

GENERALIZATION OF FORMULAE OF FREDHOLM TYPE

BY

A. BURACZEWSKI (WARSAWA)

1. Introduction. Sikorski [5] has given formulae of Fredholm type for solutions of a Fredholm linear equation $(I+T)x = x_0$ in a Banach space X , and for the adjoint equation $\xi(I+T) = \xi_0$ in the case where T is a quasi-nuclear operator. Later, the author showed how to obtain the same formulae in a more general case using an algebraic argument (see [2]-[4]).

The purpose of this paper is to give a further generalization of Sikorski's formulae to a larger class of linear equations $(S+T)x = x_0$ in a vector space X , and for the adjoint equation $\xi(S+T) = \xi_0$ in a conjugate space \mathcal{E} , where S is an arbitrary fixed generalized Fredholm operator such that $S+T$ is also a generalized Fredholm operator.

2. Terminology and notation. In what follows \mathcal{E} and X denote two fixed vector spaces over the same real or complex field F . The letters σ, ξ, η, ζ and s, x, y, z (with indices, if necessary) always denote elements of \mathcal{E} and X , respectively. Every mapping into F will be called a *functional*.

To make the paper self-contained, we recall here (see [1]) that \mathcal{E} and X are conjugate in the sense that there is a bilinear functional $\xi x: \mathcal{E} \times X \rightarrow F$ such that

$$(a) \quad \xi x = 0 \text{ for every } x \in X \text{ implies } \xi = 0;$$

$$(a') \quad \xi x = 0 \text{ for every } \xi \in \mathcal{E} \text{ implies } x = 0.$$

Let \mathfrak{A} denote the class of all bilinear functionals A defined in $\mathcal{E} \times X$, ξAx being the value of A at (ξ, x) such that each $A \in \mathfrak{A}$ can simultaneously be interpreted as an endomorphism $\eta = \xi A$ in \mathcal{E} and as an endomorphism $y = Ax$ in X defined by the relationship

$$\xi Ax = (\xi A)x = \xi(Ax).$$

Clearly, a bilinear functional $A \in \mathfrak{A}$, interpreted as an endomorphism $\eta = \xi A$ in \mathcal{E} , is the adjoint of the endomorphism $y = Ax$ in X , and the elements of \mathfrak{A} will be called *operators*. The bilinear functional K defined by the formula

$$\xi Kx = \xi x_0 \cdot \xi_0 x,$$

where ξ_0 and x_0 are fixed non-zero elements, will be called a *one-dimensional operator* denoted by $x_0 \cdot \xi_0$. Every finite sum of one-dimensional operators will be called a *finite-dimensional operator*.

Let $S \in \mathfrak{A}$ be a fixed generalized Fredholm operator [1], and let $U \in \mathfrak{A}$ be a quasi-inverse of S , i.e., $SUS = S$ and $USU = U$.

We denote by $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{m'}$ and $s_1, \dots, s_{n'}$ complete systems of solutions of the equations $\xi S = 0$ and $Sx = 0$, respectively. There exist points $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_{n'}$ and $w_1, \dots, w_{m'}$ such that

$$(1) \quad US = I - \sum_{i=1}^{n'} s_i \cdot \omega_i, \quad SU = I - \sum_{i=1}^{m'} w_i \cdot \sigma_i,$$

where $\omega_i s_j = \delta_{ij}$ ($i, j = 1, \dots, n'$), $\sigma_i w_j = \delta_{ij}$ ($i, j = 1, \dots, m'$), and $I \in \mathfrak{A}$ is the identity operator. It is obvious that $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_{n'}$ and $w_1, \dots, w_{m'}$ are complete systems of solutions of the equations $\xi U = 0$ and $Ux = 0$, respectively.

Next we define the finite-dimensional operator

$$(2) \quad R = \sum_{i=1}^{\varrho} s_i \cdot \sigma_i,$$

where $\varrho = \min(m', n')$ is the order of S . It is obvious that $SR = RS = 0$. Suppose now that $T \in \mathfrak{A}$ is any operator such that $S + T$ is a generalized Fredholm operator of order $r = \min(\bar{m}, \bar{n})$ and index $d = \bar{n} - \bar{m}$. The operator $S + T$ has a determinant system $\{D_m^n\}$ also of order r and index d [1], i.e., D_0^d, D_1^d, \dots if $d \geq 0$, and $D_{-d}^0, D_{-d+1}^1, \dots$ if $d < 0$, D_m^n being a multilinear functional on $E^n \times X^m$, whose value at a point $(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n, x_1, \dots, x_m)$ is

$$D_m^n \begin{pmatrix} \xi_1, \dots, \xi_n \\ x_1, \dots, x_m \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since r is the order of the determinant system, $D_m^{\bar{n}} \neq 0$ and $D_m^n = 0$ for $n = \max(0, d), \max(0, d) + 1, \dots, \bar{n} - 1$; $n - m = d$. We recall that the determinant system $\{D_m^n\}$ satisfies [1] the following identities:

$$(3) \quad D_{m+1}^{n+1} \begin{pmatrix} \xi_0, \dots, \xi_n \\ (S+T)x_0, x_1, \dots, x_m \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i \xi_i x_0 D_m^n \begin{pmatrix} \xi_0, \dots, \xi_{i-1}, \xi_{i+1}, \dots, \xi_n \\ x_1, \dots, x_m \end{pmatrix},$$

$$(4) \quad D_{m+1}^{n+1} \begin{pmatrix} \xi_0(S+T), \xi_1, \dots, \xi_n \\ x_0, \dots, x_m \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{i=0}^m (-1)^i \xi_0 x_i D_m^n \begin{pmatrix} \xi_1, \dots, \xi_n \\ x_0, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_m \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_{\bar{n}}$ and $y_1, \dots, y_{\bar{m}}$ be points such that

$$\delta = D_{\bar{m}}^{\bar{n}} \begin{pmatrix} \eta_1, \dots, \eta_{\bar{n}} \\ y_1, \dots, y_{\bar{m}} \end{pmatrix} \neq 0.$$

Then a complete system $\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_{\bar{m}}$ of solutions of $\xi(S+T) = 0$ is given [1] by the formulae

$$(5) \quad \zeta_i x = \frac{1}{\delta} D_{\bar{m}}^{\bar{n}} \begin{pmatrix} \eta_1, \dots, \eta_{\bar{n}} \\ y_1, \dots, y_{i-1}, x, y_{i+1}, \dots, y_{\bar{m}} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{for every } x \in X,$$

where $\zeta_i y_j = \delta_{ij}$ ($i, j = 1, \dots, \bar{m}$). Similarly, a complete system $z_1, \dots, z_{\bar{n}}$ of solutions of $(S+T)x = 0$ is given by

$$(6) \quad \xi z_j = \frac{1}{\delta} D_{\bar{m}}^{\bar{n}} \begin{pmatrix} \eta_1, \dots, \eta_{j-1}, \xi, \eta_{j+1}, \dots, \eta_{\bar{n}} \\ y_1, \dots, y_{\bar{m}} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{for every } \xi \in \Xi,$$

where $\eta_i z_j = \delta_{ij}$ ($i, j = 1, \dots, \bar{n}$). The operator B defined by the formula

$$\xi Bx = \frac{1}{\delta} D_{\bar{m}+1}^{\bar{n}+1} \begin{pmatrix} \xi, \eta_1, \dots, \eta_{\bar{n}} \\ x, y_1, \dots, y_{\bar{m}} \end{pmatrix}$$

is a quasi-inverse of $S+T$.

Next, using (3), (4) and properties of the determinant system $\{D_m^n\}$ for $S+T$, it can be shown [1] that

$$(7) \quad (S+T)B = I - \sum_{i=1}^{\bar{m}} y_i \cdot \zeta_i, \quad B(S+T) = I - \sum_{i=1}^{\bar{n}} z_i \cdot \eta_i.$$

Let

$$(8) \quad M = (U+R)(S+T) - I, \quad N = (S+T)(U+R) - I.$$

Multiplying M (N) on the right (left) by B and using (7), we obtain

$$(9) \quad MB - \sum_{i=1}^{\bar{n}} z_i \cdot \eta_i (U+R) = BN - \sum_{i=1}^{\bar{m}} (U+R) y_i \cdot \zeta_i.$$

Since any determinant system for $S+T$ differs from $\{D_m^n\}$ by a non-vanishing coefficient, we can assume that the system $\{D_m^n\}$ for $S+T$ is of the form (cf. [1])

$$(10) \quad D_m^n \begin{pmatrix} \xi_1, \dots, \xi_n \\ x_1, \dots, x_m \end{pmatrix} = 0 \quad \text{for } n = \max(0, d), \dots, \bar{n}-1; \quad n-m = d,$$

$$(11) \quad D_{\bar{m}}^{\bar{n}} \begin{pmatrix} \xi_1, \dots, \xi_{\bar{n}} \\ x_1, \dots, x_{\bar{m}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} \xi_1 z_1 & \dots & \xi_1 z_{\bar{n}} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \xi_{\bar{n}} z_1 & \dots & \xi_{\bar{n}} z_{\bar{n}} \end{vmatrix} \times \begin{vmatrix} \zeta_1 x_1 & \dots & \zeta_1 x_{\bar{m}} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \zeta_{\bar{m}} z_1 & \dots & \zeta_{\bar{m}} z_{\bar{m}} \end{vmatrix},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 (12) \quad & D_{\bar{m}+k}^{\bar{n}+k} \begin{pmatrix} \xi_1, \dots, \xi_{\bar{n}+k} \\ x_1, \dots, x_{\bar{m}+k} \end{pmatrix} \\
 &= \sum_{\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q}} \operatorname{sgn} \mathfrak{p} \cdot \operatorname{sgn} \mathfrak{q} \begin{vmatrix} \xi_{p_1} Bx_{q_1} & \dots & \xi_{p_1} Bx_{q_k} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \xi_{p_k} Bx_{q_1} & \dots & \xi_{p_k} Bx_{q_k} \end{vmatrix} \times D_{\bar{m}}^{\bar{n}} \begin{pmatrix} \xi_{p_{k+1}}, \dots, \xi_{p_{k+\bar{n}}} \\ x_{q_{k+1}}, \dots, x_{q_{k+\bar{m}}} \end{pmatrix} \\
 & \hspace{15em} \text{for } k = 1, 2, \dots,
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\sum_{\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q}}$ is extended over all permutations $\mathfrak{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_{k+\bar{n}})$ and $\mathfrak{q} = (q_1, \dots, q_{k+\bar{m}})$ of the integers $1, \dots, k + \bar{n}$ and $1, \dots, k + \bar{m}$, respectively, such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (13) \quad & p_1 < p_2 < \dots < p_k, \quad p_{k+1} < p_{k+2} < \dots < p_{k+\bar{n}}, \\
 & q_1 < q_2 < \dots < q_k, \quad q_{k+1} < q_{k+2} < \dots < q_{k+\bar{m}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

3. Formulae of Fredholm type. To prove these formulae we shall need the following theorem:

THEOREM 1. *If $\{D_m^n\}$ is a determinant system for $S+T$ of order $r = \min(\bar{m}, \bar{n})$ and index $d = \bar{n} - \bar{m}$, then*

$$(14) \quad D_m^n \begin{pmatrix} \xi_1 M, \dots, \xi_n M \\ x_1, \dots, x_m \end{pmatrix} = (-1)^d D_m^n \begin{pmatrix} \xi_1, \dots, \xi_n \\ Nx_1, \dots, Nx_m \end{pmatrix}$$

($n = \max(0, d), \max(0, d) + 1, \dots; n - m = d$) and

$$(15) \quad D_{\bar{m}}^{\bar{n}} \begin{pmatrix} \xi_1 M, \dots, \xi_{\bar{n}} M \\ x_1, \dots, x_{\bar{m}} \end{pmatrix} = (-1)^{\bar{n}} D_{\bar{m}}^{\bar{n}} \begin{pmatrix} \xi_1, \dots, \xi_{\bar{n}} \\ x_1, \dots, x_{\bar{m}} \end{pmatrix},$$

where the operators M and N are defined by (8).

Proof. Since $Mz_i = -z_i$ ($i = 1, \dots, \bar{n}$) and $\zeta_i N = -\zeta_i$ ($i = 1, \dots, \bar{m}$), by virtue of (8), formulae (15) and (14) (for $\bar{m} = \bar{m}, n = \bar{n}$) follow from (11).

The proof of (14) is based on the well-known formula

$$\begin{aligned}
 (16) \quad & \begin{vmatrix} a_{1,1} & \dots & a_{1,k+\bar{n}} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ a_{k+\bar{n},1} & \dots & a_{k+\bar{n},k+\bar{n}} \end{vmatrix} \\
 &= \sum_{\mathfrak{p}} \operatorname{sgn} \mathfrak{p} \begin{vmatrix} a_{p_1,1} & \dots & a_{p_1,k} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ a_{p_k,1} & \dots & a_{p_k,k} \end{vmatrix} \times \begin{vmatrix} a_{p_{k+1},1} & \dots & a_{p_{k+1},\bar{n}} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ a_{p_{k+\bar{n}},1} & \dots & a_{p_{k+\bar{n}},\bar{n}} \end{vmatrix},
 \end{aligned}$$

where the permutation \mathfrak{p} is the same as in (13). Therefore, using (11),

(12), (9), (15), (16), well-known properties of classical determinants, and introducing the notation

$$L = MB - \sum_{i=1}^{\bar{n}} z_i \cdot \eta_i (U + R), \quad K = BN - \sum_{i=1}^{\bar{m}} (U + R) y_i \cdot \zeta_i,$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & D_{\bar{m}+\bar{k}}^{\bar{n}+\bar{k}} \begin{pmatrix} \xi_1 M, \dots, \xi_{\bar{n}+\bar{k}} M \\ x_1, \dots, x_{\bar{m}+\bar{k}} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= (-1)^{\bar{n}} \sum_{p, q} \text{sgn } p \cdot \text{sgn } q \begin{vmatrix} \xi_{p_1} MBx_{a_1} & \dots & \xi_{p_1} MBx_{a_k} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \xi_{p_k} MBx_{a_1} & \dots & \xi_{p_k} MBx_{a_k} \end{vmatrix} \times D_{\bar{m}}^{\bar{n}} \begin{vmatrix} \xi_{p_{k+1}} & \dots & \xi_{p_{k+\bar{n}}} \\ x_{a_{k+1}} & \dots & x_{a_{k+\bar{m}}} \end{vmatrix} \\ &= (-1)^{\bar{n}} \sum_q \text{sgn } q \begin{vmatrix} \xi_1 Lx_{a_1} & \dots & \xi_1 Lx_{a_k} & \xi_1 z_1 & \dots & \xi_1 z_{\bar{n}} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \xi_{k+\bar{n}} Lx_{a_1} & \dots & \xi_{k+\bar{n}} Lx_{a_k} & \xi_{k+\bar{n}} z_1 & \dots & \xi_{k+\bar{n}} z_{\bar{n}} \end{vmatrix} \times \\ & \qquad \qquad \qquad \times \begin{vmatrix} \zeta_1 x_{a_{k+1}} & \dots & \zeta_1 x_{a_{k+\bar{m}}} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \zeta_{\bar{m}} x_{a_{k+1}} & \dots & \zeta_{\bar{m}} x_{a_{k+\bar{m}}} \end{vmatrix} \\ &= (-1)^{\bar{n}} \sum_{p, q} \text{sgn } p \cdot \text{sgn } q \begin{vmatrix} \xi_{p_1} Kx_{a_1} & \dots & \xi_{p_1} Kx_{a_k} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \xi_{p_k} Kx_{a_1} & \dots & \xi_{p_k} Kx_{a_k} \end{vmatrix} \times D_{\bar{m}}^{\bar{n}} \begin{pmatrix} \xi_{p_{k+1}}, \dots, \xi_{p_{k+\bar{n}}} \\ x_{a_{k+1}}, \dots, x_{a_{k+\bar{m}}} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= (-1)^{\bar{n}} \sum_p \text{sgn } p \begin{vmatrix} \xi_{p_1} Kx_1 & \dots & \xi_{p_1} Kx_{k+\bar{m}} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \xi_{p_k} Kx_1 & \dots & \xi_{p_k} Kx_{k+\bar{m}} \\ \zeta_1 x_1 & \dots & \zeta_1 x_{k+\bar{m}} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \zeta_{\bar{m}} x_1 & \dots & \zeta_{\bar{m}} x_{k+\bar{m}} \end{vmatrix} \times \begin{vmatrix} \xi_{p_{k+1}} z_1 & \dots & \xi_{p_{k+1}} z_{\bar{n}} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \xi_{p_{k+\bar{n}}} z_1 & \dots & \xi_{p_{k+\bar{n}}} z_{\bar{n}} \end{vmatrix} \\ &= (-1)^{\bar{d}} \sum_{p, q} \text{sgn } p \cdot \text{sgn } q \begin{vmatrix} \xi_{p_1} BNx_{a_1} & \dots & \xi_{p_1} BNx_{a_k} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \xi_{p_k} BNx_{a_1} & \dots & \xi_{p_k} BNx_{a_k} \end{vmatrix} \times D_{\bar{m}}^{\bar{n}} \begin{pmatrix} \xi_1, \dots, \xi_{\bar{n}} \\ Nx_1, \dots, Nx_{\bar{m}} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= (-1)^{\bar{d}} D_{\bar{m}+\bar{k}}^{\bar{n}+\bar{k}} \begin{pmatrix} \xi_1, \dots, \xi_{\bar{n}+\bar{k}} \\ Nx_1, \dots, Nx_{\bar{m}+\bar{k}} \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.

With the above-given assumptions we have the following theorem:

THEOREM 2. *Let*

$$(17) \quad \bar{D}_m^n \begin{pmatrix} \xi_1, \dots, \xi_n \\ x_1, \dots, x_m \end{pmatrix} = D_m^n \begin{pmatrix} \xi_1 M, \dots, \xi_n M \\ x_1, \dots, x_m \end{pmatrix}$$

($n = \max(0, d), \max(0, d) + 1, \dots; n - m = d$) and let us fix the points $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_{\bar{n}}$ and $y_1, \dots, y_{\bar{m}}$ such that

$$\delta = \bar{D}_n^{\bar{m}} \begin{pmatrix} \eta_1, \dots, \eta_{\bar{n}} \\ y_1, \dots, y_{\bar{m}} \end{pmatrix} \neq 0.$$

Let ζ_j ($j = 1, \dots, \bar{m}$), z_i ($i = 1, \dots, \bar{n}$) and let the operator \bar{B} be defined as follows:

$$(18) \quad \zeta_j x = \frac{1}{\delta} \bar{D}_m^{\bar{n}} \begin{pmatrix} \eta_1, \dots, \eta_{\bar{n}} \\ y_1, \dots, y_{j-1}, x, y_{j+1}, \dots, y_{\bar{m}} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{for every } x \in X,$$

$$(19) \quad \xi z_i = \frac{1}{\delta} \bar{D}_m^{\bar{n}} \begin{pmatrix} \eta_1, \dots, \eta_{i-1}, \xi, \eta_{i+1}, \dots, \eta_{\bar{n}} \\ y_1, \dots, y_{\bar{m}} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{for every } \xi \in \Xi,$$

$$(20) \quad \xi \bar{B}x = \frac{1}{\delta} \bar{D}_{m+1}^{\bar{n}+1} \begin{pmatrix} \xi, \eta_1, \dots, \eta_{\bar{n}} \\ x, y_1, \dots, y_{\bar{m}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then the equation

$$(*) \quad (S + T)x = x_0$$

has a solution x iff $\zeta_j x_0 = 0$ for $j = 1, \dots, \bar{m}$, and the equation

$$(**) \quad \xi(S + T) = \xi_0$$

has a solution ξ iff $\xi_0 z_i = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, \bar{n}$. The general form of the solution of (*) is

$$x = (U + R - \bar{B})x_0 + a_1 z_1 + \dots + a_{\bar{n}} z_{\bar{n}},$$

and the general form of the solution of (**) is

$$\xi = \xi_0(U + R - \bar{B}) + b_1 \zeta_1 + \dots + b_{\bar{m}} \zeta_{\bar{m}},$$

where $a_1, \dots, a_{\bar{n}}$ and $b_1, \dots, b_{\bar{m}}$ are arbitrary constants.

Proof. The formulae for $\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_{\bar{m}}$ and $z_1, \dots, z_{\bar{n}}$ can be obtained immediately, by virtue of (15), from (5) and (6), constituting complete systems of solutions of $\xi(S + T) = 0$ and $(S + T)x = 0$, respectively. Substituting $(S + T)x$ for x and $\xi(S + T)$ for ξ , and then using identities (3) and (4), by virtue of the skew symmetry of $\bar{D}_m^{\bar{n}}$ and Theorem 1, we obtain

$$\xi \bar{B}(S + T)x = \xi Mx - \sum_{i=1}^{\bar{n}} \xi z_i \cdot \eta_i Mx, \quad \xi(S + T)\bar{B}x = \xi Nx - \sum_{i=1}^{\bar{m}} \xi N y_i \cdot \zeta_i x$$

or, equivalently, in view of (8),

$$(U + R - \bar{B})(S + T) = I + \sum_{i=1}^{\bar{n}} z_i \cdot \eta_i M,$$

$$(S + T)(U + R - \bar{B}) = I + \sum_{i=1}^{\bar{m}} N y_i \cdot \zeta_i.$$

Multiplying the first equation by ξ_0 on the left, and the second equation by x_0 on the right and assuming that $\xi_0 z_i = 0$ ($i = 1, \dots, \bar{n}$) and $\zeta_i x_0 = 0$ ($i = 1, \dots, \bar{m}$), we obtain

$$\xi_0(U + R - \bar{B})(S + T) = \xi_0, \quad (S + T)(U + R - \bar{B})x_0 = x_0.$$

This completes the proof.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Buraczewski, *Determinant theory of generalized Fredholm operators*, *Studia Mathematica* 22 (1963), p. 265-307.
- [2] — *On a certain property of determinant systems*, *Colloquium Mathematicum* 10 (1963), p. 325-330.
- [3] — *Formulae of Fredholm type for solutions of linear equations with generalized Fredholm operator*, *Studia Mathematica* 32 (1969), p. 1-8.
- [4] — *Remark on formulae of the Fredholm type*, *Bulletin de l'Académie Polonaise des Sciences, Série des sciences mathématiques, astronomiques et physiques*, 19 (1972), p. 135-140.
- [5] R. Sikorski, *Remarks on Leżański's determinants*, *Studia Mathematica* 20 (1961), p. 145-161.

Reçu par la Rédaction le 14. 11. 1972