

**SPACES FOR WHICH THE DIAGONAL HAS A CLOSED
NEIGHBORHOOD BASE**

BY

KLAAS PIETER HART (AMSTERDAM)

0. Introduction. In this paper we study the notion of diagonal normality. A space X is called *diagonal normal* (Δ -normal for short) if the diagonal ΔX has a closed neighborhood base in $X \times X$. As in the case of divisible spaces (the collection of neighborhoods of ΔX forms a uniformity), Δ -normality is obtained by isolating a property of uniformities and considering it in the family of all neighborhoods of ΔX . Contrary to divisibility, which implies collectionwise normality, Δ -normality has no nice relations with the usual separation axioms: Δ -normality implies regularity but it does not imply complete regularity. It is however still possible that, for example, some nice results may be proved about spaces which are Δ -normal and normal. For example, Δ -normality features in a characterization of divisible spaces [8]. The paper is organized as follows: Section 1 contains the relevant definitions, Section 2 contains some general theorems on Δ -normality, among them a characterization in terms of open covers and Section 3 contains some examples to show that in general Δ -normality behaves rather badly. Finally the author would like to thank Eva Lowen-Colebunders for bringing the subject of Δ -normality to his attention.

1. Definitions and preparatory remarks. Since we will be working with product of sets we first fix some terminology on this subject. Let X be a set. ΔX denotes the diagonal of X , i.e. the subset $\{\langle x, x \rangle \mid x \in X\}$ of $X \times X$. If $A \subseteq X \times X$ and $x \in X$ we let $A[x] = \{y \in X \mid \langle x, y \rangle \in A\}$. Note that if X is a topological space and A is open then also $A[x]$ is open. Furthermore if $A, B \subseteq X \times X$ we put

$$A \circ B = \{\langle x, y \rangle \mid \exists z \in X: \langle z, y \rangle \in A \wedge \langle x, z \rangle \in B\}.$$

We now turn to the topological definitions. Let X be a topological space. We call X *diagonal normal* (Δ -normal) if ΔX has a closed neighborhood base in $X \times X$, or equivalently for all open $U \supseteq \Delta X$ there is an open $V \supseteq \Delta X$

such that $\bar{V} \subseteq U$, or, to make it sound it even more "normal", any two disjoint closed subsets of $X \times X$ one of which is the diagonal can be separated by open sets.

In Section 2 we exhibit some properties of Δ -normal spaces and in Section 3 we give some examples. For all standard topological notions we refer to [4], the non-standard ones will be given below.

Let X be a topological space; X is called

(i) *divisible* ([2]) if every open set U containing ΔX can be divided by two, i.e. there exists an open set V around ΔX such that $V \circ V \subseteq U$;

(ii) *monotonically normal* ([6]) if one can assign to all pairs $\langle A, U \rangle$ with A closed, U open and $A \subseteq U$ an open set $G(A, U)$ such that $A \subseteq G(A, U) \subseteq \overline{G(A, U)} \subseteq U$ and if $A \subseteq A'$ and $U \subseteq U'$ then $G(A, U) \subseteq G(A', U')$;

(iii) *retractable* if every closed subset A of X is a retract of X , i.e. there is a continuous map $r: X \rightarrow A$ such that $r(x) = x$ for all $x \in A$. See [3] for an investigation of retractability.

The above-mentioned properties are in fact quite strong separation properties, for instance they all imply collectionwise normality (spaces with properties (ii) or (iii) are even hereditarily CWN).

Finally P , Q and R denote the sets of irrational, rational and real numbers respectively.

2. Some positive results. In this section we characterize Δ -normality in terms of open covers of X , next we indicate the position of Δ -normality among other separation axioms and finally we prove a theorem on normality of Δ -normal spaces. The characterization of Δ -normality which we are about to give is not particularly nice, but it is sometimes easier to work with than the original definition (see the examples).

2.1. THEOREM. *Let X be a topological space. Then X is Δ -normal iff every open cover \mathcal{U} of X has an open refinement \mathcal{V} with the following property: $\forall x, y \in X$: if $\forall U \in \mathcal{U}$: $x \notin U \vee y \notin U$, then there are open $O_x \ni x$ and $O_y \ni y$ such that $\forall V \in \mathcal{V}$: $O_x \cap V = \emptyset \vee O_y \cap V = \emptyset$.*

Proof. " \Rightarrow ". Given \mathcal{U} , let $U = \bigcup \{O \times O \mid O \in \mathcal{U}\}$. Take $V \ni \Delta X$ open such that $\bar{V} \subseteq U$, and let $\mathcal{V} = \{W \subseteq X \mid W \text{ is open, } W \subseteq \text{some } U \in \mathcal{U}, W \times W \subseteq V\}$.

" \Leftarrow ". Given U , let $\mathcal{U} = \{O \subseteq X \mid O \text{ open and } O \times O \subseteq U\}$. Take a refinement \mathcal{V} of \mathcal{U} having the property described above, and let $V = \bigcup \{W \times W \mid W \in \mathcal{V}\}$.

The following theorem puts Δ -normality in relation with other separation and covering axioms.

2.2. THEOREM. (a) *Divisible spaces are Δ -normal;*
 (b) *Δ -normal T_1 -spaces are regular.*

Proof. (a) Let X be divisible. Let $U \supseteq \Delta X$ be open and take $V \supseteq \Delta X$ open such that $V \circ V \circ V \subseteq U$. It is easy to see that $\bar{V} \subseteq V \circ V \circ V$, so we have $\bar{V} \subseteq U$.

(b) Let X be Δ -normal and T_1 . Let $A \subseteq X$ be closed and let $x \in X \setminus A$. $\{x\} \times A$ is closed in $X \times X$ and disjoint from ΔX . So let $V \supseteq \Delta X$ be open such that $\bar{V} \cap (\{x\} \times A) = \emptyset$. Then $V[x]$ is a neighborhood of x and $\overline{V[x]} \cap A = \emptyset$. \square

From 2.2 (a) it follows that a large class of spaces is Δ -normal, for example paracompact spaces are Δ -normal, because they are known to be divisible [7]. However in Section 3 we shall give an example of a space which is "supernormal" (meaning that it has some strong separation properties) which is not Δ -normal, and an example of a Δ -normal space which is not completely regular, so Theorem 2.2 is best possible.

The next lemma is handy in showing that some spaces are not Δ -normal, moreover it has an interesting corollary.

2.3. LEMMA. *Let X be a Δ -normal space. Let A and B be closed and disjoint subsets of X such that A is countably compact and X is Fréchet at the points of B . Then A and B can be separated by disjoint open sets.*

Proof. $A \times B$ is closed in $X \times X$ and disjoint from ΔX . So let $V \supseteq \Delta X$ be open such that $\bar{V} \cap (A \times B) = \emptyset$. Let $O = \bigcup_{a \in A} V[a]$. O is open and contains A . We show that $\bar{O} \cap B = \emptyset$. Suppose $\exists b \in \bar{O} \cap B$. X is Fréchet at b , so we can find a sequence $\langle x_i \rangle_{i \in \omega}$ in O such that $x_i \rightarrow b$. For all $i \in \omega$ pick $a_i \in A$ such that $x_i \in V[a_i]$. The set $\{a_i\}_{i \in \omega}$ is infinite since $B \cap \overline{V[a]} = \emptyset$ for all $a \in A$. Let $a \in A$ be an accumulation point of $\{a_i\}_{i \in \omega}$. Then, since for all i $\langle a_i, x_i \rangle \in V$,

$$\langle a, b \rangle \in (A \times B) \cap \overline{\{\langle a_i, x_i \rangle\}_{i \in \omega}} \subseteq (A \times B) \cap \bar{V},$$

which is a contradiction. \square

2.4. COROLLARY. *A Δ -normal space which is countably compact and Fréchet (or more special: first-countable) is normal.* \square

This shows that Δ -normality is stronger than regularity, since regular, countably compact, first-countable, non-normal spaces exist [12]. Our last result in this section deals with invariance of Δ -normality under mappings.

2.5. THEOREM. *Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a perfect open map. Then Y is Δ -normal if X is Δ -normal.*

Proof. The map $f \times f: X \times X \rightarrow Y \times Y$ is also perfect and open. Let $U \supseteq \Delta Y$ be open. Take $V \supseteq \Delta X$ open such that $\bar{V} \subseteq (f \times f)^{-1}[U]$. Since $f \times f$ is open $f \times f[V]$ is a neighborhood of ΔY and since $f \times f$ is closed we have $\overline{f \times f[V]} = f \times f[\bar{V}] \subseteq U$. \square

In Section 3 we shall give an example of a closed map each fiber of which has at most two points, which does not preserve Δ -normality.

3. Examples. In this section we give some examples to show that Theorem 2.2 is sharp and that Δ -normality behaves badly under topological operations.

3.1. Example. A monotonically normal, hereditarily countably paracompact space which is not Δ -normal.

This is the "supernormal" space mentioned in Section 2. The above-mentioned separation properties are very strong, spaces with these properties have very nice properties with respect to extension of continuous functions [3]. Let X be the space obtained from the product $\omega_1 \times (\omega_1 + 1)$ by making each point $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle$ with $\beta < \omega_1$ isolated. H. E. Cohen [1] showed that this space which he attributed to R. H. Bing is collectionwise normal but not divisible. E. K. van Douwen [3] showed that it has the other above-mentioned properties.

We show that X is not Δ -normal.

Let $\mathcal{U} = \{[0, \alpha] \times (\alpha, \omega_1]\}_{\alpha \in \omega_1} \cup \{\omega_1 \times \omega_1\}$. Let \mathcal{V} be an open refinement of \mathcal{U} . For every $\alpha \in \omega_1$ pick $\gamma_\alpha < \alpha$ and $\beta_\alpha \in \omega_1$ such that

$$\langle \alpha, \omega_1 \rangle \in (\gamma_\alpha, \alpha] \times (\beta_\alpha, \omega_1] \subseteq \text{some } V_\alpha \in \mathcal{V}.$$

By the Pressing Down Lemma let $S \subseteq \omega$ and $\gamma \in \omega_1$ be such that S is unbounded and for all $\alpha \in S$ $\gamma_\alpha = \gamma$. The set $C = \{\xi \mid \alpha < \xi \Rightarrow \beta_\alpha < \xi\}$ is c.u.b. in ω_1 . Let $\alpha \in C$ be a limit point — in ω_1 — of S . Let $x = \langle \gamma + 1, \alpha \rangle$ and $y = \langle \alpha, \omega_1 \rangle$. Then $x \in \omega_1 \times \omega_1$ but $y \notin \omega_1 \times \omega_1$ and if $x \in [0, \beta] \times (\beta, \omega_1]$ then $\beta < \alpha$ so $y \notin [0, \beta] \times (\beta, \omega_1]$. Let $O_x = \{x\}$ and let $O_y = (\delta, \alpha] \times (\varepsilon, \omega_1]$ be a neighborhood of y . Take $\eta \in S \cap (\delta, \alpha)$. Then $\eta < \alpha$ so $\beta_\eta < \alpha$ and hence $x \in (\gamma, \eta] \times (\beta_\eta, \omega_1]$, and $\langle \eta, \omega_1 \rangle \in O_y$ so $O_y \cap (\gamma, \eta] \times (\beta_\eta, \omega_1] \neq \emptyset$. So for all $U \in \mathcal{U}$: $x \notin U \vee y \notin U$, but for every O_x and every O_y

$$\exists V \in \mathcal{V} : O_x \cap V \neq \emptyset \wedge O_y \cap V \neq \emptyset. \quad \square$$

3.2. Example. A Δ -normal space which is not completely regular. Let X be the closed upper half-plane plus a point ∞ . Points above the x -axis will be isolated.

The n -th neighborhood of $\langle x, 0 \rangle$ will be

$$\mathcal{U}_n(x) = \{\langle x, y \rangle \mid 0 \leq y < 1/n\} \cup \{\langle x+1+y, y \rangle \mid 0 < y < 1/n\}.$$

The n -th neighborhood of ∞ will be

$$\mathcal{U}_n(\infty) = \{\infty\} \cup \{\langle x, y \rangle \mid x > n\}.$$

A. Mysior [10] constructed this space as an example of a regular not completely regular space (a Baire-category type argument will show that the

point ∞ and the closed set $\{\langle x, 0 \rangle \mid x \leq 0\}$ cannot be separated by a continuous function).

We shall show that this space is Δ -normal.

Let $\mathcal{U} = \{\{\langle x, y \rangle \mid y > 0\} \cup \{U_{n_a}(a) \mid a \in \mathbf{R}\} \cup \{U_n(\infty)\}$ be a basic open cover of X . We shall show that $\mathcal{V} = (\mathcal{U} \setminus \{U_n(\infty)\}) \cup \{U_{n+5}(\infty)\}$ is a refinement of \mathcal{U} as required by Theorem 2.2.

Let $x, y \in X$ satisfy $\forall U \in \mathcal{U}: x \notin U \vee y \notin U$.

(i) x is isolated. Let $O_x = \{x\}$. x can be in at most four elements of \mathcal{V} : $\{x\}$, two sets of the form $U_{n_a}(a)$ and $U_{n+5}(\infty)$. y is not in the first three sets which are clopen so we can choose O_y such that O_y meets none of them. If $x \in U_{n+5}(\infty)$ then $y \notin U_n(\infty) \supseteq \overline{U_{n+5}(\infty)}$ so in that case we can also require that $O_y \cap U_{n+5}(\infty) = \emptyset$. Consequently $\forall V \in \mathcal{V}: O_x \cap V = \emptyset \vee O_y \cap V = \emptyset$.

(ii) $x = \langle a, 0 \rangle$ and $y = \infty$. In this case $x \notin U_n(\infty)$ so $a \leq n$ and if $U_{n_b}(b) \cap U_1(a) \neq \emptyset$ then $b < a + 2 \leq n + 3$ and so $U_{n_b}(b) \subseteq (-\infty, n + 5) \times [0, \infty)$. And hence $U_{n_b}(b) \cap U_{n+5}(\infty) = \emptyset$. Consequently

$$\forall V \in \mathcal{V}: V \cap U_1(a) \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow V \cap U_{n+5}(\infty) = \emptyset.$$

(iii) $x = \langle a, 0 \rangle$ and $y = \langle b, 0 \rangle$ with $a < b$. We show that there is an $m \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $\forall c \in \mathbf{R}: U_m(a) \cap U_1(c) \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow U_m(b) \cap U_1(c) = \emptyset$. This immediately shows that for all $U \in \mathcal{U} \setminus \{U_n(\infty)\}$:

$$U_m(a) \cap U = \emptyset \vee U_m(b) \cap U = \emptyset.$$

Furthermore if $U_m(b) \cap U_{n+5}(\infty) \neq \emptyset$ then $b \in U_n(\infty)$ so $a \notin U_n(\infty)$ and hence $U_m(a) \cap U_{n+5}(\infty) = \emptyset$. So again $\forall V \in \mathcal{V}$:

$$U_m(a) \cap V = \emptyset \vee U_m(b) \cap V = \emptyset.$$

Also note that the reasoning in (i) and (iii) shows that $Y = X \setminus \{\infty\}$ is Δ -normal, in fact it is shown that ΔY has a clopen neighborhood base in $Y \times Y$. We shall use Y again in Example 3.3. We now turn to the finding of m . First of all note that if $U_1(c) \cap U_m(a) \neq \emptyset$ then $c \in (a - 1 - 1/n, a - 1) \cup \{a\} \cup (a + 1, a + 1 + 1/n)$ and the same is true for b of course. So we have to find $m \in \mathbf{N}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & ((a - 1 - 1/m, a - 1) \cup \{a\} \cup (a + 1, a + 1 + 1/m)) \cap \\ & \cap ((b - 1 - 1/m, b - 1) \cup \{b\} \cup (b + 1, b + 1 + 1/m)) = \emptyset. \end{aligned}$$

But this is easy, just choose m such that

$$\begin{aligned} 1/m &< b - a && \text{if } a < b \leq a + 1, \\ 1/m &< b - (a + 1) && \text{if } a + 1 < b \leq a + 2, \\ 1/m &< b - (a + 2) && \text{if } a + 2 < b. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

3.3. Example. A perfect map which does not preserve Δ -normality.

We shall construct an upper semicontinuous decomposition of the space Y from Example 3.2 into one- and two-point subsets such that the quotient space Z is not Δ -normal. First of all note that the x -axis A is a closed and discrete subset of Y , so that any decomposition of A induces a u.s.c. decomposition of Y .

We define a decomposition of \mathbf{R} , and hence of A , as follows.

Let $\{K_\alpha \mid \alpha \in 2^\omega\}$ be an enumeration of the collection of all countable unions of closed nowhere dense subsets of \mathbf{R}^2 which contain $\Delta\mathbf{R}$. Inductively choose for all $\alpha \in 2^\omega$ a point

$$\langle x_\alpha, y_\alpha \rangle \in \mathbf{P}^2 \setminus (K_\alpha \cup \bigcup_{\beta \in \alpha} (\{x_\beta, y_\beta\} \times \mathbf{R} \cup \mathbf{R} \times \{x_\beta, y_\beta\})).$$

To see that this is possible consider for some $\alpha \in 2^\omega$

$$A_\alpha = \{x \in \mathbf{R} \mid K_\alpha[x] \text{ is of second category in } \mathbf{R}\}.$$

We claim that A_α is of first category in \mathbf{R} .

Suppose not. Let $K_\alpha = \bigcup_{i \in \omega} K_i$ with each K_i closed and nowhere dense and let $\{B_n\}_{n \in \omega}$ be a base for \mathbf{R} .

Let $A_{i,n} = \{x \in \mathbf{R} \mid B_n \subseteq \overline{K_i[x]}\}$, $i, n \in \omega$. Then $A_\alpha = \bigcup_{i,n} A_{i,n}$ by definition of A_α . So by assumption $\overline{A_{i,n}} \neq \emptyset$ for some i and n , hence $B_m \subseteq \overline{A_{i,n}}$ for some m . But then $B_m \times B_n \subseteq K_i$ contradicting the fact that K_i is nowhere dense.

So since A_α is of first category, $|\mathbf{P} \setminus A_\alpha| = 2^\omega$, hence we can pick

$$x_\alpha \in \mathbf{P} \setminus (A_\alpha \cup \bigcup_{\beta \in \alpha} \{x_\beta, y_\beta\})$$

and since $K_\alpha[x_\alpha]$ is of first category we can pick

$$y_\alpha \in \mathbf{P} \setminus (K_\alpha[x_\alpha] \cup \bigcup_{\beta \in \alpha} \{x_\beta, y_\beta\} \cup \{x_\alpha\}).$$

Clearly $\langle x_\alpha, y_\alpha \rangle$ is as required. The set $E = \{\langle x_\alpha, y_\alpha \rangle \mid \alpha \in 2^\omega\}$ is of second category in \mathbf{R}^2 . Let Z be the quotient space obtained from Y by identifying the points $\langle x_\alpha, 0 \rangle$ and $\langle y_\alpha, 0 \rangle$ for all $\alpha \in 2^\omega$. As noted above the projection $\pi: Y \rightarrow Z$ is closed and since the fibers have at most two points π is perfect. We now show that Z is not Δ -normal. To this end we put $V_n(x) = \pi[U_n(x)]$ if $x \notin \bigcup_{\alpha \in 2^\omega} \{x_\alpha, y_\alpha\}$ and $V_n(z_\alpha) = \pi[U_n(x_\alpha) \cup U_n(y_\alpha)]$ where $z_\alpha = \pi(\langle x_\alpha, 0 \rangle) = \pi(\langle y_\alpha, 0 \rangle)$ for $\alpha \in 2^\omega$. Then $\{V_n(z)\}_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ is a local base at z for all $z \in \pi[A]$. Let $\mathcal{U} = \{\pi[Y \setminus A]\} \cup \{V_1(z)\}_{z \in A}$. \mathcal{U} is an open cover of Z . Let \mathcal{V} be an open refinement of \mathcal{U} . For all $z \in \pi[A]$ pick $n_z \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $V_{n_z}(z) \subseteq \text{some } V \in \mathcal{V}$.

Let

$$E_n = \{\langle x, y \rangle \in E \mid n_{\pi(\langle x, 0 \rangle)} = n_{\pi(\langle y, 0 \rangle)} = n\}, \quad n \in \mathbf{N}.$$

For some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have that $\bar{E}_n^\circ \neq \emptyset$, since E is of second category and $E = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$. Take $\langle p, q \rangle \in Q^2$ such that $\langle p-1, q-1 \rangle \in \bar{E}_n^\circ$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $(p-1-\varepsilon, p-1+\varepsilon) \times (q-1-\varepsilon, q-1+\varepsilon) \subseteq \bar{E}_n$. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ be arbitrary and choose $\langle x_\alpha, y_\alpha \rangle \in E_n$ such that $p-1-\delta < x_\alpha < p-1$ and $q-1-\delta < y_\alpha < p-1$ where $\delta = \min\{\varepsilon, 1/n, 1/m\}$. It is easy to see that $U_n(x_\alpha) \cap U_m(p) \neq \emptyset$ and $U_n(y_\alpha) \cap U_m(q) \neq \emptyset$ and $V_n(z_\alpha) \cap V_m(q) \neq \emptyset$, hence we can find a $V \in \mathcal{V}$ such that $V_m(p) \cap V \neq \emptyset \neq V_m(q) \cap V$. Since m was arbitrary and since clearly $\forall U \in \mathcal{U}: p \notin U \vee q \notin U$ we see that \mathcal{V} does not have the property described in Theorem 2.1. We conclude that Z is not Δ -normal. \square

3.4. Example. A Δ -normal space X and a compact space Y such that $X \times Y$ is not Δ -normal.

Let $X = \omega_1$ and $Y = \omega_1 + 1$. X is a linearly ordered topological space (LOTS), hence divisible [9], hence Δ -normal. Y is a compact LOTS and hence Δ -normal for two reasons. Let $A = \{\langle \alpha, \omega_1 \rangle \mid \alpha \in \omega_1\}$ and $B = \{\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle \mid \alpha \in \omega_1\}$. A and B are closed and disjoint subsets of $X \times Y$, which, as is well known, cannot be separated by open sets. However A and B do satisfy the requirements of Lemma 2.3, so $X \times Y$ cannot be Δ -normal. \square

Example 3.4 shows that the perfect preimage of a Δ -normal space need not be Δ -normal. We can do a little better, in fact we can give an example of an at most two-to-one closed irreducible map of a non Δ -normal space onto a Δ -normal space.

3.5. Example. Consider the subspace $C = \{\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle \mid \beta \geq \alpha\}$ of $\omega_1 \times (\omega_1 + 1)$. In 3.4 we actually showed that C is not Δ -normal. We now employ a trick used by J. Vermeer [13] to give an easy example of a normal space with a nonnormal absolute.

For all limit ordinals α in ω_1 we identify $\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle$ and $\langle \alpha, \omega_1 \rangle$. Call the quotient space Z . As in [13] the projection map $\pi: C \rightarrow Z$ is closed and irreducible, it clearly has fibers with at most two points only. Let \mathcal{U} be an open cover of Z . For all limits α in ω_1 pick $\gamma_\alpha < \alpha$ and $\beta_\alpha \in \omega$ such that

$$(\gamma_\alpha, \alpha] \times ((\gamma_\alpha, \alpha] \cup (\beta_\alpha, \omega_1]) \subseteq \pi^{-1}[U] \quad \text{for some } U \in \mathcal{U}.$$

Take an unbounded set S in ω_1 and an ordinal γ such that for all $\alpha \in S$ $\gamma_\alpha = \gamma$. Let $A = \{\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle \mid \alpha \leq \gamma\}$ and $B = \{\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle \mid \alpha > \gamma\}$. A and B are disjoint, clopen and they cover C . So the same holds for $\pi[A]$ and $\pi[B]$ with respect to Z . $\pi[A]$ is compact and hence Δ -normal so let \mathcal{V}_0 be an open refinement of $\{U \cap \pi[A] \mid U \in \mathcal{U}\}$ as in Theorem 2.1 and let $\mathcal{V}_1 = \{U \cap \pi[B] \mid U \in \mathcal{U}\}$. $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}_0 \cup \mathcal{V}_1$ is a refinement of \mathcal{U} . A moment's reflection will show that if $x, y \in Z$ satisfy $\forall U \in \mathcal{U}: x \notin U \vee y \notin U$ then $x, y \in A$ or $(x \in A \text{ and } y \in B)$. In the first case take $O_x \ni x$ and $O_y \ni y$ such that $\forall V \in \mathcal{V}_0: O_x \cap V = \emptyset$ or $O_y \cap V = \emptyset$ and $O_x, O_y \subseteq A$, in the second case let $O_x = A$ and $O_y = B$. In both cases $\forall V \in \mathcal{V}: O_x \cap V = \emptyset \vee O_y \cap V = \emptyset$. \square

The above examples suggest some questions. First of all, in Example 3.3, the domain of the map is not very nice, so the question arises whether the perfect image of a nice (i.e. normal, countably paracompact, etc.) Δ -normal space is again Δ -normal (P 1318).

Furthermore, Example 3.4 suggests the question of whether the product of a Δ -normal space with for example the unit interval or a convergent sequence is Δ -normal (P 1319). Finally, since Δ -normality is independent of normality etc., it would be interesting to know what extra properties, for example a normal space has, if it is also Δ -normal. In this context let us mention that a Δ -normal Dowker space exists: in [5] the author showed that M. E. Rudin's Dowker Space [11] is, in fact, divisible.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. E. Cohen, *Sur un problème de M. Dieudonné*, Comptes Rendus des Séances de l'Académie des Sciences Paris, Série A, 234 (1952), p. 290–292.
- [2] Á. Császár, *General Topology*, Adam Hilger, Ltd., Bristol 1978.
- [3] E. K. van Douwen, *Simultaneous extension of continuous functions*, Thesis, Free University, Amsterdam 1975.
- [4] R. Engelking, *General Topology*, PWN–Polish Scientific Publishers, Warszawa 1977.
- [5] K. P. Hart, *Strong collectionwise normality and M. E. Rudin's Dowker space*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society 83 (1981), p. 802–806.
- [6] R. W. Heath, D. J. Lutzer and P. L. Zenor, *Monotonically normal spaces*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society 86 (1973), p. 481–494.
- [7] J. L. Kelley, *General Topology*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin–New York 1975.
- [8] E. Lowen-Colebunders, *Uniformizable Cauchy spaces*, International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences (to appear).
- [9] M. J. Mansfield, *Some generalizations of full normality*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society 86 (1957), p. 489–505.
- [10] A. Mysior, *A union of realcompact spaces*, Bulletin de l'Académie Polonaise des Sciences, Série des Sciences Mathématiques 29 (1981), p. 169–172.
- [11] M. E. Rudin, *A normal space X for which $X \times I$ is not normal*, Fundamenta Mathematicae 73 (1971), p. 179–186.
- [12] J. E. Vaughan, *A countably compact, first-countable, non-normal T_2 -space*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society 75 (1979), p. 339–342.
- [13] J. Vermeer, *Two generalizations of normality*, Report 121, Free University, Amsterdam 1980.

SUBFACULTEIT WISKUNDE
 VRIJE UNIVERSITEIT
 AMSTERDAM, THE NETHERLANDS

Reçu par la Rédaction le 02. 08. 1982