

*A CERTAIN GENERALIZATION
OF A RESULT OF ERDÖS AND SURÁNYI*

BY

JANUSZ KAJA (RZESZÓW)

P. Erdős and J. Surányi proved ⁽¹⁾ that every positive integer n can be written in the form

$$n = \sum_{m=1}^k \varepsilon_m m^2$$

with suitable k and $\varepsilon_m = \pm 1$ ($1 \leq m \leq k$). We shall show here that we can replace in this result the squares by any power.

THEOREM. *For any integer $n \geq 1$ and any $r \geq 2$ we can find an integer $k \geq 1$ and $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_k \in \{\pm 1\}$ such that*

$$(1) \quad n = \sum_{m=1}^k \varepsilon_m m^r.$$

Proof. Consider the sequence $w_0(x), w_1(x), \dots$ of polynomials defined by

$$\begin{aligned} w_0(x) &= (x+1)^r - x^r, \\ w_{i+1}(x) &= w_i(x+2^{i+1}) - w_i(x) \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots). \end{aligned}$$

We see immediately that with suitable $c_d(r, i) = \pm 1$ we can write

$$w_i(x) = \sum_{d=1}^{2^{i+1}} c_d(r, i) (x+d-1)^r \quad (i = 0, 1, \dots).$$

We also have $\deg w_i = r - i - 1$ ($i = 0, 1, \dots, r-1$), $w_{r-1}(x) = N_r = r! 2^{r(r-1)/2}$, and $w_j(x) = 0$ for $j \geq r$.

⁽¹⁾ W. Sierpiński, *250 problems in elementary number theory*, Problem 250, New York - Warszawa 1970.

LEMMA. If c is a positive integer and for a sequence $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_s \in \{\pm 1\}$ we have

$$\sum_{m=1}^s \eta_m m^r \equiv c \pmod{N_r},$$

then the integer c is representable in the form $\sum_{j=1}^t \varepsilon_j j^r$ for a certain $t \geq 1$ and $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_t \in \{\pm 1\}$.

Proof. The assumptions imply that for a certain integer k we have

$$\sum_{m=1}^s \eta_m m^r = c + kN_r,$$

whence

$$\begin{aligned} c &= \sum_{m=1}^s \eta_m m^r - kN_r = \sum_{m=1}^s \eta_m m^r - (\text{sign } k) \sum_{j=0}^{|k|-1} w_{r-1}(s + j \cdot 2^r + 1) \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^s \eta_m m^r - \sum_{j=0}^{|k|-1} \sum_{d_j=1}^{2^r} (\text{sign } k) c_{d_j}(r, r-1) (s + j \cdot 2^r + d_j)^r, \end{aligned}$$

and this gives the required representation of c .

Thus it suffices to show that there is a number congruent to $c \pmod{N_r}$ which is representable in the form (1).

For a given u consider now the number

$$V = \sum_{l=0}^{u-1} v(2lN_r),$$

where

$$v(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{N_r} \{(x + N_r + k)^r - (x + k)^r\} + 2(x+1)^r.$$

Evidently,

$$V \equiv \sum_{l=0}^{u-1} v(0) \equiv uv(0) \equiv 2u \pmod{N_r}.$$

On the other hand, our construction shows that

$$(2) \quad V = \sum_{j=1}^{2uN_r} \varepsilon_j j^r$$

holds with suitable $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_{2uN_r} \in \{\pm 1\}$.

If c is even, then taking $u = c/2$ we obtain our assertion. If however c is odd, then we take $u = (c-1)/2$ to obtain (2), and then note that

$$c \equiv 2u + 1 \equiv \sum_{j=1}^{2uN_r} \varepsilon_j j^r + (1 + 2uN_r)^r \pmod{N_r}.$$

This completes the proof.

Reçu par la Rédaction le 2. 10. 1979
