

*SUPERIOR ESTIMATION OF CARATHÉODORY'S DIMENSION  
FOR  $n$ -CELL CONVEXITY*

BY

MAREK LASSAK (BYDGOSZCZ)

**1. Carathéodory's dimension of multiplicative families,  $c$ -independence.**

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a multiplicative family of subsets of a given set  $X$ . Obviously,  $X$  belongs to  $\mathcal{C}$  as the intersection of the empty family of subsets of  $X$ . Sets of the family  $\mathcal{C}$  will be called  $\mathcal{C}$ -convex.

For an arbitrary  $A \subset X$  we define  $\mathcal{C}$ -hull of  $A$  (denoted by  $\mathcal{C}\text{-conv } A$ ) as the intersection of all  $\mathcal{C}$ -convex sets containing the set  $A$ . Obviously,  $\mathcal{C}\text{-conv } A$  is the smallest  $\mathcal{C}$ -convex set containing  $A$ .

By *Carathéodory's dimension*  $\text{cim } \mathcal{C}$  of the family  $\mathcal{C}$  we mean the smallest integer  $k \geq -1$  such that, for any  $A \subset X$  and  $a \in \mathcal{C}\text{-conv } A$ , there exists a subset  $B \subset A$  with  $|B| \leq k+1$  such that  $a \in \mathcal{C}\text{-conv } B$ . If such an integer  $k$  does not exist, then we put  $\text{cim } \mathcal{C} = \infty$  (cf. [2], p. 160).

A set  $T \subset X$  is called  $c$ -independent [4] if

$$T = \emptyset \quad \text{or} \quad \mathcal{C}\text{-conv } T \neq \bigcup_{a \in T} \mathcal{C}\text{-conv}(T \setminus \{a\}).$$

**LEMMA.** *Carathéodory's dimension  $\text{cim } \mathcal{C}$  is equal to the greatest integer  $k$  for which there exists a  $(k+1)$ -element  $c$ -independent subset of  $X$ ; if such an integer  $k$  does not exist, then  $\text{cim } \mathcal{C} = \infty$  (see [4]).*

**2.  $n$ -cell convexity.** Let  $X$  be an arbitrary set and let  $\mathcal{X}_n$  be the family of all subsets of  $X$  containing at most  $n$  elements. Let  $C_n: \mathcal{X}_n \rightarrow 2^X$  be a function. The sets of the form  $C_n(F)$ , where  $|F| \leq n$ , will be called  $n$ -cells.

A set  $A \subset X$  is said to be  $n$ -cell convex if  $C_n(F) \subset A$  for any  $F \subset A$ ,  $|F| \leq n$ . Let  $\mathcal{C}_n$  denote the family of  $n$ -cell convex sets. Obviously, the family  $\mathcal{C}_n$  is multiplicative.

**Examples.** Let  $M$  be an arbitrary metric space with metric  $d$ .

1. The family of  $d$ -convex sets (the bibliography and properties of  $d$ -convexity are presented in [1]) is the family of 2-cell convex sets where

$$C_2(\{a, c\}) = \{b \in M: d(a, b) + d(b, c) = d(a, c)\} \quad \text{and} \quad C_2(\emptyset) = \emptyset.$$

2. We define  $B_n$ -convexity (comp. with  $B$ -convexity [5]) as the family of  $n$ -cell convex sets, where  $C_n(F)$  is the intersection of all balls of the space  $M$  containing  $F \subset M$ ,  $|F| \leq n$ .

Among various kinds of generalized convexity (a survey of some of them is given in Section 9 of [2]) there are further examples of  $n$ -cell convexity. In many examples,  $C_n$  fulfils some natural conditions such as  $C_n(G) \subset C_n(H)$  for  $G \subset H$ ,  $C_n(G) = \emptyset$  for  $|G| < n$ ,  $G \subset C_n(G)$ . In this paper those extra conditions are not essential.

For an arbitrary subset  $G$  of  $X$  we put

$$L_n(G) = G \cup \bigcup \{C_n(F) : F \subset G \text{ and } |F| \leq n\}.$$

Now, we define recurrently a sequence of sets

$$L_n^0(G) = G, \quad L_n^{i+1}(G) = L_n(L_n^i(G)), \quad i = 0, 1, \dots$$

The sets have the following properties:

- (1)  $G = L_n^0(G) \subset L_n^1(G) \subset \dots$ ,
- (2)  $L_n^i(G) \subset L_n^i(H)$  for  $G \subset H$ ,  $i = 0, 1, \dots$ ,
- (3)  $A = L_n^0(A) = L_n^1(A) = \dots$  for  $A \in \mathcal{C}_n$ ,
- (4)  $\mathcal{C}_n\text{-conv}G = \bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} L_n^i(G)$ .

Properties (1)-(3) are obvious.

We show (4). Since  $G \subset \mathcal{C}_n\text{-conv}G$ , by (2) and (3) we have

$$L_n^i(G) \subset L_n^i(\mathcal{C}_n\text{-conv}G) = \mathcal{C}_n\text{-conv}G, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots$$

Hence

$$\bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} L_n^i(G) \subset \mathcal{C}_n\text{-conv}G.$$

Now, it is sufficient to show that  $\bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} L_n^i(G) \in \mathcal{C}_n$ . Let

$$F \subset \bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} L_n^i(G) \quad \text{and} \quad |F| \leq n.$$

By the finiteness of  $F$  and by (1) there exists a number  $j$  such that  $F \subset L_n^j(G)$ . Hence

$$C_n(F) \subset L_n(F) \subset L_n(L_n^j(G)) = L_n^{j+1}(G) \subset \bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} L_n^i(G).$$

Consequently, the set  $\bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} L_n^i(G)$  is  $\mathcal{C}_n$ -convex. Therefore (4) holds.

From (4) we infer immediately that for any  $G \subset X$  and  $x \in \mathcal{C}_n\text{-conv}G$  the smallest integer  $r_G(x)$  exists such that  $x \in L_n^{r_G(x)}(G)$ . We call  $r_G(x)$  the *rank* of  $x$  in  $G$ . Obviously,  $r_G(x) = 0$  iff  $x \in G$ .

The existence of the finite rank implies that the  $\mathcal{C}_n$ -hull of any set  $G \subset X$  is the union of  $\mathcal{C}_n$ -hulls of finite subsets of  $G$ . Consequently, from generalizations in [4], p. 66, it follows that for  $n$ -cell convexity any  $c$ -independent set is finite.

**3. Inferior estimation of the number of elements in  $n$ -cell hulls of  $c$ -independent sets.**

**THEOREM 1.** *If  $T$  is a  $c$ -independent set with respect to a family  $\mathcal{C}_n$  of  $n$ -cell convex sets and  $n \geq 2$ , then*

$$\frac{n|T|-1}{n-1} \leq |\mathcal{C}_n\text{-conv}T|.$$

**Proof.** If  $|T| = 0$  or  $|T| = 1$ , then the theorem is obvious. The case  $|T| = \infty$  is impossible as was seen at the end of Section 2.

Let  $|T| = t \geq 2$ . Since  $T$  is  $c$ -independent, there exists  $y_0 \in \mathcal{C}_n\text{-conv}T$  such that  $y_0 \notin \mathcal{C}_n\text{-conv}(T \setminus \{x\})$  for any  $x \in T$ . Hence  $y_0 \notin T$  and, consequently,  $r_T(y_0) \geq 1$ .

We shall recurrently define a finite number of pairs of disjoint sets  $W_i$  and  $V_i$ .

Put  $W_0 = \emptyset$  and  $V_0 = \{y_0\}$ . Obviously,  $W_0$  and  $V_0$  are disjoint.

Let the disjoint sets  $W_j$  and  $V_j$  be defined for  $j \geq 0$ . Among all elements of  $V_j$  choose an element  $y_j$  of the highest rank  $r_T(y_j)$  in  $T$ . If  $r_T(y_j) \geq 1$ , then there exists a set  $T_j \subset L_n^{r_T(y_j)-1}(T)$ ,  $|T_j| \leq n$ , such that  $y_j \in L_n(T_j)$ . Put  $W_{j+1} = W_j \cup \{y_j\}$  and  $V_{j+1} = (V_j \setminus \{y_j\}) \cup T_j$ . Since the rank of any element of  $T_j$  is smaller than  $r_T(y_j)$  and the rank of any element of  $W_j$  is not smaller than  $r_T(y_j)$ , we have  $T_j \cap (W_j \cup \{y_j\}) = \emptyset$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} W_{j+1} \cap V_{j+1} &= (W_j \cup \{y_j\}) \cap [(V_j \setminus \{y_j\}) \cup T_j] \\ &= [(W_j \cup \{y_j\}) \cap (V_j \setminus \{y_j\})] \cup [(W_j \cup \{y_j\}) \cap T_j] = \emptyset. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $y_0 \in \mathcal{C}_n\text{-conv}T$  and  $y_0 \notin \mathcal{C}_n\text{-conv}(T \setminus \{x\})$  for any  $x \in T$ , after a finite number of steps  $s$  we get  $V_s = T$ . Therefore  $r_T(y_s) = 0$  for any  $y_s \in V_s$ . Hence the sets  $W_s$  and  $V_s$  are the last which we define.

Obviously,  $|W_i| = i$  for  $i = 0, \dots, s$ .

We show recurrently that  $|V_i| \leq (n-1)i + 1$  for  $i = 0, \dots, s$ .

For  $i = 0$  the inequality holds.

Assume  $|V_j| \leq (n-1)j + 1$  for  $0 \leq j < s$ . Since  $y_j \in V_j$ , we have

$$|V_{j+1}| \leq |V_j \setminus \{y_j\}| + |T_j| \leq (n-1)j + n = (n-1)(j+1) + 1.$$

Consequently,  $|V_i| \leq (n-1)i + 1$  for  $i = 0, \dots, s$ .

In particular,  $|V_s| \leq (n-1)s + 1$ . Moreover,  $T = V_s$  and  $W_s \cap V_s = \emptyset$ . From the inequality  $|T| \leq (n-1)s + 1$  we get  $(|T|-1)/(n-1) \leq s$ . Finally,

$$|\mathcal{C}_n\text{-conv}T| \geq |W_s \cup V_s| = |W_s| + |V_s| = s + |T| \geq \frac{|T|-1}{n-1} + |T| = \frac{n|T|-1}{n-1}.$$

Thus the proof is complete.

Since  $|\mathcal{C}_n\text{-conv}T|$  is an integer or  $\infty$ , the estimation in Theorem 1 can be written in the form

$$-\left[ -\frac{n|T|-1}{n-1} \right] \leq |\mathcal{C}_n\text{-conv}T|,$$

where the symbol  $[\cdot]$  denotes the integer part. The construction below in the proof of Theorem 2 shows that the last inequality is essential for every natural number  $n$  and for every number of elements of  $T$ .

**4. Superior estimation of  $\text{cim}\mathcal{C}_n$ .** In connection with the supposition [3] that Carathéodory's dimension of the family of  $d$ -convex sets of an arbitrary metric space  $M$  is not greater than  $[(|M|-1)/2]$  we give a more general theorem.

**THEOREM 2.** *Carathéodory's dimension of the family  $\mathcal{C}_n$  of  $n$ -cell convex subsets of a finite set  $X$  is not greater than*

$$\left[ \frac{(n-1)(|X|-1)}{n} \right].$$

*The estimation cannot be improved in a general case (for any  $n$  and  $|X|$ ).*

**Proof.** If  $n = 1$ , then the estimation is obvious.

Let  $n \geq 2$ . From Theorem 1 it follows that if there exists a  $c$ -independent set  $T \subset X$ , then

$$\frac{n|T|-1}{n-1} \leq |\mathcal{C}_n\text{-conv}T| \leq |X|.$$

Therefore

$$|T| \leq \frac{(n-1)|X|+1}{n}.$$

Now, from the Lemma we get

$$\text{cim}\mathcal{C}_n \leq \frac{(n-1)|X|+1}{n} - 1 = \frac{(n-1)(|X|-1)}{n}.$$

Since Carathéodory's dimension is an integer, we have

$$\text{cim}\mathcal{C}_n \leq \left[ \frac{(n-1)(|X|-1)}{n} \right].$$

We show that the estimation cannot be improved in a general case.

In the case  $|X| \leq 1$  or  $n = 1$  a proper example of an  $n$ -cell convexity is easy to construct.

Assume that  $n \geq 2$  and  $2 \leq |X| < \infty$ . There exist integers  $t \geq 1$  and  $r$  ( $0 \leq r \leq n-1$ ) such that  $|X| = 2 + (t-1)n + r$ . Let

$$X = \{a_0^0, a_0^1, \dots, a_{n-1}^1, \dots, a_0^{t-1}, \dots, a_{n-1}^{t-1}, a_0^t, \dots, a_r^t\} \quad \text{for } t > 1$$

and

$$X = \{a_0^0, a_0^1, \dots, a_r^1\} \quad \text{for } t = 1.$$

Let

$$C_n(\{a_0^{j-1}, a_1^j, \dots, a_{n-1}^j\}) = \{a_0^{j-1}, a_0^j, \dots, a_{n-1}^j\} \quad \text{for } 0 < j < t$$

and, moreover, if  $r > 0$ , let

$$C_n(\{a_0^{t-1}, a_1^t, \dots, a_r^t\}) = \{a_0^{t-1}, a_0^t, \dots, a_r^t\}.$$

Let  $C_n(F) = F$  for any farther  $F \subset X$  with  $|F| \leq n$ .

From the above construction it follows that each of the sets

$$\{a_0^0, a_1^1, \dots, a_{n-1}^1, \dots, a_1^{t-1}, \dots, a_{n-1}^{t-1}, a_1^t, \dots, a_r^t\} \quad \text{for } t > 1$$

and

$$\{a_0^0, a_1^1, \dots, a_r^1\} \quad \text{for } t = 1$$

is  $c$ -independent. Since we have  $(n-1)(t-1) + r + 1$  elements in a  $c$ -independent set, we infer from the Lemma that Carathéodory's dimension of the constructed  $n$ -cell convexity is not greater than  $(n-1)(t-1) + r$ . From the equality

$$\begin{aligned} \left[ \frac{(n-1)(|X|-1)}{n} \right] &= \left[ \frac{(n-1)\{1 + (t-1)n + r\}}{n} \right] \\ &= \left[ (t-1)(n-1) + r + \frac{n-r-1}{n} \right] = (t-1)(n-1) + r \end{aligned}$$

we infer that Carathéodory's dimension in the example equals

$$\left[ \frac{(n-1)(|X|-1)}{n} \right].$$

I would like to express my gratitude to Dr. W. Nitka for his valuable remarks concerning the redaction of this paper.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] В. Г. Болтянский и П. С. Солтан, *Комбинаторная геометрия различных классов выпуклых множеств*, Кишинев 1978.
- [2] L. Danzer, B. Grünbaum and V. Klee, *Helly's theorem and its relatives*, p. 101-180 in: *Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics, VII, Convexity*, American Mathematical Society, 1963.

- {3] М. Лассак, *Размерности Хелли, Каратеодори, Радона и метрическая независимость точек в теории  $d$ -выпуклых множеств*, Диссертация, Кишиневский государственный университет, 1975.
- {4] M. Lassak, *О независимости точек метрического пространства*, *Fundamenta Mathematicae* 96 (1977), p. 49-66.
- {5] — *On metric  $B$ -convexity for which diameters of any set and its hull are equal*, *Bulletin de l'Académie Polonaise des Sciences, Série des sciences mathématiques, astronomiques et physiques*, 25 (1977), p. 969-975.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS AND PHYSICS  
TECHNICAL AND AGRICULTURAL ACADEMY, BYDGOSZCZ

*Reçu par la Rédaction le 19. 5. 1978;  
en version modifiée le 1. 10. 1980*

---