

ON COVERINGS OF A UNIFORMITY

BY

ANNA KUCIA (KATOWICE)

In Isbell's book [1], p. 52, there is raised a question whether or not the coverings of a given uniformity, the cardinalities of which are less than a given cardinal number m , form a base for a uniformity. Shirota [3] was the first who answered positively to this question in the case of a fine uniformity for the given topology. The answer is known to be positive for $m = \aleph_0$ (cf. [1], exercise, p. 52); the answer is also positive for uniformities having bases consisting of point-finite coverings (cf. [1], Theorem 28, p. 69, or, in a more precise form, the paper [2] of Kulpa) and for uniformities having bases consisting of σ -point-finite coverings (cf. Vidossich [4]).

In this note we shall give a positive solution of Isbell's question, assuming the generalized continuum hypothesis (i.e., if $n < m$, then $2^n \leq m$ for all cardinal numbers).

A covering \mathcal{V} is a *point-star-refinement* of a covering \mathcal{U} if, for each x from X , there exists a U in \mathcal{U} such that $\text{st}(x, \mathcal{V}) \subset U$; it is a *star-refinement* of \mathcal{U} if, for each V in \mathcal{V} , there exists a U in \mathcal{U} such that $\text{st}(V, \mathcal{V}) \subset U$; recall that a point-star-refinement, if applied two times, gives a star-refinement.

THEOREM. *Let μ be a uniformity on X and let m be an infinite cardinal number. Then the family of coverings from μ , the cardinalities of which are less than m , is a base for a uniformity ν , $\nu \subset \mu$.*

Proof. It suffices to show that, for each \mathcal{U} from μ , there exists in μ a covering \mathcal{W} being a point-star-refinement of \mathcal{U} and such that $\text{card } \mathcal{W} \leq \text{card } \mathcal{U}$ or \mathcal{W} is finite if \mathcal{U} is finite.

Let λ be an initial ordinal number for the cardinality of \mathcal{U} . We can assume that $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\alpha: \alpha < \lambda\}$. Let \mathcal{V} be an arbitrary star-refinement of \mathcal{U} belonging to μ . Define $p(V)$ for $V \in \mathcal{V}$ to be the least α such that $U_\alpha \supset \text{st}(V, \mathcal{V})$, and let $\mathcal{V}_\alpha = \{V: p(V) = \alpha\}$. We have

$$(1) \quad \text{st}(V, \mathcal{V}) \subset U_\alpha \quad \text{for } V \in \mathcal{V}_\alpha.$$

For each α we define a partition of the collection \mathcal{V}_α as follows: elements V and V' of \mathcal{V}_α are in the same element of the partition iff

$$(2) \quad V \subset U_\gamma \Leftrightarrow V' \subset U_\gamma \quad \text{for each } \gamma, \text{ where } \gamma \leq \alpha.$$

The set of all elements of the partition of \mathcal{V}_α is of the cardinality not greater than the cardinality of the family of all subsets of the set $\{\gamma: \gamma \leq \alpha\}$, and so, in virtue of the generalized continuum hypothesis, it is not greater than $\text{card } \mathcal{U}$ or is finite if \mathcal{U} is finite.

Let \mathcal{W}_α be the collection consisting of unions of elements of the partition of \mathcal{V}_α . Let $\mathcal{W} = \bigcup \{\mathcal{W}_\alpha: \alpha < \lambda\}$.

It is obvious that \mathcal{W} is a covering belonging to μ (since \mathcal{V} is a refinement of \mathcal{W} and $\mathcal{V} \in \mu$) and that $\text{card } \mathcal{W} \leq \text{card } \mathcal{U}$ or \mathcal{W} is finite.

It remains to prove that \mathcal{W} is a point-star-refinement of \mathcal{U} . To do this take $x \in X$. Let $\alpha(x) = \min\{\alpha: x \in W \in \mathcal{W}_\alpha\}$. We shall show that $\text{st}(x, \mathcal{W}) \subset U_{\alpha(x)}$. From the definition of $\alpha(x)$ it follows that there exists a $V \in \mathcal{V}_{\alpha(x)}$ such that $x \in V$. By (1), we get $x \in V \subset \text{st}(V, \mathcal{V}) \subset U_{\alpha(x)}$.

Let W be such that $x \in W \in \mathcal{W}_\alpha$. There exists V' in \mathcal{V}_α such that $x \in V'$ and such that V' belongs to that element of the partition of \mathcal{V}_α the union of which is W .

But $x \in V \cap V'$. Hence $V' \subset \text{st}(V, \mathcal{V}) \subset U_{\alpha(x)}$. By definition (2) of the partition and the inequality $\alpha(x) \leq \alpha$, we infer that W is contained in $U_{\alpha(x)}$.

Since W is an arbitrary element of \mathcal{W} such that $x \in W$, we infer that $\text{st}(x, \mathcal{W}) \subset U_{\alpha(x)}$.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. R. Isbell, *Uniform spaces*, Providence 1964.
- [2] W. Kulpa, *On uniform universal spaces*, *Fundamenta Mathematicae* 69 (1970), p. 243-251.
- [3] T. Shirota, *A class of topological spaces*, *Osaka Mathematical Journal* 4 (1952), p. 23-40.
- [4] G. Vidossich, *A note on cardinal reflections on the category of uniform spaces*, *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society* 23 (1969), p. 55-58.

SILESIA UNIVERSITY, KATOWICE

*Reçu par la Rédaction le 27. 7. 1971;
en version modifiée le 10. 12. 1971*