

**REMARKS ON THE PERMEABILITY OF SUBMEASURES  
ON FINITE ALGEBRAS**

BY

MIROSLAW BĄK (OPOLE)

**Introduction.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an algebra of subsets of a set  $X$ . A subadditive set function  $\varphi: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is called a *submeasure* if  $\varphi$  is increasing and  $\varphi(\emptyset) = 0$ . We follow Bandt [1] and define the *permeability* of a submeasure  $\varphi$  as

$$\alpha(\varphi) = \sup \{ \mu(X) : \mu \text{ is a finitely additive measure on } \mathcal{A}, \mu \leq \varphi \}.$$

It is useful to express  $\alpha(\varphi)$  in terms of multiple coverings of  $X$  as follows (see [5]):

$$(1) \quad \alpha(\varphi) = \inf \left\{ \frac{1}{k} \sum_i^m \varphi(A_i) : \sum_i 1_{A_i} = k \cdot 1_X \right\},$$

where  $A_i \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $1_{A_i}$  denotes the indicator function of  $A_i$ .

In this note we consider the permeability on finite algebras. More precisely, we take into account the numbers  $\alpha_n$  defined by

$$\alpha_n = \inf \{ \alpha(\varphi) : \varphi \text{ is a normalized submeasure (i.e., } \varphi(X_n) = 1) \text{ on } \mathcal{P}(X_n) \},$$

where  $\mathcal{P}(X_n)$  is an algebra of all subsets of an  $n$ -point set  $X_n$ . The interest in these numbers comes from a construction of a pathological submeasure (i.e., a non-trivial submeasure  $\varphi$  with  $\alpha(\varphi) = 0$ ; see [3] and [5]). It is clear that there do not exist pathological submeasures on finite algebras because for any normalized submeasure on  $\mathcal{P}(X_n)$  we have  $\varphi(\{i\}) \geq 1/n$  for some  $i$ , so that the measure  $\mu$  such that  $\mu(A) = 1/n$  for  $A \supset \{i\}$  and  $\mu(A) = 0$  otherwise satisfies  $\mu \leq \varphi$ ,  $\mu(X_n) = 1/n$ . Let us note that  $1/n \leq \alpha_n$ . On the other hand, we take into consideration the normalized submeasure  $\varphi(A) = \frac{1}{2}$  for  $A \in \mathcal{P}(X_n) \setminus \{\emptyset, X_n\}$ . Then if we notice that all  $(n-1)$ -point sets from  $\mathcal{P}(X_n)$  form an  $(n-1)$ -fold exact covering of  $X_n$ , from (1) we obtain

$$\alpha_n \leq \alpha(\varphi) \leq \frac{n}{2(n-1)}.$$

Topsøe [5] gave the inverse inequality for any normalized symmetric submeasure  $\varphi$  on  $\mathcal{P}(X_n)$  (i.e., a submeasure  $\varphi$  for which  $\varphi(A)$  depends only on the cardinality of  $A$ ). However, it can be proved that  $\lim \alpha_n = 0$  (see [3] and [5]). The reader can find more information about convergence of  $\alpha_n$ 's in [1]. In that paper the author followed Vasak and asked:

What is the smallest number  $q$  with

$$\alpha_q < \frac{q}{2(q-1)}?$$

Bandt proved  $6 \leq q \leq 11$  and suggested that this number is 11. In the present note we prove  $q \leq 9$  (see Example 3). It is clear that  $\alpha_{n+1} \leq \alpha_n$ . We suppose that  $\alpha_{n+1} < \alpha_n$ . If this conjecture is true, then the same example will imply  $\alpha_{10} < 10/18$ .

**An upper bound of  $q$ .** At the first sight Bandt's example (see [1], Example 2) seems to be incidental. The following method allows us to obtain Bandt's covering as well as a better result. This method is based on some combinatorial idea. To make use of it we have to acquire enough information on the numbers

$$B(k, p, r) = \min \{ \text{card } \mathcal{B} : \mathcal{B} \subset [B]^p, \forall E \in [B]^r \exists A \in \mathcal{B}, A \supset E \},$$

where the natural numbers  $k, p, r$  satisfy the inequality  $k > p > r$  and  $B$  is a  $k$ -point set (we define  $[B]^m$  as the set of all  $m$ -point subsets of  $B$ ). We are especially interested in  $B(k, p, r)$  for small values of  $k$ , for instance  $k = 10$ .

Let  $\mathcal{D} \subset [B]^p$  be a family satisfying the above equality. For  $\mathcal{D}$  we construct the following  $(k \times B(k, p, r))$ -matrix:

(1) In  $k$  rows of this matrix we write in a sequence all numbers from 1 to  $B(k, p, r)$ .

(2) We cross out the  $i$ -th row number from the  $j$ -th column of this matrix if  $i \in D_j, D_j \in \mathcal{D}$ .

The sets given as rows in the resulting matrix form an exact  $(k-p)$ -fold covering  $\mathcal{C}$  of  $X_{B(k,p,r)}$ . Let us note that any  $r$  of them do not cover  $X_{B(k,p,r)}$ . If some  $r+1$  cover  $X_{B(k,p,r)}$ , then putting the value  $1/(r+1)$  on every set from  $\mathcal{C}$  we can generate a normalized submeasure  $\varphi$  on  $X_{B(k,p,r)}$  as follows:

$$\varphi(A) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } A = \emptyset, \\ \min \{ h/(r+1) : \bigcup_{i=1}^h A_i \supset A, A_i \in \mathcal{C} \} & \text{for } A \neq \emptyset. \end{cases}$$

Using the above-mentioned remarks we obtain from (1) the equality

$$\alpha(\varphi) = \frac{1}{(r+1)} \frac{k}{(k-p)}.$$

EXAMPLE 1. We use the above procedure to the matrix

$$\begin{array}{cccccccccccc} 4 & 4 & 3 & 3 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 7 & 5 & 6 & 5 & 6 & 5 & 3 & 7 & 4 & 3 & 2 \\ 8 & 6 & 8 & 7 & 7 & 8 & 4 & 8 & 8 & 6 & 5 \end{array}$$

Taking into consideration the columns of the above matrix as members of  $\mathcal{C}$  we obtain Bandt's example (see [1], Example 2).

Some known theorems give fragmentary information about the numbers  $B(k, p, r)$ . In our consideration we shall use the solution of the following problems:

For what integer  $n$  is it possible to form a triple system  $S(2, 3, n)$  (quadruple system  $S(2, 4, n)$ ), out of  $n$  given elements, in such a way that every pair of elements appears in exactly one triple (quadruple)?

It is clear that a necessary condition for the existence of a system  $S(2, 3, n)$  is

$$(n-1) \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \quad \text{and} \quad n(n-1) \equiv 0 \pmod{6}.$$

and, respectively, a necessary condition for the existence of a system  $S(2, 4, n)$  is

$$(n-1) \equiv 0 \pmod{3} \quad \text{and} \quad n(n-1) \equiv 0 \pmod{12}.$$

Reiss [4] and Hanani [2] proved that these conditions are also sufficient. It is obvious that the system  $S(2, m, n)$  consists of  $\binom{n}{2} : \binom{m}{2}$  different triples or quadruples, where  $m = 3$  or  $m = 4$ .

EXAMPLE 2. Let us consider the triples of a system  $S(2, 3, 9)$  as a family  $\mathcal{C}$ . Then  $B(9, 3, 2) = 12$  and we can construct the  $(9 \times 12)$ -matrix as above. The sets given as rows form an exact 6-fold covering  $\mathcal{C}$  of  $X_{12}$ . It is clear that there are three of them which cover  $X_{12}$  and no two do. Putting the value  $\frac{1}{3}$  on every set of  $\mathcal{C}$  we can generate a normalized submeasure  $\varphi$  on  $X_{12}$  with

$$\alpha_{12} \leq \alpha(\varphi) \leq \frac{1}{3} \cdot \frac{9}{6} = \frac{1}{2} < \frac{n}{2(n-1)} \quad \text{for every } n > 1.$$

Applying repeatedly the above procedure to a system  $S(2, 4, 13)$  we obtain a 9-fold covering  $\mathcal{C}$  on  $X_{13}$  and a normalized submeasure  $\varphi$  with

$$\alpha_{13} \leq \alpha(\varphi) \leq \frac{1}{3} \cdot \frac{13}{9} = \frac{13}{27} < \frac{1}{2}.$$

Let us note that  $n/2(n-1)$  is not a good approximation of  $\alpha_n$  for  $n \geq 12$ .

EXAMPLE 3. We use the above method to the matrix

1	6	2	2	6	1	1	1	2
5	3	7	3	7	5	5	5	6
9	8	4	4	8	2	3	4	4
10	10	10	9	9	6	7	8	8

Considering the columns as members of  $\mathcal{Q}$  we obtain the following 6-fold exact covering  $\mathcal{C}$  of  $X_9$ :

	2	3	4	5				9
1	2			5		7	8	
1		3		5	6		8	9
1	2			5	6	7		
	2	3	4	5				9
1		3	4			7	8	
1	2		4		6		8	9
1		3	4		6	7		
	2	3			6	7	8	9
			4	5	6	7	8	9

No two rows cover  $X_9$ . Assigning the value  $\frac{1}{3}$  to every set we can generate a normalized submeasure  $\varphi$  on  $X_9$  with

$$\alpha_9 \leq \alpha(\varphi) = \frac{1}{3} \cdot \frac{10}{6} = \frac{10}{18} < \frac{9}{16}.$$

Then Example 3 implies that  $q \leq 9$ .

**Acknowledgement.** The author is thankful to Dr. B. Aniszczyk for stimulating and helpful suggestions.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Ch. Bandt, *On the permeability of submeasures on finite algebras*, Colloq. Math. 40 (1979), pp. 311–318.
- [2] H. Hanani, *The existence and construction of balanced incomplete block designs*, Ann. Math. Statist. 32 (1961), pp. 361–386.

- [3] W. Herer and J. P. R. Christensen, *On the existence of pathological submeasures and the construction of exotic topological groups*, Math. Ann. 213 (1975), pp. 203–210.
- [4] M. Reiss, *Über eine Steinersche combinatorische Aufgabe welche in 45sten Bande dieses Journals, Seite 181, gestellt worden ist*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 56 (1859), pp. 326–344.
- [5] F. Topsøe, *Some remarks concerning pathological submeasures*, Math. Scand. 38 (1976), pp. 159–166.

WYŻSZA SZKOŁA PEDAGOGICZNA  
INSTYTUT TECHNIKI  
45-365 OPOLE  
UL. SZENWALDA 7/9

*Reçu par la Rédaction le 30.7.1986;  
en version modifiée le 14.4.1987*

---