

*CORRECTIONS TO THE PAPER  
"A REMARK ON THE ORBIT SPACES  
UNDER MULTIPLICATIVE GROUP ACTIONS"*

(Colloquium Mathematicum 54.1 (1987), pp. 67–70)

BY

JERZY JURKIEWICZ (WARSZAWA)

1° In the Theorem on page 67, in place of "singular" one should read: "either a singular set or a projective space". The assumption " $n \geq 3$ " may be dropped.

2° The proof of the Theorem alters as follows:

Lines 1–6 on page 69 are to be replaced by

"fixed points in  $P_n$ . Let  $\{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{u-1}\}, \{x_u, \dots, x_n\}$ , where  $1 \leq u \leq n$ , be the cross-section defining  $\mathcal{U}$ ."

The text in lines 20–27 on page 69, beginning with "contains" and ending with "singular", is to be replaced by

"consists, by Proposition 1, of the  $(n-1)$ -cones  $\sigma_{k,l} = \sigma_k \cap \sigma_l$  (where  $k < u$ ,  $l \geq u$ ) and their faces. Let  $f_j = p(e_j)$ ,  $j = 0, 1, \dots, n$ . Then the  $(n-1)$ -cone  $p(\sigma_{k,l})$  is spanned by

$$F_{k,l} = (f_j)_{0 \leq j \leq n, k \neq j \neq l}.$$

Assume that  $\mathcal{U}/k^*$  is smooth. We will prove that it is a projective space. By Proposition 2 above and by Theorem 4 in Section 1 of [2],  $F_{k,l}$  is a basis of the lattice  $N/aZ$ . Moreover, the ordered bases  $F_{k,l}$  and  $F_{k,l+1}$  have distinct orientations, and so have  $F_{k,l}$  and  $F_{k+1,l}$ . Now choose the basis  $F_{0,u}$  and express all  $f_j$  in terms of this basis. A computation on determinants shows that either

$$f_0 + f_1 + \dots + f_{u-1} = 0$$

or

$$f_u + f_{u+1} + \dots + f_n = 0.$$

By the definition of  $f_j$  this means that either

$$a \in \text{lin. hull}(e_0, \dots, e_{u-1})$$

or

$$a \in \text{lin. hull}(e_u, \dots, e_n).$$

If  $2 \leq u \leq n-1$ , we get a contradiction, since both  $\{e_0, \dots, e_{u-1}\}$  and  $\{e_u, \dots, e_n\}$  are contained in  $(n-1)$ -cones of  $\Sigma$ . If  $u = 1$ , then it must be  $f_1 + \dots + f_n = 0$ ; therefore  $\mathcal{U}/k^*$  is the torus embedding corresponding to the complex of all cones spanned by the subsets of  $\{f_1, \dots, f_n\}$ . Hence  $\mathcal{U}/k^* \cong \mathbf{P}_{n-1}$ . The remaining case  $u = n$  leads to the same conclusion, and the proof is complete.”

I want to thank Joanna Świącicka, whose important observation is the cause of the present correction.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS  
WARSAW UNIVERSITY  
WARSAW, POLAND

*Reçu par la Rédaction le 5.7.1988*

---