

**ON EXACT MODULES
OVER A COMMUTATIVE EXACT RING**

BY

MIROSŁAW USCKI (TORUŃ)

Introduction. Let R be a commutative ring with identity and let M be an R -module. Let (u_1, v_1) be a pair of elements in R . We say that M is (u_1, v_1) -exact if the sequence

$$M \xrightarrow{u_1} M \xrightarrow{v_1} M \xrightarrow{u_1} M$$

is exact. Let (u, v) be a sequence of pairs $(u_1, v_1), \dots, (u_n, v_n)$. Then M is (u, v) -exact if M_i is (u_i, v_i) -exact for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, where $M_1 = M$, $M_i = M/(u_1, \dots, u_{i-1})M$. The category of all R -modules we denote by $R\text{-Mod}$.

In this paper, for a given sequence (u, v) of pairs in R the full subcategory of $R\text{-Mod}$ consisting of all (u, v) -exact R -modules is investigated under the assumption that R is (u, v) -exact.

For basic examples and preliminary results the reader is referred to [2].

In Section 1 a homological characterization of (u, v) -modules is given. We obtain a completion of Lemma 1.4 in [2] and a generalization of Theorem 1.5 in [2].

In Section 2 we study the structure of (u, v) -exact modules over an \aleph_0 -Noetherian ring. Following some ideas of Simson [3]-[5] we prove that every such module is a directed union of \aleph_0 -generated (u, v) -exact submodules.

1. A homological characterization of (u, v) -exact modules. We begin with the following result:

LEMMA 1. *Let R be (u_1, v_1) -exact and let M be an R -module. If P is a projective generator in $R\text{-Mod}$ and I is an injective cogenerator in $R\text{-Mod}$, then for $M_1 = M/u_1M$ and $R_1 = R/u_1R$ the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) M is (u_1, v_1) -exact.
- (2) $\text{Tor}_n^R(R_1, M) = 0$ for $n \geq 1$.
- (3) $\text{Ext}_R^n(R_1, M) = 0$ for $n \geq 1$.
- (4) $\text{Tor}_n^R(N, M) \simeq \text{Tor}_{R_1}^n(N, M_1)$ for $n \geq 1$ and any R_1 -module N .
- (5) $\text{Ext}_R^n(N, M) \simeq \text{Ext}_{R_1}^n(N, M_1)$ for $n \geq 1$ and any R_1 -module N .
- (6) $\text{Ext}_R^n(M, I_1) = 0$ for $n \geq 1$.
- (7) $\text{Ext}_R^n(P_1, M) = 0$ for $n \geq 1$.
- (8) $\text{Ext}_{R_1}^n(M_1, N) \simeq \text{Ext}_R^n(M, N)$ for $n \geq 1$ and any R_1 -module N .

Proof. The equivalence of conditions (1)-(5) is proved in [2], Lemma 1.4. It follows that for the injective cogenerator I the complex

$$I : I \xrightarrow{u_1} I \xrightarrow{v_1} I \xrightarrow{u_1} I \longrightarrow \dots$$

is exact and, therefore, it is an injective resolution of $I_1 \simeq v_1 I$. Hence

$$\text{Ext}_R^n(M, I_1) \simeq H^n(\text{Hom}_R(M, I)).$$

(1) \Leftrightarrow (6). If M is (u_1, v_1) -exact and I is the injective cogenerator, then the complex $\text{Hom}_R(M, I)$ is acyclic and $\text{Ext}_R^n(M, I_1) = 0$ for $n \geq 1$. Conversely, suppose that $\text{Ext}_R^n(M, I_1) = 0$ for $n \geq 1$. Then the exact sequence

$$(*) \quad \dots \longrightarrow M \xrightarrow{u_1} M \xrightarrow{v_1} M \xrightarrow{u_1} M \longrightarrow \dots$$

induces the sequence

$$\dots \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(M, I) \xrightarrow{u_1} \text{Hom}_R(M, I) \xrightarrow{v_1} \text{Hom}_R(M, I) \xrightarrow{u_1} \dots,$$

which is exact because it equals $\text{Hom}_R(M, I)$. Since I is an injective cogenerator, sequence (*) is exact.

(8) \Rightarrow (6) is clear since, by (1) \Leftrightarrow (5), I_1 is R_1 -injective.

(1) \Rightarrow (8). Let M be a (u_1, v_1) -exact module and let N be an R_1 -module. If P is a projective resolution of M , then it follows from (5) that $P_1 = P \otimes_R R_1$ is a projective resolution of the R_1 -module M_1 . Then (8) follows since there are isomorphisms

$$\text{Hom}_R(P, N) \simeq \text{Hom}_{R_1}(P_1, N) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Ext}_{R_1}^n(M_1, N) \simeq \text{Ext}_R^n(M, N)$$

for $n \geq 1$.

Since equivalence (7) \Leftrightarrow (6) can be proved similarly, the proof of the lemma is complete.

Now we prove the following characterization of exact modules.

THEOREM 1. *Let (u, v) be a sequence of pairs $(u_1, v_1), \dots, (u_n, v_n)$ in R . If R is (u, v) -exact and M is an R -module, then the following conditions are equivalent:*

(1) M is (u, v) -exact.

(2) $\text{Ext}_R^m(P_i, M) = 0$ for $m > 0$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and a projective generator P in $R\text{-Mod}$.

(3) $\text{Ext}_R^m(M, I_i) = 0$ for $m > 0$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and an injective cogenerator I in $R\text{-Mod}$.

Proof. Equivalence (1) \Leftrightarrow (2) can be proved as equivalence (1) \Leftrightarrow (3) in Theorem 1.5 in [2].

(1) \Leftarrow (3). Suppose that (3) is satisfied and let I be an injective cogenerator in $R\text{-Mod}$. Since $\text{Ext}_R^m(M, I_1) = 0$, M is (u_1, v_1) -exact by Lemma 1. Suppose that M is $((u_1, v_1), \dots, (u_{k-1}, v_{k-1}))$ -exact for a certain k ($1 \leq k < n$). By assumption and (8) in Lemma 1,

$$\text{Ext}_{R_i}^m(M_i^\#, N) \simeq \text{Ext}_R^m(M, N) \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq i < k$$

whence

$$0 = \text{Ext}_R^m(M, I_{k+1}) \simeq \dots \simeq \text{Ext}_{R_k}^m(M_k, I_{k+1}).$$

Then by Lemma 1 again, M_k is a (u_k, v_k) -exact module.

(1) \Rightarrow (3). Let M be (u, v) -exact and let I be an injective cogenerator in $R\text{-Mod}$. By Lemma 1 we have

$$\text{Ext}_R^m(M, I_i) \simeq \text{Ext}_{R_i}^m(M_i, I_i) = 0,$$

since I_i is R_i -injective.

2. Structure of exact modules. We recall that a ring is \aleph_0 -Noetherian if each of its ideals is generated by at most \aleph_0 -elements [1].

LEMMA 2. *Let R be an \aleph_0 -Noetherian ring and let M be an \aleph_0 -generated R -module. Then every submodule of M is countably generated.*

The lemma follows from Theorem 1 in [1].

The main result of this section is the following

THEOREM 2. *Let (u, v) be a sequence of pairs $(u_1, v_1), \dots, (u_n, v_n)$ in R and let R be an \aleph_0 -Noetherian (u, v) -exact ring. Then any (u, v) -exact R -module is a directed union of \aleph_0 -generated (u, v) -exact submodules.*

To prove the theorem we need some technical lemmas.

LEMMA 3. *Let R be an \aleph_0 -Noetherian (u_1, v_1) -exact ring and let M be a (u_1, v_1) -exact R -module. Then every countably generated R -submodule K of M can be embedded into a countably generated (u_1, v_1) -exact submodule \bar{K} of M .*

Proof. Let K be a submodule of M generated by elements m_i , $i \in N$, where N is the set of natural numbers. We now consider the complex of R -modules

$$M(u_1, v_1): \longrightarrow M \xrightarrow{u_1} M \xrightarrow{v_1} M \xrightarrow{u_1} M \longrightarrow \dots$$

and its subcomplex $K(u_1, v_1)$. By Lemma 2, the R -module $\text{Ker}(u_1|K)$ is countably generated. Let $\{x_k^{(1)}\}_{k \in N}$ be a set of its generators. Since $u_1 x_k^{(1)} = 0$ for each $k \in N$, there exist elements $y_k^{(1)} \in M$ such that $v_1 y_k^{(1)} = x_k^{(1)}$. Similarly, if $\{\bar{x}_k^{(1)}\}_{k \in N}$ is a set of generators of $\text{Ker}(v_1|K)$, then there exist elements $\bar{y}_k^{(1)}$ such that $u_1 \bar{y}_k^{(1)} = \bar{x}_k^{(1)}$. Let

$$K_1 = K + \sum_{k \in N} R y_k^{(1)} + \sum_{k \in N} R \bar{y}_k^{(1)}.$$

We have a monomorphism $K(u_1, v_1) \subseteq K_1(u_1, v_1)$ such that

- (i) if $x \in K$ and $u_1 x = 0$, then there exists an element $y \in K_1$ with $v_1 y = x$;
- (ii) if $z \in K$ and $v_1 z = 0$, then there exists an element $z_1 \in K_1$ with $u_1 z_1 = z$.

Continuing this procedure we define countably generated R -submodules $K \subseteq K_1 \subseteq K_2 \subseteq \dots$ of M such that the complex $\bar{K}(u_1, v_1)$ is exact, where

$$\bar{K} = \bigcup_{i \in N} K_i.$$

Hence the countably generated R -module \bar{K} satisfies the required conditions.

LEMMA 4. *Let M and R be as in Lemma 3. Then every countably generated submodule K of M can be embedded into a countably generated (u_1, v_1) -exact R -submodule \tilde{K} of M such that $u_1 \tilde{K} = \tilde{K} \cap u_1 M$.*

Proof. Let K be a countably generated submodule of M . First we observe that $u_1 X \subseteq u_1 M \cap X \subseteq X$ for every submodule X of M . Using Lemma 3 we define by induction a family K_i ($i \in N$) of countably generated submodules of M such that

$$K_i \subseteq \bar{K}_i \subseteq K_{i+1} \quad \text{for each } i \in N.$$

\bar{K}_i are (u_1, v_1) -exact, $K_i \cap u_1 M = u_1 K_i$. We set

$$K_0 = K \quad \text{and} \quad K_i = \bar{K}_{i-1} + \sum_{j \in N} R m_j^{(i)} \quad \text{for } i > 0,$$

where $\{m_j^{(i)}, j \in N\}$ is a family of elements in M such that $y_j^{(i)} = u_1 m_j^{(i)}$ ($j \in N$) form a set of generators of $\bar{K}_{i-1} \cap u_1 M$ (Lemma 2). Put

$$\tilde{K} = \bigcup_{i \in N} K_i = \bigcup_{i \in N} \bar{K}_i.$$

It is easy to observe that \tilde{K} satisfies the condition of the lemma.

Proof of Theorem 2. Let M be a (u, v) -exact R -module. In order to prove the theorem it is sufficient to show that every countably generated submodule of M can be embedded into a countably generated (u, v) -exact submodule of M . Recall that (u, v) is the sequence of pairs $(u_1, v_1), \dots, (u_n, v_n)$. We apply induction.

If $n = 1$, then the result follows from Lemma 3.

Now assume that $n > 1$ and put $M_1 = M/u_1M$. Let K be a countably generated submodule of M . By Lemma 4 there exists a countably generated (u_1, v_1) -exact submodule \tilde{K}_1 of M containing K such that $\tilde{K}_1 \cap u_1M = u_1\tilde{K}_1$. Let $p: M \rightarrow M_1$ denote the natural epimorphism. Since M_1 is $((u_2, v_2), \dots, (u_n, v_n))$ -exact, by our assumption there exists a countably generated $((u_2, v_2), \dots, (u_n, v_n))$ -exact submodule $M_1^{(1)}$ of M_1 such that $p_1(\tilde{K}_1) \subseteq M_1^{(1)}$. Let $\{x_n\}_{n \in N}$ be a set of elements in M such that the elements $p_1(x_n)$ ($n \in N$) generate $M_1^{(1)}$. By Lemma 4 there exists a countably generated (u_1, v_1) -exact submodule \tilde{K}_2 of M such that $u_1\tilde{K}_2 = u_1M \cap \tilde{K}_2$. As previously, there exists a countably generated $((u_2, v_2), \dots, (u_n, v_n))$ -exact submodule $M_1^{(2)}$ of M_1 such that $p_1(\tilde{K}_2) \subseteq M_1^{(2)}$. In such a way we define two chains of countably generated R -modules,

$$\tilde{K}_1 \subseteq \tilde{K}_2 \subseteq \tilde{K}_3 \subseteq \dots \subseteq \tilde{K}_s \subseteq \dots \subseteq M$$

and

$$M_1^{(1)} \subseteq M_1^{(2)} \subseteq \dots \subseteq M_1^{(s)} \subseteq \dots \subseteq M_1,$$

such that $M_1^{(s)}$ is $((u_2, v_2), \dots, (u_n, v_n))$ -exact, $u_1\tilde{K}_s = u_1M \cap \tilde{K}_s$, $p_1(\tilde{K}_s) \subseteq M_1^{(s)}$ and \tilde{K}_s is (u_1, v_1) -exact for each k .

Put

$$M_0 = \bigcup_{s \in N} \tilde{K}_s.$$

First observe that M_0 is countably generated and contains K . Further, M_0 is (u_1, v_1) -exact and $u_1M \cap M_0 = u_1M_0$. Moreover, since

$$p_1(\tilde{K}_1) \subseteq M_1^{(1)} \subseteq p_1(\tilde{K}_2) \subseteq M_1^{(2)} \subseteq \dots,$$

we have the following isomorphism:

$$M_0/u_1M_0 = M_0/u_1M \cap M_0 \simeq \bigcup_{s \in N} p_1(\tilde{K}_s) = \bigcup_{s \in N} M_1^{(s)}.$$

Hence M_0/u_1M_0 is $((u_2, v_2), \dots, (u_n, v_n))$ -exact and, therefore, M_0 satisfies the required condition. Thus the theorem is proved.

COROLLARY. *Let (u, v) be a sequence of pairs $(u_1, v_1), \dots, (u_n, v_n)$ in a commutative ring R . If R is (u, v) -exact Noetherian and every countably generated (u, v) -exact R -module is flat, then R is quasi-Frobenius.*

For the proof apply Theorem 2 and arguments in the proof of Theorem 2.3 in [2].

REFERENCES

- [1] C. U. Jensen, *On homological dimension of rings with countably generated ideals*, *Mathematica Scandinavica* 18 (1966), p. 97-105.
- [2] R. Kiełpiński, D. Simson and A. Tyc, *Exact sequences of pairs in commutative rings*, *Fundamenta Mathematicae* 99 (1978), p. 113-121.

-
- [3] D. Simson, *\aleph -flat and \aleph -projective modules*, Bulletin de l'Académie Polonaise des Sciences, Série des sciences mathématiques, astronomiques et physiques, 20 (1972), p. 109-114.
- [4] — *On the structure of flat modules*, ibidem 20 (1972), p. 115-120.
- [5] — *On projective resolutions of flat modules*, Colloquium Mathematicum 29 (1974), p. 209-218.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS
N. COPERNICUS UNIVERSITY, TORUŃ

Reçu par la Rédaction le 26. 2. 1977
