

ON INVERSES OF ALMOST CONTINUOUS BIJECTIONS

BY

M. WILHELM (WROCLAW)

The notions of nearly continuity/openness and almost continuity/openness stem from functional analysis; they appear in context of the open mapping, the closed graph and the Souslin-graph theorems, and also of the purely topological Blumberg's theorem (see e.g. [2], [4], [5], and references therefrom).

A mapping $f: X \rightarrow Y$, where X and Y are topological spaces, is said to be *nearly continuous* (resp. *nearly open*) at x if for every open neighbourhood V of $f(x)$ (resp. U of x)

$$x \in \text{Int } \overline{f^{-1}(V)} \quad (\text{resp. } f(x) \in \text{Int } \overline{f(U)});$$

f is *almost continuous* (resp. *almost open*) at x if for every such V (resp. U)

$$x \in \text{Int } D(f^{-1}(V)) \quad (\text{resp. } f(x) \in \text{Int } D(f(U))).$$

($D(A)$ denotes – as in [1] – the set of all points of the underlying space at which A is of second category.) The resulting sets of points of nearly continuity (nearly openness) and almost continuity (almost openness) of f are denoted – respectively – by $C_n(f)$ ($O_n(f)$) and $C_a(f)$ ($O_a(f)$). Obviously

$$C_n(f) \supset C_a(f) \quad \text{and} \quad O_n(f) \supset O_a(f).$$

If Y has a countable base, then $C_a(f)$ is residual in X , i.e. its complement is of first category (cf. [4], Theorem 1). On the other hand, almost continuity is a rather strong property: each almost continuous mapping $f: X \rightarrow Y$ having the Baire property is continuous provided that Y is regular ([4], Theorem 4).

In Theorem 3 of [6] we constructed a nearly continuous bijection $f: R \rightarrow R$ such that the set $O_n(f) \cup C_n(f^{-1})$ was co-dense. Here we give an example of a similar kind – an almost continuous (hence nearly continuous) bijection $f: R \rightarrow R$ such that the set $C_n(f^{-1})$ is of measure zero. Both examples contrast with the fact that each continuous real bijection is a homeomorphism.

The following lemma is a convenient version of the Sierpiński–Lusin theorem [3].

LEMMA 1. *Each second category set $A \subset R$ can be represented as a disjoint sum $\bigcup_1^\infty A_k$, where $D(A) = D(A_k)$ for all $k \in N$.*

Proof. Define $G = \text{Int } D(A)$; since $A \setminus G$ is of first category (cf. [1], 1.10.VI),

$$D(A) = D(A \cap G) = \bar{G}.$$

Let $G = \bigcup_{i \in N_0} G_i$, where $\emptyset \neq N_0 \subset N$ and G_i are pairwise disjoint non-empty open segments. We have

$$D(A \cap G_i) = \bar{G}_i;$$

by the Sierpiński–Lusin theorem (cf. [3], p. 115 and 176), each set $A \cap G_i$ is of the form $\bigcup_{k=1}^\infty A_{ik}$, where

$$A_{ik} \cap A_{ik'} = \emptyset \quad \text{whenever } k \neq k'$$

and

$$D(A_{ik}) = \bar{G}_i \quad \text{for all } k \in N.$$

Put

$$A_1 = \bigcup_{i \in N_0} A_{i1} \cup (A \setminus G)$$

and

$$A_k = \bigcup_{i \in N_0} A_{ik} \quad \text{for } k \geq 2;$$

these sets satisfy the requirements.

When we say that C is a Cantor set we mean that C is a subset of R which is a homeomorphic copy of the Cantor ternary set of the closed unit interval I .

LEMMA 2. *Each Cantor set of positive measure contains a Cantor set of measure zero.*

Proof is an easy exercise.

Given a measurable set A in R , we define

$$P(A) = \{x \in R: m(A \cap U) > 0 \text{ for every open } U \ni x\},$$

where m stands for the Lebesgue measure; it is a measure-theoretic analogon of the set $D(A)$.

LEMMA 3. *Suppose $X \subset R$ is a second category set of power continuum and $Y \subset R$ is a non-empty Borel set such that*

$$Y \subset D(Y) \quad \text{or} \quad Y \subset P(Y).$$

Then there exists a bijection $f: X \rightarrow Y$ such that for every open set V in R

$$V \cap Y \neq \emptyset \quad \text{implies} \quad D(f^{-1}(V \cap Y)) = D(X).$$

Proof. By Lemma 1, X is of the form $\bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} A_k$, where $D(X) = D(A_k)$ for all k ; we may additionally assume that A_0 is of power continuum.

Let $\{V_k: k \in N\}$ be an open base for the subspace Y of R , consisting of non-empty sets. Since V_1 is an uncountable Borel set in R (of second category or of positive measure), it contains a Cantor set C_1 of measure zero, by the Alexandroff–Hausdorff theorem (cf. [1], 3.37.I) and Lemma 2. For the same reason, $V_2 \setminus C_1$ contains a Cantor set C_2 of measure zero. Continuing this process we get a pairwise disjoint sequence $\{C_k\}$ of Cantor sets of measure zero in Y with

$$C_k \subset V_k \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{k-1} C_i \quad \text{for } k \in N.$$

Let f_k ($k \in N$) be an arbitrary injection of A_k into C_k . Put

$$C_0 = Y \setminus \bigcup_1^{\infty} f_k(A_k);$$

C_0 is of power continuum, because $Y \setminus \bigcup_1^{\infty} C_k$ is a Borel set which is of second category or of positive measure. There is a bijection $f_0: A_0 \rightarrow C_0$. Define $f(x) = f_k(x)$ whenever $x \in A_k$ ($k \geq 0$). For any index $k \geq 1$ we have

$$D(f^{-1}(V_k)) \supset D(f^{-1}(C_k)) \supset D(A_k) = D(X),$$

which yields the assertion.

THEOREM. *There exists an almost continuous bijection f of R onto itself such that the set $C_n(f^{-1})$ is of measure zero.*

Proof. Put $X_k = [2k-1, 2k]$ for $k \in N$, $X_0 = R \setminus \bigcup_1^{\infty} X_k$. Choose a disjoint sequence $\{Y_k: k \in N\}$ of Cantor sets in R with $Y_k \subset P(Y_k)$ and $m(Y_0) = 0$, where $Y_0 = R \setminus \bigcup_1^{\infty} Y_k$. By Lemma 3, there exists a bijection f_0 of X_0 onto Y_0 (which is residual) such that for every open set V in R

$$V \cap Y_0 \neq \emptyset \quad \text{implies} \quad D(f_0^{-1}(V \cap Y_0)) = D(X_0),$$

and for each $k \in N$ there exists a bijection $f_k: X_k \rightarrow Y_k$ such that for every open V

$$V \cap Y_k \neq \emptyset \quad \text{implies} \quad D(f_k^{-1}(V \cap Y_k)) = D(X_k).$$

Let f denote the bijection of R onto itself built up of all those partial

bijections. If $x \in X_0$ and V is an open neighbourhood of $f(x)$, then

$$D(f^{-1}(V)) \supset D(f_0^{-1}(V \cap Y_0)) \supset X_0,$$

which shows that $x \in C_a(f)$ (X_0 is open). If $x \in X_k$ ($k \geq 1$) and $f(x) \in V$ (open), then $V \cap Y_0 \neq \emptyset$ and

$$D(f^{-1}(V)) \supset D(f_k^{-1}(V \cap Y_k) \cup f_0^{-1}(V \cap Y_0)) \supset X_k \cup X_0,$$

which again yields $x \in C_a(f)$ (because $x \in \text{Int}(X_k \cup X_0)$). Thus $C_a(f) = R$. Since each set $f(\text{Int } X_k)$ is nowhere dense,

$$O_n(f) \subset R \setminus \bigcup_1^{\infty} \text{Int } X_k.$$

Hence

$$C_n(f^{-1}) = f(O_n(f)) \subset Y_0 \cup f(N),$$

where the set on the right is of measure zero.

It is possible to modify the proof so that to get a bijection $f: I \rightarrow I$ with the same properties. To this aim, put $J = (0, 1]$, $I_k = [1/(2k), 1/(2k-1)]$ and $X_0 = J \setminus \bigcup_1^{\infty} I_k$. Choose X_k ($k \in N$) so that:

X_k contains a Cantor set (which can be previously chosen),

$$I_k = X_{2k-1} \cup X_{2k} = D(X_{2k-1}) = D(X_{2k}),$$

$$X_{2k-1} \cap X_{2k} = \emptyset \text{ for all } k.$$

Choose Cantor sets $Y_k \subset J$ so that $Y_k \subset P(Y_k)$, $m(Y_0) = 0$ (where $Y_0 = J \setminus \bigcup_1^{\infty} Y_k$) and $\limsup_k Y_{2k} = 0$. Now we find $f_k: X_k \rightarrow Y_k$ ($k \geq 0$) with the help of Lemma 3 and get a bijection $f: J \rightarrow J$ such that $C_a(f) = J$ and $C_n(f^{-1})$ is of measure zero. We extend f on I defining $f(0) = 0$; given a neighbourhood V of 0, there is an index k_0 such that

$$Y_{2k} \subset V \quad \text{for } k \geq k_0,$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} D(f^{-1}(V)) &\supset D(f_0^{-1}(V \cap Y_0) \cup f_{2k}^{-1}(Y_{2k})) \\ &= D(X_0) \cup D(X_{2k}) \supset X_0 \cup I_k \quad \text{for } k \geq k_0, \end{aligned}$$

which shows that $0 \in C_a(f)$.

REFERENCES

- [1] K. Kuratowski, *Topology*, Vol. I, New York 1966.
- [2] H. H. Schaefer, *Topological Vector Spaces*, New York 1966.
- [3] W. Sierpiński, *Hypothèse du Continu*, Warszawa 1934.

-
- [4] M. Wilhelm, *Almost lower semicontinuous multifunctions and the Souslin-graph theorem*, Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae 23 (1982), p. 147–158.
- [5] —, *Nearly lower semicontinuity and its applications*, in: *General Topology and its Relations to Modern Analysis and Algebra V (Proceedings of the Fifth Prague Topological Symposium 1981)*, Berlin 1983, p. 692–698.
- [6] —, *Some negative examples concerning nearly continuity*, Commentationes Mathematicae 26.1 (to appear).

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS
TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY
WROCLAW, POLAND

Reçu par la Rédaction le 02. 05. 1984
