$ . For this purpose we consider two cases.

Case 1.  $\sigma \geq 1$ . By assumption,  $a_n \xrightarrow{m} a$ , that is,  $(a - a_n)(\alpha) \xrightarrow{n} 0$ , and thus  $(a - a_n)^\sigma(\alpha) \xrightarrow{n} 0$  for any  $\alpha > 0$ . Moreover,

$$(a - a_n)^\sigma(\alpha) \leq [a(\alpha/2) + a_n(\alpha/2)]^\sigma \\ \leq 2^{\sigma-1} [a^\sigma(\alpha/2) + a_n^\sigma(\alpha/2)] \leq 2^{\sigma-1} [a^\sigma(\alpha/2) + b_n(\alpha/2)].$$

Thus, by Proposition 2.1 and the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem,

$$\|a - a_n\|_\sigma^\sigma = \int_0^\infty (a - a_n)^\sigma(\alpha) d\alpha \rightarrow 0.$$

Case 2.  $0 < \sigma < 1$ . The proof of case 2 differs only in the estimate

$$(a - a_n)^\sigma(\alpha) \leq [a(\alpha/2) + b_n(\alpha/2)]^\sigma \leq a^\sigma(\alpha/2) + a_n^\sigma(\alpha/2) \\ \leq a^\sigma(\alpha/2) + b_n^\sigma(\alpha/2).$$

(ii) Without loss of generality we may assume that  $a_n = a_n^*$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$   
Let

$$a_n = \int_{-\infty}^\infty \lambda d e_\lambda^{(n)}.$$

From condition (ii) we get at once

$$(a_n e_{\langle 0, \infty \rangle}^{(n)})^\sigma = a_n^\sigma e_{\langle 0, \infty \rangle}^{(n)} \leq e_{\langle 0, \infty \rangle}^{(n)} b_n e_{\langle 0, \infty \rangle}^{(n)}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

and

$$-e_{\langle -\infty, 0 \rangle}^{(n)} b_n e_{\langle -\infty, 0 \rangle}^{(n)} \leq a_n^\sigma e_{\langle -\infty, 0 \rangle}^{(n)} \leq e_{\langle -\infty, 0 \rangle}^{(n)} b_n e_{\langle -\infty, 0 \rangle}^{(n)}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

Thus

$$|a_n|^\sigma = a_n^\sigma e_{\langle 0, \infty \rangle}^{(n)} \pm a_n^\sigma e_{\langle -\infty, 0 \rangle}^{(n)} \leq e_{\langle 0, \infty \rangle}^{(n)} b_n e_{\langle 0, \infty \rangle}^{(n)} + e_{\langle -\infty, 0 \rangle}^{(n)} b_n e_{\langle -\infty, 0 \rangle}^{(n)}.$$

Hence

$$a_n^\sigma(\alpha) \leq 2b_n(\alpha/2)$$

and

$$(a - a_n)^\sigma(\alpha) \leq 2^{\sigma-1} [a^\sigma(\alpha/2) + 2b_n(\alpha/4)] \quad \text{for } \sigma \geq 1.$$

For  $0 < \sigma < 1$ ,

$$(a - a_n)^\sigma(\alpha) \leq a^\sigma(\alpha/2) + 2b_n(\alpha/4).$$

Consequently, it suffices to use the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem and Corollary 2.1 to prove that  $a_n \rightarrow a$  in  $\mathcal{L}^\sigma$ .

**COROLLARY 3.1.** *If, under the assumptions of Theorem 3.1 omitting conditions (i) and (ii),*

$$(iii) |a - a_n|^\sigma \leq b_n, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

or

$$(iv) |\operatorname{Re} a_n|^\sigma \leq b_n, \quad |\operatorname{Im} a_n|^\sigma \leq b_n, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

then  $\|a - a_n\|_\sigma \rightarrow 0$ .

**Remark 3.1.** In the proof of Theorem 3.1 we have only made use of

$$\|b_n\|_1 = \int_0^\infty b_n(\alpha) d\alpha \rightarrow \int_0^\infty b(\alpha) d\alpha = \|b\|_1 \quad \text{and} \quad b_n(\alpha) \rightarrow b(\alpha)$$

almost everywhere with respect to the Lebesgue measure on the half-line  $(0, \infty)$ . The following trivial example shows that these conditions can be satisfied despite the fact that  $m$ -convergence does not hold. Indeed, let  $p, q \in \operatorname{Proj}(\mathcal{A})$ ,  $p \sim q \neq 0$ ,  $p \perp q$ . Put  $b_n = p$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ,  $b = q$ . Of course,  $b_n(\alpha) = b(\alpha)$ ,  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $\|b_n\|_1 = \|b\|_1$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . If  $b_n \xrightarrow{m} b$ , then

$$0 = qp = qb_n \xrightarrow{m} qb = q^2 = q,$$

which leads to a contradiction with the assumptions. In this example,  $b_n \xrightarrow{m} p$ . By putting  $b_{2n} = p$ ,  $b_{2n-1} = q$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ,  $b = q$ , we obtain an example of the sequence  $\{b_n\}$  which is not  $m$ -convergent and satisfies the required conditions.

We shall now give a few versions of Theorem 3.1, assuming the  $m$ -local convergence of the sequence  $\{a_n\}$  (cf. [10], Theorems 4.6, 4.8 and 4.9). We assume that, for a sequence of operators  $\{b_n\}$ ,

$$\|b_n\|_1 = \int_0^\infty b_n(\alpha) d\alpha \xrightarrow{n} \int_0^\infty b(\alpha) d\alpha = m(b) = \|b\|_1;$$

$b_n(\alpha) \rightarrow b(\alpha)$  almost everywhere with respect to the Lebesgue measure on the half-line  $(0, \infty)$  ( $0 \leq b$ ,  $b_n \in \mathcal{L}_m^1(\mathcal{A})$ ).

**THEOREM 3.2.** *Let us assume that a sequence of measurable operators  $\{a_n\}$  converges  $m$ -locally to a measurable operator  $a$ ,  $|a_n|^2 \leq c \in \mathcal{S}_m(\mathcal{A})$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , and one of the conditions (i), (ii) is satisfied:*

$$(i) |a_n|^\sigma \leq b_n, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, \quad 0 < \sigma < \infty,$$

(ii)  $-b_n \leq (\operatorname{Re} a_n)^\sigma \leq b_n$ ,  $-b_n \leq (\operatorname{Im} a_n)^\sigma \leq b_n$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , under the assumption that the function  $R^1 \ni \lambda \rightarrow \lambda^\sigma \in R^1$  is defined. Then  $a \in \mathcal{L}^\sigma$  and  $a_n$  tends to  $a$  in  $\mathcal{L}^\sigma$ .

The proof of this theorem is based on Theorem 3.1 and on the following

**LEMMA 3.1.** *Let  $a_n \xrightarrow{m-l} a$  ( $a, a_n \in \mathcal{L}$ ) and  $|a_n|^2 = a_n^* a \leq c \in \mathcal{S}$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . Then  $a_n \xrightarrow{m} a$ .*

Proof. Fix  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Let

$$c = \int_0^{\infty} \lambda de_{\lambda}.$$

From the inequality  $|a_n|^2 \leq c$  we get immediately

$$e_{\varepsilon} |a_n|^2 e_{\varepsilon} \leq ce_{\varepsilon} \leq \varepsilon 1.$$

Thus  $\|a_n e_{\varepsilon}\|^2 = \|e_{\varepsilon} |a_n|^2 e_{\varepsilon}\| \leq \varepsilon$ . Hence, by Proposition 2.7,  $\|ae_{\varepsilon}\| \leq \varepsilon^{1/2}$ , and so

$$\|(a - a_n)e_{\varepsilon}\| \leq 2\varepsilon^{1/2}.$$

What is more,  $a_n = a_n e_{\varepsilon} + a_n e_{\varepsilon}^{\perp}$ . By hypothesis,  $a_n e_{\varepsilon}^{\perp} \xrightarrow{m} a e_{\varepsilon}^{\perp}$ . In order to prove  $a_n \xrightarrow{m} a$  it is sufficient to show that for any  $\delta > 0$  there exists some  $n_{\delta}$  and that, for  $n \geq n_{\delta}$ ,

$$\|(a - a_n)p_n\| \leq \delta, \quad m(p_n^{\perp}) \leq \delta,$$

where  $p_n \in \text{Proj}(\mathcal{A})$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . Choose  $\varepsilon$  so that  $\varepsilon \leq \delta^2/16$  and let

$$\|(a - a_n)e_{\varepsilon}^{\perp} p_n\| \leq \delta/2, \quad m(p_n^{\perp}) \leq \delta \quad \text{for } n \geq n_{\delta}.$$

Then, for  $n \geq n_{\delta}$ ,

$$\|(a - a_n)p_n\| \leq \|(a - a_n)e_{\varepsilon} p_n\| + \|(a - a_n)e_{\varepsilon}^{\perp} p_n\| \leq 2\varepsilon^{1/2} + \delta/2 = \delta,$$

which completes the proof of the lemma.

Note that conditions (i) and (ii) in Theorem 3.2 may be replaced by one of the conditions

(iii)  $|a - a_n|^{\sigma} \leq b_n$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ;

(iv)  $|\text{Re } a_n|^{\sigma} \leq b_n$ ,  $|\text{Im } a_n|^{\sigma} \leq b_n$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$

THEOREM 3.3. Assume that

$$a_n \xrightarrow{m-\perp} a, \quad a_n^* \xrightarrow{m-\perp} a^* \quad (a, a_n \in \mathcal{L}),$$

$$(|a_n|^2 + |a_n^*|^2)^{1/2} \leq c \in \mathcal{S}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

and one of the conditions (i)–(iv) is satisfied:

(i)  $|a_n|^{\sigma} \leq b_n$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ,

(ii)  $|a - a_n|^{\sigma} \leq b_n$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ,

(iii)  $|\text{Re } a_n|^{\sigma} \leq b_n$ ,  $|\text{Im } a_n|^{\sigma} \leq b_n$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ,

(iv)  $-b_n \leq (\text{Re } a_n)^{\sigma} \leq b_n$ ,  $-b_n \leq (\text{Im } a_n)^{\sigma} \leq b_n$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ,

under the assumption that the function  $R^1 \ni \lambda \rightarrow \lambda^{\sigma} \in R^1$  is defined. Then  $a \in \mathcal{L}^{\sigma}$  and  $\|a - a_n\|_{\sigma} \rightarrow 0$ ,  $0 < \sigma < \infty$ .

The proof of the theorem will be preceded by a simple lemma.

LEMMA 3.2. Let  $a \in \mathcal{L}$ ,  $a = a^*$  and  $\|p|a|p\| \leq \varepsilon$  for some  $p \in \text{Proj}(\mathcal{A})$ . Then  $\|pap\| \leq 2\varepsilon$ .

Proof. Let

$$a = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \lambda de_{\lambda}$$

be the spectral resolution of  $a$ . Put

$$a^+ = ae_{\langle 0, \infty \rangle} \quad \text{and} \quad a^- = ae_{\langle -\infty, 0 \rangle}.$$

Obviously,  $0 \leq a^+ \leq |a|$  and  $0 \leq -a^- \leq |a|$ . In consequence,

$$\|pa^+ p\| \leq \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \|pa^- p\| \leq \varepsilon.$$

Hence we get at once

$$\|pap\| \leq \|pa^+ p\| + \|pa^- p\| \leq 2\varepsilon.$$

Proof of Theorem 3.3. Let

$$c = \int_0^{\infty} \lambda de_{\lambda}.$$

For any  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , we have

$$e_{\varepsilon} (|a_n|^2 + |a_n^*|^2)^{1/2} e_{\varepsilon} \leq ce_{\varepsilon}.$$

Hence and from the easily checked equality

$$(2|\operatorname{Re} a_n|^2 + 2|\operatorname{Im} a_n|^2)^{1/2} = (|a_n|^2 + |a_n^*|^2)^{1/2}$$

we obtain

$$2^{1/2} e_{\varepsilon} |\operatorname{Re} a_n| e_{\varepsilon} \leq ce_{\varepsilon}, \quad 2^{1/2} e_{\varepsilon} |\operatorname{Im} a_n| e_{\varepsilon} \leq ce_{\varepsilon}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

Thus, by Lemma 3.2,

$$\|e_{\varepsilon} a_n e_{\varepsilon}\| \leq \|e_{\varepsilon} \operatorname{Re}(a_n) e_{\varepsilon}\| + \|e_{\varepsilon} \operatorname{Im}(a_n) e_{\varepsilon}\| \leq 2^{3/2} \varepsilon.$$

Using this inequality and the equality

$$a_n = e_{\varepsilon} a_n e_{\varepsilon} + e_{\varepsilon}^{\perp} a_n e_{\varepsilon} + e_{\varepsilon}^{\perp} a_n e_{\varepsilon}^{\perp} + e_{\varepsilon} a_n e_{\varepsilon}^{\perp},$$

one can show, analogously as in the proof of Lemma 3.1 (see Proposition 2.8), that  $a_n \xrightarrow{m} a$ . To complete the proof it suffices to use Theorem 3.1 and Corollary 3.1.

Remark 3.2. It follows from Theorem 3.5 that  $|\operatorname{Re} a_n| \leq c$ ,  $|\operatorname{Im} a_n| \leq c$  may be assumed in place of

$$(|a_n|^2 + |a_n^*|^2)^{1/2} \leq c.$$

To finish with, let us note the verity of the following theorems. As to the sequence  $\{b_n\}$ , we now assume that

$$b_n \xrightarrow{w.m-l} b, \quad \|b_n\|_1 \rightarrow \|b\|_1 \quad (0 \leq b, b_n \in \mathcal{L}_m^1(\mathcal{A})).$$

**THEOREM 3.4.** *If  $a_n \xrightarrow{m-l} a$  ( $a, a_n \in \mathcal{L}$ ) and  $|a - a_n| \leq b_n$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , then  $\|a - a_n\|_1 \rightarrow 0$ .*

**COROLLARY 3.2.** *As  $|a - a_n|^2 \leq b_n$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , then  $\|a - a_n\|_2 \rightarrow 0$ .*

**THEOREM 3.5.** *If  $a_n \xrightarrow{w.m-l} a$  ( $a, a_n = a_n^* \in \mathcal{L}$ ) and  $-b_n \leq a_n \leq b_n$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , then  $a = a^* \in \mathcal{L}^1$  and  $m(a_n) \rightarrow m(a)$ .*

**COROLLARY 3.3.** *Let  $a_n \xrightarrow{w.m-l} a$ , and  $-b_n \leq \operatorname{Re} a_n \leq b_n$ ,  $-b_n \leq \operatorname{Im} a_n \leq b_n$ . Then  $a \in \mathcal{L}^1$  and  $m(a_n) \rightarrow m(a)$ .*

The proofs of these theorems are based on Fatou's lemma (Theorem 2.3, Corollary 2.3) and, for the case of gross convergence, included in [6] (Theorems 1 and 2, Corollaries 1.1 and 2.1).

**Remark 3.3.** Under the assumptions of Theorem 2 or Corollary 2.1 in [6] we have  $\|a - a_n\|_{m_0,1} \rightarrow 0$  if the centre of  $\mathcal{A}$  is countably decomposable (see [1] and [2], Theorem 4.1).

#### REFERENCES

- [1] L. J. Ciach, *Linear-topological spaces of operators affiliated with a von Neumann algebra*, Bull. Pol. Acad. Sci. Math. 31 (1983), pp. 161–166.
- [2] – *Subadditive measure on projectors of a von Neumann algebra*, Doctoral Thesis, Łódź 1983.
- [3] J. Dixmier, *Les algèbres d'opérateurs dans l'espace hilbertien*, 2nd edition, Gauthier-Villars, Paris 1969.
- [4] E. Nelson, *Notes on non-commutative integration*, J. Funct. Anal. 15 (1974), pp. 103–116.
- [5] T. Ogasawara and K. Yoshinaga, *A non-commutative theory of integration for operators*, J. Sci. Hir. Univ., Ser. A, 19.3 (1955), pp. 311–347.
- [6] A. R. Padmanabhan, *Some dominated convergence theorems in a von Neumann algebra*, Proc. Japan Acad. 42 (1966), pp. 347–350.
- [7] – *Convergence in measure and related results in finite rings of operators*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 128 (1967), pp. 359–378.
- [8] – *Probabilistic aspects of von Neumann algebra*, J. Funct. Anal. 31 (1979), pp. 139–149.
- [9] I. E. Segal, *A non-commutative extension of abstract integration*, Ann. of Math. 57 (1953), pp. 401–457.
- [10] W. F. Stinespring, *Integration theorems for gages and duality for unimodular groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 90 (1959), pp. 15–56.
- [11] F. J. Yeadon, *Convergence of measurable operators*, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 74 (1973), pp. 257–269.
- [12] – *Non-commutative  $L^p$ -spaces*, ibidem 77 (1975), pp. 91–102.

INSTITUTE OF APPLIED MECHANICS  
HOLY CROSS TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY

Reçu par la Rédaction le 5.11.1981;  
en version modifiée le 20.7.1985